

Agenda Item No. 2, Part 3

2020 Census Redistricting Task Force

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Date: March 7, 2022

Date: _____

- | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>COI Listening Session Presentation v7– February 16, 2022</u> |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>Communications Submitted through March 3, 2022</u> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ |

Prepared by: John Carroll

Date: March 4, 2022

Prepared by: John Carroll

Date: _____

Prepared by: John Carroll

Date: _____



SAN FRANCISCO 2022 REDISTRICTING

Public Input and Communities of Interest

Introduction: What is Redistricting?

1

San Francisco has 11 supervisorial districts, used to elect the Board of Supervisors.

Redistricting is the process of adjusting the existing supervisorial district boundaries.

Redistricting happens every 10 years, after the release of the decennial Census data used to balance the populations of the supervisorial districts.

Redistricting provides the opportunity to bring the existing districts into compliance with all redistricting criteria.

No draft maps have yet been created by the Redistricting Task Force.



Introduction: What is Redistricting?

**Mean Population of a
Supervisorial District:
79,545**

District	Population	Percent Deviation
1	72,848	-8.31%
2	76,363	-3.89%
3	72,474	-8.78%
4	72,784	-8.39%
5	80,667	1.53%
6	103,564	+30.35%
7	75,436	-5.05%
8	82,418	+3.73%
9	75,829	-4.56%
10	86,323	+8.65%
11	76,287	-3.98%

0566



What are the criteria for redistricting?

3

- Compliance with US and CA Constitution (*equal population*)
- Compliance with Federal Voting Rights Act (FVRA) which addresses race and language minorities
- Preserve *recognized neighborhoods*
- Preserve *Communities of Interest (COIs)*
- Contiguity
- Compactness



Recognized Neighborhoods and Communities of Interest (COIs)

Recognized Neighborhoods are generally based on data and geography collected from official sources (like the Planning Department). Neighborhood data may be submitted by members of the public as well. Neighborhoods may vary in size and definition depending on who is defining them.

Communities of Interest are generally described as a population of residents that share common interests (such as social, cultural, and economic interests) that should be included within a single district for purposes of its effective and fair representation.

COIs are defined by those familiar with the community...

Law does not limit the kinds of interests that may bind a community.

It is up to those who live in or work in a community to identify and establish the interests that unite it.

Interests need not be limited to current situation but can also include common goals.

Communities of Interest do not include relationships with political parties, incumbents, or political candidates.



Examples of Common Interests

5

Economic interests:

Current situation -- common employment or economic opportunities (or lack thereof).

Goals -- expanding opportunities, development, job creation

Social interests:

Current -- schools, transportation, parks, housing

Goals -- improving recreational opportunities or public safety, preserving historic resources

Cultural interests:

Current -- holidays, cultural businesses

Goals – cultural-meeting centers, official recognition through cultural districts



Tell us about your Community of Interest

6

What is your Community of Interest? What ties you together? What common interests do you share?

Where is your Community of Interest? What are the boundaries of your community (streets, parks)? Where is it on the map? What is different or the same about the areas around your community?

Why should your community be kept together in one district? Why would it be a problem to split your community?

Other options to tell the Task Force about your COI:

<https://sf.gov/information/community-interest-coi-public-input>

0570



District-Based Input

7

The San Francisco Redistricting Task Force additionally welcomes district-based input:

- Provide feedback about one district, multiple districts, or the whole map
- Let the Redistricting Task Force know how you would like the districts to be drawn
- What would you like to change about San Francisco's current supervisorial districts? What do you like about how they are currently drawn?



Redistricting Mapping Tool

8

The Redistricting Task Force has made a free-to-use online mapping tool available to the public .

The online mapping tool allows members of the public to draw their own district maps.

Proposals developed with the online mapping tool may be submitted to the Redistricting Task Force for consideration.

The mapping tool also allows members of the public to view census geography and data for any area within San Francisco.

<https://redrawmysf.publicredistricting.com/>



From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Diego Zamora Salazar](#)
Cc: [Pratibha Tekkey](#)
Subject: FW: CCSROC & La Voz Latina Redistricting Letter
Date: Friday, March 4, 2022 2:48:06 PM
Attachments: [image002.png](#)
[La Voz Redistricting Letter.docx](#)
[CCSROC Redistricting Letter.docx](#)
[image003.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Diego Zamora Salazar <Diegozs@thclinic.org>
Sent: Friday, March 4, 2022 2:16 PM
To: Lee, Chasel (REG - Contractor) <chasel.lee@sfgov.org>; Cooper, Raynell (REG) <raynell.cooper.reg@sfgov.org>; Hernandez Gil, Chema (REG - Contractor)

<chema.hernandezgil@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdrtf@sfgov.org>

Cc: Pratibha Tekkey <pratibha@thclinic.org>

Subject: CCSROC & La Voz Latina Redistricting Letter

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Redistricting Task Force,

Please find attached the position of La Voz Latina & CCSROC in regards to redistricting. Thank you for your time and consideration.

Best,

Diego Zamora-Salazar (he/him)

Community Organizer

Tenderloin Housing Clinic

La Voz Latina

472 Ellis St. San Francisco, CA 94102

Office# (415) 775-7110 ext.1712

www.thclinic.org



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This document is intended for the use of the party to whom it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and protected from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee, or a person authorized to accept documents on behalf of the addressee, you are hereby notified that any review, disclosure, dissemination, copying, or other action based on the content of this communication is not authorized. If you have received this document in error, please immediately reply to the sender and delete or shred all copies.



456 Ellis Street, San Francisco, California 94102
415-983-3973 | www.lavozlatinasf.org

March 30, 2022

Dear Redistricting Task Force Members,

We would like to submit to the Redistricting Task Force La Voz Latina's proposed outline for district 3 and 6, exemplifying our position to add the Tenderloin into District 3.

As tenant's rights advocates, we assist tenants with housing issues, like building repairs, by creating an open line of communication with landlords in the neighborhood. Our other passion is to empower the Latinx population by providing an outlet where community members are encouraged to participate and create positive change in their respective community.

As members of the community, we at La Voz Latina believe that moving the Tenderloin from district 6, and into district 3 would create a strong connection between two closely related communities. The Tenderloin, like Chinatown, is composed of families, children, and the elderly. Many of whom face a challenge of being monolingual speakers in a country that they immigrated to in hopes of a better quality of life. These immigrants have built a home here, these neighborhoods are full of families and a thriving community of monolingual small business owners.

The reality is that many of these residents in this community of interest live in densely packed buildings like small, overcrowded one bedroom room apartments and SRO hotels. The common ground shared has led to similar issues in these communities, for example, the lack of open space in these dense urban neighborhoods. The dense urban district creates a series of complications for the two neighborhoods, like a serious lack of open space for the residents. These enclosed urban spaces are susceptible to speeding vehicles, creating a serious concern for pedestrian safety for the residents. The Tenderloin and Chinatown are home to people who have to rely solely on walking and public transportation to be mobile but have to walk in dangerous streets.

Families in the Tenderloin and Chinatown have many needs yet to be properly addressed. Given our mission, we at La Voz Latina, politely ask you task force members to empower the vulnerable populations and create a strong bond between the community of interest in Chinatown and the Tenderloin. The Latinx population in the Tenderloin continues to grow as we are a landing place for immigrants, and these communities have long championed the conservation of hotels as they are essential to protecting one of the last bastions of available affordable housing in the city. While we understand that there are many factors you all have to consider, we would like to see the Tenderloin join district 3, further empowering our vulnerable population.

Sincerely,

Diego Zamora - La Voz Latina Community Organizer

Estimados miembros del grupo de trabajo de redistribución de distritos,

Nos gustaría presentar al Grupo de Trabajo de Redistribución de Distritos el esquema propuesto por La Voz Latina para los distritos 3 y 6, ejemplificando nuestra posición de agregar el Tenderloin al Distrito 3.

Como defensores de los derechos de los inquilinos, ayudamos a los inquilinos con problemas de vivienda, como reparaciones de edificios, al crear una línea abierta de comunicación con los propietarios en el vecindario. Nuestra otra pasión es empoderar a la población Latinx al proporcionar un medio donde se invita a los miembros de la comunidad a participar y crear un cambio positivo en nuestra comunidad.

Como miembros de la comunidad, en La Voz Latina creemos que moviendo el Tenderloin del distrito 6 al distrito 3 crearía una fuerte conexión entre dos comunidades con características similares. El Tenderloin, como Chinatown, está compuesto por familias, niños y personas de la tercera edad. Muchos de los cuales enfrentan la situación de ser hablantes de solamente un idioma en un país al que emigraron con la esperanza de una mejor calidad de vida. Estos inmigrantes han construido un hogar aquí, estos vecindarios están llenos de familias y una próspera comunidad de propietarios de pequeñas empresas monolingües.



La realidad es que muchos de estos residentes en esta comunidad de interés viven en edificios densamente poblados como pequeños apartamentos de un dormitorio y hoteles SRO. El terreno común compartido ha llevado a problemas similares en estas comunidades, por ejemplo, la falta de espacios abiertos en estos vecindarios urbanos densos. El denso distrito urbano crea una serie de complicaciones para los dos barrios, como una grave falta de espacios abiertos para los residentes. Estos espacios urbanos cerrados son susceptibles al exceso de velocidad de los vehículos, lo que crea una seria preocupación para la seguridad de los peatones de los residentes. The Tenderloin y Chinatown son el hogar de personas que tienen que depender únicamente de caminar y del transporte público para moverse, pero tienen que caminar en calles peligrosas.

Las familias de Tenderloin y Chinatown tienen muchas necesidades que aún no se han abordado adecuadamente. Nosotros en La Voz Latina, les pedimos a los miembros del grupo de trabajo que empoderen a las poblaciones vulnerables y creen un fuerte vínculo entre la comunidad de interés en Chinatown y Tenderloin. La población Latinx en Tenderloin continúa creciendo, ya que somos un lugar de aterrizaje para inmigrantes, y estas comunidades han defendido durante mucho tiempo la conservación de los hoteles, ya que son esenciales para proteger uno de los últimos bastiones de viviendas asequibles disponibles en la ciudad. Si bien entendemos que

hay muchos factores que todos deben considerar, nos gustaría ver que Tenderloin se una al distrito 3, empoderando aún más a nuestra población vulnerable.

Atentamente,

Diego Zamora-Salazar – Organizador Comunitario de La Voz Latina





472 Ellis Street
San Francisco, CA. 94102
Phone: (415) 775-7110
Fax: (415) 775-7170
www.ccsro.net

Dear Redistricting Task Force Members,

We would like to submit to the Redistricting Task Force Central City SRO Collaborative proposed outline for district 3 and 6, exemplifying our position to add the Tenderloin into District 3.



The Central City S.R.O. Collaborative was established in 2001 to organize tenants of Single-Room Occupancy hotels in the Tenderloin. In the last 20 years, CCSRO has fought to preserve SRO hotels, which house the most vulnerable population in the city. We have also bridged a connection to SRO residents in order to advocate for better living conditions in private SRO hotels in the Tenderloin.

SRO hotels have a historic upbringing in San Francisco, following the devastating earthquake of 1906, SROs were built to house numerous San Franciscans who lost their homes. Over the years, SROs have seen many tenants from a varying background, like seasonal workers or sailors who would not spend much of the year at home.

Today, SROs house some of the City's most vulnerable populations like the elderly, recently immigrated individuals looking for a place to stay, families and individuals who, at some point, were experiencing homelessness. Like the Tenderloin, Chinatown is the home of many SRO hotels and small overcrowded apartments. While many districts in San Francisco have SROs, the Tenderloin and Chinatown is home to the majority of SROs in San Francisco. As a result, this community of interest between the Tenderloin and Chinatown are densely packed districts where residents have to live in close quarters with one another.

The dense urban district creates a series of complications for the two neighborhoods, like a serious lack of open space for the residents. These enclosed urban spaces are susceptible to speeding vehicles, creating a serious concern for pedestrian safety for the residents. The Tenderloin and Chinatown are home to people who have to rely solely on walking and public transportation to be mobile but have to walk in dangerous streets.

SRO residents and the many families in the two neighborhoods have many needs yet to be properly addressed. Our hope is to unify and empower the vulnerable populations and create a strong bond between the community of interest in Chinatown and the Tenderloin. These communities have long championed the conservation of hotels as they are essential to protecting one of the last bastions of available affordable housing in the city. In order to ensure the protection of SROs, and the most vulnerable in the city, we at CCSRO ask that the redistricting task force unify this community of interest by moving the Tenderloin into district 3.



Sincerely,
Pratibha Tekkey – Director of Community Organizing

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Lower Polk Neighbors](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Hernandez Gil, Chema \(REG - Contractor\)](#)
Cc: [Drew McDaniel](#); [Andrew Dunbar](#)
Subject: RE: Lower Polk Neighbors: Redistricting feedback (D3 & D6)...
Date: Friday, March 4, 2022 2:47:28 PM
Attachments: [image003.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Lower Polk Neighbors <lowerpolkneighbors@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, March 4, 2022 11:52 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Hernandez Gil, Chema (REG - Contractor) <chema.hernandezgil@sfgov.org>
Cc: Drew McDaniel <damcdaniel@gmail.com>; Andrew Dunbar <ad@intersticearchitects.com>
Subject: Lower Polk Neighbors: Redistricting feedback (D3 & D6)...



LOWER POLK NEIGHBORS

Dear San Francisco Redistricting Task Force members,

Lower Polk Neighbors represents the residents, property owners, businesses and workers who live and/or work in the area between California and Ellis Streets to the North and South and Hyde Street and Van Ness Avenue to the East and West. Our neighborhood currently crosses the border that separates districts three and six, and we also overlap the Tenderloin and Nob Hill neighborhoods.

Lower Polk is unified by the Polk and Larkin commercial corridors and the series of narrow, one-way alleys that run between Van Ness and Larkin starting with Olive to the South and ending at Austin/Frank Norris to the North. Lower Polk Neighbors has spent more than a decade partnering with our neighbors, the city, nonprofit organizations and private real-estate developers to reimagine and rebuild these alleys into welcoming community public spaces. This cohesive neighborhood vision is expressed in the [Lower Polk Alleyway District Vision Plan](#) document.

We're submitting this letter and the accompanying map to request the following:

1. Keep the entirety of Lower Polk in a single district.

- Residents and businesses in Lower Polk have shared interests, share resources and are united by a single vision for a thriving, diverse, mixed-use neighborhood with creatively designed public spaces that make up for its lack of open space, green spaces and parks.
- Turning our vision into a reality is complicated by the fact that our neighborhood crosses two supervisorial districts. When we work on a project we typically need to engage with two different supervisors' offices. This doubles the time and effort it takes to enact neighborhood-wide projects.

2. Move the Southern half of Lower Polk and the Tenderloin from district six to district three.

- The Northwest corner of the Tenderloin is in our neighborhood, and the Tenderloin has much more in common with Lower Nob Hill, Chinatown and North Beach than it does with the other major population centers of district six, SOMA and Mission Bay.
 - Similar to Chinatown, Lower Polk and the Tenderloin are more densely populated than most of the rest of district six.
 - Lower Polk and the Tenderloin have a high concentration of SRO residences similar

to Lower Nob Hill and Chinatown.

- Housing stock in Lower Polk and the Tenderloin is mostly aging, mid-rise multi-family buildings as opposed to the industrial and commercial conversions and new, market-rate residential high-rises in SOMA and Mission Bay.
- Cultural and community events in Lower Polk and Tenderloin celebrate the unique characteristics of its residents and their countries of origin, much like Chinatown and North Beach.
- Similar to Chinatown, Lower Nob Hill and North Beach, a high percentage of our residents are...
 - Lower-income families
 - Senior citizens
 - First generation immigrant families
 - Mono-lingual, non-English speakers
 - Multi-cultural and multi-ethnic
- We have large number of independent, immigrant-owned and operated small businesses similar to Chinatown and Lower Nob Hill.

We urge you to please consider the interests of Lower Polk as you draw new supervisorial district boundaries and accommodate our requests listed above. Thank you!

Drew McDaniel

Vice-Chair, Lower Polk Neighbors



From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Barbara "Babs" Early](#)
Cc: [Jennifer Laska](#); [Barbara Early](#); [HVNA Board](#)
Subject: FW: HVNA position on Redistricting D5
Date: Friday, March 4, 2022 2:47:21 PM
Attachments: [2022.3.3 HVNA Redistricting Support letter.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Barbara "Babs" Early <babs.early@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, March 4, 2022 11:39 AM

To: revtword@hotmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Cc: Jennifer Laska <jennlaska@me.com>; Barbara Early <babs.early@gmail.com>; HVNA Board <board@hayesvalleysf.org>

Subject: HVNA position on Redistricting D5

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Reverend Townsend and Redistricting Task Force Committee,

Please see attached letter with HVNA's position on the Redistricting of D5.

Thank you for all your work!

Sincerely,
Barbara Early
HVNA Corresponding Secretary

—inline text and PDF attached—

March 3, 2022

2020 Census: Redistricting Task Force rdtf@sfgov.org
Rev. Arnold Townsend, Chair revtword@hotmail.com

Re: HVNA position on Redistricting D5

Dear Reverend Townsend and Redistricting Task Force Committee,

This letter is in broad support of the attached map put together by the Van Ness Corridor Neighborhood Council, with a focus on the southeast quadrant that affects Hayes Valley. There are three areas that HVNA is most interested in including in the D5 redistricting, as detailed below.

55 Laguna & surrounding area

The southern boundaries of HVNA around Buchanan-Page-Laguna-Waller, including the 55 Laguna complex at Laguna and Hermann, and surrounding area, were excluded in the last redistricting. (See Figure 2, HVNA boundaries, and Figure 3 and 3A showing the existing D5 boundary vis a vis this area, attached). This area houses

many tenants including legacy rent-controlled LGBT tenants, who are historically within HVNA and part of its founding, and comprise a significant community of interest. Additionally, the traffic patterns north of Market are congested and complicated, and cutting out parts of Haight and Buchanan from D5 makes this more challenging to manage, and impacts all the residents in this sector. We think it is extremely important to keep this entire section north of Market united in D5 under one supervisor.

Arts/Cultural District and new residential buildings east of Van Ness/Civic Center

HVNA's membership already includes some of the new residential buildings, such as 100 and 150 Van Ness and SFCM's Bowes Center. These developments are within our association boundaries, but are not part of D5; they are in D6, which has the biggest growth (+30%). HVNA believes it would be a natural fit for D5 to include these new residences.

Additionally, D5 currently includes major performing arts venues, such as the Jazz Center, the Sidney Goldstein Theater, as well as the San Francisco War Memorial and Performing Arts Center, one of the largest performing arts centers in the US, comprised of the Opera house, Herbst Theater, the SFAC gallery, and Davies Symphony Hall. Extending D5 east of Van Ness to include Bill Graham Auditorium, SFPL, the Asian Art Museum, City Hall, and other smaller cultural entities makes sense, since the majority of the large performance venues are already within D5 and HVNA boundaries. Civic Center CBD already extends into Hayes Valley, to Gough Street. All of these Civic Center institutions and locations have a large impact on HVNA's residential and commercial district, impacting traffic and other aspects of the neighborhood which a single District supervisor could more easily oversee.

The Hub

The Hub is a future community of interest, as residents will comprise dense population in a small congested geographic area, and as a part of the Market/Octavia Plan, it is of particular interest to HVNA. The Hub currently falls into three separate supervisorial districts, which makes it difficult to ensure that this important area gets the supervisorial focus that such major housing developments deserve. District 5 in its current configuration already has two of the large development corners within its boundaries; it makes sense to include the entire Hub in D5. Traffic from development of the HUB will have a major impact on the main arteries of Hayes Valley. Future HUB residents will certainly become part of the Hayes Valley community, enjoying shopping, dining, and cultural events in our neighborhood, so it is of particular interest to HVNA to see it included in its totality within our supervisorial district.

We appreciate all the work the Redistricting Task Force is doing, and we appreciate your considering our views about how this proposed map would impact us in Hayes Valley.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Laska, President
The Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association

cc:

Mats Anderson, HVNA Vice President
Barbara Early, HVNA Corresponding Secretary
HVNA Board

Attachments below

Figure 1: Proposed Redistricting Map prepared by the
Figure 2: HVNA boundaries
Van Ness Corridor Neighborhood Council

Figure 3: Existing D5 Map (Dept of Elections)
Figure 3A: Existing D5 Closeup around 55 Laguna

March 3, 2022

2020 Census: Redistricting Task Force rdtf@sfgov.org
Rev. Arnold Townsend, Chair revtword@hotmail.com

Re: HVNA position on Redistricting D5

Dear Reverend Townsend and Redistricting Task Force Committee,

This letter is in broad support of the attached map put together by the Van Ness Corridor Neighborhood Council, with a focus on the southeast quadrant that affects Hayes Valley. There are three areas that HVNA is most interested in including in the D5 redistricting, as detailed below.

55 Laguna & surrounding area

The southern boundaries of HVNA around Buchanan-Page-Laguna-Waller, including the 55 Laguna complex at Laguna and Hermann, and surrounding area, were excluded in the last redistricting. (See Figure 2, HVNA boundaries, and Figure 3 and 3A showing the existing D5 boundary vis a vis this area, attached). This area houses many tenants including legacy rent-controlled LGBT tenants, who are historically within HVNA and part of its founding, and comprise a significant community of interest. Additionally, the traffic patterns north of Market are congested and complicated, and cutting out parts of Haight and Buchanan from D5 makes this more challenging to manage, and impacts all the residents in this sector. We think it is extremely important to keep this entire section north of Market united in D5 under one supervisor.

Arts/Cultural District and new residential buildings east of Van Ness/Civic Center

HVNA's membership already includes some of the new residential buildings, such as 100 and 150 Van Ness and SFCM's Bowes Center. These developments are within our association boundaries, but are not part of D5; they are in D6, which has the biggest growth (+30%). HVNA believes it would be a natural fit for D5 to include these new residences.

Additionally, D5 currently includes major performing arts venues, such as the Jazz Center, the Sidney Goldstein Theater, as well as the San Francisco War Memorial and Performing Arts Center, one of the largest performing arts centers in the US, comprised of the Opera house, Herbst Theater, the SFAC gallery, and Davies Symphony Hall. Extending D5 east of Van Ness to include Bill Graham Auditorium, SFPL, the Asian Art Museum, City Hall, and other smaller cultural entities makes sense, since the majority of the large performance venues are already within D5 and HVNA boundaries. Civic Center CBD already extends into Hayes Valley, to Gough Street. All of these Civic Center institutions and locations have a large impact on HVNA's residential and commercial district, impacting traffic and other aspects of the neighborhood which a single District supervisor could more easily oversee.

The Hub

The Hub is a future community of interest, as residents will comprise dense population in a small congested geographic area, and as a part of the Market/Octavia Plan, it is of particular interest to HVNA. The Hub currently falls into three separate supervisorial districts, which makes it difficult to ensure that this important area gets the supervisorial focus that such major housing developments deserve. District 5 in its current configuration already has two of the large development corners within its boundaries; it makes sense to include the entire Hub in D5. Traffic from development of the HUB will have a major impact on the main arteries of Hayes Valley. Future HUB residents will certainly become part of the Hayes Valley community, enjoying shopping, dining, and cultural events in our neighborhood, so it is of particular interest to HVNA to see it included in its totality within our supervisorial district.

We appreciate all the work the Redistricting Task Force is doing, and we appreciate your considering our views about how this proposed map would impact us in Hayes Valley.

Sincerely,



Jennifer Laska, President
The Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association

cc: Mats Anderson, HVNA Vice President
Barbara Early, HVNA Corresponding Secretary
HVNA Board

Attachments below




Figure 1: Proposed Redistricting Map prepared by the Van Ness Corridor Neighborhood Council




Figure 2: HVNA boundaries




Figure 3: Existing D5 Map (Dept of Elections)



Figure 3A: Existing D5 Closeup around 55 Laguna area

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Jo Anne Kizine](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Please keep the District 6 area south of Market and including Mission Bay and Treasure Island intact
Date: Friday, March 4, 2022 2:46:29 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jo Anne Kizine <joanne.kizine@caritasmanagement.com>

Sent: Friday, March 4, 2022 11:09 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Please keep the District 6 area south of Market and including Mission Bay and Treasure Island intact

Importance: High

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

March 4, 2022

SF Department of Elections
2020 Census Redistricting Task Force

Dear Redistricting Task Force members,

Please keep the District 6 area south of Market and including Mission Bay and Treasure Island intact.

Our South of Market neighborhoods including The East Cut (Rincon, TransBay), South Beach, Yerba Buena, Eastern and Western SoMa, along with Mission Bay and Treasure Island (and Mission Rock and Central SoMa as they are developed) all share common and essential needs and should be viewed together as a Community of Interest unit. Born of light industrial uses, freeway networks, and brown fields, these neighborhoods—our home—are the result of decades of planning. But there is more work to be done for our new neighborhoods to thrive and fully integrate with the neighborhood enclaves that predated these planning efforts – work easier to accomplish together than apart.

We are keenly aware that District 6, by plan, grew and diversified more rapidly over the last decade than any other district--adding the most housing, and most **affordable** housing (30%+in some areas) to the city--and as a result the district must now realign its borders to cede some population to other districts to meet the Redistricting formulas. **We ask that our neighborhoods—those cited in our opening sentence--be kept together.** We share a common urban form and the challenges of not-yet-established San Francisco neighborhoods. Together with the just-emerging City and Port development areas adjacent--Mission Rock and the Central SoMa Plan Area--our core needs are shaped by these common influences.

Our new neighborhoods were built from the ground up (or massively renovated) and require unified attention. All the good planning that went into creating these neighborhoods did not account for some basic and essential needs. We have no local school options in communities where we are building thousands of family housing units. We have limited affordable, neighborhood-serving retail where people across our diverse economic spectrum can shop for groceries, hardware, and other needs. We need to transform streets that were built to give fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, pedestrian-friendly neighborhood streets. We share common health and safety risks being situated adjacent to freeways, the Bay Bridge, and the Port. We lack an adequate network of parks, recreation and open space. And looking ahead, we share challenges related to sea level rise.

Together, we are building socially, culturally and economically diverse neighborhoods. We CAN solve for the common challenges we face, but doing so requires a systemic and cohesive response. The synergies between our emerging neighborhoods are clear and we need to move forward together as a recognized community of interest.

We see Market Street as a natural separation or boundary. The street grid and mix of uses changes markedly between north and south of Market Street. The neighborhoods North of Market are established, with acute and specific issues shaped by their complex cultural history and topography. The neighborhoods South of Market, by contrast, are either mostly industrial PDR areas transitioning to denser growth with a more diverse mix of uses, or--where the bulk of the growth has happened--are the former/current redevelopment areas and planning department areas cited above.

Within this context, **we hope you see the clear synergies and needs for the joint advocacy that our emerging neighborhood residents have pursued for more than a decade ... and need to continue going forward as a clearly defined community of interest.** Working together, we hope to bloom into a network of established neighborhoods firmly rooted in our shared history, but it will take another decade or more to make this happen.

Please keep the District 6 area south of Market and including Mission Bay and Treasure Island intact.

Respectfully,

Jo Anne Kizine

Jo Anne Kizine

Jo Anne Kizine

Jo Anne Kizine

Resident Manager

Rich Sorro Commons

225 King Street

San Francisco, CA 94107

Office: (415) 357-9860

Fax: (415) 357-9856

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Jenefer Hutchins](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Keep SoMa Together
Date: Friday, March 4, 2022 2:46:24 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Jenefer Hutchins <jeneferh@comcast.net>
Sent: Friday, March 4, 2022 10:30 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep SoMa Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I recently moved to the East Cut Neighborhood from another state. I am continually impressed with this socially and economically diverse community which continues to work to strengthen the neighborhood with many programs and activities. But we need schools, affordable groceries, parks and open space.

We can do this if we stay together with our sister districts, Mission Bay, South Beach, Yerba Buena, Central and Western SoMa, and Treasure Island in one district. Our needs and our opportunities are united.

Thank you,
Jenefer Hutchins

Sent from my iPad

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Leo Quilici](#)
Subject: FW: District 6 Redistricting
Date: Friday, March 4, 2022 2:46:17 PM
Attachments: [D6 Redistricting Letter 030422.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Leo Quilici <leoq9@icloud.com>
Sent: Friday, March 4, 2022 10:10 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: District 6 Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please see attached letter regarding preference for D6 redistricting.

Leo Quilici
94107

04 March 2022

SF Department of Elections via email: rdtf@sfgov.org; john.carroll@sfgov.org
2020 Census Redistricting Task Force

Dear Redistricting Task Force members,

Our South of Market neighborhoods including The East Cut (Rincon, TransBay), South Beach, Yerba Buena, Eastern and Western SoMa, along with Mission Bay and Treasure Island (and Mission Rock and Central SoMa as they are developed) all share common and essential needs and should be viewed together as a Community of Interest unit. Born of light industrial uses, freeway networks, and brown fields, these neighborhoods—our home--are the result of decades of planning. But there is more work to be done for our new neighborhoods to thrive and fully integrate with the neighborhood enclaves that predated these planning efforts – work easier to accomplish together than apart.

We are keenly aware that District 6, by plan, grew and diversified more rapidly over the last decade than any other district--adding the most housing, and most **affordable** housing (30%+in some areas) to the city--and as a result the district must now realign its borders to cede some population to other districts to meet the Redistricting formulas. **We ask that our neighborhoods—those cited in our opening sentence--be kept together.** We share a common urban form and the challenges of not-yet-established San Francisco neighborhoods. Together with the just-emerging City and Port development areas adjacent--Mission Rock and the Central SoMa Plan Area--our core needs are shaped by these common influences.

Our new neighborhoods were built from the ground up (or massively renovated) and require unified attention. All the good planning that went into creating these neighborhoods did not account for some basic and essential needs. We have no local school options in communities where we are building thousands of family housing units. We have limited affordable, neighborhood-serving retail where people across our diverse economic spectrum can shop for groceries, hardware, and other needs. We need to transform streets that were built to give fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, pedestrian-friendly neighborhood streets. We share common health and safety risks being situated adjacent to freeways, the Bay Bridge, and the Port. We lack an adequate network of parks, recreation and open space. And looking ahead, we share challenges related to sea level rise.

Together, we are building socially, culturally and economically diverse neighborhoods. We CAN solve for the common challenges we face, but doing so requires a systemic and cohesive response. The synergies between our emerging

neighborhoods are clear and we need to move forward together as a recognized community of interest.

We see Market Street as a natural separation or boundary. The street grid and mix of uses changes markedly between north and south of Market Street. The neighborhoods North of Market are established, with acute and specific issues shaped by their complex cultural history and topography. The neighborhoods South of Market, by contrast, are either mostly industrial PDR areas transitioning to denser growth with a more diverse mix of uses, or--where the bulk of the growth has happened--are the former/current redevelopment areas and planning department areas cited above.

Within this context, **we hope you see the clear synergies and needs for the joint advocacy that our emerging neighborhood residents have pursued for more than a decade ... and need to continue going forward as a clearly defined community of interest.** Working together, we hope to bloom into a network of established neighborhoods firmly rooted in our shared history, but it will take another decade or more to make this happen.

Please keep the District 6 area south of Market and including Mission Bay and Treasure Island intact.

Respectfully,

Leo Quilici

94107

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); dianataylor50@gmail.com
Cc: carolannrogers@prodigy.net; brunokanter@gmail.com; Stan Landfair; "Janet Crane"; Betty Louie; "Justin Hoover"; Robbie Silver; Madeleine.ggta@gmail.com; pietrojbonanno@italiancs.com; "Velzo, Michael"; "Robyn Tucker"; "Kathleen Courtney"
Subject: FW: District 3 (D3) United Neighborhoods Plan & Map
Date: Thursday, March 3, 2022 2:32:24 PM
Attachments: [D3 United Neighborhoods Plan and Map 3Mar2022 to RTF.pdf](#)
[D3 United Neighborhoods Map submitted with COI 3March2022.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: dianataylor50@gmail.com <dianataylor50@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, March 3, 2022 2:15 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>

Cc: carolannrogers@prodigy.net; brunokanter@gmail.com; Stan Landfair <stanleylandfair3@gmail.com>; 'Janet Crane' <jcrane@f-sc.com>; Betty Louie <bjlouie@att.net>; 'Justin Hoover' <justin.hoover@chsa.org>; Robbie Silver <rsilver@downtownsf.org>; Madeleine.ggta@gmail.com; pietrojbonanno@italiancs.com; 'Velzo, Michael' <mvelzo@jsfin.com>; 'Robyn Tucker' <venturesv@icloud.com>; 'Kathleen Courtney' <kcourtney@xdm.com>
Subject: District 3 (D3) United Neighborhoods Plan & Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Redistricting Task Force,

On behalf of 13 District 3 organizations, I submit the D3 United Neighborhoods Plan and Map which is attached to this email.

We will also be submitting this plan and map on the Community of Interest (COI) online form.

Respectfully,
Diana Taylor

Diana Taylor
President, Barbary Coast Neighborhood Association
640 Davis St., Unit 13
San Francisco, CA 94111
(415) 517.6926
Email: dianataylor50@gmail.com
<http://www.bcnasf.org/>

March 3, 2022

TO: The SF Redistricting Task Force (RDTF@SFGOV.ORG)

RE: **District 3 United Neighborhoods Proposed Plan & Map**

We, the undersigned neighborhood organizations, are writing to express our support for the redistricting plan shown below for District 3.¹ This plan adjusts District 3's boundaries by simply extending the existing western boundary of Van Ness northward all the way to the Bay. This approach incorporates the missing "notch" bounded by Van Ness, Union St, Jones-Columbus-Leavenworth, and the Bay into D3. The remaining boundaries of D3 are unchanged.

D3 United Neighborhoods Plan: Proposed Map & Boundaries:



Figure 1: Boundaries Van Ness (west), Bay (north & east), Mission, Steuart, Market to Cyril Magnin St, etc. (south, same as current D3)

This plan is similar to the one previously submitted by the Barbary Coast Neighborhood Association, North Beach Neighbors, Russian Hill Neighbors and other signatory organizations. **It meets the Task Force's redistricting criteria while offering significant benefits, which include:**

- Uniting the Russian Hill neighborhood, which is currently split between D3 and D2. The Russian Hill Neighbors sent a letter to the Task Force on February 11 requesting a plan that unifies their neighborhood and combines it with their peer neighborhoods in District 3.
- Maintaining the integrity of existing neighborhoods (e.g., North Beach, Chinatown, Telegraph Hill, Russian Hill, Nob Hill, Polk Street, and Barbary Coast). This proposal does not create new splits in any neighborhood nor any new divisions in D3 racial/ethnic populations under the city charter.
- Meeting the district population requirement within 1% of "ideal." The population of D3 with the current boundaries is 72,474 or 8.9% below the ideal number of 79,545. By extending the northwestern boundary to Van Ness, D3's population would be 78,908 a mere 0.8% below the ideal.

¹ Other District 3 organizations have expressed agreement in-concept with the D3 United Neighborhoods Plan & Map but could not meet our submission deadline.

- Continuing a tradition of diverse people within communities of interest—primarily mixed Asian and non-Hispanic White population. District 3’s demographics mirror San Francisco’s mixed minority-majority ethnicity with fewer than half of the population non-Hispanic Whites (39.8%) and more than one-third Asians (34.9%) according to a 2022 population [study](#). According to the 2019 ACS maps of SF, while the majority of D3 residents speak English, more than 90% also speak an Asian or Pacific Islander language in their home.
- Connecting Ghirardelli Square, the Cannery, and Aquatic Park with other D3 waterfront and tourist attractions (Fisherman’s Wharf, Pier 39, Exploratorium, North Beach, Ferry Building, Alcatraz Tours, Coit Tower, Chinatown, and Union Square). At the same time, it maintains commercial corridors of small and neighborhood serving businesses (North Beach, Polk Street, Jackson Square, Chinatown).
- Featuring a high concentration of dense housing and a close working relationship with the Central Police Station.
- Reflecting communities of interest by maintaining solidarity among neighborhood groups having a long, storied history of collaboration, cooperation, and community with their fellow neighborhood and merchant organizations.

In summary, this proposed plan meets the redistricting requirements of population equity, racial/ethnic diversity, and the integrity of existing communities of interest. It is simple and logical, taking advantage of the natural boundary provided by Van Ness Avenue. Most importantly, it aligns with wishes of various neighborhood associations having a long history in District 3.

Respectfully submitted (alphabetical by organization),

Diana Taylor, President
Barbary Coast Neighborhood Association
(BCNA LETTER & MAP to RTF 1/28/22)

Betty Louie, Board Advisor
Chinatown Merchants Association

Justin Charles Hoover, Executive Director
Chinese Historical Society of America

Robbie Silver, Executive Director
Downtown San Francisco

Madeleine Trembley, President
Gateway Tenants Association

Pietro Bonanno
Italian Community Services

Michael Velzo, President
Jackson Square Merchants Association

Janet Crane, Board Chair
Next Village

Stan Landfair, President
Nob Hill Association
(NHA LETTER submitted to RTF 2/16/22)

Bruno Kanter, President
North Beach Neighbors
(NBN LETTER submitted to RTF 1/29/22)

Robyn Tucker & Betsy Brill, Co-Chairs
Pacific Avenue Neighborhood Association
(PANA LETTER submitted to RTF 2/14/22)

Kathleen Courtney, President
Russian Hill Community Association

Carol Ann Rogers, President
Russian Hill Neighbors
(RHN LETTER submitted to RTF 2/11/22)

cc: Supervisor Peskin (Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org)

MAP SUBMITTED BY THE D3 NEIGHBORHOODS UNITED with COI Submission to RTF*



***The D3 Neighborhoods United proposal includes the following boundaries for District 3:**

- Western Boundary: Van Ness Avenue from the Bay south to Post or Cedar streets.
- Northern and Eastern Boundary: The Bay
- Southern Boundary: the existing boundary for the current District 3 (From the Embarcadero along Mission-Steuart-Market-Cyril Magnin-then zigzagging to Cedar-Van Ness).

This map meets the RTF criteria for population density which is within 1% of the ideal district population.

***A partial list of organizations supporting this map include:**

Barbary Coast Neighbors, Chinatown Merchants, Chinese Historical Society, Downtown San Francisco, Gateway Tenants Association, Golden Gateway Commons HOA, Italian Community Services, Jackson Square Merchants Association, Next Village, Nob Hill Association, North Beach Neighbors, Pacific Avenue Neighborhood Association, Russian Hill Community Association, Russian Hill Neighbors.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: District Boundary Comparisons
Date: Wednesday, March 2, 2022 3:46:09 PM

Thank you, Seth Neill.

Forwarding this information to RTF on BCC, for everyone's information.

From: Seth Neill Q2 <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 2, 2022 3:43 PM
To: Lee, Jeremy (REG - Contractor) <jeremy.lee1@sfgov.org>; Reiner, Ditka (REG - Contractor) <ditka.reiner@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>; Castillon, Matthew (REG - Contractor) <matthew.castillon@sfgov.org>; Lee, Chasel (REG - Contractor) <chasel.lee@sfgov.org>; jaime clark <jaimeclark.q2@gmail.com>; Karin Mac Donald <karinmacdonald.q2@gmail.com>
Subject: District Boundary Comparisons

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Member Jeremy Lee,

In the 2/26 Task Force meeting you asked for comparison maps between the 1995, 2002, and 2012 Supervisorial District lines, specifically asking about areas that have consistently stayed within each district. And as directed by Vice Chair Reiner, we've looked into your request to determine whether we could fulfill it. Fortunately, past Task Forces and the Department of Elections have prepared materials that already show these boundary changes well, including cross decade comparisons.

On the [2000 Census: Redistricting Task Force](#) page you can find a page with [district maps](#), but I think the most relevant map for your purposes is the [Comparison of New and Previous District Map \(image\)](#).

The [2010 Census: Redistricting Task Force](#) page has an [overview map of the 2012 lines](#) with a lot of street detail, but the [2012 Redistricting Task Force Final Report](#) actually has very good comparison maps comparing the 2012 and 2002 lines, on pages 21-31. These show the individual changes in detail.

Using the district by district comparison maps from 2012 in conjunction with the overview map from 2002 gives a clear view into which areas have moved along the boundaries of the districts over the last three decades. I hope this is useful, and provides the information and visualizations you were looking for.

Thanks,
Seth Neill

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Jeaneen O'Donnell](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: 2020 Census Redistricting Task Force
Date: Wednesday, March 2, 2022 3:39:05 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jeaneen O'Donnell <jeaneestanleyodonnell@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, March 2, 2022 3:24 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>

Subject: 2020 Census Redistricting Task Force

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

Dear Redistricting Task Force members,

Our South of Market neighborhoods including The East Cut (Rincon, TransBay), South Beach, Yerba Buena, Eastern and Western SoMa, along with Mission Bay and Treasure Island (and Mission Rock and Central SoMa as they are developed) all share common and essential needs and should be viewed together as a Community of Interest unit. Born of light industrial uses, freeway networks, and brown fields, these neighborhoods—our home--are the result of decades of planning. But there is more work to be done for our new neighborhoods to thrive and fully integrate with the neighborhood enclaves that predated these planning efforts – work easier to accomplish together than apart.

We are keenly aware that District 6, by plan, grew and diversified more rapidly over the last decade than any other district--adding the most housing, and most **affordable** housing (30%+in some areas) to the city--and as a result the district must now realign its borders to cede some population to other districts to meet the Redistricting formulas. **We ask that our neighborhoods—those cited in our opening sentence--be kept together.** We share a common urban form and the challenges of not-yet-established San Francisco neighborhoods. Together with the just-emerging City and Port development areas adjacent--Mission Rock and the Central SoMa Plan Area--our core needs are shaped by these common influences.

Our new neighborhoods were built from the ground up (or massively renovated) and require unified attention. All the good planning that went into creating these neighborhoods did not account for some basic and essential needs. We have no local school options in communities where we are building thousands of family housing units. We have limited affordable, neighborhood-serving retail where people across our diverse economic spectrum can shop for groceries, hardware, and other needs. We need to transform streets that were built to give fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, pedestrian-friendly neighborhood streets. We share common health and safety risks being situated adjacent to freeways, the Bay Bridge, and the Port. We lack an adequate network of parks, recreation and open space. And looking ahead, we share challenges related to sea level rise.

Together, we are building socially, culturally and economically diverse neighborhoods. We CAN solve for the common challenges we face, but doing so requires a systemic and cohesive response. The synergies between our emerging neighborhoods are clear and we need to move forward together as a recognized community of interest.

We see Market Street as a natural separation or boundary. The street grid and mix of uses changes markedly between north and south of Market Street. The neighborhoods North of Market are established, with acute and specific issues shaped by their complex cultural history and topography. The neighborhoods South of Market, by contrast, are either mostly industrial PDR areas transitioning to denser growth with a more diverse mix of uses, or--where the bulk of the growth has happened--are the former/current redevelopment areas and planning department areas cited above.

Within this context, **we hope you see the clear synergies and needs for the joint advocacy that our emerging neighborhood residents have pursued for more than a decade ... and need to continue going forward as a clearly defined community of interest.** Working together, we hope to bloom into a network of established neighborhoods firmly rooted in our shared history, but it will take another decade or more to make this happen.

Please keep the District 6 area south of Market and including Mission Bay and Treasure Island intact.

Respectfully,

Jeaneen Stanley O'Donnell

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Stanley Landfair](#)
Cc: [dianataylor50@gmail.com](#); "Moe Jamil"; [Allan Casalou](#); [Roberta Economidis](#)
Subject: FW: NHA Letter on Redistricting
Date: Wednesday, March 2, 2022 3:38:50 PM
Attachments: [NHA Letter on Redistricting.pdf](#)
[NHA Redistricting Transmittal Letter.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Stanley Landfair <stanleylandfair3@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, March 2, 2022 1:26 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Cc: dianataylor50@gmail.com; 'Moe Jamil' <moejamil@gmail.com>; Allan Casalou

<acasalou@freemason.org>; Roberta Economidis <Reconomidis@yahoo.com>

Subject: FW: NHA Letter on Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the ReDistricting Task Force:

The attached letters to the Task Force are submitted on behalf of the Nob Hill Association.

Please note that our Association supports and hereby adopts the position of the Barbary Coast Neighborhood Association, submitted by Diana Taylor, its President.

Thank you for considering our position, as well.

Stan Landfair, President
Nob Hill Association

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Dianne Oki](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting
Date: Wednesday, March 2, 2022 3:38:23 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Dianne Oki <dco1000@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Wednesday, March 2, 2022 1:08 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force Members:

I live in South Beach and my area, along with Rincon/East Cut and Mission Bay have worked together to try and solve common problems. I would ask that these three areas be kept together as part of one district. The natural dividing line should be Market Street when you are considering revising district lines. We should be part of a south of Market district.

Thank you for your work and consideration.

Dianne Oki
200 Brannan Street #507
San Francisco, CA 94107

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Ken Craig](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: 2020 Census Redistricting Task Force
Date: Wednesday, March 2, 2022 3:38:15 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Ken Craig <kenraigca@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 2, 2022 12:23 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: RE: 2020 Census Redistricting Task Force

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

Dear Redistricting Task Force members,

Our South of Market neighborhoods including The East Cut (Rincon, TransBay), South Beach, Yerba Buena, Eastern and Western SoMa, along with Mission Bay and Treasure Island (and Mission Rock and Central SoMa as they are developed) all share common and essential needs and should be viewed together as a Community of Interest unit. Born of light industrial uses, freeway networks, and brown fields, these neighborhoods—our home—are the result of decades of planning. But there is more work to be done for our new neighborhoods to thrive and fully integrate with the neighborhood enclaves that predated these planning efforts – work easier to accomplish together than apart.

We are keenly aware that District 6, by plan, grew and diversified more rapidly over the last decade than any other district--adding the most housing, and most **affordable** housing (30%+in some areas) to the city--and as a result the district must now realign its borders to cede some population to other districts to meet the Redistricting formulas. **We ask that our neighborhoods—those cited in our opening sentence--be kept together.** We share a common urban form and the challenges of not-yet-established San Francisco neighborhoods. Together with the just-emerging City and Port development areas adjacent--Mission Rock and the Central SoMa Plan Area--our core needs are shaped by these common influences.

Our new neighborhoods were built from the ground up (or massively renovated) and require unified attention. All the good planning that went into creating these neighborhoods did not account for some basic and essential needs. We have no local school options in communities where we are building thousands of family housing units. We have limited affordable, neighborhood-serving retail where people across our diverse economic spectrum can shop for groceries, hardware, and other needs. We need to transform streets that were built to give fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, pedestrian-friendly neighborhood streets. We share common health and safety risks being situated adjacent to freeways, the Bay Bridge, and the Port. We lack an adequate network of parks, recreation and open space. And looking ahead, we share challenges related to sea level rise.

Together, we are building socially, culturally and economically diverse neighborhoods. We CAN solve for the common challenges we face, but

doing so requires a systemic and cohesive response. The synergies between our emerging neighborhoods are clear and we need to move forward together as a recognized community of interest.

We see Market Street as a natural separation or boundary. The street grid and mix of uses changes markedly between north and south of Market Street. The neighborhoods North of Market are established, with acute and specific issues shaped by their complex cultural history and topography. The neighborhoods South of Market, by contrast, are either mostly industrial PDR areas transitioning to denser growth with a more diverse mix of uses, or--where the bulk of the growth has happened--are the former/current redevelopment areas and planning department areas cited above.

Within this context, **we hope you see the clear synergies and needs for the joint advocacy that our emerging neighborhood residents have pursued for more than a decade ... and need to continue going forward as a clearly defined community of interest.** Working together, we hope to bloom into a network of established neighborhoods firmly rooted in our shared history, but it will take another decade or more to make this happen.

Please keep the District 6 area south of Market and including Mission Bay and Treasure Island intact.

Respectfully,

Ken Craig

333 Beale Street,

San Francisco, CA 94105

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); tesw@aol.com
Subject: FW: Letter supporting Openhouse and Alchemy rentals to D5 from D8
Date: Wednesday, March 2, 2022 11:32:04 AM
Attachments: [Robin Levitt supporting Openhouse to D5.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: tesw@aol.com <tesw@aol.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 2, 2022 9:47 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: contact@castrogbtq.org
Subject: Re: Letter supporting Openhouse and Alchemy rentals to D5 from D8

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Letter attached.

Please consider this letter concerning the southeast boundary of D5, two blocks bounded by Laguna, Haight, Buchanan, and Hermann Streets. Mr. Levitt supports the inclusion of these two blocks into D5 from D8.

Thanks,
Tess Welborn

Robin F. Levitt, Architect
225 Lily Street
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 722-3038
rflevitt@prodigy.net

To: Redistricting Task Force
Re: District 5 Boundaries
Date: 1 March 2022

To Whom it May Concern,

I have lived in Hayes Valley for over 30 years, some of that time as a District 6 resident when the neighborhood was divided between 3 Supervisorial districts (D5, D6 & D8) and over the past 10 years in District 5 when at least the boundary between D5 & D6 that had split the neighborhood in half was moved east from Laguna Street at the western end of my block, to Van Ness Avenue. Uniting most of my neighborhood into District 5 at that time made a huge positive difference since we no longer had to contact, interact, lobby and coordinate with 3 different Supervisors on issues that affected the neighborhood.

Still when the district lines were redrawn at that time, it never made sense to me why my neighbors a block and a half away in Alchemy, the former UC Extension site, that also includes a community garden where I garden as well as the Haight Street Arts Center where we have community meetings, are in D8 rather than D5. Likewise, it never made sense to me why the block just down the street from me bounded by Waller, Octavia, Laguna, and Market where the LGBT Center is located, was also carved from our district, and included in D8.

It's my understanding the reasoning at the time was that symbolically the LGBTQ and the Gay Senior Housing sites should be part of a Castro LGBTQ Cultural Community District, which was located in District 8. Unfortunately, the establishment of that Cultural District failed to recognize that Hayes Valley, often referred to as the "poor man's Castro", was and remains home to a sizable, established "Gay" community, of which I am part. Historically, my street, Lily Street, hosted the annual Easter Lily Parade Street Festival, which was one of the City's premier Gay events at the time. Marlens on Hayes Street was an extremely popular and established drag bar. Other gay venues could be found throughout Hayes Valley.

I'd also like to point out that many of us in the Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association were involved for years, spending a countless amount of time with the planning of the development of the UC Extension Site. And many of us worked with the late Marcy Adelman to realize her dream for Gay Senior housing in the neighborhood, that was originally planned for the former freeway parcels bounded by Oak, Fell, Laguna and Octavia, but eventually found a home next to Alchemy on the former UC site.


With regard to the LGBTQ Center, Hayes Valley is historically and culturally intertwined with it. Hayes Valley residents participated in the planning and establishment of the center. Personally, I was a member of a team of fellow architects that was one of four finalist teams chosen in a competition to design the LGBTQ Center. Much of the Market/Octavia Planning process and countless Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association meetings and gatherings have taken place in the Center. When we finally won the series of ballot initiatives in the late 90's to tear down the Central Freeway, the community celebrated in the LGBTQ Center.

One other consideration with regard to both the LGBTQ Center and the former UC Extension sites, is that both are within Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association boundaries as well as the Market/Octavia Better Neighborhoods Plan boundaries, most of which is in District 5. Those sites are an integral part of our neighborhood. We share common interests and face common issues. Keeping them severed from our district makes things unnecessarily complicated when trying to address issues if more than one Supervisor needs to be involved.

Therefore, for all of the reasons mentioned, I strongly urge that the LGBTQ Center, Alchemy, and adjacent properties on the north side of Market Street be merged with the rest of the neighborhood within the redrawn District 5 boundaries.

Thank you very much for your attention and for all your work.

Sincerely,



Robin F. Levitt, Architect

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Christopher Bowman](#)
Cc: [Charles Head](#); gswooding@gmail.com; [Richard Frisbie](#); [Claire Zvanski](#)
Subject: FW: Concentrations of Foreign-Born Registered Voters in District 5
Date: Wednesday, March 2, 2022 11:31:41 AM
Attachments: [Concentrations of Foreign-Born Registered Voters in District 5.docx](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Christopher Bowman <chrislbowman@sbcglobal.net>

Sent: Wednesday, March 2, 2022 9:11 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>; Arntz, John (REG) <john.arntz@sfgov.org>

Cc: Charles Head <charlesnhead@hotmail.com>; gswooding@gmail.com; Richard Frisbie <frfbeagle@gmail.com>; Claire Zvanski <czvanski@gmail.com>

Subject: Re: Concentrations of Foreign-Born Registered Voters in District 5

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

TO: Rev, Arnold Townsend, Chair, and Members,
Redistricting Task Force

Dear Chair Townsend and Members:

Attached please see my findings on the concentration of foreign-born registered voters in District 5. The report focuses on the twelve largest nationalities within the district by neighborhoods and by precincts.

Hopefully, these data will assist the Task Force and Q2 in defining the boundaries of nationalities within District 5 and ensuring that they be kept together and not divided between districts.

My plan is to prepare similar reports for Districts 3, and 11 and environs, in the upcoming week.

Hope this helps.

Sincerely,

Christopher L. Bowman

1 attachment as

Concentrations of Foreign-Born Registered Voters in District 5 by Neighborhoods and by Precincts
Sources: San Francisco Department of Elections, Precinct Registration by Language, and MVF Birth Place Only, 12/06/22
Compiled and Organized by Christopher L. Bowman, 03/02/22

At the Redistricting Task Force's District 6 hearing on January 14, 2022, Task Force members expressed their frustration with Q² that they didn't have anything more definitive in the way of ethnicity data than the broad racial categories provided by the U.S. Census Bureau for the adjusted 2020 Decennial Census and the Bureau's 2015-2019 ACS estimate of Citizen Voting Age Populations for racial categories. They rightly stated that "Asians" covered a wide spectrum of nationalities ranging from Pakistani and East Indian, to Indonesian and Filipino, Vietnamese, Chinese, Korean, and Japanese, who have major cultural and religious differences and do not necessarily vote as a voting bloc.

The problem wasn't with Q², rather it was due to the failure of the Census Bureau to release its 100% Summary Files #1 and #2 which would identify the precise number of residents by national origin down to the Census Tract level, as they did in 2011, and after previous censuses.

In response, I wrote Director Arntz to see if he could provide me with Excel Sheets showing the total number of foreign-born registered voters in District 6 and in selective supervisorial districts elsewhere in the City by country or territory of birth. Within 24 hours he sent me the first set of files and then Shirley Yu per my request reformatted the files by precinct and country of origin alphabetically.

The data that I developed and will share with you below, was quite instructive, and I prepared color coded maps showing the percentage of the registered voters by precinct of specific nationalities for Asian, Latin American and Middle Eastern voters, which informed my line drawing.

I discussed the data and my methodology with Karin MacDonald the other day and she rightly cautioned me about the limitations of the data I am sharing with you.

The data do not capture the 10%-20% of foreign-born voters who didn't indicate their place of birth on their voter registration forms, nor does it include foreign-born naturalized citizens who are not registered to vote, non-citizens who are not registered to vote, and second and third generation Americans who identified as Asian, Hispanic, etc. with the U.S. Census Bureau, but are not so indicated on the Master Voter File, thus even though the percentage of Asian CVAP and Hispanics CVAP in District 5 is estimated to be 20.17% and 8.53%, respectively, the foreign-born Asians and Hispanics as a percentage of the 50,436 registered voters of District 5 are 7.04% Asian and 1.95% Hispanic. North African and Near Eastern Arabs make up another 0.50%; Russians, Ukrainians, Belarusians, and Moldovans 1.37%; Ethiopians and Eritreans 0.89; and the rest, mostly other Europeans, Sub-Saharan Africans, and Caribbeans constitute 4.36%% of the registered voters of District 6. In toto, minimally 16.11% of the registered voters of District 6 are foreign-born.

By nationality, the twelve largest foreign-born nationalities in the district are 1) Chinese, 1,511 (3.00%); 2) Filipino, 554 (1.10%); 3) Korean, 502 (1.00%); 4) Ethiopian 374 (0.74%), 5) Russian, 374 (0.67%). 6) Ukrainian, 307 (0.61%). 7) East Indian, 275 (0.55%). 8) Vietnamese, 268 (0.53%), 9) Mexican, 247 (0.49%), 10) Japanese, 173 (0.34%), 11) Salvadoran, 129 (0.26%), and Nicaraguan, 83 (0.16%).

Please see the matrix below for the detailed concentration of foreign-born registered voters when they constitute more than 1% of the registered voters of a particular precinct. The precincts are listed numerically and grouped together by neighborhood.

Hope this helps.

Sincerely,

Christopher L. Bowman

I matrix below as

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Peggy Wynne](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting District 6
Date: Wednesday, March 2, 2022 11:31:10 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Peggy Wynne <peggywynne@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 2, 2022 2:54 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting District 6

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

March 2, 2022

SF Department of Elections via email: rdtf@sfgov.org;
john.carroll@sfgov.org
2020 Census Redistricting Task Force

Dear Redistricting Task Force members,

Our South of Market neighborhoods including The East Cut (Rincon, TransBay), South Beach, Yerba Buena, Eastern and Western SoMa, along with Mission Bay and Treasure Island (and Mission Rock and Central SoMa as they are developed) all share common and essential needs and should be viewed together as a Community of Interest unit.

Born of light industrial uses, freeway networks, and brown fields, these neighborhoods—our home—are the result of decades of planning. But there is more work to be done for our new neighborhoods to thrive and fully integrate with the neighborhood enclaves that predated these planning efforts – work easier to accomplish together than apart.

We are keenly aware that District 6, by plan, grew and diversified more rapidly over the last decade than any other district--adding the most housing, and most **affordable** housing (30%+in some areas) to the city--and as a result the district must now realign its borders to cede some population to other districts to meet the Redistricting formulas. **We ask that our neighborhoods—those cited in our opening sentence--be kept together.** We share a common urban form and the challenges of not-yet-established San Francisco neighborhoods. Together with the just-emerging City and Port development areas adjacent--Mission Rock and the Central SoMa Plan Area--our core needs are shaped by these common influences.

Our new neighborhoods were built from the ground up (or massively renovated) and require unified attention. All the good planning that went into creating these neighborhoods did not account for some basic and essential needs. We have no local school options in communities where we are building thousands of family housing units. We have limited affordable, neighborhood-serving retail where people across our diverse economic spectrum can shop for groceries, hardware, and other needs. We need to transform streets that were built to give fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, pedestrian-friendly neighborhood streets. We share common health and safety risks being situated adjacent to freeways, the Bay Bridge, and the Port. We lack an adequate network of parks, recreation and open space. And looking ahead, we share challenges related to sea level rise.

Together, we are building socially, culturally and economically diverse neighborhoods. We CAN solve for the common challenges we face, but doing so requires a systemic and cohesive response. The synergies between our emerging neighborhoods are clear and we need to move forward together as a recognized community of interest.

We see Market Street as a natural separation or boundary. The street grid and mix of uses changes markedly between north and south of Market Street. The

neighborhoods North of Market are established, with acute and specific issues shaped by their complex cultural history and topography. The neighborhoods South of Market, by contrast, are either mostly industrial PDR areas transitioning to denser growth with a more diverse mix of uses, or--where the bulk of the growth has happened--are the former/current redevelopment areas and planning department areas cited above.

Within this context, **we hope you see the clear synergies and needs for the joint advocacy that our emerging neighborhood residents have pursued for more than a decade ... and need to continue going forward as a clearly defined community of interest.** Working together, we hope to bloom into a network of established neighborhoods firmly rooted in our shared history, but it will take another decade or more to make this happen.

Please keep the District 6 area south of Market and including Mission Bay and Treasure Island intact.

Respectfully,

Peggy J. Wynne

229 Brannan St., Unit 9G

SF, CA. 94107

415.385.2127

peggywynne@gmail.com

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Lee Shili](#)
Cc: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: 2020 Census Redistricting
Date: Wednesday, March 2, 2022 11:31:04 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Lee Shili <shililee@hotmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 1, 2022 11:59 PM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Cc: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: 2020 Census Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

1st March 2022

SF Department of Elections via
email: rdtf@sfgov.org; john.carroll@sfgov.org

2020 Census Redistricting Task Force

Dear Redistricting Task Force members,

Our South of Market neighborhoods including The East Cut (Rincon, TransBay), South Beach, Yerba Buena, Eastern and Western SoMa, along with Mission Bay and Treasure Island (and Mission Rock and Central SoMa as they are developed) all share common and essential needs and should be viewed together as a Community of Interest unit.

Born of light industrial uses, freeway networks, and brown fields, these neighborhoods—our home—are the result of decades of planning. But there is more work to be done for our new neighborhoods to thrive and fully integrate with the neighborhood enclaves that predated these planning efforts – work easier to accomplish together than apart.

We are keenly aware that District 6, by plan, grew and diversified more rapidly over the last decade than any other district--adding the most housing, and most **affordable** housing (30%+in some areas) to the city--and as a result the district must now realign its borders to cede some population to other districts to meet the Redistricting formulas. **We ask that our neighborhoods—those cited in our opening sentence--be kept together.**

We share a common urban form and the challenges of not-yet-established San Francisco neighborhoods. Together with the just-emerging City and Port development areas adjacent--Mission Rock and the Central SoMa Plan Area--our core needs are shaped by these common influences.

Our new neighborhoods were built from the ground up (or massively renovated) and require unified attention. All the good planning that went into creating these neighborhoods did not account for some basic and essential needs. We have no local school options in communities where we are building thousands of family housing units. We have limited affordable, neighborhood-serving retail where people across our diverse economic spectrum can shop for groceries, hardware, and other needs. We need to transform streets that were built to give fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, pedestrian-friendly neighborhood streets. We share common health and safety risks being situated adjacent to freeways, the

Bay Bridge, and the Port. We lack an adequate network of parks, recreation and open space. And looking ahead, we share challenges related to sea level rise.

Together, we are building socially, culturally and economically diverse neighborhoods. We CAN solve for the common challenges we face, but doing so requires a systemic and cohesive response. The synergies between our emerging neighborhoods are clear and we need to move forward together as a recognized community of interest.

We see Market Street as a natural separation or boundary. The street grid and mix of uses changes markedly between north and south of Market Street. The neighborhoods North of Market are established, with acute and specific issues shaped by their complex cultural history and topography. The neighborhoods South of Market, by contrast, are either mostly industrial PDR areas transitioning to denser growth with a more diverse mix of uses, or--where the bulk of the growth has happened--are the former/current redevelopment areas and planning department areas cited above.

Within this context, **we hope you see the clear synergies and needs for the joint advocacy that our emerging neighborhood residents have pursued for more than a decade ... and need to continue going forward as a clearly defined community of interest.** Working together, we hope to bloom into a network of established neighborhoods firmly rooted in our shared history, but it will take another decade or more to make this happen.

Please keep the District 6 area south of Market and including Mission Bay and Treasure Island intact.

Respectfully,
Shili Lee

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Charles Rathbone](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: sbrmbna@gmail.com
Subject: RE: D6 Redistricting
Date: Wednesday, March 2, 2022 11:30:58 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Charles Rathbone <charles.rathbone@sonic.net>

Sent: Tuesday, March 1, 2022 9:48 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>

Cc: sbrmbna@gmail.com

Subject: D6 Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force members,

Rincon, TransBay, South Beach, Yerba Buena, Eastern and Western SoMa, Mission Bay, Treasure Island, Mission Rock and Central SoMa all share a common urban form and the challenges of not-yet-established San Francisco neighborhoods. They should be viewed together as a Community of Interest unit.

Please keep the District 6 area south of Market and including Mission Bay and Treasure Island intact.

--

Charles Rathbone
330 Berry Street #206
San Francisco 94158
charles.rathbone@sonic.net

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Neil Barman](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting - District 6 (2020 Census Redistricting Task Force)
Date: Wednesday, March 2, 2022 11:30:52 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Neil Barman <neilbarman@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 1, 2022 9:36 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting - District 6 (2020 Census Redistricting Task Force)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

Dear Redistricting Task Force members,

Our South of Market neighborhoods including The East Cut (Rincon, TransBay), South Beach, Yerba Buena, Eastern and Western SoMa, along with Mission Bay and Treasure Island (and Mission Rock and Central SoMa as they are developed) all share common and essential needs and should be viewed together as a Community of Interest unit. Born of light industrial uses, freeway networks, and brown fields, these neighborhoods—our home—are the result of decades of planning. But there is more work to be done for our new neighborhoods to thrive and fully integrate with the neighborhood enclaves that predated these planning efforts – work easier to accomplish together than apart.

We are keenly aware that District 6, by plan, grew and diversified more rapidly over the last decade than any other district--adding the most housing, and most **affordable** housing (30%+in some areas) to the city--and as a result the district must now realign its borders to cede some population to other districts to meet the Redistricting formulas. **We ask that our neighborhoods—those cited in our opening sentence--be kept together.** We share a common urban form and the challenges of not-yet-established San Francisco neighborhoods. Together with the just-emerging City and Port development areas adjacent--Mission Rock and the Central SoMa Plan Area--our core needs are shaped by these common influences.

Our new neighborhoods were built from the ground up (or massively renovated) and require unified attention. All the good planning that went into creating these neighborhoods did not account for some basic and essential needs. We have no local school options in communities where we are building thousands of family housing units. We have limited affordable, neighborhood-serving retail where people across our diverse economic spectrum can shop for groceries, hardware, and other needs. We need to transform streets that were built to give fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, pedestrian-friendly neighborhood streets. We share common health and safety risks being situated adjacent to freeways, the Bay Bridge, and the Port. We lack an adequate network of parks, recreation and open space. And looking ahead, we share challenges related to sea level rise.

Together, we are building socially, culturally and economically diverse neighborhoods. We CAN solve for the common challenges we face, but doing so requires a systemic and cohesive response. The synergies between our emerging neighborhoods are clear and we need to move forward together as a recognized community of interest.

We see Market Street as a natural separation or boundary. The street grid and mix of uses changes markedly between north and south of Market Street. The neighborhoods North of Market are established, with acute and specific issues shaped by their complex cultural history and topography. The neighborhoods South of Market, by contrast, are either mostly industrial PDR areas transitioning to denser growth with a more diverse mix of uses, or--where the bulk of the growth has happened--are the former/current redevelopment areas and planning department areas cited above.

Within this context, **we hope you see the clear synergies and needs for the joint**

advocacy that our emerging neighborhood residents have pursued for more than a decade ... and need to continue going forward as a clearly defined community of interest. Working together, we hope to bloom into a network of established neighborhoods firmly rooted in our shared history, but it will take another decade or more to make this happen.

Please keep the District 6 area south of Market and including Mission Bay and Treasure Island intact.

Respectfully,

Neil, C. Barman, M.D.

Neil C. Barman, M.D.
neilbarman@gmail.com
mobile: +1.650.248.8387

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Imin Lee](#)
Cc: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: 2020 Census Redistricting
Date: Wednesday, March 2, 2022 11:30:44 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Imin Lee <iminl@hotmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 1, 2022 9:30 PM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Cc: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: 2020 Census Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

1st March 2022

SF Department of Elections via
email: rdtf@sfgov.org; john.carroll@sfgov.org

2020 Census Redistricting Task Force

Dear Redistricting Task Force members,

Our South of Market neighborhoods including The East Cut (Rincon, TransBay), South Beach, Yerba Buena, Eastern and Western SoMa, along with Mission Bay and Treasure Island (and Mission Rock and Central SoMa as they are developed) all share common and essential needs and should be viewed together as a Community of Interest unit.

Born of light industrial uses, freeway networks, and brown fields, these neighborhoods—our home--are the result of decades of planning. But there is more work to be done for our new neighborhoods to thrive and fully integrate with the neighborhood enclaves that predated these planning efforts – work easier to accomplish together than apart.

We are keenly aware that District 6, by plan, grew and diversified more rapidly over the last decade than any other district--adding the most housing, and most **affordable** housing (30%+in some areas) to the city-- and as a result the district must now realign its borders to cede some population to other districts to meet the Redistricting formulas. **We ask that our neighborhoods—those cited in our opening sentence--be kept together.** We share a common urban form and the challenges of not-yet-established San Francisco neighborhoods. Together with the just-emerging City and Port development areas adjacent--Mission Rock and the Central SoMa Plan Area--our core needs are shaped by these common influences.

Our new neighborhoods were built from the ground up (or massively renovated) and require unified attention. All the good planning that went into creating these neighborhoods did not account for some basic and essential needs. We have no local school options in communities where we are building thousands of family housing units. We have limited affordable, neighborhood-serving retail where people across our diverse economic spectrum can shop for groceries, hardware, and other needs. We need to transform streets that were built to give fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, pedestrian-friendly neighborhood streets. We share common health and safety risks being situated adjacent to freeways, the Bay Bridge, and the Port. We lack an adequate network of parks, recreation and open space. And looking ahead, we share challenges related to sea level rise.

Together, we are building socially, culturally and economically diverse neighborhoods. We CAN solve for the common challenges we face, but

doing so requires a systemic and cohesive response. The synergies between our emerging neighborhoods are clear and we need to move forward together as a recognized community of interest.

We see Market Street as a natural separation or boundary. The street grid and mix of uses changes markedly between north and south of Market Street. The neighborhoods North of Market are established, with acute and specific issues shaped by their complex cultural history and topography. The neighborhoods South of Market, by contrast, are either mostly industrial PDR areas transitioning to denser growth with a more diverse mix of uses, or--where the bulk of the growth has happened--are the former/current redevelopment areas and planning department areas cited above.

Within this context, **we hope you see the clear synergies and needs for the joint advocacy that our emerging neighborhood residents have pursued for more than a decade ... and need to continue going forward as a clearly defined community of interest.** Working together, we hope to bloom into a network of established neighborhoods firmly rooted in our shared history, but it will take another decade or more to make this happen.

Please keep the District 6 area south of Market and including Mission Bay and Treasure Island intact.

Respectfully,

Imin Lee

Sent from my iPhone

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Efren Santos-Cucalon](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: sbrmb@gmail.com
Subject: RE: Redistricting
Date: Wednesday, March 2, 2022 11:30:34 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Efren Santos-Cucalon <escucalon@bellsouth.net>

Sent: Tuesday, March 1, 2022 8:01 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>

Cc: sbrmb@gmail.com

Subject: Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

March 1, 2022

SF Department of Elections
via email:
2020 Census Redistricting Task Force

Dear Redistricting Task Force members,

Our South of Market neighborhoods including The East Cut (Rincon, TransBay), South Beach, Yerba Buena, Eastern and Western SoMa, along with Mission Bay and Treasure Island (and Mission Rock and Central SoMa as they are developed) all share common and essential needs and should be viewed together as a Community of Interest unit.

Born of light industrial uses, freeway networks, and brown fields, these neighborhoods—our home—are the result of decades of planning. But there is more work to be done for our new neighborhoods to thrive and fully integrate with the neighborhood enclaves that predated these planning efforts – work easier to accomplish together than apart.

We are keenly aware that District 6, by plan, grew and diversified more rapidly over the last decade than any other district--adding the most housing, and most **affordable** housing (30%+in some areas) to the city--and as a result the district must now realign its borders to cede some population to other districts to meet the Redistricting formulas. **We ask that our neighborhoods—those cited in our opening sentence--be kept together.** We share a common urban form and the challenges of not-yet-established San Francisco neighborhoods. Together with the just-emerging City and Port development areas adjacent--Mission Rock and the Central SoMa Plan Area--our core needs are shaped by these common influences.

Our new neighborhoods were built from the ground up (or massively renovated) and require unified attention. All the good planning that went into creating these neighborhoods did not account for some basic and essential needs. We have no local school options in communities where we are building thousands of family housing units. We have limited affordable, neighborhood-serving retail where people across our diverse economic spectrum can shop for groceries, hardware, and other needs. We need to transform streets that were built to give fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, pedestrian-friendly neighborhood streets. We share common health and safety risks being situated adjacent to freeways, the Bay Bridge, and the Port. We lack an adequate network of parks, recreation and open space. And looking ahead, we share challenges related to sea level rise.

Together, we are building socially, culturally and economically diverse neighborhoods. We CAN solve for the common challenges we face, but doing so requires a systemic and cohesive response. The synergies between our emerging neighborhoods are clear and we need to move forward together as a recognized community of interest.

We see Market Street as a natural separation or boundary. The street grid and mix of uses changes markedly between north and south of Market Street. The neighborhoods North of Market are established, with acute and specific issues shaped by their complex cultural history and topography. The neighborhoods South of Market, by contrast, are either mostly industrial PDR areas transitioning to denser growth with a more diverse mix of uses, or--where the bulk of the growth has happened--are the former/current redevelopment areas and planning department areas cited above.

Within this context, **we hope you see the clear synergies and needs for the joint advocacy that our emerging neighborhood residents have pursued for more than a decade ... and need to continue going forward as a clearly defined community of interest.** Working together, we hope to bloom into a network of established neighborhoods firmly rooted in our shared history, but it will take another decade or more to make this happen.

Please keep the District 6 area south of Market and including Mission Bay and Treasure Island intact.

Respectfully,

Efren Santos-Cucalon

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Helen Han](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Supervisorial District Redrawing Input
Date: Wednesday, March 2, 2022 11:30:28 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Helen Han <hnhan5588@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 1, 2022 7:56 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Supervisorial District Redrawing Input

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force,

I support keeping South Beach, The East Cut, Rincon, and Mission Bay as one district.

Kind Regards,
Helen Han
South Beach resident since 2004

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Diane Amato](#)
Subject: FW: Redistributing Letter
Date: Wednesday, March 2, 2022 11:30:17 AM
Attachments: [03_2022_D6_Redistricting Letter template.docx](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Diane Amato <amato.diane@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 1, 2022 6:02 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; john.carroll@sfgov.com
Subject: Redistributing Letter

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Sent from my iPhone

March 2, 2022

SF Department of Elections via email: rdtf@sfgov.org; john.carroll@sfgov.org
2020 Census Redistricting Task Force

Dear Redistricting Task Force members,

Our South of Market neighborhoods including The East Cut (Rincon, TransBay), South Beach, Yerba Buena, Eastern and Western SoMa, along with Mission Bay and Treasure Island (and Mission Rock and Central SoMa as they are developed) all share common and essential needs and should be viewed together as a Community of Interest unit. Born of light industrial uses, freeway networks, and brown fields, these neighborhoods—our home—are the result of decades of planning. But there is more work to be done for our new neighborhoods to thrive and fully integrate with the neighborhood enclaves that predated these planning efforts – work easier to accomplish together than apart.

We are keenly aware that District 6, by plan, grew and diversified more rapidly over the last decade than any other district--adding the most housing, and most **affordable** housing (30%+in some areas) to the city--and as a result the district must now realign its borders to cede some population to other districts to meet the Redistricting formulas. **We ask that our neighborhoods—those cited in our opening sentence--be kept together.** We share a common urban form and the challenges of not-yet-established San Francisco neighborhoods. Together with the just-emerging City and Port development areas adjacent--Mission Rock and the Central SoMa Plan Area--our core needs are shaped by these common influences.

Our new neighborhoods were built from the ground up (or massively renovated) and require unified attention. All the good planning that went into creating these neighborhoods did not account for some basic and essential needs. We have no local school options in communities where we are building thousands of family housing units. We have limited affordable, neighborhood-serving retail where people across our diverse economic spectrum can shop for groceries, hardware, and other needs. We need to transform streets that were built to give fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, pedestrian-friendly neighborhood streets. We share common health and safety risks being situated adjacent to freeways, the Bay Bridge, and the Port. We lack an adequate network of parks, recreation and open space. And looking ahead, we share challenges related to sea level rise.

Together, we are building socially, culturally and economically diverse neighborhoods. We CAN solve for the common challenges we face, but doing so requires a systemic and cohesive response. The synergies between our emerging

neighborhoods are clear and we need to move forward together as a recognized community of interest.

We see Market Street as a natural separation or boundary. The street grid and mix of uses changes markedly between north and south of Market Street. The neighborhoods North of Market are established, with acute and specific issues shaped by their complex cultural history and topography. The neighborhoods South of Market, by contrast, are either mostly industrial PDR areas transitioning to denser growth with a more diverse mix of uses, or--where the bulk of the growth has happened--are the former/current redevelopment areas and planning department areas cited above.

Within this context, **we hope you see the clear synergies and needs for the joint advocacy that our emerging neighborhood residents have pursued for more than a decade ... and need to continue going forward as a clearly defined community of interest.** Working together, we hope to bloom into a network of established neighborhoods firmly rooted in our shared history, but it will take another decade or more to make this happen.

Please keep the District 6 area south of Market and including Mission Bay and Treasure Island intact.

Respectfully,

Diane Amato

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Tim Wolfred](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Diamond Heights in District 8
Date: Tuesday, March 1, 2022 5:19:06 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Tim Wolfred <timwolfred@aol.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 1, 2022 4:49 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Diamond Heights in District 8

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

I strongly urge the Redistricting Task Force to keep the Diamond Heights neighborhood within District 8. Our community interests in Diamond Heights are closely interwoven with those of Glen Park, Noe Valley and Upper Market. We would be an outlier in District 7, separated by the natural boundaries of Glen Canyon and Twin Peaks from the rest of District 7, Please don't split us off from our neighbors.

Tim Wolfred
37-year resident of Diamond Heights
415-516-0321 cell

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); carlah@phra-sf.org
Subject: FW: Pacific Heights Residents Association, Submission as a COI to the Redistricting Task Force
Date: Tuesday, March 1, 2022 5:18:58 PM
Attachments: [PHRA Boundary Map.png](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: carlah@phra-sf.org <carlah@phra-sf.org>
Sent: Tuesday, March 1, 2022 12:28 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Pacific Heights Residents Association, Submission as a COI to the Redistricting Task Force

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To The Members Of The Task Force:

I am writing on behalf of Pacific Heights Residents Association (PHRA). We have attached a map of our association boundaries, taken from our website <https://phra-sf.org/>. Our longstanding boundaries are Union to Bush, Van Ness to Presidio, with a small cutout along Pacific and Lyon adjacent to the Presidio.

PHRA was founded in 1972 by a group of neighbors dedicated to preserving the unique neighborhood character of Pacific Heights. Our outreach now includes approximately 750 individuals residing or operating businesses within our boundaries. Over the years we have successfully advocated for residents, businesses, and institutions in and near our boundaries. We are recognized by the SF Planning Department. We are clearly an active Community of Interest representative of the residents and businesses in Pacific Heights.

Although Pacific Heights is widely regarded as one neighborhood, it is surprising to even some of our own residents that although primarily in District 2, we are currently split between two districts: D2 and D5. We have maintained strong ties with District 2 Supervisors for many years (working with many different District 2 Supervisors). We routinely participate with the D2 Supervisor's quarterly leadership group with other community organizations. We also work closely with other District 2 neighborhood associations and institutions outside the District 2 Supervisor's forum. It has been much more difficult to maintain similar connections with District 5. We and our issues represent only a small portion of District 5 territory, and we believe this results in underrepresentation of our neighbors who fall in District 5. We would be best and more fairly represented by being united in District 2.

PHRA constituents shop and dine in the commercial districts of Upper Fillmore Street, Union Street, Sacramento Street, California Street, Upper Divisadero, and Laurel Village, all located within District 2. We, our children, and pets recreate in Lafayette Park and Alta Plaza Park, Presidio Heights Playground, the Presidio, and the JCCSF – all in District 2. PHRA maintains a representative on NAPP (Neighborhood Associations for Presidio Planning).

PHRA maintains strong relations with District 2 neighborhood schools, public and private, and we volunteer there and advocate for their support in traffic, safety, and other neighborhood issues. They regularly send representatives to PHRA's annual meetings.

PHRA has a long history of working on behalf of our neighbors on issues involving CPMC Pacific Campus (Buchanan Street) and California Street Campus. We maintain a dialogue with CPMC regarding their plans for use and development for those properties. That longstanding relationship will be additionally useful if the CPMC hospital on Van Ness between Post and Geary is included in District 2 as we recommend.

PHRA is committed and on record supporting significant amounts of new housing within or near our boundaries, and have worked closely with the developers of 3333 California Street, and will do the same for the now-closed CPMC California Street campus.

While much of our outreach was limited to digital efforts during the pandemic, recent undertakings include neighborhood clean-ups around Alta Plaza Park and extending to Bush Street, promoting neighborhood merchants during COVID, monitoring transit and traffic changes on California Street and communicating same to our constituency, and the placement and functionality of bike share

stations throughout District 2.

Pacific Heights Neighborhood Association represents a longstanding Community of Interest. That is why we should be united in District 2. We understand that redistricting is a complicated process, with many varied interests, but we respectfully ask that you give strong consideration to our request to be located entirely in District 2.


Respectfully submitted,

Carla Hashagen
PHRA Vice President



PHRA Boundaries

This map was made with Google My Maps. Create your own.



The Pacific Heights Residents Association is one of the most respected neighborhood associations in San Francisco.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Alice Rogers](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: D6 Community of interest: redevelopment neighborhoods
Date: Monday, February 28, 2022 8:38:40 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Alice Rogers <arcomnsf@pacbell.net>

Sent: Monday, February 28, 2022 7:20 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Cc: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>

Subject: D6 Community of interest: redevelopment neighborhoods

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force Members,

Please keep the District 6 area South of Market and including Mission Bay and Treasure Island intact. This area includes the Community of Interest comprised of these redevelopment and planning areas: The East Cut (Rincon, TransBay), South Beach, Yerba Buena, Eastern and Western SoMa, along with Mission Bay and Treasure Island (and Mission Rock and Central SoMa).

- Market Street is a natural boundary between the long-established neighborhoods on the north, and the newly transitioning mixed use areas to the south.

WHY: Our newly-emerging neighborhoods are transitioning from an industrial past toward socially and economically diverse communities that are working to support light industry, service-sector, technology and biomedical jobs, plus the dense urban housing and neighborhood commercial services needed to sustain the mix.

WHAT SHAPES US:

- Freeways, trucking arterials and brown fields, including their health hazards
- Large scale redevelopment projects requiring neighborhood-building from the ground up
- A working waterfront adapting to changing uses and sea level rise
- Cultural enclaves reflecting the immigrant workforce of the past.

WHAT WE'RE WORKING TOGETHER FOR:

- Local school options for our thousands of family housing units.
- Affordable, neighborhood-serving retail and services where people across our diverse economic spectrum can shop for groceries, hardware, and other needs.
- Transforming streets built for fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, pedestrian-friendly neighborhood streets.
- An adequate network of parks, recreation and open space in a chronically under-served area.
- Addressing common health and safety risks related to adjacent freeways, railways, the Bay Bridge, and the Port.
- Solving challenges related to sea level rise.
- Sharing lessons learned as we support the newer plan areas make their places in the fabric of San Francisco.

In my own experience, working as an officer of our neighborhood association, I've found it invaluable to be able to seek support from my (one) supervisor, or from City agencies, when working on the primarily infrastructure issues that confront our neighborhoods. Following are just a sampling of issues that have involved several redevelopment areas:

- 8 years and still working on the Mission Bay Elementary School
- A decade-plus working to manage the impacts of Giants' games on adjacent neighborhoods, including congestion, trash and other fan behaviors.
- Learning from the Giants' impacts we (the SB|R|MB NA) played a significant role in shaping

the Development Agreement for the Chase Arena, establishing lockbox money to help manage impacts.

- With my supervisor as the convening agent, working with the TJPA, The East Cut CBD and reps from a full array of City departments to work out a plan to manage the transient during the night hours once the Salesforce Park was open. No comprehensive plan had been in place.
- As an individual, getting a traffic signal installed at the Sterling on-ramp to the Bay Bridge, as the result of an agreement brokered by my supervisor with a developer of an adjacent structure.

You can see, as redevelopment neighborhoods, we need to tackle LARGE infrastructure problems. Please help us continue to band together to do this for the coming decade.

Sincerely,

Alice Rogers
10 South Park

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); lbliederman@gmail.com
Subject: FW: Inner Sunset - Community of Interest (D-5)
Date: Monday, February 28, 2022 8:38:33 PM
Attachments: [Inner Sunset-D-5 Community of Interest.docx](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Lori Liederman <lbliederman@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, February 28, 2022 6:56 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Inner Sunset - Community of Interest (D-5)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Inner Sunset Community of Interest with District 5

There are a range of opinions about the borders of the Inner Sunset, but all seem to agree that it is bordered on the north by Golden Gate Park and includes the blocks from Lincoln to Kirkham and from Arguello to 19th Ave. This approximately reflects the area that is currently included in District 5 except that the blocks west of 17th Ave, are now in district 4. Given the need for District 4 to gain population, I support moving the border from 17th Ave, to Funston which serves as a comfortable dividing line due to the two blocks between Lincoln and Judah being occupied by a supermarket, a single large apartment complex, and a full square block of St. Anne's church, school, residential and administrative buildings.

From Funston east and North to Kirkham, should be included in the new District 5 due to the following factors:

Housing/Socio-Economics

Like much of District 5, more so than adjacent Districts, the Inner Sunset is comprised of a well-balanced blend of homeowners and renters. Numerous large and small apartment buildings share the neighborhood with duplexes and single-family homes. This is in contrast to the neighboring District 7 (to the south), which is overwhelmingly single-family homes.

Like much of D-5, most of the non-ownership housing stock here, is price controlled, falling either under rent-control or BMR protections. Preserving price controlled housing stock is vital to protecting long-time residents and preserving housing suitable and affordable to the wide range of working people and families residing here. For many residents of the Inner Sunset along with the rest of D-5 this is a deeply felt necessity. These are our communities.

Geography

While on a flat map, it may appear that the Inner Sunset is an artificially isolated strip of land, in fact it is geographically determined by steep hills to the south. It is a nearly flat area with very modest slopes between Golden Gate Park and Judah Street and one modest hill to Kirkham. It is only south of Kirkham that the hill steepens and forms a geographic division with District 7 to the south. At Kirkham from Funston to 17th Ave., the hill is so steep, it is only passable by 2 long steep stairways at 15th and 16th Aves. This topographical border to our south logically divides District 7 from District 5, and affirms the natural connection with neighborhoods to the east and west.

Transit and Transportation

MUNI

In large part due to our geography, the Inner Sunset is primarily served by 2 east/west MUNI lines. The N-Judah and 7 Haight/Noriega transport most downtown commuters from the Inner Sunset

along with residents of District 4, the Haight Ashbury and Lower Haight. They are also our connectors with all north/south lines east of Masonic. Residents have a direct community of interest with other D-5 residents in preserving and enhancing service on these shared transit lines. In non-pandemic times sharing a regular commute with the same folks on a daily basis contributes to a sense of community.

CYCLING

As a nearly flat neighborhood, the Inner Sunset has a substantial population who are also reliant on bicycles as an essential mode of transportation. This is a shared community of interest with the rest of D-5. Cyclists commuting downtown from the Inner Sunset, travel through much of D-5 including the Panhandle and the Wiggle on their way to and from work.

Cultural Attractions and Dominant Institutions

GOLDEN GATE PARK

We share a tremendous community of interest with the Upper Haight due to our immediate proximity to Golden Gate Park, and inevitably the impacts of tour buses traveling through our neighborhoods. The cultural and natural attractions of Golden Gate Park, plus the many large annual festivals and events, attract thousands, tens of thousands, of visitors and even hundreds of thousands of visitors to Golden Gate Park. This is both an economic benefit to many of our small businesses in both neighborhoods, and often a congestion nightmare for residents, particularly in the summer months. The eastern end of GG Park also binds the neighborhoods together, serving as our primary shared recreational space. While the park serves the entire City, for those of us lucky enough to live in near proximity, it is a defining feature of our lives in San Francisco, and our shared open space. (Mothers' Playground is the playground for the Inner Sunset).

UCSF

The impacts of UCSF crammed as it is between the neighborhoods of the Haight and the Inner Sunset, have long been a shared challenge for these two District 5 neighborhoods. As the Parnassus Campus now begins a massive rebuild the adjacent D-5 neighborhoods will jointly experience the effects of a 30-year construction project in our immediate Inner Sunset and Haight respective "backyards". Given the University's exemptions from much local governance it is imperative that these two (presently D-5) neighborhoods maintain the connectedness that has enabled us to unite effectively to contend with this massive institution that largely operates without accountability to its home city and immediate neighbors.

Submitted by:

Lori Liederman

1227 10th Avenue

San Francisco, CA. 94122

Inner Sunset Community of Interest With District 5

There are a range of opinions about the borders of the Inner Sunset, but all seem to agree that it is bordered on the north by Golden Gate Park and includes the blocks from Lincoln to Kirkham and from Arguello to 19th Ave. This approximately reflects the area that is currently included in District 5 except that the blocks west of 17th Ave, are now in district 4. Given the need for District 4 to gain population, I support moving the border from 17th Ave, to Funston which serves as a comfortable dividing line due to the two blocks between Lincoln and Judah being occupied by a supermarket, a single large apartment complex, and a full square block of St. Anne's church, school, residential and administrative buildings.

From Funston east and North to Kirkham, should be included in the new District 5 due to the following factors:

Housing/Socio-Economics

Like much of District 5, more so than adjacent Districts, the Inner Sunset is comprised of a well-balanced blend of homeowners and renters. Numerous large and small apartment buildings share the neighborhood with duplexes and single-family homes. This is in contrast to the neighboring District 7 (to the south), which is overwhelmingly single-family homes.

Like much of D-5, most of the non-ownership housing stock here, is price controlled, falling either under rent-control or BMR protections. Preserving price controlled housing stock is vital to protecting long-time residents and preserving housing suitable and affordable to the wide range of working people and families residing here. For many residents of the Inner Sunset along with the rest of D-5 this is a deeply felt necessity. These are our communities.

Geography

While on a flat map, it may appear that the Inner Sunset is an artificially isolated strip of land, in fact it is geographically determined by steep hills to the south. It is a nearly flat area with very modest slopes between Golden Gate Park and Judah Street and one modest hill to Kirkham. It is only south of Kirkham that the hill steepens and forms a geographic division with District 7 to the south. At Kirkham from Funston to 17th Ave., the hill is so steep, it is only passable by 2 long steep stairways at 15th and 16th Aves. This topographical border to our south logically divides District 7 from District 5, and affirms the natural connection with neighborhoods to the east and west.

Transit and Transportation

MUNI

In large part due to our geography, the Inner Sunset is primarily served by 2 east/west MUNI lines. The N-Judah and 7 Haight/Noriega transport most downtown commuters from the Inner Sunset along with residents of District 4, the Haight Ashbury and Lower Haight. They are also our connectors with all north/south lines east of Masonic. Residents have a direct community

of interest with other D-5 residents in preserving and enhancing service on these shared transit lines. In non-pandemic times sharing a regular commute with the same folks on a daily basis contributes to a sense of community.

CYCLING

As a nearly flat neighborhood, the Inner Sunset has a substantial population who are also reliant on bicycles as an essential mode of transportation. This is a shared community of interest with the rest of D-5. Cyclists commuting downtown from the Inner Sunset, travel through much of D-5 including the Panhandle and the Wiggle on their way to and from work.

Cultural Attractions and Dominant Institutions

GOLDEN GATE PARK

We share a tremendous community of interest with the Upper Haight due to our immediate proximity to Golden Gate Park, and inevitably the impacts of tour buses traveling through our neighborhoods. The cultural and natural attractions of Golden Gate Park, plus the many large annual festivals and events, attract thousands, tens of thousands, of visitors and even hundreds of thousands of visitors to Golden Gate Park. This is both an economic benefit to many of our small businesses in both neighborhoods, and often a congestion nightmare for residents, particularly in the summer months. The eastern end of GG Park also binds the neighborhoods together, serving as our primary shared recreational space. While the park serves the entire City, for those of us lucky enough to live in near proximity, it is a defining feature of our lives in San Francisco, and our shared open space. (Mothers' Playground is the playground for the Inner Sunset).

UCSF

The impacts of UCSF crammed as it is between the neighborhoods of the Haight and the Inner Sunset, have long been a shared challenge for these two District 5 neighborhoods. As the Parnassus Campus now begins a massive rebuild the adjacent D-5 neighborhoods will jointly experience the effects of a 30-year construction project in our immediate Inner Sunset and Haight respective "backyards". Given the University's exemptions from much local governance it is imperative that these two (presently D-5) neighborhoods maintain the connectedness that has enabled us to unite effectively to contend with this massive institution that largely operates without accountability to its home city and immediate neighbors.

Submitted by:

Lori Liederman

1227 10th Avenue

San Francisco, CA. 94122

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Inner Sunset Merchants Association](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Susannah Wise](#); [Angie Pettitt-Taylor](#); stevenjonhendrix@gmail.com; [doug](#); [Christian Routzen](#); [Saadi Halil](#); [Shannon De Leon](#)
Subject: RE: Community of Interest: Inner Sunset Merchants
Date: Monday, February 28, 2022 4:40:17 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Inner Sunset Merchants Association <innersunsetmerchants@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, February 28, 2022 3:28 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Susannah Wise <susannahlebus@gmail.com>; Angie Pettitt-Taylor <angie@sunsetmercantilesf.com>; stevenjonhendrix@gmail.com; doug

<doug@underdogstres.com>; Christian Routzen <christian@sanfranpsycho.com>; Saadi Halil <saadi@sfhometowncreamery.com>; Shannon De Leon <sipteasf@gmail.com>

Subject: Community of Interest: Inner Sunset Merchants

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Redistricting Task Force:

As a Community of Interest, the priority of the Board of Directors of the Inner Sunset Merchants Association (ISMA) is to keep the merchant corridor intact and to not let it be divided.

ISMA & Commercial Corridor Boundaries

ISMA is composed of representatives from retail, professional services, restaurants, nonprofits and other businesses in the Inner Sunset Neighborhood Commercial District. ISMA has been in existence since 1928. The Inner Sunset is defined by the following boundaries: from Arguello Blvd. west to 19th Avenue, and from Fulton Street south to Moraga Street.

The Inner Sunset merchant corridor itself is primarily contained within District 5, namely Arguello to 17th Avenue and between Lincoln and Kirkham, with a small segment in District 4 from 17th-19th Avenues between Lincoln and Kirkham. There are several other pockets of commercial activity within ISMA'S scope and within District 5 that would otherwise have no representation by a merchant association, namely: the businesses in Millberry Union at UCSF Parnassus; the shops along Hugo Street @ 3rd Avenue; and the shops at the intersection of 6th & Parnassus. The focal intersection of the Inner Sunset is 9th Avenue & Irving Street.

Position as a Community of Interest

Separating the Inner Sunset commercial corridor across more than one Supervisor's purview would cause disruption in communication and activation efforts in support of the small business community.

If division of the commercial district is the only option, ISMA strongly opposes using 9th Avenue as a dividing line. Characteristics of 9th Avenue would add to the challenge of working across two districts, including: Muni (N-Judah and busses) runs on 9th Avenue; 9th Avenue is a pedestrian and vehicle entrance to Golden Gate; the intersection of 9th Avenue & Irving is a prominent small business commercial area and could create imbalance for across-the-street businesses; and the community regularly hosts closed-street events at 9th & Irving intersection. Additionally, the Inner Sunset has long had a connection to Golden Gate Park in terms of visitors moving between park attractions and the commercial district.

On behalf of ISMA, we appreciate the Task Force's efforts and consideration.

Sincerely,

Susannah Wise

President

--

Inner Sunset Merchants Association

P. O. Box 225057

San Francisco, CA 94122

innersunsetmerchants@gmail.com

www.innersunsetmerchants.org

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Kathleen Courtney](#)
Cc: [Jamie Cherry](#); [John Borruso](#)
Subject: FW: Russian Hill Community Association - Redistricting Statement
Date: Monday, February 28, 2022 11:50:45 AM
Attachments: [RHCA Redistricting Statement 2-28-22.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)
Importance: High

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kathleen Courtney <kcourtney@xdm.com>
Sent: Monday, February 28, 2022 9:14 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Cc: Jamie Cherry <jcherry@rhcasf.com>; John Borruso <borruso@mindspring.com>

Subject: Russian Hill Community Association - Redistricting Statement

Importance: High

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Attached is the Russian Hill Community Association's Statement for the 2020 Census Redistricting Task Force in PDF Format.

Please acknowledge receipt at your earliest convenience.

Kathleen Courtney

Chair, Housing & Zoning Committee

Russian Hill Community Association

kcourtney@rhcasf.com and kcourtney@xdm.com

(c) 510-928-8243

Russian Hill Community Association

1158 Green St. San Francisco, CA 94109 510-928-8243 rhcasf.com

February 28, 2022

To: San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
From: Russian Hill Community Association
Re: Preliminary Comments on District 3 boundaries

San Francisco's neighborhoods are the foundation of the City.

Neighbors meeting neighbors, helping neighbors, addressing problems, opportunities and challenges as neighbors. This was the reason the Russian Hill Community Association was formed in 1992 – because a neighbor was threatened by a proposed development which would result in the loss of light in her apartment.

Concern spread from next door to across the street and then across several streets. Neighbors learned to read plans, understand City requirements, protocols and processes.

The end result was the overturning of the developer's approvals by the Planning Department, the Planning Commission and the Board of Appeals because the plans were found to be fraudulent. Neighbors helping neighbors.

San Francisco's neighborhood associations are the mortar that strengthens neighborhoods.

RHCA's original boundaries stretched from Leavenworth to Polk and from Union to Broadway. We worked with the 2010 Redistricting Task Force to make sure that RHCA would remain in one District. The Task Force's originally proposed boundary was ultimately moved from Green Street to Union Street to accommodate RHCA boundaries, acknowledging the nature of the RHCA and the role it played in the community.

Now our boundaries extend to other areas where neighbors need assistance, from Van Ness up to Jones and from Filbert over to Washington.

Today RHCA works with neighboring associations like Russian Hill Improvement Association (RHIA), Russian Hill Neighbors (RHN) and Pacific Avenue Neighborhood Association (PANA) on challenges affecting our adjacent areas, including trimming falling ficus branches on the Hyde Street Corridor and addressing traffic congestion around Lombard Street's crooked portion at Hyde. RHCA works with neighboring groups on cell tower disputes at Filbert and Larkin and on challenging City Attorney agreements regarding the destruction of the historic Willis Polk residence on Chestnut and Lombard.

San Francisco neighborhood associations in the North-East Quadrant work together.

Over the years we've learned the importance of working with other neighborhood associations to better serve each of our own neighborhoods. In particular we are aware of the importance of maintaining and extending the ties of multigenerational Chinese on Russian Hill with Chinatown, supporting the community benefit districts along Polk Street and working with our Nob Hill neighbors to the south.

The neighborhood associations in the North-East quadrant of the City work together on a range of quality of life issues that cross all our boundaries – security, safety, transit, housing, homelessness, to name a few. These initiatives require extraordinary effort that would be diluted by forcing adjacent neighborhood associations to work across different Districts.

A Request for the North-East Quadrant neighborhood associations to all be in District 3.

The decision by the 2010 Redistricting Task Force to consider our association’s boundaries and allow the RHCA to be in District 3 was and is appreciated. All of Russian Hill would benefit from that same understanding. Developing and maintaining a working relationship with more than one District Supervisor and their legislative staff would require an extraordinary amount of neighborhood volunteers’ time and focus, energy better spent assisting neighbors.

Russian Hill Community Association respectfully requests that the RHCA boundaries and all of Russian Hill and our neighbors to the south on Nob Hill be in District 3.

A mix of tenants and owners and ethnic identities tie together the cultural and social interests of Russian Hill, Nob Hill, Chinatown and North Beach. The diversity of these neighborhoods and neighborhood organizations belong together in District 3.

We trust the Redistricting Task Force will support our request for the boundaries of the RHCA to stay in District 3, and to include all of Russian Hill and its neighboring associations in the North-East quadrant in one District – District 3.

Cordially,

Kathleen Courtney

Kathleen Courtney

Chair, Housing & Zoning Committee

kcourtney@rhcasf.com & kcourtney@xdm.com

510-928-8243

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: mail@agrwal.net; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Date: Monday, February 28, 2022 11:49:48 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Ravin Agrawal <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Sunday, February 27, 2022 11:17 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdrtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Ravin Agrawal

Email mail@agrawal.net

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1.

I live in D2 and it makes absolutely no sense that these neighborhoods are connected to the Marina, Cow Hollow and Pacific Heights. Clearly, we residents who live in the Richmond neighborhoods shop at Laurel Village and on Clement Street more than we do on Chestnut Street and Fillmore Street. And we recreate around where we actually live— Mountain Lake Park and Golden Gate Park, more than we do at Chrissy Field and Fort Mason.

The official redistricting map should keep our neighborhoods together — this makes the most sense for our community.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: hoysusan@aim.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: District 2 redistricting
Date: Monday, February 28, 2022 11:49:35 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: hoysusan@aim.com <hoysusan@aim.com>
Sent: Saturday, February 26, 2022 10:32 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: District 2 redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I live in the Anza Vista Neighborhood. I would like our neighborhood to remain in District 2.

I have lived in Anza Vista for 29 years. My husband and I have raised our 3 children in the neighborhood. The kids played at Laurel Hill playground and the Jewish Community Center, and patronized the Presidio library. We shop at Trader Joe's on Masonic and at Laurel Village and Target on Geary/Masonic. Our doctors and dentist are in D2. We eat at D2 restaurants. In short, we have a community of interests in D2.

When Mr. Vicha Ratanapakdee (84 year old Thai gentlemen who resided in Anza Vista) was brutally murdered in January 2021 in our neighborhood, the Anza Vista neighborhood held a one-year anniversary vigil in his honor. Supervisor Catherine Stephani as well as Mayor London Breed and State Senator Scott Wiener attended or spoke at this event. I noticed that D1 Supervisor Connie Chan and D5 Supervisor Dean Preston did not attend. I don't think they cared about Mr. Ratanapakdee. It may be irrelevant to you, but it speaks volumes to me.

Please leave Anza Vista Neighborhood in District 2.

I appreciate your sincere efforts to "get it right" in this difficult job.

Thank you for your consideration of my concerns.

Susan Wong

P.S.: I signed into the 2/26/22 Webex meeting and raised my hand. For some reason, you did not call on me. Maybe there was a glitch in your system.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Christopher Bowman](#)
Cc: [Charles Head](#); gswooding@gmail.com; [Richard Frisbie](#); [Claire Zvanski](#)
Subject: FW: Concentrations of Foreign-Born Registered Voters in District 6
Date: Monday, February 28, 2022 11:49:30 AM
Attachments: [Composition of the Supervisorial Districts in the Unity Thrive Redistricting Plan.docx](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Christopher Bowman <chrislbowman@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Saturday, February 26, 2022 4:09 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>; Arntz, John (REG) <john.arntz@sfgov.org>
Cc: Charles Head <charleshead@hotmail.com>; gswooding@gmail.com; Richard Frisbie

<frfbeagle@gmail.com>; Claire Zvanski <czvanski@gmail.com>

Subject: Concentrations of Foreign-Born Registered Voters in District 6

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**TO: Rev, Arnold Townsend, Chair, and Members,
Redistricting Task Force**

Dear Chair Townsend and Members:

Attached please see my findings on the concentration of foreign-born registered voters in District 6. The report focuses on the twelve largest nationalities within the district by neighborhoods and by precincts.

Hopefully, these data will assist the Task Force and Q2 in defining the boundaries of nationalities within District 6 and ensuring that they be kept together and not divided between districts.

My plan is to prepare similar reports for Districts 3, 5, and 11 and environs, in the upcoming week.

Hope this helps.

Sincerely,

Christopher L. Bowman

1 attachment as

Composition of the San Mateo Supervisorial Districts under the Unity (Thrive) Redistricting Plan

<u>District 5</u>	2020 Population*	% of District Total
<u>Incorporated Cities & Town</u>	146,144	96.01%
Daly City	104,978	68.97%
49.80% of South San Francisco	32,965	21.66%
Brisbane	4,858	3.19%
4.20% of San Bruno	1,833	1.20%
Colma	1,510	0.99%
<u>Unincorporated</u>	6,070	3.99%
Broadmoor	4,247	2.79%
Scattered census blocks	1,823	1.20%
Total	152,214	100.00%
<u>District 1</u>		
<u>Incorporated Cities & Towns</u>	155,608	99.87%
46.48% of San Mateo	49,171	31.56%
Foster City	33,841	21.72%
50.20% of South San Francisco	33,224	21.32%
98.99% of Millbrae	22,970	14.74%
52.18% of Burlingame	16,402	10.53%
<u>Unincorporated</u>	210	0.13%
Scattered census blocks	210	0.13%
Total	155,818	100.00%

<u>District 2</u>	2020 Population*	% of District Total
<u>Incorporated Cities & Towns</u>	145,259	95.05%
53.52% of San Mateo	56,627	37.06%
San Carlos	29,278	19.16%
Belmont	28,361	18.56%
47.82% of Burlingame	15,030	9.84%
Hillsborough	11,385	7.42%
5.42% of Redwood City	4,578	3.00%
<u>Unincorporated</u>	7,559	4.95%
Highlands	2,604	1.70%
Baywood Park	1,452	0.95%
Burlingame Hills	1,236	0.81%
Palomar Park +	912	0.60%
Scattered Census Blocks	1,355	0.89%
Total	152,818	100.00%
<u>District 4</u>		
<u>Incorporated Cities & Towns</u>	135,639	87.53%
79.82% of Redwood City	67,417	43.50%
Menlo Park	33,850	21.84%
East Palo Alto	30,139	19.45%
38.05% of Atherton	2,737	1.77%
San Carlos	1,496	0.97%
<u>Unincorporated</u>	19,328	12.47%
North Fair Oaks	14,064	9.08%
West Menlo Park	3,897	2.51%
Menlo Oaks	853	0.55%
Scattered Census Blocks	514	0.33%
Total	154,967	100.00%

<u>District 3</u>	<u>2020 Population*</u>	<u>% of District Total</u>
<u>Incorporated Cities & Town</u>	119,303	79.70%
95.80% of San Bruno	41,860	27.97%
Pacifica	38,674	25.84%
14.76% of Redwood City	12,471	8.33%
Half Moon Bay	11,814	7.89%
Woodside	5,313	3.55%
61.95% of Atherton	4,457	2.98%
Portola Valley	4,457	2.98%
1.01% of Millbrae	257	0.17%
<u>Unincorporated</u>	30,383	20.30%
El Granada	5,485	3.66%
Emerald Lake/Hills	4,410	2.95%
Sequoia Tract	3,508	2.34%
Moss Beach	3,218	2.15%
Montara	2,838	1.90%
Ladera	1,558	1.04%
La Honda	980	0.65%
Pescadero	595	0.40%
Loma Mar	134	0.09%
Scattered Census Blocks	7,657	5.12%
Total	149,686	100.00%

Notes:

There were minor discrepancies between the population numbers cited by NDC for the Unity Plan and the population derived by transcribing the boundaries using NDC's DistrictR mapping program. The total adjusted 2020 census population of San Mateo County is 765,417, but the population derived from the transcriptions was 765,493, for a difference of 76 or an error rate of 0.01%. The totals were the same for Districts 5 and 1, but varied slightly for the other three districts, e.g, District 2: 152,818 vs. 152,834, District 4: 154,967 vs. 154,914, and District 3: 149,686 vs. 149,637. The totals also for San Bruno were not identical as well, with the transcribed population of 43,693 and the population cited by NDC as 43,947. It is possible that NDC inadvertently included the population of precinct 5901, which is an unincorporated census block on the border of San Bruno, and has a population of 269.

Another explanation for the minor discrepancies, are that some of the census blocks that were used for creating the districts in 2013, have been modified for the 2020 census, and some overlap district boundaries and city limits. It is unclear whether NDC apportioned the population of such census blocks proportionately, or assigned an entire census block to one or another jurisdiction.

Findings:

Beyond the Unity/Thrive Redistricting Plan dividing eight cities (currently under the 2013 plan only four cities are split between districts), but the cities of South San Francisco, Burlingame, and San Mateo are split nearly 50/50, which if a city is going to be split, is the worse possible type of split as it dilutes the voting power of the residents of the split city in both districts in which they've been assigned. Additionally, the Unity/Thrive plan goes so far as to split Redwood City into three districts!

The proponents of the plan argue that the districts along the Bay were organized as such, because of rising sea levels, yet, some neighborhoods of Millbrae, which was assigned 100% to District 1, are nearly 400-500 feet above sea level. Likewise, the proponents criticize the Espinoza plan for joining East Palo Alto with Pescadero, but the Unity/Thrive proposal joins San Bruno with Pescadero in their reformulated District 3.

It should also be noted that 1), East Palo Alto and Pescadero are both in the same Harbor District, and 2) East Palo Alto and West Menlo Park are in the same Fire District. The Unity/Thrive proposal separates East Palo Alto from both those two communities.

Additionally, the newly formulated District 3 which include both smaller incorporated cities and towns as well as unincorporated communities and rural areas would be dominated by the cities of Pacifica and San Bruno, at the northern most edge of the district, whose combined population is 53.81% of the district.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [George Wooding](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: A map of the West of Twin Peaks Central Council's Core membership Neighborhoods
Date: Monday, February 28, 2022 11:48:54 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: George Wooding <gswooding@gmail.com>

Sent: Saturday, February 26, 2022 2:39 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: A map of the West of Twin Peaks Central Council's Core membership Neighborhoods

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: George Wooding, WTPCC

Attention: Reverend Arnold Thompson, RDTF Chair

The West of Twin Peaks Central Council is an umbrella organization representing more than 20 homeowner and neighborhood associations on the West side of San Francisco. WTPCC was formed in 1936 and officially incorporated as a non-profit corporation in 1937.

This provides a link of the District 7 core neighborhoods that are members of the WTPCC for the last fifty years. Please note that Merced Manor and Lakeshore Acres have been core neighborhood members since 1975.

[West of Twin Peaks Central Council - Google My Maps](#)

Thank you for your knowledge, consideration and understanding. Please keep D7's core neighborhoods together.

Respectfully,

George Wooding
Past President
WTPCC
415 695-1393

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Richard Frisbie](#)
Cc: [Christopher Bowman](#)
Subject: FW: Feb. 26 District 2 Hearing
Date: Monday, February 28, 2022 11:48:43 AM
Attachments: [RDTF D2 Hearing.docx](#)
[PRES TER PRES HTS JP LAUREL HTS ANZA VISTA WITH BOUNDARIES Rev001.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Richard Frisbie <frfbeagle@gmail.com>

Sent: Saturday, February 26, 2022 2:26 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>

Cc: Christopher Bowman <chrislbowman@sbcglobal.net>

Subject: Feb. 26 District 2 Hearing

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Find attached the Notes of my comments.

Also, there was considerable discussion about a number of neighborhoods but no one drew the boundaries during their comments.

For clarification I've attached a map that shows the boundaries of: Presidio Terrace, Presidio Heights, Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Anza Vista.

These neighborhoods all wish to remain within D2.

The Chris Bowman-CSFN Plan further incorporates these neighborhoods within D2 as well.

Thank you,
Richard Frisbie

REDISTRICTING HEARING

Chairman Townsend and Members of the Redistricting Task Force, good morning and thank you for your service on the Redistricting Task Force-a truly monumental task.

I am Richard Frisbie a long-time resident of Laurel Heights and a proud member of District 2. Our neighborhood and its association has a long, rich history of cooperation with our sister neighborhoods in District 2. We have a strong and vibrant Community of Interest with The Marina, Cow Hollow, Presidio Heights, Pacific Heights and Anza Vista covering shared cultural, social, economic and spiritual values, interests and activities and we would be very displeased with having these severed.

We have worked long and hard with our D2 neighbors on Safety, Affordable Housing and Homelessness and are adamant about the continuation of these relationships.

I am Vice President of the Laurel Heights Improvement Association and as such am in constant contact with many of the folks living in Laurel Heights and our neighbors in Jordan Park. Over the past few days and well into last night I fielded a high volume of calls from neighbors expressing their frustration with a Saturday morning hearing.

Questions such as “doesn’t the Task Force know that some of us attend synagogue Saturday morning” or “we distribute food at our

local food bank Saturday morning” or many who have children involved in soccer, baseball or second language lessons. This Saturday morning schedule has deprived a large number of residents from having their voices heard so they asked me to deliver this message on their behalf “PLEASE LEAVE US IN D2.”

Lastly, we believe that the District 2 plan submitted by the Laurel Heights Improvement Association is sensible, viable, AND, more importantly, doable. It meets both the needs and the spirit of the Redistricting process.


We also strongly endorse the City-wide plan developed by Chris Bowman in collaboration with the Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods. It is by far the most thoughtful, complete and least disruptive plan that is posted on your website and I would strongly encourage you to review it in its entirety.

Thank you

San Francisco Redistricting Tool



Languages



1. Presidio Terrace: 5th Ave.-South Wall of Presidio-Arguello Blvd.-Lake St.
2. Presidio Heights: Arguello Blvd.-South Wall of Presidio-Presidio Ave.-California St.
3. Jordan Park: Arguello Blvd.-California St.-Parker Ave.-Geary Blvd.
4. Laurel Heights: Parker Ave.-California St.-Masonic Ave.-Geary Blvd.
5. Anza Vista: Masonic Ave.-Turk st.-St. Joseph Way-Geary Blvd.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Rowan Oake](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting
Date: Monday, February 28, 2022 11:48:15 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Rowan Oake <roake@smith.edu>
Sent: Saturday, February 26, 2022 12:35 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Rowan, and I'm a resident of the Richmond District. I have lived in the Richmond for about 8-9 years. I'm currently a graduate student and I work at the nearby VA Medical Center.

I'm writing to ask the Redistricting Task Force to consider keeping the Sea Cliff in District 2 and expanding District 1 to the east, where there is a diverse population of renters.

The Richmond District is home to over 60% renters and 40% Asian. Residents of the Sea Cliff are overwhelmingly white, wealthy homeowners. These are two starkly different parts of the City, and adding the Sea Cliff would dilute the voices of the working class, communities of color, and tenants in District One.

The pandemic brought many unforeseen changes to our City. Many Richmond District residents lost their jobs or steady income during the pandemic, are essential workers who can't work remotely, or are small business owners who struggled to keep their doors open. We need to protect our most vulnerable: those working paycheck to paycheck, our essential workers who put their lives on the line, tenants who need eviction protection, and our merchants who are the backbone of the district.

That's why we cannot include the Sea Cliff in District One – the Sea Cliff is so vastly different from the diverse fabric of the Richmond District and would take power away from the working people in District One.

Thank you,

--

Rowan Oake

MSW Class of 2022

A'22 ARPG Representative

Smith College School for Social Work

[Pronouns:](#) they/she

[Location:](#) Ramaytush Ohlone Territory

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Liz Farrell](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!
Date: Monday, February 28, 2022 11:48:07 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Liz Farrell <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Saturday, February 26, 2022 11:02 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdrtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Liz Farrell

Email

lizbriggsfarrell@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in Jordan Park and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines, recreates and worships in the Richmond. I know the merchants in Laurel Village, not Chestnut Street.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together and makes the most sense, as this is where we spend 90+% of our time.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Sue Vaughan](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: District One -- do not include Sea Cliff in the boundaries of District 1
Date: Monday, February 28, 2022 11:47:59 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Sue Vaughan <selizabethvaughan@gmail.com>

Sent: Saturday, February 26, 2022 10:15 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: District One -- do not include Sea Cliff in the boundaries of District 1

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force Members:

Thank you for your service on the task force.

I have been a Richmond District renter and bus rider since 1990. I have lived on 18th Avenue, between Geary and Anza, 27th Avenue at Geary, 9th Avenue at Cabrillo, and, for the past 25 1/2 years, on Clement Street between 22nd and 23rd Avenues. I have always lived in rent-stabilized units -- and would likely not be able to live in San Francisco if rent-stabilization did not exist. I am an educator and made around \$30,000 annually until 2018 when my circumstances improved a little.

Local, state, national, and global democracies cannot survive if wealthy people have more power than ordinary people of modest means. This is exactly what proposals to add Sea Cliff to District One will do to democracy in San Francisco: dilute the power of working San Franciscans. It will dilute the power of secretaries, nurses, teachers, paraprofessionals, retail workers, baristas, bus drivers (the ones still living in San Francisco), and locally-owned small business owners.

Let the residents of Sea Cliff stay in District 2.

Sue Vaughan
District 1

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Mr. Peter Devine](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Re-districting - Please Don't!
Date: Monday, February 28, 2022 11:47:52 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Mr. Peter Devine <pdevine@siprep.org>
Sent: Saturday, February 26, 2022 9:52 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re-districting - Please Don't!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am a resident of the Anza Vista neighborhood, and I would like to remain in Supervisor Stefani's district. She has been a very fine representative of the people in our neighborhood.

We have similar interests to the people in Lauren Heights and Ignatian Heights -- we all shop at the same neighborhood stores, we all interact with one another in various causes for our collective part of the city, so it makes sense to keep us together in our concerns.

She has met with us every year to hear our concerns, to inform us of progress being made, and of encouraging us to assist in solving citywide problems.

I fear if you move us to another district, you will completely disenfranchise our neighborhood; we will have no say whatever in city government. Don't make us governmentally homeless because we do not have the same issues as the Panhandle or the Haight neighborhoods. Supervisor Chan and Supervisor Preston do not respect us and show no interest in listening to us. They have very specific agendas which do not reflect the needs of our neighborhood.

So I urge you not to change our district supervisor.

Peter Devine
57 Encanto Avenue
SF 94115

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Rebecca Rozewicz](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Public Comment Regarding Redistricting Meeting For The Richmond One District #2494-526-5263
Date: Monday, February 28, 2022 11:47:42 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Rebecca Rozewicz <rebeccaroze@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, February 26, 2022 9:36 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Public Comment Regarding Redistricting Meeting For The Richmond One District #2494-

526-5263

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Greetings To Whom It May Concern,

My name is Rebecca Rozewicz and I have lived & worked in the Richmond District #1 for over 30 years. I am also a renter.

I feel that, although I am a transplanted Midwesterner and former Hoosier from Indiana, the Richmond District has been my home for over half my life. I love where I live because this community is hardworking, progressive, active and supports it's local businesses and economy for growth. We find strength in helping one another.

I do not support the redistricting plan to include the Seacliff area but rather support extending to the east instead. The wealth and influence of Seacliff will undoubtedly unproportionately cause an imbalance to the extreme contrasting with what our community has worked so hard and long to maintain.

I have to ask whether the folks in Seacliff shop on Clement Street, use the laundromats, frequent the local restaurants & cafes or visit with their neighbors while standing in line at the bakery shop? This is who we are, we are Richmond One. We care for One another. We share common values which are woven into the fabric of our lives and are reflected in our strong work ethic.

Please do not include Seacliff in future redistricting plans for Richmond District One.

Thank you for listening to this District One renter, union worker and voter.

Truly,
Rebecca Rozewicz

Sent from my iPhone

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Lauren Kim](#)
Cc: [Eric LaBadie](#)
Subject: FW: Keep Laurel Heights in District 2
Date: Monday, February 28, 2022 11:47:36 AM
Attachments: [cid:f_1030z75h0.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Lauren Kim <laurenskim@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, February 25, 2022 9:36 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Eric LaBadie <elabadie@gmail.com>

Subject: Keep Laurel Heights in District 2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To whom it may concern,

We are residents of Laurel Heights and we want to support Laurel Heights remaining in district 2.

Thank you,

Lauren Kim and Eric LaBadie

Sent from my iPad



Map of the
2nd Supervisorial District
 City and County of
 San Francisco, California



Prepared by the Department of Elections

March 2019

— Precinct Boundary



From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Joyce Scardina Becker](#)
Subject: FW: Laurel Heights
Date: Monday, February 28, 2022 11:47:01 AM
Attachments: [20220221231432.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)
Importance: High

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Joyce Scardina Becker <joyce@eventsofdistinction.com>
Sent: Friday, February 25, 2022 5:22 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Laurel Heights

Importance: High

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We are urging the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force to support keeping Laurel Heights in District 2. Please see the attached map drawn to support keeping Laurel Heights in District 2.

Thank you for your attention to this important issue.

Joyce Scardina

41 Heather Avenue

San Francisco, CA 94118

Laurel Heights



Map of the
2nd Supervisorial District
 City and County of
 San Francisco, California



Prepared by the Department of Elections

March 2019

— Precinct Boundary



From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Lily Wong](#)
Cc: [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Lovett, Li \(BOS\)](#); [Low, Jen \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Wah Mei School Redistricting Comment Letter
Date: Friday, February 25, 2022 4:21:26 PM
Attachments: [Redistricting Letter.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Lily Wong <lwyong@wahmei.org>

Sent: Friday, February 25, 2022 4:13 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Cc: Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; Marstaff (BOS) <marstaff@sfgov.org>; Lovett, Li (BOS) <li.lovet@sf.gov.org>; Low, Jen (BOS) <jen.low@sfgov.org>

Subject: Wah Mei School Redistricting Comment Letter

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

PDF letter attached. Content pasted below. Sent on behalf of Ben Wong, Executive Director, Wah Mei School.

February 25, 2022

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

We are writing to ensure the cultural identity of the current District 4 neighborhood boundaries remain contiguous. We are thrilled at this district's growth, and understand the district's current boundaries will expand to accommodate this growth.

The Wah Mei School, which achieved legacy business status, is proud to be an integral part of the cultural fabric that makes up San Francisco's Sunset District. For 45+ years, we've provided Chinese-English bilingual education and early care programs to over 500 children and youth annually. Since its inception, we've proudly served some 8,500 low and moderate-income families, many with multiple generations of Wah Mei School alumni. We offer services in three locations in the Sunset community: at 1) our primary office at 1400 Judah, 2) Alice Fong Yu elementary school, and 3) Jefferson Elementary. While we are known for our quality early care and education and extended learning programs for youth, we are also known for our efforts to address the larger needs of the community we serve. Through our community engagement efforts we partner closely with businesses, educational institutions, policy makers, and neighborhood service organizations to develop community-based solutions that meet the needs of our most vulnerable community members.

We are proud to have worked closely with community organizations and leaders on the development of the Sunset Chinese Cultural District, which recognizes the unique history and culture of this community. This district, half of whose residents identify as Chinese or Asian American, has been home to a significant number of working families with multigenerational households for over 50 years. While the district has for decades attracted newer immigrant residents and small businesses, skyrocketing real estate prices and a rise in xenophobic acts against Chinese residents, threaten to severely alter the demographics which represent the culture and identity of this diverse neighborhood.

Wah Mei School hopes forthcoming redistricting proposals will keep the Sunset Chinese Cultural District intact, strengthen the district's standing, and maintain the

characteristics that contribute to this neighborhood's vibrancy. We would be amenable to extending the district toward the Inner Sunset to allow our three Sunset sites to be in one supervisorial district. We trust that future proposals will be developed with input from community members, businesses, and organizations that are invested in this process.

As you continue your charge and proceed in the redistricting process, we ask that you maintain the rich history and culture of the Sunset District as it is integral to the district's identity and that of the businesses and residents that call this neighborhood home.

Sincerely,

Ben Wong

Lily Wong 黃麗瑜
Director of Community Engagement 社區聯繫總監

Wah Mei School 華美學校 | wahmei.org
1400 Judah Street. San Francisco, CA 94122
(415) 665-4212
Pronouns: She/Her

Connect With Us! [Facebook](#) | [Instagram](#)

If there is no struggle, there is no progress...Power concedes nothing without a demand. It never did and it never will.

-Fredrick Douglass



February 25, 2022

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

We are writing to ensure the cultural identity of the current District 4 neighborhood boundaries remain contiguous. We are thrilled at this district's growth, and understand the district's current boundaries will expand to accommodate this growth.

The Wah Mei School, which achieved legacy business status, is proud to be an integral part of the cultural fabric that makes up San Francisco's Sunset District. For 45+ years, we've provided Chinese-English bilingual education and early care programs to over 500 children and youth annually. Since its inception, we've proudly served some 8,500 low and moderate-income families, many with multiple generations of Wah Mei School alumni. We offer services in three locations in the Sunset community: at 1) our primary office at 1400 Judah, 2) Alice Fong Yu elementary school, and 3) Jefferson Elementary. While we are known for our quality early care and education and extended learning programs for youth, we are also known for our efforts to address the larger needs of the community we serve. Through our community engagement efforts we partner closely with businesses, educational institutions, policy makers, and neighborhood service organizations to develop community-based solutions that meet the needs of our most vulnerable community members.

We are proud to have worked closely with community organizations and leaders on the development of the Sunset Chinese Cultural District, which recognizes the unique history and culture of this community. This district, half of whose residents identify as Chinese or Asian American, has been home to a significant number of working families with multigenerational households for over 50 years. While the district has for decades attracted newer immigrant residents and small businesses, skyrocketing real estate prices and a rise in xenophobic acts against Chinese residents, threaten to severely alter the demographics which represent the culture and identity of this diverse neighborhood.

Wah Mei School hopes forthcoming redistricting proposals will keep the Sunset Chinese Cultural District intact, strengthen the district's standing, and maintain the characteristics that contribute to this neighborhood's vibrancy. We would be amenable to extending the district toward the Inner Sunset to allow our three Sunset sites to be in one supervisorial district. We trust that future proposals will be developed with input from community members, businesses, and organizations that are invested in this process.



Wah Mei School

Bilingual Education Since 1974

1400 Judah Street, San Francisco, CA 94122 Tel: 415-665-4212 Fax: 415-665-4116 www.wahmei.org e-mail: info@wahmei.org

As you continue your charge and proceed in the redistricting process, we ask that you maintain the rich history and culture of the Sunset District as it is integral to the district's identity and that of the businesses and residents that call this neighborhood home.

Sincerely,

Ben Wong,
Executive Director

CC:

Supervisor Gordon Mar (District 4)

Supervisor Myrna Melgar (District 7)

District 4 Youth and Families Network

Sunset Chinese Cultural District Working Group

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Richard Frisbie](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: District 2 Hearing on Saturday
Date: Friday, February 25, 2022 4:19:33 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Richard Frisbie <frfbeagle@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, February 25, 2022 3:43 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: District 2 Hearing on Saturday

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I, like everyone else in Laurel Heights wish to remain in D2.

We have a Community of interest-socially, culturally, economically-across a broad spectrum of issues with other neighborhoods, neighborhood associations, cultural groups etc. in The Marina, Cow Hollow, Presidio Heights and do not wish to see these severed.

Also, I have received many calls from both Laurel Heights and Jordan Park residents expressing their dismay about this important hearing being scheduled on a Saturday. Does the RDTF not appreciate that some of us attend synagogue on Saturday; others have children active in soccer, baseball, second language classes....which mean our family responsibilities don't allow for a 10am hearing?

It is very unfortunate that you will only hear from a part of the neighborhood. Something as important as Redistricting deserves better.

So, I will try and speak for my absent neighbors tomorrow.

Thank you,

Richard Frisbie

Sent from my iPhone

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Regina Islas](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: NO expansion of SEA CLIFF into D1! NO
Date: Friday, February 25, 2022 4:18:56 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Regina Islas <regina.islas@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, February 25, 2022 3:09 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: ChanStaff (BOS) <chanstaff@sfgov.org>
Subject: NO expansion of SEA CLIFF into D1! NO

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force Members,

Thank you for your work in this critical endeavor. In accordance with your legislated purpose, you will ultimately, create a map that will empower the voters of San Francisco. I believe unequivocally that any northward expansion of D1 into the Sea Cliff neighborhood will harm and disempower many existing communities of interest in D1 resulting in a map that would be contrary to the result the RTF is committed to achieving. These are what I think are the two most important criteria are for the RTF to consider:

- Criteria #1 – The Federal Voting Rights Act, empowering voters: The Asian and Asian American minority in D1 represents 35-40% of the population of D1 and is considered a “protected class” under the Voting Rights Act. As such, the RTF must make a concerted effort to preserve the empowerment of this community and per the City Attorney “prevent minority vote dilution”.
- Criteria #2 – Communities of Interest. There are two other Communities of Interest in D1 that should be protected and empowered:
 - Renters – Historically 60 – 65% of D1 residents are renters – renters generally have significantly different economic interests than homeowners and landlords. As D1 has traditionally been a district that is majority renter, and given the rental and affordable housing crisis in SF, this is a Community of Interest that should be preserved.
 - Low and middle income earners – Many of the residents in D1 are also low and middle income earners, who as a Community of Interest, have economic interests that are markedly different from upper middle and upper income voters.

Each of these Communities of Interest have been a significant and deeply intrinsic part of the economic, cultural, and class fabric of D1 for many decades.

By comparison Communities of Interest in the Sea Cliff neighborhood are starkly different:

- The population of Sea Cliff is 72% white.
- Sea Cliff is 70-75% owner occupied, D1 is 60-65% renters.

- Sea Cliff income is significantly higher averaging >100K.

Based on these indicators, including the Sea Cliff neighborhood into D1 would in fact create the very “minority vote dilution” that the RTF is intended to prevent.

Finally, please consider Expanding D1 eastward into D5, where the existing economic and ethnic neighborhoods are a better fit. We want to protect the various Communities of Interest here in D1 – Sea Cliff does not align with this purpose.

Again, thank you for the critical work you are committed to for the benefit of all SF voters. If you have any questions, please don't hesitate to reach out as given below.

Onward together,

Regina S Islas

[she/her]

regina.islas@gmail.com

650.484.7706

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Michael Chen](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: District 2 public comment
Date: Friday, February 25, 2022 4:18:44 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Michael Chen <cheninator@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, February 25, 2022 10:39 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: District 2 public comment

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Redistricting Task Force:

My name is Mike Chen and I live at the corner of Franklin Street and Pine Street. I have Korean heritage and I appreciate walking to the Japantown area, where there is a concentration of Korean restaurants near Buchanan & Post; Woori Market is one of the few Korean grocery stores in San Francisco, next to a Korean pool hall on Fillmore between O'Farrell and Geary. The [San Francisco Full Gospel](#) church at 1480 Ellis Street (and Webster) offers services in Korean and English. There is also the Korean Center at Gough and Post, which teaches Korean language and creates cultural programs for all ages.

Thank you for your time,
Mike Chen

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!
Date: Friday, February 25, 2022 10:06:56 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Taylor Nagle <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, February 25, 2022 8:51 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Taylor Nagle

Email tnagle@vallejoinvestments.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in Jordan Park and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines, recreates and worships in the Richmond. I know the merchants in Laurel Village, not Chestnut Street.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together and makes the most sense, as this is where we spend 90+% of our time.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Jean Gengler](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Richard Naidus](#)
Subject: RE: Keeping our neighborhood in District 2
Date: Friday, February 25, 2022 10:06:38 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Jean Gengler <gina46@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, February 25, 2022 7:41 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Richard Naidus <rmnaidus@gmail.com>
Subject: Keeping our neighborhood in District 2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ever since moving to the Anza Vista district in 1986, we've been supportive of the Supervisor for District 2, but never more than when Catherine Stefani became our representative. Before that appointment, we knew her through her support of gun "sense"/safety. Once Ms Stefani became the Supervisor of District 2, we have followed her legislation even more closely, and feel that she speaks for us and the Anza Vista district. We VERY much wish to stay in Supervisor Stefani's district!

N. Jean Gengler
Richard Naidus
27 Encanto Ave.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Date: Friday, February 25, 2022 10:06:18 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Charlton yu <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 24, 2022 10:08 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Charlton yu
Email charlton_yu@yahoo.com
I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

Dear Redistricting Taskforce,

Please put Presidio Heights into the Richmond District in District 1.

I live in Presidio Heights (D2) and my family spends far more time on Geary and Clement Streets (D1) shopping and dining than any of the D2 commercial corridors on Fillmore or Union Streets.

Specific examples of why we consider ourselves part of the Richmond neighborhood include: (1) we walk to the Clement Street farmers market each weekend; (2) We are weekly patrons of Arsicault Bakery at the intersection of Arguello & Clement; and, (3) my sons and I routinely get our hair cuts at a barber on the corner of Geary and Cook streets.

It makes no sense that Presidio Heights, Laurel Heights, Jordan Park, Lake Street and Sea Cliff are currently part of D2. Our neighborhoods are geographically and commercially separated from

Cow Hollow and the Marina by a highway and a steep hill.

The official redistricting map should put Presidio Heights in D1, with the Richmond — this makes the most sense for our community.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Charlton Yu

Address:

3858 Jackson Street

San Francisco 94118

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Gary Pegueros](#)
Subject: FW: Redistricting / District 6 Request
Date: Friday, February 25, 2022 10:06:14 AM
Attachments: [D6 Redistricting Letter sbrmbna.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Gary Pegueros <garypegueros@sbcglobal.net>

Sent: Thursday, February 24, 2022 7:54 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>

Subject: Redistricting / District 6 Request

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

Dear Redistricting Task Force members,

As a resident of South Beach since 2004, I have been, for the majority of these 18 years, a volunteer for the SFFD Neighborhood Emergency Response Team, focusing on building community and responding during a disaster.

I have also served on the Board of the Neighborhood Association for South Beach, Rincon, and Mission Bay since it began in 2008. We've made it a priority to grow, develop, and plan our diverse neighborhoods into a stronger, more cohesive unit by working together.

Along with our surrounding neighborhoods, as outlined in the attached letter, we ask that we be kept together as a Community of Interest in order to continue the work of community building that began so many years ago.

Thank you for your consideration.

Best regards

Gary Pegueros

1 Federal Street, unit 21

San Francisco CA 94107

GaryPegueros@sbcglobal.net

17 February 2022

SF Department of Elections via email: rdtf@sfgov.org; john.carroll@sfgov.org
2020 Census Redistricting Task Force

Dear Redistricting Task Force members,

The South of Market neighborhoods including The East Cut (Rincon, TransBay), South Beach, Yerba Buena, Eastern and Western SoMa, along with Mission Bay and Treasure Island (and Mission Rock and Central SoMa as they are developed) all share common and essential needs and should be viewed together as a Community of Interest unit. Born of light industrial uses, freeway networks, and brown fields, these neighborhoods—our home--are the result of decades of planning. But there is more work to be done for our neighborhoods to thrive – work that is easier to accomplish together than apart.

This exponential growth from Treasure Island to the Embarcadero to Mission Bay to Western SoMa is the desired result of a cluster of redevelopment projects that are now emerging as densely populated, diverse communities working to establish ourselves as fully realized neighborhoods, integrated into the city fabric.

We are keenly aware that District 6 grew more rapidly over the last decade than any other district--adding the most housing, and most **affordable** housing (30%+in some areas) to the city--and as a result the district must now realign its borders to cede some population to other districts to meet the Redistricting formulas. **What we ask is that our neighborhoods—those cited in our opening sentence--be kept together.** We all share a common urban form and common challenges of not-yet-established San Francisco neighborhoods. Together with the just-emerging City and Port development areas adjacent--Mission Rock and the Central SoMa Plan Area--our core needs are shaped by common influences.

As the South Beach | Rincon | Mission Bay Neighborhood Association (founded in 2008), we recognized the need early on for a cohesive voice to represent these fledgling redevelopment areas at City Hall, and the equally pressing need to build a sense of community and pride of place as new neighbors moved into the area.

Our neighborhoods were built from the ground up (or massively renovated) and require unified attention. All the good planning that went into creating these new neighborhoods did not account for some basic and essential needs. We have no local school options in communities where we are building thousands of family housing units. We have limited affordable, neighborhood-serving retail where people across our diverse economic spectrum can shop for groceries, hardware, and other needs. We need to transform streets that were built to give fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, pedestrian-friendly neighborhood

South Beach | Rincon | Mission Bay Neighborhood Association

streets. We share common health and safety risks being situated adjacent to freeways, the Bay Bridge, and the Port. We lack an adequate network of parks, recreation and open space. And looking ahead, we share challenges related to sea level rise.

Together, we are building socially, culturally and economically diverse neighborhoods. We CAN solve for the common challenges we face, but doing so requires a systemic and cohesive response. The synergies between our emerging neighborhoods are clear and we need to move forward together as a recognized community of interest.

For the many reasons above, we see Market Street as a natural separation or boundary. The street grid and mix of uses changes markedly between north and south of Market Street. The neighborhoods North of Market are established. Although the issues there are acute and variable depending on specific blocks, there are many similarities in these established neighborhoods. The neighborhoods South of Market, by contrast, are either mostly industrial PDR areas transitioning to denser growth with a more diverse mix of uses, or--where the bulk of the growth has happened--are the former/current redevelopment areas and planning department areas cited above.

Within this context, **we hope you see the clear synergies and needs for the joint advocacy that we have pursued for more than a decade ... and need to continue going forward as a clearly defined community of interest.** Working together, we hope to bloom into a network of established neighborhoods firmly rooted in our shared history, but it will take another decade or more to make this happen. **Please keep the District 6 area south of Market and including Mission Bay and Treasure Island intact.**

Respectfully,

The South Beach | Rincon | Mission Bay Neighborhood Association Membership and Board of Directors

Alice Rogers, President
Katy Liddell, Vice President
Gary Pegueros, Secretary
Peggy Fahnstock, Treasurer
Bruce Agid, Director
Mike Anthony, Director

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Date: Friday, February 25, 2022 10:06:04 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Ally Gwozdz <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 24, 2022 3:48 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Ally Gwozdz
Email allygwozdz@mac.com
I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in D2 and it makes no sense that these neighborhoods are connected to the Marina, Cow Hollow and Pacific Heights. Clearly, residents who live in those neighborhoods shop at Laurel Village and on Clement Street more than they do on Chestnut Street and Fillmore Street. And they recreate around where they actually live—Mountain Lake Park and Golden Gate Park, more than they do at Chrissy Field and Fort Mason.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together — this makes the most sense for our community.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Date: Friday, February 25, 2022 10:05:09 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Toni Stinton <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 24, 2022 1:12 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Toni Stinton
Email tstinton@comcast.net
I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in D2 and it makes no sense that these neighborhoods are connected to the Marina, Cow Hollow and Pacific Heights. Clearly, residents who live in those neighborhoods shop at Laurel Village and on Clement Street more than they do on Chestnut Street and Fillmore Street. And they recreate around where they actually live—Mountain Lake Park and Golden Gate Park, more than they do at Chrissy Field and Fort Mason.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together — this makes the most sense for our community.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Richard B. Allen](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Frank Noto](#); [Peder Jones](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting
Date: Friday, February 25, 2022 10:05:02 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Richard B. Allen <richardballen35@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 24, 2022 12:56 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Frank Noto <Frank@fnstrategy.com>; Peder Jones <pederj@earthlink.net>; Richard B. Allen <richardballen35@gmail.com>
Subject: Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Note: Last night, Frank Noto was the #5 speaker from District 7. I agree with his comments 100%. I have known and worked with Frank on neighborhood projects for several decades. His vision and recommendations have always been creative aimed at improving quality of life issues that included neighborhood activities. Please implement his comments. I have written my comments below as I was not able to call into your excellent outreach meeting. Thank you, Dick Allen

Inbox

Subject: District 7

I live in Golden Gate Heights in District 7 and am active in my neighborhood. But when I go to Irving Street just a few blocks from my home, to visit the restaurants, shops, and grocery stores, I cross an invisible boundary and do my shopping in another District.

How does this make sense? Why are the business owners and customers divided by two different supervisor districts? The Irving Street commercial corridor should be united with District 7. I have a long experience(48 years) in the retail business community with multiple city locations, and as a former San Francisco Planning Commissioner, I can tell you this is bad planning and will divide our "**community of interest**" into two political districts.

Currently, District 5 is gerrymandered to include Irving Street and a narrow band of the Inner Sunset. And now that District 4 has to grow, please do not gerrymander it into the Inner Sunset, especially since District 7 also has to grow.

Please do the sensible thing and extend District 7 to include our shopping area of the Inner Sunset. The business owners and customers deserve to have one supervisor who can advocate for our interests.

I understand District 4 needs to grow. Please extend District 4 South of Sloat which is a more natural extension, perhaps to border a portion of Lake Merced, which is heavily used by neighbors in that District and often neglected by our City government. This will allow you to extend District 7 into the Inner Sunset, which is also a very natural extension.

All the best,

Dick Allen, District 7

415-407-1159

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: RDTF - Russian and Vietnamese Interpretation/Transcription
Date: Thursday, February 24, 2022 11:40:36 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[Outlook-OCEIA_Logo.png](#)
[Outlook-cid_image0.png](#)
[Outlook-cid_image0.png](#)
[Outlook-cid_image0.png](#)
[Translation.pdf](#)

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Jozami, Connie (ADM) <connie.jozami@sfgov.org>
Sent: Thursday, February 24, 2022 11:12 AM
To: Ng, Wilson (BOS) <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>; Language Access (ADM) <Language.Access@sfgov.org>
Cc: BOS-Operations <bos-operations@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>; Liu, JingRu (ADM) <jingru.liu@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: RDTF - Russian and Vietnamese Interpretation/Transcription

Dear all,

Please find attached to this message, the translations & transcription from Russian and Vietnamese to English, of public comment provided in those languages at the RDTF meeting on 2/11.

Hope you have a great day!

Best,

Connie Jozami | Language Access Unit Supervisor | Pronouns: she, her ([What's this?](#))

Office of Civic Engagement & Immigrant Affairs | City & County of San Francisco

connie.jozami@sfgov.org | [OCEIA](#) | [Immigrant Rights Commission](#)

desk: (415) 581-2352 | 1155 Market Street, 1st Floor | San Francisco, CA 94103

Connect with OCEIA:   

From: Jozami, Connie (ADM) <connie.jozami@sfgov.org>
Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 12:51 PM
To: Ng, Wilson (BOS) <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>; Language Access (ADM) <Language.Access@sfgov.org>
Cc: BOS-Operations <bos-operations@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: RDTF - Russian and Vietnamese Interpretation/Transcription

Thank you very much Wilson,

I will reach out to one of our certified vendors and will have the comments translated. I will send you all the translations as soon as they are ready.

E-meet you folks soon!

Best,

Connie Jozami | **Language Access Unit Supervisor** | Pronouns: she, her ([What's this?](#))

Office of Civic Engagement & Immigrant Affairs | City & County of San Francisco

connie.jozami@sfgov.org | [OCEIA](#) | [Immigrant Rights Commission](#)

desk: (415) 581-2352 | 1155 Market Street, 1st Floor | San Francisco, CA 94103

Connect with OCEIA:   

From: Ng, Wilson (BOS) <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>
Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 12:19 PM
To: Language Access (ADM) <Language.Access@sfgov.org>; Jozami, Connie (ADM) <connie.jozami@sfgov.org>
Cc: BOS-Operations <bos-operations@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>
Subject: RDTF - Russian and Vietnamese Interpretation/Transcription

Hi OCEIA,

At the 2/11/22 Redistricting Task Force (RDTF) meeting, there were two LEP individuals who

provided their public comment in Russian and Vietnamese.

The RDTF would like their oral comments interpreted/transcribed from the native language to English text for their reference and record. The comments may be found via the video recording link below at the following timestamps.

Link: https://sanfrancisco.granicus.com/player/clip/40558?view_id=155&redirect=true

- Russian speaker begins at 1:06:35
- Vietnamese speaker begins at 1:23:15

Request form attached just for tracking, though it does not fall neatly into the question categories. Please feel free to let us know if there are any questions.

Thanks,

—

Wilson L. Ng

Deputy Director of Operations

San Francisco Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: (415) 554-7725

Web: www.sfbos.org



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

Russian:

TRANSCRIPTION:

Здравствуйте, меня зовут Галя.

Я живу в районе Ричмонда и голосую на каждых выборах.

Так же, как русскоязычные граждане нашего района.

Мы не получали и не слышали никакой информации на русском языке об изменениях планирования в выбранном районе Сан-Франциско.

Мы просим и требуем, чтобы вся информация была доступна на русском языке и была понятна русскоговорящему населению Сан-Франциско.

Спасибо.

TRANSLATION:

1:06:35 Hello, my name is Galya.

1:06:39 I live in the Richmond area and vote in every election.

1:06:44 Just like the other Russian-speaking citizens of our district.

1:06:47 We have not received any information in Russian about the change in planning in the selected area of San Francisco.

1:06:59 We ask and demand that all information be available in Russian language.

1:07:05 And made understandable to the Russian-speaking population of San Francisco.

1:07:10 Thank you.

Vietnamese:

TRANSCRIPTION:

Tôi tên là Nga Nguyễn.

Cư ngụ ở bốn mươi mốt (41) đã hơn mấy chục năm rồi.

Gia đình tôi mọi người năm nào cũng đi bầu cử.

Chúng tôi yêu cầu giữ nguyên quận một.

Và phản đối thay đổi nhập vào quận khác.

Cảm ơn.

TRANSLATION:

1:23:16 Hi!

1:23:17 My name is Nga Nguyen.

1:23:19 I have lived in 41 street for a few decades.

1:23:24 My family goes to vote in elections every year.

1:23:28 I ask you to keep the first district.

1:23:28 And I am against any change and any union with another district.

1:23:36 Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Date: Thursday, February 24, 2022 11:31:14 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Joseph Chong <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 24, 2022 10:30 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Joseph Chong

Email joseph.chong@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in D2 and it makes no sense that these neighborhoods are connected to the Marina, Cow Hollow and Pacific Heights. Clearly, residents who live in those neighborhoods shop at Laurel Village and on Clement Street more than they do on Chestnut Street and Fillmore Street. And they recreate around where they actually live—Mountain Lake Park and Golden Gate Park, more than they do at Chrissy Field and Fort Mason.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together — this makes the most sense for our community.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Date: Thursday, February 24, 2022 11:31:11 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Linda Linda <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 24, 2022 10:29 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Linda Linda

Email Ichong@anchorvest.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in Laurel Heights (D2) and it makes no sense that these neighborhoods are connected to the Marina, Cow Hollow and Pacific Heights. My family is on Clement Street and Mountain Lake Park daily. Clearly, residents who live in those neighborhoods shop at Laurel Village and on Clement Street more than they do on Chestnut Street and Fillmore Street. And they recreate around where they actually live—Mountain Lake Park and Golden Gate Park, more than they do at Chrissy Field and Fort Mason.

It makes no sense that Laurel Heights is part of D2. We are never in Cow Hollow. The official redistricting map should keep Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, and Lake Street in D1, together with the Richmond so that our community can stay

together.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Glen Park Neighborhoods History Project](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Diamond Heights Community Association](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: Please Keep Diamond Heights in District 8
Date: Thursday, February 24, 2022 11:31:05 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Glen Park Neighborhoods History Project <glenparkhistory@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 7:14 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Diamond Heights Community Association <dhcasf@gmail.com>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>

Subject: Please Keep Diamond Heights in District 8

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Greetings,

I was unable to attend the meeting tonight so I hope you will accept my comments. I am a resident of Glen Park and the district historian. My comments represent my personal comments, and not the Glen Park Neighborhoods History Project.

Together, Glen Park and Diamond Heights are deeply connected. Both districts are physically connected by our local three hills that we share: Gold Mine Hill, Red Rock Hill, and Fairmount Hill. The close proximity of these hills make us both geographically, physically, and spiritually close neighbors.

The two districts have been partners in protecting the shared quality of our districts and being stewards of Glen Canyon since the mid-1960s when Glen Park residents reached out to the new Diamond Heights residents to oppose construction of a viaduct freeway through Glen Park and Glen Canyon Park. Together, freeway construction that would have forever destroyed Glen Canyon was prevented. In fact, when the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency [laid out plans for Diamond Heights](#) in the 1950s, Glen Canyon was included within the boundaries of Diamond Heights.

Today, residents of Glen Park and Diamond Heights continue to work side-by-side as caretakers and stewards of the quality of our districts and open space. The collaborative work within District 8, with the close support of our District 8 supervisors over the years, has been streamlined and efficient. It makes absolutely no sense to move Diamond Heights to District 7, which will only complicate the important work of our districts by having to navigate two different channels in parallel.

Please do not make a decision to move Diamond Heights to District 7 just because of a number. Please take into deep consideration the important work accomplished by the two districts for the past 50 years and the strong interpersonal dynamics we have established. Thank you very much for your consideration.

Most sincerely,

Evelyn

Evelyn Rose, PharmD (*she/her/hers*)

Director & Founder

Glen Park Neighborhoods History Project

Email: GlenParkHistory@gmail.com

Web: www.GlenParkHistory.org

Twitter: [@GlenParkHistory](https://twitter.com/GlenParkHistory)

The Glen Park Neighborhoods History Project is fiscally sponsored by [Independent Arts & Media](#), a

California non-profit corporation.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Eleanor Cox](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Public comment during Feb 23 public meeting on District 78 boundaries
Date: Thursday, February 24, 2022 11:30:53 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Eleanor Cox <eleanor_ruth@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 7:03 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Public comment during Feb 23 public meeting on District 78 boundaries

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

From: [Eleanor Cox](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: Public comment during Feb 23 public meeting on District 7 boundaries (resubmission)
Date: Friday, February 25, 2022 2:50:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello to the redistricting task force,

My name is Eleanor Cox, I live in the Inner Sunset neighborhood in District 5.

I've listened to the public comment regarding the District 7 boundaries Wednesday evening. There were many callers waiting to speak, and I was in the queue. However, I had to jump into another meeting so am submitting written comment instead. Thank you in advance for considering my comment.

I am concerned hearing from the number of callers who have spoken tonight that support moving the entirety of the Inner Sunset into District 7. I did not realize that this was a serious consideration, in large part because I do not think it makes logical sense given local community, social, development, and transit patterns. My understanding is that many of these callers are from a group called the Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods. I hope that your committee will consider that this is largely a political group with specific political agendas that were not disclosed during public comment. At the beginning of the meeting, the moderator made clear that political affiliations are not a reason to consider boundary adjustments, and I hope that remains true throughout this process.

I oppose moving the Inner Sunset into District 7 for many reasons. I am a renter, a biker, and a commuter. I shop along 9th Avenue, Height Street, and downtown. On bike, I commute through Golden Gate Park and along slow Page Street to meet up with the Wiggle/Market Street. On transit, I commute via the 7 or the N-Judah to work in the financial district. I recreate in Golden Gate Park and Duboce Park. When I visit friends, also renters, I travel to the Inner Richmond, other neighborhoods in District 5, and the Mission.

I rarely consider the neighborhoods south of Lawton. They feel distinctly suburban, dominated by single family homes, homeowners, and drivers, and separated from our downtown-adjacent neighborhoods by steep hills. From a community, social, and transit standpoint I urge you to consider the Twin Peaks/Diamond Heights areas as opportunities to expand District 7 rather than my little neighborhood. It makes so much more sense that way; that would provide a more cohesive community, united in their topography and social/transit patterns.

My preference would be to stay in District 5. This district is a strong collection of distinct neighborhoods, each with our own character and commercial centers, yet we are united via our economic statuses, with a mix of renters and homeowners, our transit corridors, and similar levels of access and distance to city services. We are not downtown, yet we are not quite the west side. It oddly works very well! Even though the district boundaries look odd on the map. The panhandle helps connect us.

Final note, I also work at the Inner Sunset Farmers Market every weekend and have gotten to know many of my neighbors. I never meet people who live in District 7. I'm quite surprised to hear that so many District 7 residents claim the Inner Sunset as their commercial destination. I meet people from across 19th Ave in the sunset far more often. If the Inner Sunset needs to shift, it makes far more sense to move us into District 4 than District 7, if only to unite the Irving Street commercial corridor and the N-Judah route.

Thanks very much,
Eleanor Cox
eleanor_ruth@yahoo.com

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Peggy da Silva](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [GWPNA President](#)
Subject: RE: District 7 redrawing meeting tonight
Date: Thursday, February 24, 2022 11:30:40 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Peggy da Silva <silvap@sonic.net>
Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 6:43 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: MelgarStaff (BOS) <melgarstaff@sfgov.org>; GWPNA President <president@gwpna.org>
Subject: District 7 redrawing meeting tonight

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi - I am not able to join the meeting. I could do it if it were using Zoom.

However, I will study up on it. Maybe next time we can just listen?

As a first comment, I would like to make a note in favor of the district making geographical sense, and being “neighborhood-focused.” I am hearing about “cultural districts” and I am dis-inclined to support that approach. I think we should be represented multi-culturally, in geographic neighborhoods.

I don't expect that our SF commission is going to try to gerrymander the lines to actually cut out different racial, ethnic, lifestyle etc. groups. That would of course not be OK.

Thank you for your work.

Peggy da Silva. 153 Vasquez Avenue. 94127

415.305.7897

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Glen & Reiko Hatakeyama](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: District 7 Districting Input
Date: Thursday, February 24, 2022 11:30:27 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Glen & Reiko Hatakeyama <ragtime217@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 6:10 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: District 7 Districting Input

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Dear Task Force,

My name is Glen Hatakeyama and I am on the Executive Board of the Merced Extension Triangle Neighborhood Association (METNA). I want to strongly encourage the committee to retain the MET (a small triangle of land in the southwestern section of the City bounded by Frwy 280 on the south, Juniperro Serra on the west and Brotherhoodway on the north). A common interest of our neighborhood is resilient planning in event of a disaster. As a neighborhood association we are working a resiliency plan for our neighbors (ie block champions and supplies). District 7 is populated with less than the ideal 79,000 people by about 5% and should stay in District 7. We have a good working relationship with Supervisor Melgar and her staff on things to improve our neighborhood. In addition District 7's Participatory Budget program has helped improve our area tremendously. We wish to remain in District 7 and thank you for your time and effort.

Thank you.

Glen Hatakeyama

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Christopher Faust](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: ["olga.milanhowell@sf.gov"](#)
Subject: RE: Keep Diamond Heights in District 8
Date: Thursday, February 24, 2022 11:30:01 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Christopher Faust <faust@chrismary.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 6:04 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: 'olga.milanhowell@sf.gov' <olga@milanhowell.com>
Subject: Keep Diamond Heights in District 8

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force,

It has come to our attention that several of the citizen redistricting proposals move Diamond Heights from District 8 to District 7. Upper Noe Neighbors joins the Diamond Heights Community Association Board in opposing this move because:

- . Glen Canyon Park creates a clear, natural separation from D7 while the Diamond Heights neighborhood flows seamless into adjacent neighborhoods in D8.
- . Diamond Heights shares many issues in common (transportation, housing, crime, etc.) with its D8 neighbors in Upper Noe, Noe Valley and Glen Park.
- . Separating into different districts would complicate collaboration between our community organizations, which work well together toward common goals.

It is important to Upper Noe, a community of interest, to remain together with Diamond Heights in District 8. We ask that you please consider our request in the redistricting process.

Thank you,

Olga Milan-Howells, President
Christopher Faust, VP
Upper Noe Neighbors
235 30th Street
San Francisco, CA 94131
415 205-5855

https://url.avanan.click/v2/___http://uppernoeneighbors.com___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzphZmM2MDNmM2M1MjcyZDM2ZTA0ZjM2NjcxOWNhYjdjNzo1OjhiMTI6ZWExNmY0YjdiNjZiN2FiNzQ2ODQ5YzU2NGQ1MGNmZTkxOTI3ZTEzMDM1N2ZjNGY5YzJNzYzM2U0ZGFINDZINDpwOk4

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!
Date: Thursday, February 24, 2022 11:27:51 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: asheley linnenbach <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 5:31 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent asheley linnenbach

Email alinnenbach@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in Jordan Park and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines, recreates and worships in the Richmond. I know the merchants in Laurel Village, not Chestnut Street.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together and makes the most sense, as this is where we spend 90+% of our time.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: wsaver@glide.org
Cc: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); earguello@glide.org; mbustos@glide.org
Subject: FW: Keep GLIDE, the Tenderloin, and Central Soma in District 6 — SUPPORT
Date: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 3:42:44 PM
Attachments: [2022.02.23 - Redistricting Task Force Letter - GLIDE.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Wes Saver <wsaver@glide.org>

Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 3:07 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>

Cc: Erick Arguello <earguello@glide.org>; Miguel Bustos <mbustos@glide.org>

Subject: Keep GLIDE, the Tenderloin, and Central Soma in District 6 — SUPPORT

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Chair Townsend and Task Force Members,

On behalf of GLIDE, please find the attached letter, which recommends you keep GLIDE, the Tenderloin, and Central Soma in District 6.

Thank you for your leadership. We appreciate your consideration and collaboration with the community through the redistricting process.

Sincerely,

Wes

--

Wesley Saver, MPP

Senior Policy Manager

Center for Social Justice

GLIDE 330 Ellis Street, Room 511, San Francisco, CA 94102

OFFICE (415) 674-5536 | **MOBILE** (847) 682-8639 | **PRONOUNS** He/Him

This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to which they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender. Please note that any views or opinions presented in this email are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of Glide. Finally, the recipient should check this email and any attachments for the presence of viruses. GLIDE accepts no liability for any damage caused by any virus transmitted by this email.

February 23, 2022

Rev. Arnold Townsend, Chair
San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Clerk
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
rdtf@sfgov.org



Submitted via electronic mail

Re: Keep GLIDE, the Tenderloin, and Central Soma in District 6 — SUPPORT

Dear Chair Townsend and Task Force Members,

On behalf of GLIDE, I write in strong support of keeping the Tenderloin and Central Soma in District 6 through the redistricting process. In 1929, Lizzie Glide purchased a parcel located at Ellis and Taylor Streets and established the GLIDE Foundation. Closing in on 100 years, we have long since been home to political and cultural change, as well as spiritual growth, in the Central City Neighborhood — this includes our clients and partners across the Tenderloin, SoMa, and beyond. Anchored firmly in one of San Francisco's most culturally diverse neighborhoods, we have worked for decades to create a radically inclusive, just, and loving community, and embrace all our neighbors as loved ones.

As a leading social service provider in District 6 for many decades, we know the harms and trauma that communities of color and low-income people have endured. Marginalized communities have struggled to have representation and a united voice to determine their wellbeing, therefore we must maintain community history, engagement, and ensure communities of interest remain intact.

Where district lines are drawn on the map will have vast and lasting impacts on the distribution of community resources and representation in government. These must be allocated in a way such that it uplifts the voices of our most marginalized communities, instead of diluting the power of communities of color, ostensibly rendering them silent.

The decisions made by the Redistricting Task Force in these next months will determine the future of our communities for the next 10 years. Fair community redistricting is essential to keep communities together as well as to enable people to elect representatives that justly represent their community. We all deserve strong communities that have the essential services, resources, and representation for people to thrive. Please, ensure a transparent redistricting process we can trust, where communities remain whole and where voters have an equal voice — keep the Tenderloin and Central Soma in District 6. For questions about GLIDE's position, write to me at wsaver@glide.org.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Wesley Saver".

Wesley Saver
Senior Policy Manager, GLIDE

GLIDE
330 Ellis Street
San Francisco, CA 94102

T: 415-674-6070
F: 415-771-8420 www.glide.org

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: carolannrogers@prodigy.net
Cc: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Mullan, Andrew \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Russian Hill Neighbors" RTF COI statement
Date: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 3:41:17 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[RHN RTF COI FINAL 220211 \(2\).pdf](#)
[image002.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>
Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 1:21 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: FW: Russian Hill Neighbors' RTF COI statement

FYI

From: Carol Ann Rogers <carolannrogers@prodigy.net>

Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 12:34 PM

To: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>

Cc: Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; Abraham, Emily (BOS) <emily.abraham@sfgov.org>

Subject: Russian Hill Neighbors' RTF COI statement

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

This time with the attachment!

Hello Supervisor Stefani,

As you know, Russian Hill Neighbors' has been engaged in the SF redistricting process since the fall. This has included an excellent presentation on redistricting by Andy Mullan from your office followed by multiple communications to our members about the process and the importance of their participation in it. We also appointed a special Board committee to study and make a recommendation based on its research and attendance at RTF meetings. That recommendation was presented at this month's Board meeting and we then submitted a COI statement to the RTF via its website. I have attached a copy of that statement to this email for your information. We know that this is a dynamic process with city-wide implications, so we are also working collaboratively with our peer northeast neighborhood organizations and the Van Ness Corridor Neighborhood Council (VNCNC).

Thank you for all of your efforts on behalf of District 2 and the Russian Hill neighborhood. We are so excited about the imminent opening of Francisco Park and are forever grateful for all you have done to make that possible.

Don't hesitate to contact me if you have questions about RHN's redistricting statement or activities. I look forward to seeing you this afternoon at the D2 Leadership Meeting.

Best regards,
Carol Ann

Carol Ann Rogers, President
Russian Hill Neighbors
415-902-3980





PRESIDENT

Carol Ann Rogers

VICE PRESIDENTS

Gregg Carr
Pamela Meyers

SECRETARY

Suzee Barrabee

TREASURER

Joyce Kucharvy

PAST PRESIDENT

Tina Moylan

DIRECTORS

Richard Cardello
Peter Kindel
Pamela Meyers
Laurie Petipas
Alice Alioto
Robert Bluhm
Lauren Bohlin
Greg Polchow

COMMITTEE CHAIRS

Design, Zoning, and Land Use

Robert Bluhm

History

Al Greening

Communications

Susan Ford

Safety

Jennifer Parkes

Social

Pamela Meyers

Families

Moe Jamil
Annie Yang

Parks

Peter Kindel

Membership

Open

Transportation

Steve Taber

Neighborhood Enhancement

Phoebe Douglass

Local Businesses

Teddy Kramer

CSFN

Matthew Mansfield

ADVISORS

Lucretia Rauh, Chair
Tim Covington
Helen Doyle
Judy Junghans
Jovanne Reilly

1819 Polk Street #221
San Francisco CA 94109
415.993.1808
www.rhnsf.org

February 11, 2022

TO: 2020 Census: Redistricting Task Force

RE: Preliminary Input on the Supervisorial Districts Map, from Russian Hill Neighbors, a San Francisco Community of Interest

Russian Hill Neighbors (RHN) is a volunteer neighborhood organization founded in San Francisco in 1981 to preserve and advance neighborhood character and quality of life through collaboration, volunteerism and celebration of the Russian Hill community.

Our geographic boundaries are as follows:

- The south side of North Point from the east side of Van Ness Avenue to the east side of Columbus Avenue
- Southeast on Columbus Avenue to the west side of Mason Street
- South on Mason Street to the south side of Pacific Avenue
- West on Pacific Avenue to the east side of Van Ness Avenue
- North on Van Ness Avenue to the south side of North Point Street

We are writing to offer feedback as you embark upon the redistricting process in San Francisco. We request that you take into account the following points as you move closer towards a first draft of the new Supervisorial Districts map.

- 1) RHN believes Russian Hill should be unified and not split into two districts. As it currently stands, approximately 10,000 Russian Hill residents are in District 3 and 6,000 are in District 2.
- 2) As one of the several historic residential neighborhoods of Northeast San Francisco along with Telegraph Hill, North Beach, Barbary Coast, Chinatown, Nob Hill, Polk Street, and the Northeast Waterfront, Russian Hill should be located wholly within those northeastern neighborhoods, currently District 3, as we have a long, storied history of collaboration, cooperation, and community with our fellow neighborhood and merchant organizations.

3) The Russian Hill neighborhood has a very long history of shared experience and preservation advocacy with our neighbors of Northeast San Francisco that has evolved over several decades. Some notable examples include:

- adoption of the 40-ft height limit in the wake of the emergence of high-rise buildings in predominantly low-rise neighborhoods during the 1960s-70s
- protection of the Northern Waterfront
- creation of historic districts and landmarks
- support to prevent displacement of long-term tenants and property owners with deep roots in Northeast San Francisco
- a shared appreciation for local businesses in our commercial corridors
- a close working relationship with Central Police Station
- a shared commitment to the preservation and celebration of diversity in all respects for our neighborhood

4) Given the tradition of collaboration, Russian Hill and its Northeastern neighbors have the opportunity to join together in addressing important challenges today and in the future, including:

- the value of maintaining healthy and vibrant commercial corridors of small and neighborhood serving businesses
- the high cost of both ownership and rental properties that threatens residents from being able to continue to afford to live in San Francisco
- finding solutions to quality-of-life issues such as clean streets, safety and security
- advocating for the services that allow families to continue to reside in our neighborhoods, such as good schools, open space, childcare and support for seniors

For these reasons, we request that you consider unifying Russian Hill into one district and combine it with its peer residential neighborhoods of Northeast San Francisco (currently District 3).

We understand that you must consider many factors in the redistricting process, but we strongly advocate for final boundaries which take into account the long and important history of Russian Hill as a community of interest.

Thank you very much for the opportunity to provide our input in this very important process.

Yours truly,
Carol Ann Rogers, President
president@rhnsf.org; 415-902-3980

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Date: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 3:39:07 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Danielle Boutros <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 12:48 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Danielle Boutros

Email dmboutros@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in D2 and it makes no sense that these neighborhoods are connected to the Marina, Cow Hollow and Pacific Heights. Clearly, residents who live in those neighborhoods shop at Laurel Village and on Clement Street more than they do on Chestnut Street and Fillmore Street. And they recreate around where they actually live—Mountain Lake Park and Golden Gate Park, more than they do at Chrissy Field and Fort Mason.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together — this makes the most sense for our community.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Date: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 3:38:52 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Robin Donohoe <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 12:32 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Robin Donohoe

Email robin@draperrichards.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in D2 and it makes no sense that these neighborhoods are connected to the Marina, Cow Hollow and Pacific Heights. Clearly, residents who live in those neighborhoods shop at Laurel Village and on Clement Street more than they do on Chestnut Street and Fillmore Street. And they recreate around where they actually live—Mountain Lake Park and Golden Gate Park, more than they do at Chrissy Field and Fort Mason.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together — this makes the most sense for our community.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!
Date: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 11:35:07 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Jamie Bartlett <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 11:28 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Jamie Bartlett

Email sublimemissj@hotmail.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in Jordan Park and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines, recreates and worships in the Richmond. I know the merchants in Laurel Village, not Chestnut Street.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together and makes the most sense, as this is where we spend 90+% of our time.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!
Date: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 11:34:38 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: William Bartlett <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 9:55 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent William Bartlett

Email will@willbartlett.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in Jordan Park and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines, recreates and worships in the Richmond. I know the merchants in Laurel Village, not Chestnut Street.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together and makes the most sense, as this is where we spend 90+% of our time.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Date: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 11:34:24 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Alanna Klein <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 6:35 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Alanna Klein

Email alannakrobin@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

Dear Task Force:

Please move Sea Cliff into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in Sea Cliff (D2) and my family spends far more time on Clement Street (D1) and Geary Street shopping and dining than any of the D2 commercial corridors on Fillmore or Union Streets.

Examples of why we consider Clement Street/Geary Street to be part of our neighborhood include: (1) My kids walk from our house to Angelinas on California for lunch and snacks daily. (2) We use the local post office and amenities on Geary Street multiple times a week. (3) We walk our dog around the Richmond District daily. (4) We use the playgrounds and tennis courts in the Richmond District weekly.

It makes no sense that Sea Cliff and Lake Street are currently part of D2. Sea Cliff and Lake Street are geographically separated from Cow Hollow (a D2 neighborhood) by a steep hill (Divisadero Street); and geographically separated from the Marina (another D2 neighborhood) by a state highway

(101/Lombard).

The official redistricting map should put Sea Cliff and Lake Street in D1, with the Richmond — this makes the most sense for our community.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Alanna Klein

Address:

214 28th Avenue
San Francisco 94121

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!
Date: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 11:34:04 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: meg storey <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 6:37 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent meg storey

Email mstorey274@aol.com

I am a resident of District 1

Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in Jordan Park and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines, recreates and worships in the Richmond. I know the merchants in Laurel Village, not Chestnut Street.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together and makes the most sense, as this is where we spend 90+% of our time.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!
Date: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 11:33:50 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Eric Schier <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 5:23 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Eric Schier

Email eschier@yahoo.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in Jordan Park and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines, recreates and worships in the Richmond. I know the merchants in Laurel Village, not Chestnut Street.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together and makes the most sense, as this is where we spend 90+% of our time.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!
Date: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 11:33:37 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Kelly Halper <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 4:31 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Kelly Halper

Email kellyhalp@me.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in Jordan Park and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines, recreates and worships in the Richmond. I know the merchants in Laurel Village, not Chestnut Street.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together and makes the most sense, as this is where we spend 90+% of our time.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Date: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 11:33:03 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Holly Peterson <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 1:37 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Holly Peterson

Email holly.peterson@me.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in D2 and it makes no sense that these neighborhoods are connected to the Marina, Cow Hollow and Pacific Heights. My family and I shop in Laurel Village, on Sacramento Street and Clement Street. We rarely if at all shop on Fillmore or in the Marina. We frequent the Clement Street farmers market and eat out on Sacramento and Clement at least twice a week. We walk our dog to Mountain Lake Park consistently and roller skate in Golden Gate Park. Please join our community back together with District 1.

Thank you!
Holly Peterson
3630 Jackson Street
San Francisco CA 94118

415-378-2366

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:25:55 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Patrick Devlin <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 11:27 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Patrick Devlin

Email patrick.devlin@sbcglobal.net

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in D2 and it makes no sense that these neighborhoods are connected to the Marina, Cow Hollow and Pacific Heights. Clearly, residents who live in those neighborhoods shop at Laurel Village and on Clement Street more than they do on Chestnut Street and Fillmore Street. And they recreate around where they actually live—Mountain Lake Park and Golden Gate Park, more than they do at Chrissy Field and Fort Mason.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together — this makes the most sense for our community.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Kathy Devincenzi](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Mullan, Andrew \(BOS\)](#); [Owen Hart](#); [Richard Frisbie](#)
Subject: FW: Map of Proposed D2
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:25:50 PM
Attachments: [20220221231503.pdf](#)
[20220221231432.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kathy Devincenzi <krdevincenzi@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 10:36 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; Owen Hart <olhart120@gmail.com>; Richard Frisbie

<frfbeagle@gmail.com>

Subject: Map of Proposed D2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To: San Francisco Redistricting Task Force

Please see attached letter and 3

attachments.

Thank you,

Laurel Heights Improvement Association of SF, Inc.

By: Kathy Devincenzi, President

D2 2020 PROPOSED STREET BOUNDARIES

STARTING ON THE WEST SIDE

Pacific Ocean south along the Eastern Border of Lincoln Park to California St.

East on California to 25th St.

North on 25th to Lake St.

East on Lake to Arguello Blvd.

South on Arguello to Geary Blvd.

East on Geary to Masonic Ave.

South on Masonic to Turk St.

East on Turk to St. Joseph Ave.

North on St. Joseph to Geary Ave.

North from Geary to Post St. on Baker St.

West on Post to Presidio Ave.

North on Presidio to Bush St.

East on Bush to Baker St.

North on Baker to California St.

East on California to Steiner St.

South on Steiner to Sutter St.

East on Sutter to Webster St.

North on Webster to Bush St.

East on Bust to Van Ness Ave.

North on Van Ness to Broadway.

East on Broadway to Leavenworth St.

North on Leavenworth to Union St.

East on Union to Mason St.

North on Mason to Columbus Ave.

Northwest on Columbus to Leavenworth St.

North on Leavenworth to San Francisco Bay.


Map of the
2nd Supervisorial District
 City and County of
 San Francisco, California




Prepared by the Department of Elections

March 2019

— Precinct Boundary



GOLDEN GATE
 BRIDGE



From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:25:23 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: J. Hagan <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 9:58 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent J. Hagan

Email jh88mailbox@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I am an SF native and resident of Pacific Heights, and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines, recreates and worships in the Richmond. I know the merchants on Chestnut Street, not Laurel Village.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together and makes the most sense, as this is where people spend 90+% of their time.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:25:19 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Andrea LoPinto <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 9:10 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Andrea LoPinto

Email lopinto@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in Jordan Park and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines, recreates and worships in the Richmond. I know the merchants in Laurel Village, not Chestnut Street.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together and makes the most sense, as this is where we spend 90+% of our time.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:25:12 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: William Koch <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 6:17 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent William Koch

Email briankoch@tflandscapes.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in Jordan Park and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines, recreates and worships in the Richmond. I know the merchants in Laurel Village, not Chestnut Street.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together and makes the most sense, as this is where we spend 90+% of our time.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:25:05 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Paul Armstrong <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, February 21, 2022 8:35 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Paul Armstrong

Email earthbath@yahoo.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in D2 and it makes no sense that these neighborhoods are connected to the Marina, Cow Hollow and Pacific Heights. Clearly, residents who live in those neighborhoods shop at Laurel Village and on Clement Street more than they do on Chestnut Street and Fillmore Street. And they recreate around where they actually live—Mountain Lake Park and Golden Gate Park, more than they do at Chrissy Field and Fort Mason.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together — this makes the most sense for our community.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:24:59 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Lanier coles <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, February 21, 2022 8:34 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Lanier coles

Email lanier_coles@hotmail.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Presidio Heights into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in Presidio Heights (D2) and my family spends far more time on Clement Street (D1) shopping and dining than any of the D2 commercial corridors on Fillmore or Union Streets. Examples of why we consider Clement Street to be part of our neighborhood include: (1) my kids walk from our house at #3858 Jackson Street to Gengki Crepes & Minimart at #330 Clement Street for boba tea at least 1x per week, if not more. We are also weekly patrons of Arsicault Bakery at the intersection of Arguello & Clement. (3) We walk our dog down via the Presidio to Mountain Lake Park every single day.

It makes no sense that Presidio Heights and Lake Street are currently part of D2. Presidio Heights and Lake Street are geographically separated from Cow Hollow (a D2 neighborhood) by a steep hill (Divisadero Street); and geographically separated

from the Marina (another D2 neighborhood) by a state highway (101/Lombard).

The official redistricting map should keep Presidio Heights and Lake Street in D1, with the Richmond — this makes the most sense for our community.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Lanier Coles

Address:

3858 Jackson Street
San Francisco 94118

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:24:55 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Alanna Klein <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, February 21, 2022 6:18 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Alanna Klein

Email alannakrobin@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in D2 and it makes no sense that these neighborhoods are connected to the Marina, Cow Hollow and Pacific Heights. Clearly, residents who live in those neighborhoods shop at Laurel Village and on Clement Street more than they do on Chestnut Street and Fillmore Street. And they recreate around where they actually live—Mountain Lake Park and Golden Gate Park, more than they do at Chrissy Field and Fort Mason.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together — this makes the most sense for our community.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:24:51 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Donna Armstrong <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, February 21, 2022 5:49 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Donna Armstrong

Email donna.armstrong@staples.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in D2 and it makes no sense that these neighborhoods are connected to the Marina, Cow Hollow and Pacific Heights. Clearly, residents who live in those neighborhoods shop at Laurel Village and on Clement Street more than they do on Chestnut Street and Fillmore Street. And they recreate around where they actually live—Mountain Lake Park and Golden Gate Park, more than they do at Chrissy Field and Fort Mason.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together — this makes the most sense for our community.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:24:44 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Marianne Schier <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, February 21, 2022 5:13 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Marianne Schier

Email bacisf@Yahoo.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in Jordan Park and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines, recreates and worships in the Richmond. I know the merchants in Laurel Village, not Chestnut Street.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together and makes the most sense, as this is where we spend 90+% of our time.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:24:40 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Brian McNamee <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, February 21, 2022 4:52 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Brian McNamee

Email frombriansipad@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 1

Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in Jordan Park and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines, recreates and worships in the Richmond. I know the merchants in Laurel Village, not Chestnut Street.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together and makes the most sense, as this is where we spend 90+% of our time.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Gail Baugh](#)
Cc: tesw@aol.com
Subject: FW: COI for D5, Hayes Valley LGBTQ Community of Interest
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:24:32 PM
Attachments: [2 Hayes Valley LGBTQ community of interest.docx](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Gail Baugh <gailbaugh40@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, February 21, 2022 3:50 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Cc: TesW@aol.com

Subject: COI for D5, Hayes Valley LGBTQ Community of Interest

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi, Task Force,

Please note this **cover letter from Paul Olsen, former president of HVNA, long time board member, and for 10 years worked with 2 different developers**, Open House senior housing developer, and made sure that the Alchemy Management curated and managed the Community Garden and found a suitable community-serving tenant (Haight Street Art Center) for that designated space:

"Attached please find a COI that explains why I believe Hayes Valley has an LGBTQ community of interest that includes the site containing the UCSF Dental School, Alchemy Apts, openhouse, the Community Garden and the Haight Street Art Center.

I believe this UC-owned parcel was mistakenly moved into D8 with the last redistricting, sorely neglecting the longstanding LGBTQ community throughout Hayes Valley, and the huge efforts that Hayes Valley neighbors made to ensure that the "new" LGBTQ community would be a part of the larger neighborhood.

As you know, I have moved from Hayes Valley after more than 2 decades of living a short walk from this site, with almost a full decade of advocating for the most appropriate uses of the UC site during that time. I am happy to author this request, especially since I was involved in the drafting of the legislation that established the Castro LGBTQ Cultural Community District, which includes this site."

Name: The Hayes Valley LGBTQ community is the community of interest

What is your community's mutual interest?

"Although the Castro emerged as a gay neighborhood in the 1960s, the support it provided to LGBTQ people often was qualified by such limitations as socioeconomic status, race, age, and gender identity. Because Hayes Valley largely escaped the forces of gentrification into the 2000s, it offered less expensive housing and commercial spaces and retained a vibrant culture accessible to an LGBTQ population of lesser means and greater diversity." (2019 BOS legislation for Castro LGBTQ Cultural District)

This community was actively discriminated against by those living in the Castro because the group lived in a low-rent, high-crime area, that also included a unique commercial district. Conversely, in Hayes Valley, all residents were embraced regardless of racial/economic circumstances. Many endured the destruction of Hayes Valley and building of the Central Freeway, and years later many in this LGBTQ community became core organizers to remove the Central Freeway. This acceptance of all later became a part of the Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association (HVNA) bylaws and a core value in recognizing the need for affordable housing. This group worked tirelessly to assure that 50% of the former freeway parcels were dedicated to marginalized groups (TAY, developmentally disabled, formerly homeless) as well as general BMR housing.

Where is your community located?

Our Community of Interest is mostly within the current Hayes Valley Neighborhood Assn boundaries (N on Webster from Haight, E on McAllister, S on Van Ness, W on Market, N on Buchanan, W on Waller, N on Fillmore, E on Haight to Webster, N on Webster) and includes the UC Regents site of 340 units at Alchemy Apts, Haight Street Art Center, a community garden and openhouse's LGBTQ Senior Housing at 55 Laguna.

Why should your community be kept together?

"Notably, the former Oak Hill Neighborhood Association, and the larger and ongoing Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association ("HVNA") included significant LGBTQ leadership since their formation. The HVNA took a groundbreaking position by stating a commitment to maintaining neighborhood diversity in its bylaws. LGBTQ people also had prominent roles in the campaign to demolish the Central Freeway after the 1989 earthquake and in the subsequent creation of Octavia Boulevard and Patricia's Green, as well as in advocating development of at least 50% affordable housing on parcels cleared by the freeway removal." (2019 BOS legislation for Castro LGBTQ Cultural District)

The need for LGBTQ-friendly elder housing was also important to this Hayes Valley LGBTQ community, particularly with many facing aging on a small fixed income. This community worked hard to see the new LGBTQ-friendly elder housing development at the 55 Laguna complex become a reality, as well as ensuring it was a part of the larger neighborhood, not closed off as the developer had originally planned. Members of Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association fought hard for the inclusion of a significant number of BMR units in the large apartment complex, as well as for the low-income LGBTQ openhouse complex. Along with supporting the addition of the openhouse LGBTQ community, neighbors and members of HVNA also advocated for a neighborhood-serving community garden and neighborhood-serving community space which became the Haight Street Art Center, which has many connections in the neighborhood, especially with John Muir Elementary School and the students there. It is very important to recognize the role the HVNA community played in the establishment of the first in the nation LGBTQ-friendly senior supportive housing, and ensuring its inclusion into the larger neighborhood rather than treating it as a stand-alone (and thus isolated) institution.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:24:10 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Michael Eisler <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, February 21, 2022 3:25 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Michael Eisler

Email mbeis@hotmail.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in Jordan Park and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines, recreates and worships in the Richmond. I know the merchants in Laurel Village, not Chestnut Street.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together and makes the most sense, as this is where we spend 90+% of our time.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:24:05 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Gretchen Koch <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, February 21, 2022 3:18 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Gretchen Koch

Email gretchenee@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in Jordan Park and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines, recreates and worships in the Richmond. I know the merchants in Laurel Village, not Chestnut Street.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together and makes the most sense, as this is where we spend 90+% of our time.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:24:00 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: David Oksenberg <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, February 21, 2022 2:55 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent David Oksenberg

Email david_oksenberg@hotmail.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in Jordan Park and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines, recreates and worships in the Richmond. I know the merchants in Laurel Village, not Chestnut Street.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together and makes the most sense, as this is where we spend 90+% of our time.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:23:50 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Phillip Raiser <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, February 21, 2022 2:35 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Phillip Raiser

Email phillip@raiser.com

I am a resident of District 1

Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in D2 and it makes no sense that these neighborhoods are connected to the Marina, Cow Hollow and Pacific Heights. Clearly, residents who live in those neighborhoods shop at Laurel Village and on Clement Street more than they do on Chestnut Street and Fillmore Street. And they recreate around where they actually live—Mountain Lake Park and Golden Gate Park, more than they do at Chrissy Field and Fort Mason.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together — this makes the most sense for our community.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Gretchen Koch](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Mullan, Andrew \(BOS\)](#); [Donovan, Dominica \(BOS\)](#); rbeagle@gmail.com
Subject: RE: SF Redistricting Jordan Park
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:23:45 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Gretchen Koch <gretchenee@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, February 21, 2022 2:16 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; Donovan, Dominica (BOS) <dominica.donovan@sfgov.org>; rbeagle@gmail.com

Subject: Re: SF Redistricting Jordan Park

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I would like to RETRACT my previous comments regarding Redistricting for Jordan Park. Based on further investigation into Redistricting, I strongly endorse a move to D1, to adjoin Jordan Park to our other Richmond neighbors.

Thank you,
Gretchen Koch

On Jan 20, 2022, at 10:23 AM, Gretchen Koch <gretchenee@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force

I am a resident of Jordan Park-Arguello, Geary, Parker/Spruce, California and I request that my neighborhood **remain in Supervisorial District 2** which has been the case for many, many years. My neighborhood has a strong Community of Interest with the other D2 neighborhoods: Laurel Heights, Cow Hollow, The Marina, Pacific Heights, Presidio Heights and has worked with them on social, cultural and economic issues and projects over the years. This Community of Interest includes Public Safety, Housing for the Homeless, and a number of major housing developments: the former CPMC Hospital at 3700 California St; the former UCSF campus at 3333 California St, as well as the Lucky Penny and Firestone sites on Geary Blvd.

I, along with my neighborhood, have formed a strong bond and productive working relationships with the public organizations within D2, especially with our Supervisor Catherine Stefani and **do not wish to see these disrupted.**

Thank you for your consideration and your service on the RTF.

Sincerely,

Gretchen Koch

--

Gretchen Eschbacher Koch
gretchenEE@gmail.com

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:23:29 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Billy Brandreth <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, February 21, 2022 1:17 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Billy Brandreth

Email wrb100@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in D2 and it makes no sense that these neighborhoods are connected to the Marina, Cow Hollow and Pacific Heights. Clearly, residents who live in those neighborhoods shop at Laurel Village and on Clement Street more than they do on Chestnut Street and Fillmore Street. And they recreate around where they actually live—Mountain Lake Park and Golden Gate Park, more than they do at Chrissy Field and Fort Mason.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together — this makes the most sense for our community.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:23:24 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Brett Ortiz <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, February 21, 2022 12:09 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Brett Ortiz

Email ortizbrett@yahoo.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in D2 and it makes no sense that these neighborhoods are connected to the Marina, Cow Hollow and Pacific Heights. Clearly, residents who live in those neighborhoods shop at Laurel Village and on Clement Street more than they do on Chestnut Street and Fillmore Street. And they recreate around where they actually live—Mountain Lake Park and Golden Gate Park, more than they do at Chrissy Field and Fort Mason.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together — this makes the most sense for our community.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:23:18 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Todd Madsen <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, February 21, 2022 12:02 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Todd Madsen

Email todd.madsen@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in Jordan Park and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines, recreates and worships in the Richmond. I know the merchants in Laurel Village, not Chestnut Street.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together and makes the most sense, as this is where we spend 90+% of our time.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:23:13 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Amanda Hoenigman <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, February 21, 2022 12:00 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Amanda Hoenigman

Email amanda@hoenigman.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in Jordan Park and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines, recreates and worships in the Richmond. I know the merchants in Laurel Village, not Chestnut Street.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together and makes the most sense, as this is where we spend 90+% of our time.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:23:08 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Louisa Ritter <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, February 21, 2022 11:43 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Louisa Ritter

Email louisaritter4@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in Jordan Park and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines, recreates and worships in the Richmond. I know the merchants in Laurel Village, not Chestnut Street.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together and makes the most sense, as this is where we spend 90+% of our time.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:23:02 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Kimberly Oksenberg <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, February 21, 2022 11:10 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Kimberly Oksenberg

Email koksenberg@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. These neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines, recreates and worships in the Richmond.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together and makes the most sense, as this is where we spend 90+% of our time.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Redistricting map
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:22:54 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

-----Original Message-----

From: William Terheyden <wferdsf@comcast.net>
Sent: Monday, February 21, 2022 10:21 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I strongly support the Redistricting plan submitted by the Coalition of For San Francisco Neighborhoods last week. It is fair and equitable, and will enhance diversity of representation.

William F Terheyden
61 Toledo Way
Lifelong SF resident.
Sent from my iPhone

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:22:50 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Tony Kiehn <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, February 21, 2022 1:59 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Tony Kiehn

Email tk@kiehn.net

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in Jordan Park and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines, recreates and worships in the Richmond. I know the merchants in Laurel Village, not Chestnut Street.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together and makes the most sense, as this is where we spend 90+% of our time.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:22:45 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Katherine Hagan <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Sunday, February 20, 2022 6:18 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Katherine Hagan

Email katie@hagansf.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in Jordan Park and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines, recreates and worships in the Richmond. I know the merchants in Laurel Village, not Chestnut Street.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together and makes the most sense, as this is where we spend 90+% of our time.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:22:39 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Mike Paul <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Sunday, February 20, 2022 4:31 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Mike Paul

Email mikeapaul@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in Jordan Park and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines, recreates and worships in the Richmond. I know the merchants in Laurel Village, not Chestnut Street.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together and makes the most sense, as this is where we spend 90+% of our time.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:22:35 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Julie Paul <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Sunday, February 20, 2022 4:31 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Julie Paul

Email juliepaul164@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in Jordan Park and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines, recreates and worships in the Richmond. I know the merchants in Laurel Village, not Chestnut Street.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together and makes the most sense, as this is where we spend 90+% of our time.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Catherine Liddell](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting -- District 6
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:22:28 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[D6 Redistricting February 2022.docx](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Catherine Liddell <ccliddell@me.com>
Sent: Saturday, February 19, 2022 4:17 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting -- District 6

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

February 19, 2022

SF Department of Elections

rdtf@sfgov.org;

john.carroll@sfgov.org

Dear Redistricting Task Force members,

Please keep our beloved neighborhoods together in District 6. The South of Market neighborhoods including The East Cut (Rincon, TransBay), South Beach, Yerba Buena, Eastern and Western SoMa, along with Mission Bay and Treasure Island all share common needs and should be viewed together as a unit of Community Interest. We are/were redevelopment areas of the City, building where residential barely existed before. This was a no-man's land "south of the slot" where decent San Franciscans did not wander. Now everybody wants to live and play here.

I have lived here since 1995 and know the neighborhood well. I co-founded the South Beach / Rincon / Mission Bay Neighborhood Association in 2008 and have continued to familiarize myself with the residents and our issues.

The swift growth of our neighborhood has seen the addition of more housing and more residents than any other District in the City. We must stay together – without adding in incongruous adjacent neighborhoods – in order to properly address our common issues.

Just a few of those common issues are:

Wide streets not configured for slower auto speeds or pedestrian safety

Lack of open space and parks

Areas of industrial PDR transforming to a more diverse mix of uses

Close proximity to freeways and on/off ramps, contributing to both pedestrian safety and air quality issues

Adjacent to the Bay/Port with concerns about sea level rise

Lack of schools

We simply must stay together and work together as we have in the past to continue to develop this wonderful part of the City. Please keep the District 6 area south of Market, including Mission Bay and Treasure Island, intact.

Respectfully,

Katy Liddell

403 Main Street #813

San Francisco, CA 94105

415.412.2207

February 19, 2022

SF Department of Elections

rdtf@sfgov.org;

john.carroll@sfgov.org

Dear Redistricting Task Force members,

Please keep our beloved neighborhoods together in District 6. The South of Market neighborhoods including The East Cut (Rincon, TransBay), South Beach, Yerba Buena, Eastern and Western SoMa, along with Mission Bay and Treasure Island all share common needs and should be viewed together as a unit of Community Interest. We are/were redevelopment areas of the City, building where residential barely existed before. This was a no-man's land "south of the slot" where decent San Franciscans did not wander. Now everybody wants to live and play here.

I have lived here since 1995 and know the neighborhood well. I co-founded the South Beach / Rincon / Mission Bay Neighborhood Association in 2008 and have continued to familiarize myself with the residents and our issues.

The swift growth of our neighborhood has seen the addition of more housing and more residents than any other District in the City. We must stay together – without adding in incongruous adjacent neighborhoods – in order to properly address our common issues.

Just a few of those common issues are:

- Wide streets not configured for slower auto speeds or pedestrian safety
- Lack of open space and parks
- Areas of industrial PDR transforming to a more diverse mix of uses
- Close proximity to freeways and on/off ramps, contributing to both pedestrian safety and air quality issues
- Adjacent to the Bay/Port with concerns about sea level rise
- Lack of schools

We simply must stay together and work together as we have in the past to continue to develop this wonderful part of the City. Please keep the District 6 area south of Market, including Mission Bay and Treasure Island, intact.

Respectfully,

Katy Liddell

403 Main Street #813

San Francisco, CA 94105

415.412.2207

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Peter Wong](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting District 2
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:22:14 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Peter Wong <peterwong@cal.berkeley.edu>
Sent: Saturday, February 19, 2022 10:56 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting District 2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

To whom it may concern,

I live at 200 Euclid Avenue, and am writing to express my concern about the redistricting discussions that are currently underway. I live at the edge of the current boundary, and am concerned that the changes to the boundary would put my family in a district with divergent priorities.

Is there something wrong with the current boundaries? As they say, "if it ain't broke, don't fix it."

Thank you for your consideration,

Peter Wong

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Richard Worner](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Kathy Devincenzi](#); [Christopher L. Bowman](#); [Owen Hart](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting plan including Jordan Park in District 2
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:22:10 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Richard Worner <richworner@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, February 19, 2022 9:35 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Kathy Devincenzi <krdevincenzi@gmail.com>; Christopher L. Bowman <chrislbowman@sbcglobal.net>; Owen Hart <olhart120@gmail.com>; Stefani, Catherine <caerine.stefani@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting plan including Jordan Park in District 2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

John:

I fully support Chris Bowman's redistricting plan.

As a past President of the Jordan Park Improvement Association, I am very aware of the alliances JPIA had with our neighboring Associations: Presidio Heights and Laurel Heights.

Our Associations collaborated on many issues to make our neighborhoods and our City a better place for our constituents and City families to live.

I implore you to keep our Associations TOGETHER in District 2.

We have an excellent relationship with our supervisor, Catherine Stefani and we need to keep that relationship in tack for years to come.

Leave Jordan Park and Laurel Heights in D-2.

Richard Worner

129 Palm Ave, San Francisco, CA 94118

--

COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE CAPITAL (DRE #00554985)

Richard A. Worner

129 Palm Ave.

San Francisco, CA. 94118

Phone: 415-314-5833

Email: worner@sbcglobal.net

This email and any files transmitted with it are solely intended for the use of the addressee(s) and may contain information that is confidential and privileged. If you receive this email in error, please advise us by return email immediately.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Richard Frisbie](#)
Cc: [Christopher Bowman](#); [Charles Head](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Mullan, Andrew \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Submission of The Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods "Incremental/Minimal Redistricting Plan"
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:21:56 PM
Attachments: [CSFN LETTER OF SUBMISSION TO RDTF with Letterhead and Signature.pdf](#)
[Table of Contents Revised.pdf](#)
[COVER SHEET OVERVIEW.pdf](#)
[Overview of CSFN's Incremental and Minimum Change Redistricting Plan and History of the 1995 Elections Task Force and 2002 and 2012 Redistricting Task Forces \(2\).pdf](#)
[CLB 2020 MAP City-wide with BLACK BOUNDARY LINES.pdf](#)
[COVER SHEET APPENDIX 1.pdf](#)
[Summary of what the CSFN Incremental and Minimum Change Redistricting Plan would accomplish.pdf](#)
[Population and Racial Profiles of San Francisco's Supervisorial Districts Currently and under CSFN's Proposed Incremental, Minimum Change Redistricting Plan \(5\).pdf](#)
[Inventory of the 25 Transfers District to District Proposed in the Incremental and Minimum Change Redistricting Plan \(2\).pdf](#)
[Metes and Bounds for Incremental, Minimum Change Redistricting Plan, Drafted by Christopher L. Bowman, January 22, 2022, and adopted by the Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods.pdf](#)
[COVER SHEET APPENDIX 2.pdf](#)
[Minority report CSFN redistricting 02162022 FINAL v2 \(1\).pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

We have also received this submission in hard-copy form, and we are working in the background to bring the posting of this to the "Maps Submitted from the Public" section of the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information

when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Richard Frisbie <frfbeagle@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, February 18, 2022 5:17 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Christopher Bowman <chrisbowman@sbcglobal.net>; Charles Head <charleshead@hotmail.com>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>

Subject: Re: Submission of The Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods "Incremental/Minimal Redistricting Plan"

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

One correction: rather than send maps in a Google Drive Link (due to document size) which is often difficult to access I only sent the City-wide map with the e-mail.

The District maps were submitted via the RDTF Mapping website.

Richard

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 18, 2022, at 4:33 PM, Richard Frisbie <frfbeagle@gmail.com> wrote:

Find attached the package representing the plan developed by Chris Bowman in collaboration with CSFN. To quote from our cover letter(included) "It is the result of extensive research and the thoughtful application of fairness, equity and recognition of Community of Interest." The document consists of the Cover Letter, an Overview Section and two Appendices. The map in the Overview section have also been submitted via the RDTF Mapping Tool website.

If any information appears absent please let me know.

Per previous correspondence we believe this entire document should be posted on the RDTF website as opposed to simply posting the maps as the maps tell only a small portion of the story and cannot/should not be viewed in isolation.

The Maps had to be sent as a Google Drive link due to size and need to placed in the correct location, second, of the Overview section.

Thanks,
Richard Frisbie

281-224-4479



18 February, 2022

SUBJECT: Submission of the Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods “Incremental/Minimal Change Redistricting Plan.”

Reverend Arnold Townsend, Chair and Members
San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
c/o John Carroll, Redistricting Task Force Clerk
City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Rm. 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Chair Townsend and Members,

The Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods, CSFN, is pleased to submit this City-wide map and important relevant information to the Task Force for its consideration. This map has been developed in collaboration with Christopher Bowman, a long-time expert in matters related to elections and redistricting in San Francisco. It is the result of extensive research and the thoughtful application of fairness, equity, and recognition of Community of Interest. The maps were submitted to the RDTF on 18 Feb. 2022 via the RDTF Mapping Tool website.

CSFN is the largest neighborhood coalition in the San Francisco, representing a diverse mix of 24 member neighborhoods spread across the spectrum of San Francisco. CSFN is also the oldest major neighborhood coalition in San Francisco having been active continuously since 1972 (celebrating our 50th anniversary!). We take great pride in our diversity, longevity and commitment to the betterment of all San Franciscans.


The City-wide map submitted was approved by the CSFN General Assembly on 15 February, 2022 and CSFN believes it represents the best solution to the complex issues affecting fair and equitable redistricting.

It is worth noting that not all our members are in agreement with CSFN's map as it relates to their neighborhood/district and have been encouraged to submit specific alternatives for the RDTF's consideration.

We request that our entire submission be posted on the RDTF website as a viewer can only then fully appreciate the detailed analysis that went into creating the map.

We look forward to your considerations.

Respectfully,

A photograph of a handwritten signature in black ink on a light blue background. The signature reads "Charles P. Head" in a cursive, flowing script.

Charles Head

President, Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods

SAN FRANCISCO INCREMENTAL/MINIMUM CHANGE REDISTRICTING PLAN

Drafted by Christopher L. Bowman in Collaboration with the Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods (CSFN)

Table of Contents

Overview

Overview of the Incremental/Minimum Change Redistricting Plan

Summary of Maps

Appendix 1

Summary of Benefits of the CSFN Proposed Plan

Adjusted 2020 Census Population and Demographics

Inventory of 25 Population Transfers

Metes and Bounds

Appendix 2

Minority Report

OVERVIEW

History, Discussion and Rationale

Supporting Maps

Overview of the CSFN's Incremental/Minimal Change Redistricting Plan
Drafted by Christopher L. Bowman, January 22, 2022
Adopted by the Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods, February 15, 2022
Submitted to the Redistricting Task Force, February 18, 2022

Dear Chair Townsend and Members of the Redistricting Task Force:

On February 15, 2022, by a super-majority, the Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods adopted the third draft of the Incremental/Minimum Change Redistricting Plan drafted at our request by Christopher L. Bowman on January 22, 2022.

Chris, a long-time friend of CSFN, was a member of the nine-member 1995 Elections Task Force which drew the district elections plan approved by the voters in 1996, and was used to elect eleven members of the Board of Supervisors in 2000, and has since submitted redistricting plans in 2011 and 2021 to the California Citizens Redistricting Commission, a plan to the 2012 SFRTF, and two plans to the San Mateo Board of Supervisors in 2013 and 2021.

Today, the Coalition is submitting our adopted redistricting plan to the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force, including our proposed citywide map, this overview with two appendices, population and racial profiles comparing our proposed districts to the current (2012) districts, an inventory of the 25 changes we propose to the current plan including the population and racial CVAP for each change, and the metes and bounds for our plan.

Our plan accommodates the population growth in Districts 6 and 10 in an equitable fashion throughout the City, balancing for population +/- 5% of the mean population for a district, and limiting the size of the transfers from Districts 6 and 10 to Districts 3, 5, and 9 to the absolute minimum allowable under the law, to reduce the ripple effects on the remaining districts.

By so doing, we minimize the number of San Franciscans who will find themselves in a new district after April 15th (when the Task Force adopts its final plan), to just 74,327 residents or 8.49% of the City's population, and through incremental change balancing for population maintain the social-economic, racial, cultural, and political character of all the current districts. To the maximum extent possible, we have kept or made neighborhoods and districts whole and in the case of the Inner Sunset which is already divided into three districts we reduce that division to two districts, with the western portion west of 12th Avenue which is heavily Asian going to District 4 and the eastern portion between 12th and 5th Avenue which has an economic Community of Interest with the residents of Sunset Heights of District 7 who shop, dine, and receive personal services and care in the commercial district centered at 9th and Irving going to District 7

We have also made the UCSF – Parnassus campus whole, restored some of the 1995 boundaries of the original plan, and adjusted districts based on topography or freeways,

Finally, we've incrementally increased Asian CVAP in Districts 2, 3, 5, 10, and 11 and through surgically precise transfers between District 5 and Districts 2 and 8, between District 10 and Districts 6 and 9, and between District 8 and 9, increased the Black CVAP in Districts 5, 6, and 10, and the Hispanic CVAP in District 9.

On the westside of the City, we recognize that the Asian CVAP in Districts 1 and 4 must decline, so the transfers we have proposed to bring those districts over 95% of the mean population were designed to minimize that decline, while other proposals that have been made to the Task Force to put all of NOPA and Anza Vista into District 1 and all of the Inner Sunset into District 4 would cause two to three times the decline in Asian CVAP in those districts than under our plan.

We acknowledge that some of our member organizations disagreed with our proposal as it affected Districts 3 and 4, and we have included their minority report in Appendix 2, but we would suggest that while their plans taken in isolation for their respective districts may make perfect sense, they have not explored the ramifications and adverse ripple effects of their plans on neighboring districts or in the rest of the City, and unless they can submit a city-wide map or maps centered on their home districts that works for all eleven districts, their dissent carries less weight with us, and hopefully also with the Task Force.

In conclusion, it is important that redistricting not become a zero-sum game. That's one reason Supervisor Hallinan in 1994 proposed creating the Elections Task Force which had three members appointed by the Mayor, three by the Board of Supervisors, and three by the Registrar of Voters, whose members represented the diversity of the City. They drafted a plan in which every major stakeholder of the City felt it had a decent chance to elect one of their own to the Board or influence what candidate outside their community was elected who would represent their interest.

In redistricting the key is to give people what they need versus what they want (which normally is at other people's expense). We believe that our plan provides a "win/win" for all major stakeholders of the City including our dozens of diverse and unique neighborhoods and communities.

Historical Background

To put the current (2012) Redistricting plan and CSFN's plan in their proper context, we need to go back 28 years, when Supervisor Terence Hallinan and the majority of his colleagues on the Board of Supervisors placed Proposition L on the November 1994 ballot calling for the creation of the nine-member 1995 Elections Task Force which would present to the Board of Supervisors a plan or plans to provide a different method for electing the Board of Supervisors, taking into account the number of Supervisors San Francisco should have, the pay for Supervisors, the costs

of running for Supervisor, and representation of the diversity of the City's neighborhoods and communities.

The measure won at the polls and Supervisor Hallinan lobbied the three appointing authorities (the Mayor, Board of Supervisors, and Germaine Wong -- the Director of Elections) to appoint members to the Task Force who represented the political, social, economic, and racial diversity that was San Francisco at the time.

In response, the appointing authorities appointed to the Task Force three Asians (Samson Wong, Dale Shimasaki, and Eric Mar), one Hispanic (Ramon Arias), one African American (Gwenn Craig, who Chaired the Task Force), and four Whites (Chris Bowman, Dale Butler, Nancy Lenvin, and Carmen White). Most of its members were registered Democrats, but Chris Bowman was active with the Republican Party and Log Cabin and Carmen White was with the Green Party. There were three women, including a Lesbian -- Gwenn Craig who was the former Co-Chair of the Harvey Milk Democratic Club and a former Police Commissioner, and four attorneys (Rmon Arias who was with Bay Area Legal Aid, Dale Butler who represented the SF Labor Council, Nancy Lenvin -- a real estate attorney, and Eric Mar who was the Assistant Dean of the New College School of Law.

The Task Force convened in January 1995, and on May 1, 1995 submitted a 600 page report to the Board, and recommended four different methods to elect Supervisors -- including a return to District Elections.

The Task Force reconvened at the War Memorial Building (as City Hall was closed for retrofitting) on September 7, 1995 to draft and approve a district elections plan. Task Force members Nancy Lenvin, Carmen White, and Samson Wong served through May 1st, and were replaced by their appointees by Susan Horsfall, Betty Traynor, and Henry Louie.

Supervisor Terence Hallinan stressed to the Task Force members the importance of ensuring that each major stakeholder of the City -- Asians, Blacks, Hispanics, union households, LGBTs, homeowners, renters, and Republicans (who at the time were 17% of the registered voters of the City) had a critical mass of voters in one district (or more) so they could elect at least one of their own to the Board or influence who outside their community would best represent them on the Board.

By so doing, neighborhoods and communities would become invested in the line-drawing process and the final map and would approve the plan at polls. (The previous three progressive district elections plans authored by Calvin Welch, Sue Hestor and their allies, had failed three times at the polls from 1980 to 1987, and Hallinan didn't want to see another defeat at the polls.)

The Task Force held a number of citywide and neighborhood meetings to get community input and feedback to multiple draft maps prepared by the Task Force's redistricting consultant, Professor Rich DeLeon of San Francisco State and his graduate assistant, Lisel Blash. Neighborhood hearings were held at the Laurel Heights Campus of UCSF, the Chinese Cultural Center, New College on Valencia, the Southeast Community Facility, and the County Fair Building at 9th and Lincoln.

After reviewing public comments to the draft maps the Task Force narrowed down to the selection to two maps. After being deadlocked, the Task Force decided to merge the two maps and adjusted boundaries to balance for population, make or keep neighborhoods and communities whole whenever possible, to combine neighborhoods and communities with common interests and demographics, and propensity to vote into the same district.

The final map was approved in late November, and the plan including the map, statistics, metes and bounds, and language of the proposed Charter Amendment which would be placed on the ballot to usher in the return of District Elections was submitted to the Board at the end of the month.

The Task Force largely followed Supervisor Hallinan's guidance that every major stakeholder had a critical mass to win in one or more districts, and the Task Force believed that they created a plan in which Asians would have a good shot of being elected in Districts 1, 3, and 4, Blacks in Districts 5 and 10, Hispanics in District 9, LGBTs in District 8, Union Households in District 11, and Republicans or moderate Democrats in Districts 2 and 7, and District 6 was what was left over albeit one could argue its Community of Interest was that, at the time the plan was approved, 94% of its residents were renters.

In December of 1995, the Board was deadlocked 5 to 5 on placing the Charter Amendment on the ballot – four of the five opponents didn't want to see a return of District Elections, and the fifth Supervisor, Jose Medina, didn't like how the lines of District 11 were drawn. So it wasn't until the following Summer that enough pressure had mounted on Supervisors who were on the fence the and some backroom deals took place to delay the return of District Elections until 2000 to allow Supervisors elected to a second term in 1994 and 1996 the ability finish their service on the Board without having to run in a district, that seven Supervisors placed the Charter Amendment (Prop G) on the November 6, 1996 Presidential General Election ballot.

The Task Force members thought they had gotten it right and the voters seemed to confirm that opinion when they passed Prop. G with 56.7% of the vote and Prop. G won in 24 of 25 of the City's neighborhoods as defined by the Department of Elections.

Four years later district voters elected 11 District Supervisors to the Board, including two Hispanics, one African American, one Asian, and two Gay men. The new Board included 3 Liberals, 7 Progressives, and Tony Hall who caucused with the Progressives.

The 2002 Redistricting.

The political landscape in 2001 was highly polarized between the Liberal Machine Democrats led by Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr. and the Progressive majority on the Board led by Aaron Peskin. The progressives and the machine Democrats also split the rest of the elected officials of the City, with a slight edge to the Progressives.

The Progressives believed that if the Redistricting Task Force were seated before the Elections Commission (which would be empowered to make the three appointments to the Redistricting Task Force instead of the Director of Elections) took office in January 2002, the Mayor would have six votes on the Task Force and his majority would use the redistricting process to exact revenge on his political opponents on the Board. (In reality, the Director of Elections was appointed by the City Administrator and was largely insulated from political pressure.) Supervisor Chris Daly placed on the November 2001 ballot Prop. G (which would delay the seating of the Task Force until 2002), and the voters believing that his measure represented reform rather than a naked power grab, approved the measure.

So the Elections Commission met and appointed two progressives and Claudine Cheng to the Task Force. The Board appointed two Progressives to the Task Force and a Tony Hall supporter who ultimately caucused with the Progressives to form a 5-4 majority on the Task Force.

In 95% of the changes made to the districts, the Task Force voted unanimously as they were pro-forma transfers from one district to another to balance for population. The major non-controversial changes in 2002 included:

* The USF campus was made whole in District 1 and District 1 moved east to Masonic between Geary and Fulton to include all of Lone Mountain;

* District 3 picked up five blocks of Russian Hill and expanded south to Post and Geary to include Union Square;

* the northern and southern boundaries of District 5 were compressed and the district moved east from Laguna to parts of Gough;

* District 8 moved east from Guerrero to parts of Valencia and south and west of Bosworth to pick up the eastern part of Sunnyside;

*the northern border of District 10 was extended from 17th Street to Townsend; and

*Merced Extension Triangle (METNA) was transferred from District 11 to District 7.

The Task Force messed up by dividing Parnassus Heights between Districts 5 and 7, because the consultant was unwilling to split a census block that overlapped the boundary of Parnassus Heights and the UCSF – Parnassus campus.

The major controversy which divided the Task Force by a 5 to 4 vote was what to do with the Portola District, which under the 1995 Pan was divided with 28% in District 11 and 72% in District 10, and secondarily whether Potrero Hill and Dog Patch were good fits for District 10, or better fits for District 6.

District 11 was over-populated so it needed to jettison its portion of the Portola.

The Liberals on the Task Force wanted to unite the Portola and assign it to District 10, and transfer Potrero Hill and Dog Patch to District 6 on the grounds that the Portola was demographically similar to the rest of District 10 south of Cesar Chavez, and that Potrero Hill and Dog Patch were more affluent and far less diverse than the rest of District 10 and had a high propensity to vote, whereas Bayview Hunters Point and Visitacion Valley had two of the four lowest propensities to vote in the City.

The Progressives argued that there was a historic link between the two neighborhoods and Bayview Hunters Point on environmental, health, and other issues, but the key issue not mentioned in the public debate was that Supervisor Sophie Maxwell's base was in Potrero Hill and even though she no longer lived in the neighborhood, where her mom, Enola, was a powerhouse. She was elected in 2000 over Linda Richardson (who was backed by the Mayor and won in the rest of the district south of Cesar Chavez) because of the votes she received from the two neighborhoods.

The "solution" proposed by the Progressives and passed on a 5 to 4 vote was to move the northern half of the Portola across I-280 into District 9, which had few working class Asian homeowners (who constituted a majority of the Portola's population), and the portion of the Portola in District 10 dropped from 72% to 50%. To accommodate such a large transfer of half of the Portola into District 9 required that part of the northern border of the District 9 be moved south to 20th – thus, not only was the Portola split 50/50 but so too was the Inner Mission.

The 2012 Redistricting.

The Director of Elections, John Arntz, reported to the Board that new Redistricting Task Force needed to be impaneled because Districts 6, 10, and 11 were over-populated beyond the 105% limit allowable under "One Person/One Vote".

The 2012 Task Force was more racially diverse than the 1995 ETF and 2002 RTF with two African Americans, two Hispanics, three Asians including an Filipina, a white resident of District 7, and David Pilpel, also White, who had been appointed by the Elections Commission. As Ed Lee was Mayor, he didn't appoint members with a political agenda but rather appointed current and former commissioners or bureaucrats. The Task Force leaned left but there were few 5 to 4 votes during its tenure.

Again, most of the changes revolved around Districts 6, 10, and 11, and the incremental changes between districts to balance for population were largely non-controversial, including:

*District 3 continued to move south to include most of the tourist hotels and the theater district and its southern boundary with District 6 (the northern border of the Tenderloin) was determined by homelesses, tenant, and non-profit affordable housing activists.

*District 4 which was spared adjustments to its boundaries in 2002 was under-populated and crossed 19th Avenue to pick up 4 blocks of the Inner Sunset. District 7 already had 10 blocks of the Inner Sunset, from 19th Avenue to 9th Avenue between Judah and Kirkham.

*By using a service road on the UCSF Parnassus campus, Parnassus Heights was made whole again, and District 5 was again its home.

*The western boundary of District 6 continued to move eastward out of the Western Addition and its new western boundary was Van Ness from just north of Geary to Market.

*District 8's eastern boundary again moved east to the entire length of Valencia.

*District 11 again had to make painful cuts, this time between Ocean and Holloway from Ashton to Harold – thus losing to District 7 the southern side of the Ocean Avenue Commercial Strip which had served residents of the OMI for decades, and the triangle north of Mission Terrace from Tingsley to I-280 and Alemany which was transferred to District 8. Minor changes were made to the border of the Excelsior and Portola Districts east of Madison.

On the controversial side, but ultimately eight Task Force members opposed all aspects of his plan, David Pilpel submitted his redistricting plan before any member of the public did and his colleagues and members of the public spent nearly two months trying to shelve his proposal for

each district, including splitting District 5 along Geary, which divided Japantown and the Asian enclave of District 5. To assuage leaders of the Japanese Community who were alarmed and offended by his proposal, the Task Force listened carefully to the Japanese non-profit leaders to embrace a northern boundary of District 5 which included all major cultural, religious, and social services institutions in Greater Japantown. The resulting northern boundary for District 5 looked like a jagged jigsaw puzzle. Counter intuitively, the expansion actually lowered the Asian CVAP for the district because the northern parts of Greater Japantown had become predominantly White.

The major controversy was what to do with the Portola and the Inner Mission. Both had been split 50/50 in 2002.

The Liberals, neighborhood activists, and a coalition led by San Francisco Association of Realtors called for both the Inner Mission and the Portola to be made whole, with the Inner Mission in District 9 all the way to Duboce and Division and Hwy. 101, and that the Portola be solely in District 10.

The Progressives prevailed by making the Portola intact, but placing it in its entirety into District 9, and the Inner Mission was nearly made whole from Valencia to Bryant, but with District 10 extending west to Bryant between Division and 20th.

In conclusion, even though there was discord on the 2002 and 2011-2012 Task Forces over the Portola, there was broad agreement on the rest of the incremental changes to the 1995 lines. Significantly, the current districts boundaries are over 90% the same as the 1995 districts boundaries, and as such it would appear that the diverse members of the two Redistricting Task Forces largely agreed with how the 1995 district lines were drawn.

This would suggest that were the 2021-2022 Redistricting Task Force to follow the precedence set by the 2002 and 2012 Redistricting Task Forces to make incremental changes to existing districts, that CSFN's Incremental/Minimum Change Redistricting Plan CSFN is submitting would be in keeping with that approach. We would also argue they should be loathe to make radical changes to existing districts including, but not limited to, moving Potrero Hill and Dog Patch into District 6 as it would create major disruptive ripple effects across the City including Districts 2, 4, 5, 7, 8 and possibly Districts 9 and 11 leading to far more San Franciscans than the 74,327 residents under our plan who would find themselves in a new district after April 15th.


ing Tool



Languages



Help



① OVERALL WITH BOUNDARIES

APPENDIX 1

Summary of Benefits of Proposed Plan

Adjusted 2020 Census Population and Demographics

Inventory of 25 Population Transfer

Metes and Bounds

**SUMMARY OF WHAT THE CSFN'S INCREMENTAL/MINIMAL CHANGE
REDISTRICTING PLAN WOULD ACCOMPLISH IF ADOPTED BY THE SFRTF**

Minimize the impact of transferring excess population from Districts 10 and 6 on the neighboring Districts 3, 5, 9 and contain the ripple effects on the rest of the City.

Under the CSFN plan, District 10 would transfer 2,368 residents between 16th and Townsend (to include Showplace Square) to District 6 and another 3,424 residents to District 9 west of Hwy. 101 to Potrero between 20th and Cesar Chavez and from Division to 20th between Hwy. 101/San Bruno and Bryant, making the Inner Mission whole.

The minimal number of residents allowable under "One Person/One Vote" would be transferred from District 6 to Districts 3 and 5, e.g., 16,089 residents neighboring Moscone Center (mostly Chinese and Filipino seniors living in affordable housing) and on Rincon Hill from 5th Street to the Embarcadero, between Market and Harrison; and 6,228 residents from 9th Street and Folsom west to where the Central Freeway meets Market Street to District 5. (After all the transfers into and out of District 6 were made, District 6's population would be 104.95% of the mean population for a district, just 0.05% below the legal limit.)

District 3, in turn, would transfer the rest of Russian Hill (9,136 residents) to District 2 so that Russian Hill would be made basically whole (three blocks on the southwest corner of the official boundaries of Russian Hill with 1,159 residents would remain in District 3, as they are adjacent to Chinatown and together have an Asian CVAP of 65.96%); and District 2 in turn, would transfer Sea Cliff and the Lake Street corridor (4,783 residents) to District 1.

District 5, which was already somewhat over-populated at 101.49% of the mean population for a district before taking in part of the excess population from District 6, would transfer 5,558 residents from the Inner Sunset to District 7 and another 2,387 residents of the Inner Sunset to District 4. Currently 29.62%% of the Inner Sunset Neighborhood Commercial District whose boundaries of 19th to 5th Avenues between Lincoln and Kirkham are set by SEC. 730 of the City's Planning Code is in Districts 7 (20.10%) and 4 (9.52%). The dividing line between District 4 and District 7 would be 12th Avenue between Lincoln and Kirkham and if these transfers took place, District 4 would have 47.34% and District 7 would have 53.66% of the Inner Sunset Neighborhood Commercial District.

Districts 8 and 11 would be unaffected by the ripple effects.

Limit to a Minimum the Number of San Franciscans who will find themselves in a new district should the CSFN redistricting plan be adopted by the Redistricting Task Force.

Under the CSFN Plan only 74,327 San Franciscans or 8.49% of all 874,993 San Franciscans would be transferred from their current district to a new district. If you look at the itemizations of the 25 changes this plan proposes for the current districts, 53,647 San Franciscans would be transferred from one district to another to balance for population; 4,661 would be transferred

SUMMARY OF WHAT THE CSFN'S INCREMENTAL/MINIMAL CHANGE
REDISTRICTING PLAN WOULD ACCOMPLISH IF ADOPTED BY THE SFRTF
Page 2

from Districts 7, 8, and 10 to make District 11 whole again, 2,038 would be transferred to conform district lines to topographical or man-made features or commercial district boundaries; and 13,981 would be transferred by adjusting the borders of District 5 with Districts 2 and 8, and between Districts 8 and 9, to increase the Black CVAP in District 5 from 9.14% to 10.34%. and marginally increase the Hispanic CVAP in District 9 from 26.75% to 26.89%.

Restore most of the 1995 boundaries of the OMI and District 11.

After extensive consultation with community leaders from the OMI, Mission Terrace, the Excelsior, and Crocker Amazon. three portions of District 11 which were transferred to Districts 7, 8, and 10 by the 2002 and 2012 Redistricting Task Forces because District 11 was significantly over-populated at the time would be restored to District 11 under the CSFN plan. They would include Ocean Avenue to Holloway between Ashton and Harold and the triangle bordered by Ocean and Geneva, and I-280, from District 7; the triangle bordered by Tingsley, I-280, and Alemany from District 8; and south on Geneva to Carter from District 10. And this is all accomplished by District 11 growing from 94.70% to just 100.31% of the mean population for a district.

Restore additional parts of the 1995 map.

Beyond restoring most of the OMI and District 11, the CSFN Plan calls for the following restorations:

- * Returning the eastern portion of Sunnyside to District 7 by moving the boundary with District 8 from Congo and Joost east to Bosworth and the BART station;
- * Along Hwy. 101 from Mariposa to Cesar Chavez between Districts 9 and 10, transferring to District 9 the rest of the Inner Mission, including General Hospital;
- * Along 16th Street from Hwy. 101 to Pennsylvania defining the northern border of Potrero Hill and District 10.³
- * Along Lake Street from 5th to Arguello between Districts 1 and 2 separating the Inner Richmond from Presidio Terrace;
- * Along California Street from Baker to Steiner between Districts 2 and 5, restoring several blocks of the Western Addition to District 5;

SUMMARY OF WHAT THE CSFN'S INCREMENTAL/MINIMAL CHANGE
REDISTRICTING PLAN WOULD ACCOMPLISH IF ADOPTED BY THE SFRTF

Page 3

* Along St. Joseph's between Geary and Turk between Districts 2 and 5, restoring three blocks of the Western Addition to District 5; and

* Along the eastern border of the UCSF – Parnassus campus between UCSF and Parnassus Heights between Districts 7 and 5 so that the entire campus south of Parnassus is in District 7;

Make the UCSF – Parnassus Campus whole.

One of the few areas that the 1995 ETF failed to research before approving its lines is that it divided USF into three (not just two) districts, with the Koret Health and Recreation Center, soccer fields, and faculty parking garage in District 1, the main campus in District 2, and the USF Law School and Library, and its nursing school and St. Mary's Hospital in District 5. All of USF and St. Mary's Hospital were made whole in District 1 by the 2002 Task Force.

The Parnassus campus of UCSF has continued to be divided at Parnassus with 80% of the campus and 100% of its student housing in District 7, and the rest of the campus which includes its multi-story parking garage, Student Union, bookstore, library, Ambulatory Care Center, and Department of Neurological Surgery in District 5. The CSFN plan would include the entire Parnassus campus in District 7, by moving District 7 north of Parnassus.

Set District boundaries along topographical divides and Commercial District Boundaries.

By and large, the Election Task Force in 1995 set district boundaries along geographic divides and man-made barriers. Thus, portions or all of Van Ness, Market, Hwy. 101, I-280, Bosworth and O'Shaughnessy, Twin Peaks Blvd., Golden Gate Park, 19th Avenue, Sloat, Ocean, and the western border of McLaren Park served as district boundaries in the 1995 plan.

The major geographic divide for San Francisco east/west generally runs from Buena Vista Park, along Twin Peaks Blvd., and along O'Shaughnessy with District 8 largely on the east side of the divide.

There are two exceptions which the CSFN plan addresses.

The first is that Ashbury Heights, Clifford Terrace, and Mt. Olympus are west of the east/west topographic divide and are part of the Community of Interest that includes all of Cole Valley from Frederick to Clarendon, but they have been in District 8 since 1995. The CSFN Plan transfers these neighborhoods to District 5.

SUMMARY OF WHAT THE CSFN'S INCREMENTAL/MINIMAL CHANGE
REDISTRICTING PLAN WOULD ACCOMPLISH IF ADOPTED BY THE SFRTF

Page 4

The second is that south of Twin Peaks, the topographic divide is not Twin Peaks Blvd. to Portola, but from Twin Peaks Blvd to the southern end of Crestline and along Burnett to Portola. The residences to the west of that divide are also in District 8, but they are zoned RH-1, while almost all of the housing to the east are apartment buildings. Google Maps shows the area as part of Midtown Terrace. The CSFN plan transfers this neighborhood to District 7.

CSFN also recognizes that wherever possible the core of commercial zones should be made or kept whole. To wit there are three blocks bordered by Columbus, Leavenworth, Beach, Hyde, and the Bay. They are currently in District 2, but are the western-most block of Fisherman's Wharf along Jefferson which is primarily in District 3. The CSNF plan unites Fisherman's Wharf and assigns the entire commercial district to District 3.

Consistent with using good redistricting principles create an Asian, Hispanic, or Black CVAP majority district where there was previously an Asian, Hispanic, or Black CVAP plurality district, or create an Asian, Hispanic, or Black plurality district where there was previously a White CVAP plurality district. By happenstance, the transfer of 16,089 majority Asian CVAP residents from District 6 to District 3 would turn District 3 under the CSFN plan from a White CVAP plurality district to an Asian CVAP plurality district, e.g., from a 47.51% to 40.23% district to a 43.69% to 44.20% district. Additionally, by restoring most of the 2002 boundaries of District 11, the Asian CVAP would increase from 55.98% to 56.46%.

As a positive side-effect of following good redistricting principles there would be an enhancement of the electoral power of racial groups in several districts. Under the CSFN plan, this would be accomplished by shrinking Districts 6 and 10 so that the Hispanic CVAP and Black CVAP in District 6 would increase, respectively, from 12.42% to 13.91% and from 10.53% to 11.51% in District 6, and the Black CVAP would increase from 18.87% to 19.80% in District 10

Adjusted 2020 Census Populations and Demographics for the Proposed Revised Incremental/Minimum Change Redistricting Plan
 Drafted by Christopher L. Bowman on January 22, 2022 versus the Current (2012) Redistricting Plan
 Source: redrawmysf.publicredistricting.com, San Francisco Redistricting Tool
 Data Compiled and Organized by Christopher L. Bowman

Proposed Revised Incremental/Minimum Change Redistricting Plan

District	Population	% of Mean Population	% Total Population by Race				% Voting Age Population by Race				% of CVAP by Race			
			WH	AS	HI	BL	WH	AS	HI	BL	WH	AS	HI	BL
1	77,478	97.40%	43.53%	41.51%	9.04%	2.56%	44.12%	41.42%	8.70%	2.61%	47.08%	41.57%	7.05%	2.92%
2	78,305	98.44%	68.25%	19.07%	7.82%	1.98%	69.23%	18.75%	7.45%	1.92%	73.75%	17.37%	6.42%	1.69%
3	79,439	99.87%	37.70%	47.38%	8.65%	3.65%	39.12%	46.62%	8.25%	3.55%	43.69%	44.20%	6.65%	3.87%
4	76,548	96.23%	32.66%	55.02%	7.90%	1.76%	33.34%	54.95%	7.54%	1.76%	35.73%	53.44%	7.29%	1.79%
5	80,744	101.51%	50.50%	24.26%	11.38%	9.90%	51.84%	24.26%	10.71%	9.39%	59.12%	20.35%	8.17%	10.34%
6	83,480	104.95%	32.10%	35.08%	18.09%	10.12%	33.53%	35.07%	17.14%	10.28%	39.91%	31.67%	13.91%	11.51%
7	78,316	98.45%	43.24%	38.05%	11.49%	3.88%	44.33%	37.50%	11.07%	4.06%	47.71%	35.73%	11.08%	4.08%
8	82,246	103.40%	58.82%	19.27%	14.75%	3.55%	60.26%	18.78%	14.15%	3.44%	66.74%	16.95%	11.25%	3.84%
9	78,244	98.36%	32.54%	26.65%	32.51%	4.20%	34.09%	27.86%	30.82%	4.24%	40.64%	26.44%	26.89%	4.79%
10	80,402	101.08%	17.00%	38.49%	22.43%	17.04%	18.90%	39.84%	20.10%	16.61%	20.03%	42.82%	14.47%	19.80%
11	79,791	100.03%	13.02%	53.25%	27.06%	4.44%	13.79%	53.81%	25.62%	4.67%	15.53%	56.46%	21.48%	5.40%
Total	874,993		39.01%	36.16%	15.65%	5.80%	40.53%	36.01%	14.60%	5.71%	45.41%	36.92%	12.04%	6.01%

Current (2012) Redistricting Plan

District	Population	% of Mean Population	% Total Population by Race				% Voting Age Population by Race				% of CVAP by Race			
			WH	AS	HI	BL	WH	AS	HI	BL	WH	AS	HI	BL
1	72,848	91.58%	42.14%	42.74%	9.17%	2.64%	42.74%	42.57%	8.86%	2.68%	45.59%	42.62%	9.17%	3.10%
2	76,363	96.00%	68.62%	18.25%	7.93%	2.10%	69.66%	17.87%	7.56%	2.05%	74.60%	16.56%	6.26%	1.79%
3	72,474	91.11%	40.80%	44.77%	8.63%	3.19%	42.22%	43.95%	8.26%	3.13%	47.51%	40.23%	6.86%	3.76%
4	72,784	91.50%	31.74%	56.02%	7.87%	1.74%	32.37%	56.03%	7.50%	1.73%	34.97%	54.25%	7.29%	1.76%
5	80,728	101.49%	51.79%	24.40%	10.95%	9.35%	53.07%	24.09%	10.38%	8.92%	60.42%	20.17%	8.53%	9.14%
6	103,429	130.03%	32.91%	36.75%	17.06%	9.36%	34.30%	36.73%	15.68%	9.43%	39.49%	34.82%	12.42%	10.53%
7	75,198	94.54%	41.61%	39.45%	11.71%	3.95%	42.63%	38.94%	11.30%	4.15%	45.89%	37.70%	10.91%	4.03%
8	82,768	104.05%	59.13%	19.65%	14.05%	3.50%	60.63%	19.07%	13.48%	3.40%	66.16%	17.14%	11.40%	3.95%
9	75,886	95.40%	31.70%	27.91%	33.32%	4.07%	33.24%	28.15%	31.60%	4.10%	40.20%	27.17%	26.75%	4.75%
10	86,194*	108.36%	18.29%	38.26%	22.22%	16.27%	20.31%	39.44%	19.92%	16.27%	21.66%	42.17%	14.55%	18.90%
11	76,321*	95.95%	12.81%	53.18%	27.37%	4.44%	13.61%	53.74%	25.90%	4.66%	15.25%	55.98%	22.06%	5.54%
Total	874,993		39.01%	36.16%	15.65%	5.80%	40.53%	36.01%	14.60%	5.71%	45.41%	36.92%	12.04%	6.01%

NOTES: *The U.S. Census Bureau between the 2010 and 2020 Decennial Censuses redrew the boundaries of at least four census blocks in San Francisco that were on the border of six of San Francisco's Supervisorial districts. They now overlap districts rather than separate them. Rather than attempt to estimate the population of each portion of a split census block, the redistricting consultant, Q² for Redistricting Task Force arbitrarily assigned the whole census block to one or the other district. We agree with their choices in the cases of the Districts 6 and 9 boundary along Division, the Districts 5 and 7 boundary in the area of Clarendon west of Stanyan, and between Districts 7 and 11 between Ocean and Geneva, but disagree with the allocation of a split census block and a whole census block which its borders being assigned to District 11 instead of District 10 east of 1600 Geneva to Carter. Having said that we have incorporated the consultant's lines for Districts 10 and 11, replicated the two districts using the SF Mapping tool, matched the consultant's estimated population for each district and used the mapping tools calculation of the racial profiles of both district based on Total Population, Voting Age Population, and Citizen Voting Age Population. The current districts' populations listed above which match the latest calculation by Q² of the districts' populations vary slightly with the district populations reported by Director Arntz to the Board of Supervisors when the adjusted population data were released in late September.

Districts which are a majority of a particular racial group are highlighted in **bold yellow**. Those where a racial group represents a plurality are highlighted in **yellow**.

FINDINGS: On the basis of total adjusted population, Districts 2, 5, and 8 are White Majority districts and Districts 4 and 11 are Asian Majority districts. Additionally, District 7 is a White Plurality district while Districts 1, 3, 6, and 10 are Asian Plurality districts, and District 9 is a Hispanic Plurality district. Blacks were the 4th largest racial group in each of the 11 districts.

A better gauge of estimating the actual voting strength by race, is to use the district's Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP), given that a large percentage of first-generation Hispanics and Asians who are of voting age have not yet been naturalized. Using that yardstick, Whites continue to be a majority in Districts 2, 5, and 8 (with significantly larger majorities than reflected in their percentage of the total population) and Districts 1, 3, 6, 7, and 9 are White Plurality districts. Districts 4 and 11 remain Asian Majority districts while District 10 remains an Asian Plurality district. Hispanics are outnumbered by Asians in District 11 by a ratio of 2.5 to 1 for a distant second place and in District 9, they are in third place just behind Asians, and in third place ahead of Blacks in District 6. Blacks are in third place in District 10 with 18.90% compared with 21.66% for Whites and 42.17% for Asians as well as in District 5 where they are outnumbered by Asians by a larger than 2 to 1 ratio. The only district other than District 10 where Blacks constitute more than 10% is in District 6 with 10.53% of the total.

CSFN's proposed Incremental/Minimal Change Redistricting plan beyond ensuring that Districts 10 and 6 which had become significantly over-populated since the 2010 Census would now be in compliance with the "One Person/One Vote" constitutional requirement, with the transfer of over 16,000 residents (the majority of whom were Asian CVAP) from District 6 to District 3, the plan would change District 3 from being a White CVAP Plurality district to a Asian CVAP Plurality district. Additionally, by carefully adjusting the boundaries between Districts 3 and 2. Districts 5 with Districts 2 and 8, and between Districts 8 and 9, the plan marginally increases Black, Asian, and Hispanic CVAPs. By restoring many of its 2002 boundaries Asian CVAP in District 11 would increase from 55.98% to 56.46%. Asian CVAP would also increase in District 2 from 16.56% to 17.37%, in District 10 from 42.17% to 42.82%, and in District 5 from 20.17% to 20.35%. Black CVAP would increase from 9.14% to 10.34% in District 5, from 10.53% to 11.51% in District 6, and in District 10 from 18.90% to 19.80%. Hispanic CVAP would increase from 12.42% to 13.91% in District 6 and from 26.75% to 26.89% in District 9 (with Hispanics becoming the second largest racial group ahead of Asians in that district).

On the westside of the City, Districts 1 and 4 are significantly under-populated, and given that the adjacent neighborhoods from which the districts would pick up population are less and sometimes much less Asian, the plan carefully added only those neighborhoods which would not significantly dilute the voting power of Asians in those districts. In the case of D4, the district would pick up just ten blocks from District 5 which are 32.56% Asian CVAP but also 7 blocks from District 7 which are 47.26% Asian CVAP. For District 1, the options were between adding Sea Cliff and the Lake Street corridor to the district or transferring the equivalent number of residents from NOPA. Sea Cliff is over 28% Asian CVAP and the Lake Street corridor is over 16% Asian CVAP while NOPA is barely 12% Asian CVAP. As a result, Asian CVAP in the districts under CSFN's plan would decline slightly from 54.25% to 53.44% in District 4 and from 42.62% to 41.57% in District 1. Alternative proposals would have made the loss of Asian CVAP far worse. Based on testimony before the Task Force at its Districts 1, 4, and 5 hearings, if the Task Force followed some of the suggestions, all of NOPA and Anza Vista would be added to District 1, resulting in Asian CVAP dropping from 42.62% to 39.11%, and in D4, if all of the Inner Sunset (as defined by the Inner Sunset Merchants, running from Arguello to 19th) were added to District 4, it would result in a drop of Asian CVAP from 54.25% to 51.75%

Inventory of the 25 Transfers District to District in the Proposed Revised Incremental/Minimum Change Redistricting Plan
 Drafted by Christopher L Bowman, January 22, 2022
 Adopted by the Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods, February 15, 2002

	<u>Population</u>	<u>Percentage CVAP</u>			<u>Black</u>
		<u>White</u>	<u>Asian</u>	<u>Hispanic</u>	
<u>From District 1:</u>					
Census block immediately south of the USF Law School and north of Grove between Cole and Shrader From D1 to D5	153	55.19%	22.38%	7.14%	9.74%
<u>From District 2:</u>					
Sea Cliff; the Lake Street Corridor; the former Public Health Hospital; and California to Lake, 5 th to Arguello From D2 to D1	4,783	73.02%	22.64%	3.96%	0.175
Fisherman's Wharf between Hyde and Leavenworth, and the Bay to Beach and Columbus From D2 to D3	12	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
St. Joseph's to Broderick between O'Farrell and Turk From D2 to D5	289	54.29%	14.76%	3.81%	9.52%
Parts of Lower Pacific Heights between Presidio and Steiner, and California and Geary From D2 to D5	2,955	66.97%	16.47%	6.95%	7.65%
Parts of Cathedral Hill between Gough and Van Ness, and Bush and Geary/Post From D2 to D5	1,467	42.20%	44.16%	5.51%	4.86%
<u>From District 3:</u>					
Rest of Russian Hill not in D2 generally from Van Ness to Mason and Columbus, and Union to Pacific, Broadway & Vallejo From D3 to D2	9,136	63.65%	28.16%	5.61%	2.16%
<u>From District 4:</u>					
No transfer from district					
<u>From District 5:</u>					
Parts of Lower Pacific Heights from Steiner to Gough, and California to Sutter and Bush From D5 to D2	2,312	67.01%	18.22%	10.15%	3.55%

Inventory of the 25 Transfers District to District in the Revised Incremental/Minimum Change Redistricting Plan
 Drafted by Christopher L Bowman, January 22, 2022, Adopted by the Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods,
 February 15, 2022. Page 2

	<u>Population</u>	<u>Percentage CVAP</u>			
		<u>White</u>	<u>Asian</u>	<u>Hispanic</u>	<u>Black</u>
Part of the Inner Sunset between 17 th and 12 th , and Lincoln and Judah From D2 to D4	2,387	53.70%	32.56%	9.21%	3.42%
Part of Inner Sunset between 12 th and 5 th , and Lincoln and Kirkham including UCSF north of Parnassus From D5 to D7	5,588	55.11%	31.85%	9.91%	2.53%
Most of District 5 south of Haight between Baker and Market From D5 to D8	2,357	74.03%	11.60%	12.99%	1.16%
<u>From District 6:</u>					
Moscone Convention Center, Transbay Terminal, and most of Rincon Hill from 5 th to the Embarcadero, and Market Street to Harrison From D6 to D3	16,089	38.57%	52.73%	4.52%	3.06%
Central Freeway to 9 th St. and Mid-Market to Division and Folsom From D6 to D5	6,228	34.81%	35.50%	12.26%	14.53%
<u>From District 7:</u>					
19 th to 12 th between Judah and Kirkham From D7 to D4	1,377	44.39%	47.26%	4.06%	1.51%
Ocean and Holloway between Ashton to Harold; the triangle bordered by Ocean, Geneva, and I-280 From D7 to D11	Approx. 2,862*	20.08%	69.85%	5.64%	4.35%
<u>From District 8:</u>					
Ashbury & Clayton to Roosevelt, Between Frederick & 17 th From D8 to D5	1,619	80.62%	13.08%	1.85%	4.62%
Twin Peaks Blvd. to Burnett Between Crestline to Portola From D8 to D7	407	56.40%	24.39%	10.98%	3.05%
Congo to I-280 between Bosworth and Joost From D8 to D7	1,115	64.97%	20.64%	5.86%	9.81%

Inventory of the 25 Transfers District to District in the Revised Incremental/Minimum Change Redistricting Plan
 Drafted by Christopher L Bowman, January 22, 2022, Adopted by the Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods,
 February 15, 2022. Page 3

	<u>Population</u>	<u>Percentage CVAP</u>			
		<u>White</u>	<u>Asian</u>	<u>Hispanic</u>	<u>Black</u>
Dolores to San Jose & Guerrero south of 26 th ; Poplar between, 25 th and 26 th ; & San Jose to Tiffany From D8 to D9	2,267	58.80%	9.92%	27.05%	2.80%
East of Tingsley between I-280 and Alemany From D8 to D11	804	20.59%	56.89%	20.59%	4.25%
<u>From District 9:</u>					
Valencia to Mission between 17 th and 19 th ; Valencia to San Carlos between 19 th and 21 st . From D9 to D8	2,664	59.40%	24.20%	15.53%	3.60%
Valencia to Mission between The Central Freeway and 14 th From D9 to D8	669	56.78%	21.61%	18.34%	4.02%
<u>From District 10:</u>					
San Bruno to 7 th , between Division and Townsend to 16 th From D10 to D6	2,368	33.96%	56.07%	8.49%	0.89%
Potrero to Hwy. 101 and San Bruno between Division and Cesar Chavez; Bryant and Potrero between Division and 20 th From D10 to D9	3,424	53.24%	20.87%	19.08%	6.71%
1600 Geneva to Carter, Geneva to the San Mateo County Line From D10 to D11	995	11.92%	56.10%	11.92%	16.86%
<u>From District 11:</u>					
No transfer from district.					

74,327 San Francisco residents or 8.49% of San Francisco's total adjusted 2020 Census population of 874,993 would find themselves in a new Supervisorial District were the districts in this plan adopted by the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force on April 15, 2022.

* The census block sandwiched between Howth and I-280 and Ocean and Geneva represented part of the boundary between Districts 7 and 11 in the current 2012 plan. Subsequently, the Census Bureau revised the boundary of that census block so that it now straddles Geneva which is the current boundary between the two districts. Since census blocks are the smallest unit of population in determining the population of current and proposed districts, since this proposal calls for the restoration of the 2002 borders of the OMI and District 11, it is impossible in this one case to know the precise number of residents that would be transferred between Districts 7 and 11 if this plan were adopted.

Metes and Bounds for the Incremental/Minimum Change Redistricting Plan
Drafted by Christopher L. Bowman, January 22, 2022
Adopted by the Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods, February 15, 2022

District 1. The Pacific Ocean and the southern border of the Presidio of San Francisco, easterly along the southern border of the Presidio of San Francisco to 15th Avenue, north on 15th Avenue to include the former Public Health Hospital (CBs 1028, 1030, 1032, & 1036, CT 601), south on 14th Avenue to the southern border of the Presidio of San Francisco, easterly on the southern border of the Presidio of San Francisco to 5th Avenue, south on 5th Avenue to Lake, east on Lake to Arguello, south on Arguello to Geary, east on Geary to Masonic, south on Masonic to Fulton, west on Fulton to Cole, south on Cole to Grove (including CB 4000, CT 165, but excluding CB 4005, CT 165 north of Grove), west on Grove to Shrader, south on Shrader to Hayes, west on Hayes to Stanyan, south on Stanyan to Fell, southwest on Fell to John F. Kennedy, westerly on John F. Kennedy to Nancy Pelosi, southwesterly on Nancy Pelosi to Martin Luther King, Jr., northwesterly and southwesterly on Martin Luther King, Jr. to 19th Avenue, south on 19th Avenue to Lincoln, west on Lincoln to the Pacific Ocean, and north and northeasterly along the Pacific Ocean to the southern border of the Presidio of San Francisco.

District 2. The Pacific Ocean and the southern border of the Presidio of San Francisco, northerly along the Pacific Coast through the Golden Gate to the San Francisco Bay waterfront, easterly along the waterfront to Hyde, south on Hyde to Beach, east on Beach to Columbus, southeast on Columbus to Mason, south on Mason to Vallejo, west on Vallejo to Taylor, south on Taylor to Broadway, west on Broadway to Jones, south on Jones to Pacific (including CB 2003, CT 108 east of Jones), west on Pacific to Van Ness, south on Van Ness to Bush, west on Bush to Webster, south on Webster to Sutter, west on Sutter to Steiner, north on Steiner to California, west on California to Baker, south on Baker to Pine, west on Pine to Lyon, south on Lyon to Bush, west on Bush to Presidio, south on Presidio to Post, east on Post to Baker, south on Baker to St. Joseph's, southeast and south on St. Joseph's to Turk, west on Turk to Masonic, north on Masonic to Geary, west on Geary to Arguello, north on Arguello to Lake, west on Lake to 5th Avenue, north on 5th Avenue to the southern border of the Presidio of San Francisco, westerly on the southern border of the Presidio of San Francisco to 14th Avenue, north on 14th Avenue to exclude the former Public Health Hospital (CBs 1028, 1030, 1032, & 1036, CT 601), south on 15th Avenue to the southern border of the Presidio of San Francisco, and westerly along the southern border of the Presidio of San Francisco to the Pacific Ocean.

District 3. Hyde and the waterfront, easterly and southeasterly along the waterfront to Harrison, southwest on Harrison to 5th Street, northwest on 5th Street crossing Market to Eddy, west on Eddy to Mason, north on Mason to Ellis, east on Ellis to Cyril Magnin Place, north on Cyril Magnin Place to O'Farrell, west on O'Farrell to Taylor, north on Taylor to Geary, west on Geary to Leavenworth, north on Leavenworth to Post, west on Post to Polk, south on Polk to Cedar, west on Cedar to Van Ness, north on Van Ness to Pacific, east on Pacific to Jones, north on Jones to Broadway (excluding CB 2003, CT 108 east of Jones), east on Broadway to Taylor, north on Taylor to Vallejo, east on Vallejo to Mason, north on Mason to Columbus, northwest on Columbus to Beach, west on Beach to Hyde, north on Hyde to the waterfront.

District 4. The Pacific Ocean and Lincoln, east on Lincoln to 12th Avenue, south on 12th Avenue to Kirkham, west on Kirkham to 19th Avenue, south on 19th to Sloat, west on Sloat to the Pacific Ocean, and north along the Pacific Ocean to Lincoln.

District 5. St. Joseph's and Geary, north on Baker to Post, west on Post to Presidio, north on Presidio to Bush, east on Bush to Lyon, north on Lyon to Pine, east on Pine to Baker, north on Baker to California, east on California to Steiner, south on Steiner to Sutter, east on Sutter to Webster, north on Webster to Bush, east on Bush to Van Ness, south on Van Ness to Market, northeast on Market to 9th, southeast on 9th to Folsom, southwest on Folsom to the Central Freeway, westerly and northwesterly on the Central Freeway crossing Market to Octavia, north on Octavia to Haight, west on Haight to Buchanan, south on Buchanan to Hermann, west on Hermann to Webster, north on Webster to Haight, west on Haight to Pierce, south on Pierce to Waller. west on Waller to Scott, north on Scott to Haight, west on Haight to Buena Vista Avenue West, southerly on Buena Vista Avenue West to Upper Terrace, southwest on Upper Terrace to Loma Vista Terrace, south on Loma Vista Terrace to Roosevelt, southwesterly on Roosevelt to 17th Street, west on 17th Street to the Clayton, south on Clayton to Twin Peaks Blvd., southwesterly on Twin Peaks Blvd. to Clarendon, westerly on Clarendon to the eastern boundary of UCSF – Parnassus Campus, northerly along the eastern boundary of UCSF – Parnassus Campus (to include all of Parnassus Heights) to Parnassus, west on Parnassus to Hillway, north on Hillway to Carl, west on Carl and Irving to 5th Avenue, north on 5th Avenue to Lincoln, east on Lincoln to Kezar Drive, northeasterly on Kezar Drive to Fell, northeast on Fell to Stanyan, north on Stanyan to Hayes, east on Hayes to Shrader. north on Shrader to Grove (including CB 4005, CT 165, north of Grove, but excluding CB 4000, CT 165), east on Grove to Cole, north on Cole to Fulton, east on Fulton to Masonic, north on Masonic to Turk, east on Turk to St. Joseph's, north and northwest on St. Joseph's to Geary.

District 6. Cedar and Van Ness, east on Cedar to Polk, north on Polk to Post, east on Post to Leavenworth, south on Leavenworth to Geary, east on Geary to Taylor, south on Taylor to O'Farrell, east on O'Farrell to Cyril Magnin Place, south on Cyril Magnin Place to Ellis, west on Ellis to Taylor, south on Taylor to Eddy, east on Eddy to 5th Street, southeast on 5th Street to Harrison, northeast on Harrison to the waterfront (to include Yerba Buena Island and Treasure Island), southerly on the waterfront (beyond McCovey Cove) to an imaginary extension of 16th Street running west connecting the waterfront to Terry A. Francois Blvd., southwesterly on Terry A. Francois Blvd. to Mariposa, west on Mariposa to I-280, northwest on I-280 to 16th Street, west on 16th Street to San Bruno, north on San Bruno to Division, west on Division to 11th Street, northwest on 11th Street to Harrison, southwest on Harrison to the Central Freeway, west on the Central Freeway to Folsom, northeast on Folsom to 9th, northwest on 9th to Market, southwest on Market to Van Ness, and north on Van Ness to Cedar.

District 7. 19th Avenue and Lincoln, north on 19th Avenue to Martin Luther King, Jr., northeasterly and southeasterly on Martin Luther King, Jr. to Nancy Pelosi, northeast on Nancy Pelosi to John F. Kennedy, east on John F. Kennedy to Kezar Drive, southwesterly on Kezar Drive to Lincoln, west on Lincoln to 5th Avenue. south on 5th Avenue to Irving, east on Irving and Carl to Hillway, south on Hillway to Parnassus, east on Parnassus to the eastern boundary of UCSF – Parnassus Campus, southerly along the eastern boundary of UCSF – Parnassus Campus to Clarendon (excluding Parnassus Heights) to Clarendon, easterly on Clarendon to Twin Peaks Blvd., southerly on Twin Peaks Blvd. to an imaginary line running east connecting Twin Peaks Blvd. to Crestline, south on Crestline to Burnett, south on Burnett to Portola (including CBs 1001, 1002, and 1003, CT 204.02), west on Portola to O'Shaughnessy, southeasterly on O'Shaughnessy to Bosworth, southeast on Bosworth to Lyell, south on Lyell to I-280, southwesterly on I-280 to Ocean, northwest on Ocean to Ashton, south on Ashton to Holloway, west on Holloway to Junipero Serra. south on Junipero Serra to Brotherhood Way, east on Brotherhood Way to Alemany, east on Alemany to I-280, southwest on I-280 to the San Mateo County line, west on the San

Mateo County line to the Pacific Ocean, north along the Pacific Ocean to Sloat, east on Sloat to 19th, north on 19th to Kirkham, east on Kirkham to 12th, north on 12th to Lincoln. And west on Lincoln to 19th.

District 8. Buena Vista Avenue West and Haight, east on Haight to Scott, south on Scott to Waller, east on Waller to Pierce, north on Pierce to Haight, east on Haight to Webster, south on Webster to Hermann, east on Hermann to Buchanan, north on Buchanan to Haight, east on Haight to Octavia, south on Octavia crossing Market to the Central Freeway, southeasterly on the Central Freeway to Mission, south on Mission to 14th, west on 14th to Valencia, south on Valencia to 17th, east on 17th to Mission, south on Mission to 19th, west on 19th to San Carlos, south on San Carlos to 21st, west on 21st to Valencia, south on Valencia to 25th, west on 25th to Poplar, south on Poplar to 26th, west on 26th to Dolores, south on Dolores to Randall, east on Randall to Mission, southwesterly on Mission to I-280, southwesterly on I-280 to Lyell, north on Lyell and northwesterly on Bosworth to O'Shaughnessy, northwesterly on O'Shaughnessy to Portola, east on Portola to Burnett, north on Burnett to Crestline (excluding CBs 1001, 1002, and 1003, CT 204.02), north on Crestline to an imaginary line running west connecting Crestline with Twin Peaks Blvd., northerly along Twin Peaks Blvd. to Clayton, north on Clayton to 17th, east on 17th Street to Roosevelt, northeasterly on Roosevelt to Loma Vista Terrace, north on Loma Vista Terrace to Upper Terrace, northeast on Upper Terrace to Buena Vista Avenue West, and northerly on Buena Vista Avenue West to Haight.

District 9. Mission and the Central Freeway, east on Central Freeway to Harrison, northeast on Harrison to 11th, southeast on 11th to Division, east on Division to San Bruno, south on San Bruno to Mariposa, east on Mariposa to Hwy. 101, southerly on Hwy. 101 to Cesar Chavez, east on Cesar Chavez to Bayshore Blvd., southerly on Bayshore Blvd. to Silver, west on Silver to Hwy. 101, south on Hwy. 101 to Paul, northwest on Paul to San Bruno, south on San Bruno to Mansell, west on Mansell to Brazil, westerly on Brazil to the western border of McLaren Park, northerly on the western border of McLaren Park to Burrows, east on Burrows to Peru, northwesterly on Peru to Valmar Terrace, northeast on Valmar Terrace to Madison, northwest on Madison to Silver, east on Silver to Sunglow Lane, northerly on Sunglow Lane to Gladstone, west on Gladstone to Stoneyford, north on Stoneyford to Cambridge, east two blocks on Cambridge to an imaginary line running north connecting Cambridge with I-280, west on I-280 to Mission, northeast on Mission to Randall, west on Randall to Dolores, north on Dolores to 26th, east on 26th to Poplar, north on Poplar to 25th, east on 25th to Valencia, north on Valencia to 21st, east on 21st to San Carlos, north on San Carlos to 19th, east on 19th to Mission, north on Mission to 17th, west on 17th to Valencia, north on Valencia to 14th, east on 14th to Mission, north on Mission to the Central Freeway.

District 10. San Bruno and 16th Street, east on 16th Street to I-280, southeast on I-280 to Mariposa, east on Mariposa to Terry A. Francois, northeast on Terry A. Francois to 16th Street, east on an imaginary line (an extension of 16th Street) connecting Terry A. Francois Blvd. with the waterfront. southerly on the waterfront to the San Mateo County line, west on the San Mateo County line to Carter, northeast on Carter to Geneva, northwest on Geneva to the western boundary of McLaren Park, northerly along the western border of McLaren Park (excluding the Crocker Amazon Playgrounds and soccer fields and the City Arts and Tech High School and June Jordan School for Equity) to Persia, easterly on Persia to Mansell, east on Mansell to San Bruno, north on San Bruno to Paul, southeast on Paul to Hwy. 101, north on Hwy. 101 to Silver, east on Silver to Bayshore Blvd., northerly on Bayshore Blvd. to Cesar Chavez, west on Cesar Chavez to Hwy. 101, northerly on Hwy.101 to Mariposa, west on Mariposa to San Bruno, and north on San Bruno to 16th Street.

Metes and Bounds for Incremental/Minimum Change Redistricting Plan, Drafted by Christopher L. Bowman, January 22, 2022, Adopted by the Coalition of San Francisco Neighborhoods, February 15, 2022. Page Four

District 11. Junipero Serra and Holloway, east on Holloway to Ashton, north on Ashton to Ocean, southeast on Ocean to I-280, northeasterly on I-280 to an imaginary line running south connecting I-280 with Cambridge, west on two blocks Cambridge to Stoneyford, south on Stoneyford to Gladstone, east on Gladstone to Sunglow Lane, southerly on Sunglow Lane to Silver, west on Silver to Madison, southeast on Madison to Valmar Terrace, southwest on Valmar Terrace to Peru, southeasterly on Peru to Burrows, west on Burrows to the western border of McLaren Park, southerly along the western border of McLaren Park to Persia (including the City Arts and Tech High School and June Jordan School for Equity), easterly on Brazil to Persia, westerly on Persia to the western border of McLaren Park, southerly along the western border of McLaren Park to Geneva (including the Crocker Amazon Playgrounds and Soccer Fields), southeast on Geneva to Carter, southwest on Carter to the San Mateo County line, west on the San Mateo County line to I-280, northeasterly on I-280 to Alemany, west on Alemany to Brotherhood Way, west on Brotherhood Way to Junipero Serra, and north on Junipero Serra to Holloway.

APPENDIX 2

Minority Report

Date: February 16, 2022

To: Charles Head, CSFN President; Richard Frisbee, CSFN Board Member, George Wooding, CSFN GR/Elections Committee Chair; Coalition of SF Neighborhoods

From: Diana Taylor, BCNA President; Lee Robbins, CSFN Delegate, Barbary Coast Neighborhood Association
Eileen Boken, CSFN Delegate, Sunset-Parkside Education & Action Committee (SPEAK)

Re: **Minority Report to CSFN Redistricting Map/Report**

As CSFN developed its redistricting proposal, it was unable to take into account the views of some members due to time constraints and other factors. Accordingly, several of its members voted “No” on CSFN proposed plan. Members who do not support the CSFN proposal include:

- Barbary Coast Neighborhood Association (BCNA) in District 3
- Telegraph Hill Dwellers (THD) in District 3
- Sunset-Parkside Education and Action Committee (SPEAK) in District 4

While District 3 (D3) is of particular interest, there are concerns with the CSFN map for other districts (e.g., D4 and western SF neighborhoods).

On behalf of D3, the Barbary Coast Neighborhood Association (BCNA) has testified before the Redistricting Task Force and has submitted a letter and map recommending quite different boundaries from the CSFN map. In addition, Russian Hill Neighbors (RHN) has submitted a letter to the Redistricting Task Force conforming to the BCNA position. CSFN member SPEAK on the Westside is in solidarity with BCNA and RHN proposals. Furthermore, other District 3 neighborhood organizations (e.g., North Beach Neighbors) appear in general support of the RHN/BCNA position even though they have not issued a formal position.

The BCNA proposal for D3 includes the following (the full report was submitted to SF Redistricting Task Force, 1/28/22):

The best option for increasing District 3’s area is to move the northern portion of D3’s western boundary to Van Ness by incorporating the section bounded by Van Ness, Union St, Jones-Columbus- Leavenworth and the Bay into D3. See proposed Map below. This proposal offers several significant benefits:

- Unites the Russian Hill neighborhood, which is currently split between D3 and D2 (as proposed by RHN)
- Connects Ghirardelli Square and the Cannery with other D3 waterfront and tourist attractions (Fisherman’s Wharf, North Beach, Coit Tower, Chinatown and Union Square).
- Includes a high concentration of dense housing and is served by police boundaries (SFPD Central Station) with similar tenant and safety concerns.
- Meets the district population requirement set by the Task Force (within 1% of the ideal number).

A map of 2022 proposed district 3 boundaries (Included in BCNA report to Redistricting Task Force):



From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Greg Brundage](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: SF Redistricting
Date: Friday, February 18, 2022 1:27:58 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Greg Brundage <brundage@cyprs.com>
Sent: Friday, February 18, 2022 1:00 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: SF Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

I reside on the “crooked street” (Lombard) and am President of the Lombard Hill Improvement Association. Our Association, consisting of families living on the Lombard block between Hyde and Leavenworth and on Montclair St., has taken care of the “street” gardens for over 60 years during which time the “crooked street” has become one the main SF tourist attractions. As you all no doubt know, this part of Russian Hill has had its share of challenges over the last ten to fifteen years due to the surge in auto and pedestrian traffic and, of course, street crime. To date, we have managed, with significant D2 Supervisor assistance, to maintain the quality of our community life—it has not been easy. We have worked closely with Mark Farrell and his successor, Catherine Stefani, and their staffs, to control the neighborhood/community chaos created during the summer tourist season and also to significantly improve the gardens. The opening of Francisco Park later this year will, no doubt, compound the chaos. Supervisor Stefani clearly understands our situation and we have a strong relationship of trust and candor. Safety and traffic management has been the main focus of the D2 team over the years, and it is imperative that we retain this special connection.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Greg Brundage

This email may contain privileged and confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message. Any unauthorized review, dissemination, distribution or duplication of this email is strictly prohibited. To reply to our email administrator directly, please send an email to admin@cyprs.com.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Melinda Kenyon](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting Bernal Heights
Date: Friday, February 18, 2022 1:27:38 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Melinda Kenyon <melinda.kenyon@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, February 18, 2022 12:16 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Bernal Heights

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To whom it may concern:

My mother and I own a home on College Avenue. We love the neighborhood and neighbors. It is a sense of community with many activities planned yearly as well as a close knit group in St. Mary's Park. We are a very old and well established neighborhood dating back to the original site of St Mary's College. Everyone desires to keep the neighborhood extremely nice.

I want to keep our neighborhood in District 9 and not be included in District 11. They have a different situation. We want to remain part of Bernal Heights as we support our local shops and area.

Please carefully consider the feelings, financial support of our local shops, and all in your dealings with this matter.

Thank you for your help.

Sincerely,
Lois Booth
Melinda Kenyon

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: American Indian Cultural District - D9 Redistricting
Date: Friday, February 18, 2022 1:26:54 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Hernandez Gil, Chema (REG - Contractor) <chema.hernandezgil@sfgov.org>
Sent: Friday, February 18, 2022 11:31 AM
To: Sharaya Souza <sharayas@americanindianculturaldistrict.org>
Cc: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Jill Shenker <jill@sanfranciscorising.org>; Sana Sethi <sana.sethi@sanfranciscorising.org>; redistricting@lwvsf.org; membership@lwvsf.org; Alison Goh <president@lwvsf.org>
Subject: Fw: American Indian Cultural District - D9 Redistricting

Hi Ms. Souza,

Thank you for your comment on behalf of the American Indian Cultural District. I am forwarding it to the entire Redistricting Task Force and to John Carroll of the Clerk's office for record keeping.

Best regards,

Chema

From: Sharaya Souza <sharayas@americanindianculturaldistrict.org>
Sent: Friday, February 18, 2022 11:08 AM
To: Hernandez Gil, Chema (REG - Contractor) <chema.hernandezgil@sfgov.org>; Jill Shenker <jill@sanfranciscorising.org>; Sana Sethi <sana.sethi@sanfranciscorising.org>; LWVSF Local Redistricting <redistricting@lwvsf.org>; membership@lwvsf.org <membership@lwvsf.org>; Alison Goh <president@lwvsf.org>
Cc: Sabory, Julia (MYR) <julia.sabory@sfgov.org>; Raquel R. Redondiez <raquel@somapilipinas.org>; Ian De Vaynes <mtamary1@gmail.com>; Paloma Flores <palomaf@americanindianculturaldistrict.org>; ErickCalle24 (erick@calle24sf.org) <erick@calle24sf.org>; juliam@advancingjustice-alc.org <juliam@advancingjustice-alc.org>; Ramses.Teon-Nichols@seiu1021.org <Ramses.Teon-Nichols@seiu1021.org>; diana@seaccusa.org <diana@seaccusa.org>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Administrator, City (ADM) <city.administrator@sfgov.org>; Cityattorney <Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org>; Commission, Elections (REG) <elections.commission@sfgov.org>; Arntz, John (REG) <john.arntz@sfgov.org>; Pon, Adrienne (ADM) <adrienne.pon@sfgov.org>; Language Access (ADM) <Language.Access@sfgov.org>; Engagement, Civic (ADM) <civic.engagement@sfgov.org>; Bishop, Carrie (ADM) <carrie.bishop@sfgov.org>; Gerull, Linda (TIS) <linda.gerull@sfgov.org>; SOTF, (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org>; Pelham, Leeann (ETH) <leeann.pelham@sfgov.org>; Ethics Commission, (ETH)

<ethics.commission@sfgov.org>; Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Susana Rojas <susana@calle24sf.org>; Caltagirone, Shelley (CPC) <shelley.caltagirone@sfgov.org>

Subject: American Indian Cultural District - D9 Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good morning,

The American Indian Cultural District would like to share their stance on redistricting as it relates to D9.

Below is the script we will be using at today's D9 Redistricting Meeting to stand in solidarity with our Latinx relatives and Calle24 to ensure American Indian voices and the American Indian Cultural District are not left out of the redistricting process.

AICD comment for the D9 Meeting:

District 9 historically has been the home of the San Francisco American Indian community and the Latinx community, despite the gentrification, displacement and evictions our communities have faced these last twenty years. I am calling today to ask the redistricting taskforce to help mitigate these impacts by expanding the area that is a core homebase for our Latinx and American Indian community and within District 9. Expand the District 9 lines past 13th street to capture the large Latino population within, and include ALL of Valencia Street within District 9, instead of splitting down the street (as currently is) in order to prevent dividing the future area of the American Indian Cultural District.

Cultural Districts are a lifeline for protecting and preserving our communities. They provide historical identification, cultural resource preservation and uplift, honor, and bring visibility to our communities, past, present and future. Please respect the American Indian Cultural District and include the updated American Indian 2020 Redistricting population (2.1%) data, include American Indians in redistricting maps, and include the American Indian voice within the redistricting process. Also, we are asking the redistricting community to keep Calle 24 LCD whole and fully within D9.

Please don't hesitate to contact me if you have any questions.

Thank you,

--

Sharaya Souza

Executive Director

American Indian Cultural District

2 Marina Blvd., Building D, Suite 230

San Francisco, CA 94123

Cell: (805) 706-8407

SharayaS@AmericanIndianCulturalDistrict.org

AmericanIndianCulturalDistrict.org

[LinkedIn](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#)

[SFHRC Coronavirus Resource Guide](#)

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE - This email is intended only for the person(s) or entity identified above. Unless otherwise indicated, it contains information and or attachments that are confidential, privileged and/or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender of the error and delete the message.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Laura Kemp](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting meeting today - District 9
Date: Friday, February 18, 2022 11:15:01 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Laura Kemp <lauradk5@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, February 18, 2022 10:40 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting meeting today - District 9

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I am a Portola resident. I am writing to request that the Portola District remain as one district as the current boundaries show for 2021 and for the past 10 years.

There are many reasons why this is critical for our neighborhood. Over the past ten years Portola has been in District 9. Thanks to community activism and engagement and a supportive District Supervisor, the Portola has been able to enact many important community projects, for example the naming of the district as the Garden District, the Burrows Pocket Park, the Alemany Island beautification, murals along the Avenue, the extension of Burrows Pocket Park, facade improvement to the Avenue Theater, Mansell pedestrian access through McLaren Park, to name but a few of the many capital improvements for our previously long neglected neighborhood.

As neighborhood residents and activists, we would like to both continue the work that is ongoing and engage in new improvement projects. The most optimum and effective way to do this successfully is if the Portola District remains as it is currently, within Supervisor District 9.

As an FYI, I tried, unsuccessfully, to use the "map my boundaries" app, hence the email correspondence.

Thank you for your consideration.

Laura Kemp

Goettingen Neighbors Group, Portola

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Gloria Li](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: D6 Redistricting Recommendation - Transbay Parents
Date: Friday, February 18, 2022 10:40:35 AM
Attachments: [D6 Redistricting Letter - Transbay Parents.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Gloria Li <gloriali.hoa@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, February 18, 2022 10:29 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; john.campbell@sfgov.org
Subject: D6 Redistricting Recommendation - Transbay Parents

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

February 18, 2022

SF Department of Elections

2020 Census Redistricting Task Force via email: rdtf@sfgov.org;
john.campbell@sfgov.org

Dear Redistricting Task Force members,

The Transbay Parents group is a group of parent volunteers that reside in the Salesforce Transit Terminal vicinity. The group's mission is to create a supportive space for our next generation of San Franciscans through advocating for safety, education, community building and more focusing on the children and families.

Redistricting has a direct impact on the outcomes of many decisions that can affect the quality of life for children and families that hope to stay in the city. There are many challenges to raising children in a downtown environment and the topic of redistricting D6 is a high priority in our discussion. We are writing to highly recommend the task force to see that the South of Market neighborhoods including The East Cut (Rincon, TransBay), South Beach, Yerba Buena, Eastern and Western SoMa, along with Mission Bay and Treasure Island (and Mission Rock and Central SoMa as they are developed) all share common and essential needs and should be viewed together as a Community of Interest unit.

We are keenly aware that District 6 grew more rapidly over the last decade than any other district--adding the most housing, and the most **affordable** housing units (30%+in some areas) to the city--and as a result the district must now realign its borders to cede some population to other districts to meet the Redistricting formulas. **What we ask is that our neighborhoods--those cited in our opening sentence--be kept together.** We all share a common urban form and common challenges of not-yet-established San Francisco neighborhoods. Together with the just-emerging City and Port development areas adjacent--Mission Rock and the Central SoMa Plan Area--our core needs are shaped by common influences.

We have no local school options in communities where we are building thousands of family housing units. We have limited affordable, neighborhood-serving retail where people across our diverse economic spectrum can shop for groceries, hardware, and other needs. We need to transform streets that were built to give fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, pedestrian-friendly neighborhood streets. We share common health and safety risks being situated adjacent to freeways, the Bay Bridge, and the Port. We lack an adequate network of

parks, recreation and open space. Together, we are building socially, culturally and economically diverse neighborhoods. We CAN solve for the common challenges we face, but doing so requires a systemic and cohesive response. The synergies between our emerging neighborhoods are clear and we need to move forward together as a recognized community of interest.

For the many reasons above, we see Market Street as a natural separation or boundary. The street grid and mix of uses changes markedly between north and south of Market Street. The neighborhoods North of Market are established. Although the issues there are acute and variable depending on specific blocks, there are many similarities in these established neighborhoods. The neighborhoods South of Market, by contrast, are either mostly industrial PDR areas transitioning to denser growth with a more diverse mix of uses, or--where the bulk of the growth has happened--are the former/current redevelopment areas and planning department areas cited above.

Within this context, **we hope you see the clear synergies and needs for the joint advocacy that we have pursued for more than a decade ... and need to continue going forward as a clearly defined community of interest.** Working together, we hope to bloom into a network of established neighborhoods firmly rooted in our shared history, but it will take another decade or more to make this happen. **Please keep the District 6 area south of Market and including Mission Bay and Treasure Island intact.**

Respectfully,

Transbay Parents

Gloria Li - D6 resident, parent, working mom, TJPA CAC D6 Representative

Tao Tao Wang - D6 resident, parent

Alexey Rivkin - D6 resident, parent

Diem Tran - D6 resident, parent

February 16, 2022

SF Department of Elections

2020 Census Redistricting Task Force via email: rdtf@sfgov.org;
john.campbell@sfgov.org

Dear Redistricting Task Force members,

The Transbay Parents group is a group of parent volunteers that reside in the Salesforce Transit Terminal vicinity. The group's mission is to create a supportive space for our next generation of San Franciscans through advocating for safety, education, community building and more focusing on the children and families.

Redistricting has a direct impact on the outcomes of many decisions that can affect the quality of life for children and families that hope to stay in the city. There are many challenges to raising children in a downtown environment and the topic of redistricting D6 is a high priority in our discussion. We are writing to highly recommend the task force to see that the South of Market neighborhoods including The East Cut (Rincon, TransBay), South Beach, Yerba Buena, Eastern and Western SoMa, along with Mission Bay and Treasure Island (and Mission Rock and Central SoMa as they are developed) all share common and essential needs and should be viewed together as a Community of Interest unit.

We are keenly aware that District 6 grew more rapidly over the last decade than any other district--adding the most housing, and the most **affordable** housing units (30%+in some areas) to the city--and as a result the district must now realign its borders to cede some population to other districts to meet the Redistricting formulas. **What we ask is that our neighborhoods--those cited in our opening sentence--be kept together.** We all share a common urban form and common challenges of not-yet-established San Francisco neighborhoods. Together with the just-emerging City and Port development areas adjacent--Mission Rock and the Central SoMa Plan Area--our core needs are shaped by common influences.

We have no local school options in communities where we are building thousands of family housing units. We have limited affordable, neighborhood-serving retail where people across our diverse economic spectrum can shop for groceries, hardware, and other needs. We need to transform streets that were built to give fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, pedestrian-friendly neighborhood streets. We share common health and safety risks being situated adjacent to freeways, the Bay Bridge, and the Port. We lack an adequate network of parks, recreation and open space. Together, we are building socially, culturally and economically diverse neighborhoods. We CAN solve for the common challenges we face, but doing so

requires a systemic and cohesive response. The synergies between our emerging neighborhoods are clear and we need to move forward together as a recognized community of interest.

For the many reasons above, we see Market Street as a natural separation or boundary. The street grid and mix of uses changes markedly between north and south of Market Street. The neighborhoods North of Market are established. Although the issues there are acute and variable depending on specific blocks, there are many similarities in these established neighborhoods. The neighborhoods South of Market, by contrast, are either mostly industrial PDR areas transitioning to denser growth with a more diverse mix of uses, or--where the bulk of the growth has happened--are the former/current redevelopment areas and planning department areas cited above.

Within this context, **we hope you see the clear synergies and needs for the joint advocacy that we have pursued for more than a decade ... and need to continue going forward as a clearly defined community of interest.** Working together, we hope to bloom into a network of established neighborhoods firmly rooted in our shared history, but it will take another decade or more to make this happen. **Please keep the District 6 area south of Market and including Mission Bay and Treasure Island intact.**

Respectfully,

Transbay Parents

Gloria Li - D6 resident, parent, working mom, TJPA CAC D6 Representative

Tao Tao Wang - D6 resident, parent

Alexey Rivkin - D6 resident, parent

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Robert Mansfield](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: District 6 Redistricting
Date: Friday, February 18, 2022 10:39:53 AM
Attachments: [02092022_D6 Redistricting Letter sbrmbna.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Robert Mansfield <mansfield@ymail.com>

Sent: Friday, February 18, 2022 6:59 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>

Subject: District 6 Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

Dear Task Force,

As a long-term resident of the South Beach neighborhood (since 1995), I strongly support the thoughtful and detailed recommendation of our neighborhood association in regard to proposed redistricting and have attached their proposal to this email.

I also work in the neighborhood and have been witness to the tremendous amount of development happening in our district. With that, of course, has come tremendous growth necessitating this redistricting process.

To me, it makes perfect sense to look at keeping the areas with new development together, as they have very specific and difficult needs and a whole separate set of social issues. It is important to develop the area thoughtfully and create some much needed tax base to help the rest of the City.

I also strongly feel the area north of Market Street needs to be kept together as a community and not divided, diluting their voice in City policy. There are many, many needs that need to be addressed in this long-established neighborhood, and some of their needs run contrary to the distraction of new development.

Our group's leadership has taken a long look and come up with a very thoughtful approach that I sincerely hope you will take in serious consideration in your own recommendations.

Sincerely,

Robert Mansfield
2 Townsend Street, Apt 1-408

17 February 2022

SF Department of Elections via email: rdtf@sfgov.org; john.carroll@sfgov.org
2020 Census Redistricting Task Force

Dear Redistricting Task Force members,

The South of Market neighborhoods including The East Cut (Rincon, TransBay), South Beach, Yerba Buena, Eastern and Western SoMa, along with Mission Bay and Treasure Island (and Mission Rock and Central SoMa as they are developed) all share common and essential needs and should be viewed together as a Community of Interest unit. Born of light industrial uses, freeway networks, and brown fields, these neighborhoods—our home—are the result of decades of planning. But there is more work to be done for our neighborhoods to thrive – work that is easier to accomplish together than apart.

This exponential growth from Treasure Island to the Embarcadero to Mission Bay to Western SoMa is the desired result of a cluster of redevelopment projects that are now emerging as densely populated, diverse communities working to establish ourselves as fully realized neighborhoods, integrated into the city fabric.

We are keenly aware that District 6 grew more rapidly over the last decade than any other district--adding the most housing, and most **affordable** housing (30%+in some areas) to the city--and as a result the district must now realign its borders to cede some population to other districts to meet the Redistricting formulas. **What we ask is that our neighborhoods—those cited in our opening sentence--be kept together.** We all share a common urban form and common challenges of not-yet-established San Francisco neighborhoods. Together with the just-emerging City and Port development areas adjacent--Mission Rock and the Central SoMa Plan Area--our core needs are shaped by common influences.

As the South Beach | Rincon | Mission Bay Neighborhood Association (founded in 2008), we recognized the need early on for a cohesive voice to represent these fledgling redevelopment areas at City Hall, and the equally pressing need to build a sense of community and pride of place as new neighbors moved into the area.

Our neighborhoods were built from the ground up (or massively renovated) and require unified attention. All the good planning that went into creating these new neighborhoods did not account for some basic and essential needs. We have no local school options in communities where we are building thousands of family housing units. We have limited affordable, neighborhood-serving retail where people across our diverse economic spectrum can shop for groceries, hardware, and other needs. We need to transform streets that were built to give fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, pedestrian-friendly neighborhood

South Beach | Rincon | Mission Bay Neighborhood Association

streets. We share common health and safety risks being situated adjacent to freeways, the Bay Bridge, and the Port. We lack an adequate network of parks, recreation and open space. And looking ahead, we share challenges related to sea level rise.

Together, we are building socially, culturally and economically diverse neighborhoods. We CAN solve for the common challenges we face, but doing so requires a systemic and cohesive response. The synergies between our emerging neighborhoods are clear and we need to move forward together as a recognized community of interest.

For the many reasons above, we see Market Street as a natural separation or boundary. The street grid and mix of uses changes markedly between north and south of Market Street. The neighborhoods North of Market are established. Although the issues there are acute and variable depending on specific blocks, there are many similarities in these established neighborhoods. The neighborhoods South of Market, by contrast, are either mostly industrial PDR areas transitioning to denser growth with a more diverse mix of uses, or--where the bulk of the growth has happened--are the former/current redevelopment areas and planning department areas cited above.

Within this context, **we hope you see the clear synergies and needs for the joint advocacy that we have pursued for more than a decade ... and need to continue going forward as a clearly defined community of interest.** Working together, we hope to bloom into a network of established neighborhoods firmly rooted in our shared history, but it will take another decade or more to make this happen. **Please keep the District 6 area south of Market and including Mission Bay and Treasure Island intact.**

Respectfully,

The South Beach | Rincon | Mission Bay Neighborhood Association Membership and Board of Directors

Alice Rogers, President
Katy Liddell, Vice President
Gary Pegueros, Secretary
Peggy Fahnstock, Treasurer
Bruce Agid, Director
Mike Anthony, Director

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Analytical Labs kist](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Redistricting NW Potrero Hill to D10
Date: Friday, February 18, 2022 10:39:21 AM
Attachments: [GBD -NW Potrero Hill.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Analytical Labs kist <alsfok@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Friday, February 18, 2022 4:14 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting NW Potrero Hill to D10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

Dear Re-districting committee,

My home is located in NW Potrero Hill. I have attached a map that illustrates the triangular area that includes a footbridge that connects our neighborhood with Potrero Hill.

Attached is a map of NW Potrero Hill, this area needs to stay with Potrero Hill in D10.

As a safe activity I walk to Fallen Bridge Park, the Benches Park and to the Potrero Hill Community Gardens and Park.

For neighborhood news and local events I log into Next Door Potrero Hill.

Since helping to establish our neighborhood Green Benefits District which includes the west part of Potrero Hill and the Dogpatch area, I have joined community groups like MUNA to improve our neighborhood. These include cleanup and caring for Fallen Bridge Park and helping with fundraising and promotion of the Potrero Gateway project.

Every summer a block party is organized at the end of San Bruno location.

In response to home break-ins and thefts and problems with homeless in Potrero Hill (including NW Potrero Hill), meetings with a member of the police department and/or SFSAFE or even the supervisor are organized by MUNA. The Slovenian Hall and the Russian Baptist Church have been used for some of these events. I am a member of the Slovenian Club.

One issue that will be resolved by the redistricting to D10 is the problem of which police department to call. when reporting and fighting crime. NW Potrero area is served by three police departments. This causes a lot of confusion about which police department serves which area. If our neighborhood was redistricted to D10 then it would eliminate the confusion, only one police department would need to be contacted.


This neighborhood needs to stay with Potrero Hill in D10.

*Respectfully,
Olga Kist*

467 POTRERO AVENUE, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94110


(415) 552-4595

alsfok@sbcglobal.net



NW POTRERO HILL

Parcels in GBD Zone II: NW Potrero Hill



From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Claudia Bonilla Haas](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Community Feedback
Date: Friday, February 18, 2022 10:38:29 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Claudia Bonilla Haas <claudiabhaas@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 17, 2022 11:51 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Community Feedback

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Whom It May Concern,

I'm writing to urge you to keep the Portola District in the same district it's in right now and represented by the same legislators. My supervisor is Hillary Ronen, my Representative is Nancy Pelosi and up until recently, my CA State Assemblymember was David Chiu.

As a constituent, I'm very satisfied with the way these public servants have represented me. Therefore, I DO NOT WANT TO CHANGE DISTRICTS. I want to continue being represented by these politicians. I share their values and vision with them. Please do all you can to keep the Portola District intact as is.

Thank you!

Claudia B. Haas

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Jean Dowdall](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [SBRBMNA Calendar](#)
Subject: FW: 2020 Redistricting
Date: Friday, February 18, 2022 10:38:02 AM
Attachments: [02092022_D6 Redistricting Letter sbrmbna.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Jean Dowdall <dowdall.jean@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 17, 2022 8:11 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Cc: SBRBMNA Calendar <sbrmbna@gmail.com>
Subject: 2020 Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing in support of maintaining D6 as a community of interest. These diverse neighborhoods share a common character and common needs for schools, for retail, and for livable neighborhoods. We meet regularly to address a wide range of issues that touch all of our lives and we take action together as we think best. Please see the attached letter for details.

Thanks for taking these issues into account in redistricting decisions.

Jean Dowdall
239 Brannan Street, Apt 16G
San Francisco CA 94107

17 February 2022

SF Department of Elections via email: rdtf@sfgov.org; john.carroll@sfgov.org
2020 Census Redistricting Task Force

Dear Redistricting Task Force members,

The South of Market neighborhoods including The East Cut (Rincon, TransBay), South Beach, Yerba Buena, Eastern and Western SoMa, along with Mission Bay and Treasure Island (and Mission Rock and Central SoMa as they are developed) all share common and essential needs and should be viewed together as a Community of Interest unit. Born of light industrial uses, freeway networks, and brown fields, these neighborhoods—our home--are the result of decades of planning. But there is more work to be done for our neighborhoods to thrive – work that is easier to accomplish together than apart.

This exponential growth from Treasure Island to the Embarcadero to Mission Bay to Western SoMa is the desired result of a cluster of redevelopment projects that are now emerging as densely populated, diverse communities working to establish ourselves as fully realized neighborhoods, integrated into the city fabric.

We are keenly aware that District 6 grew more rapidly over the last decade than any other district--adding the most housing, and most **affordable** housing (30%+in some areas) to the city--and as a result the district must now realign its borders to cede some population to other districts to meet the Redistricting formulas. **What we ask is that our neighborhoods—those cited in our opening sentence--be kept together.** We all share a common urban form and common challenges of not-yet-established San Francisco neighborhoods. Together with the just-emerging City and Port development areas adjacent--Mission Rock and the Central SoMa Plan Area--our core needs are shaped by common influences.

As the South Beach | Rincon | Mission Bay Neighborhood Association (founded in 2008), we recognized the need early on for a cohesive voice to represent these fledgling redevelopment areas at City Hall, and the equally pressing need to build a sense of community and pride of place as new neighbors moved into the area.

Our neighborhoods were built from the ground up (or massively renovated) and require unified attention. All the good planning that went into creating these new neighborhoods did not account for some basic and essential needs. We have no local school options in communities where we are building thousands of family housing units. We have limited affordable, neighborhood-serving retail where people across our diverse economic spectrum can shop for groceries, hardware, and other needs. We need to transform streets that were built to give fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, pedestrian-friendly neighborhood

South Beach | Rincon | Mission Bay Neighborhood Association

streets. We share common health and safety risks being situated adjacent to freeways, the Bay Bridge, and the Port. We lack an adequate network of parks, recreation and open space. And looking ahead, we share challenges related to sea level rise.

Together, we are building socially, culturally and economically diverse neighborhoods. We CAN solve for the common challenges we face, but doing so requires a systemic and cohesive response. The synergies between our emerging neighborhoods are clear and we need to move forward together as a recognized community of interest.

For the many reasons above, we see Market Street as a natural separation or boundary. The street grid and mix of uses changes markedly between north and south of Market Street. The neighborhoods North of Market are established. Although the issues there are acute and variable depending on specific blocks, there are many similarities in these established neighborhoods. The neighborhoods South of Market, by contrast, are either mostly industrial PDR areas transitioning to denser growth with a more diverse mix of uses, or--where the bulk of the growth has happened--are the former/current redevelopment areas and planning department areas cited above.

Within this context, **we hope you see the clear synergies and needs for the joint advocacy that we have pursued for more than a decade ... and need to continue going forward as a clearly defined community of interest.** Working together, we hope to bloom into a network of established neighborhoods firmly rooted in our shared history, but it will take another decade or more to make this happen. **Please keep the District 6 area south of Market and including Mission Bay and Treasure Island intact.**

Respectfully,

The South Beach | Rincon | Mission Bay Neighborhood Association Membership and Board of Directors

Alice Rogers, President
Katy Liddell, Vice President
Gary Pegueros, Secretary
Peggy Fahnstock, Treasurer
Bruce Agid, Director
Mike Anthony, Director

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [SBRBMNA Calendar](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Katy Liddell](#); [Gary Pegueros](#); [Mike Anthony](#); [Bruce Agid](#); [Peggy Fahnestock](#); [Alice Rogers](#)
Subject: FW: D6 Community of Interest perspective for your consideration from SB|R|MB NA
Date: Friday, February 18, 2022 10:37:30 AM
Attachments: [02092022_D6 Redistricting Letter sbrmbna.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: SBRBMNA Calendar <sbrmbna@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, February 17, 2022 5:08 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>

Cc: Katy Liddell <cliddell@icloud.com>; Gary Pegueros <garypegueros@sbcglobal.net>; Mike Anthony <manthony1948@yahoo.com>; Bruce Agid <bruce.h.agid@gmail.com>; Peggy Fahnestock <peggy.fahnestock@sbcglobal.net>; Alice Rogers <arcomnsf@pacbell.net>

Subject: D6 Community of Interest perspective for your consideration from SB|R|MB NA

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

17 February 2022

SF Department of Elections

2020 Census Redistricting Task Force

Dear Redistricting Task Force members,

The South of Market neighborhoods including The East Cut (Rincon, TransBay), South Beach, Yerba Buena, Eastern and Western SoMa, along with Mission Bay and Treasure Island (and Mission Rock and Central SoMa as they are developed) all share common and essential needs and should be viewed together as a Community of Interest unit. Born of light industrial uses, freeway networks, and brown fields, these neighborhoods—our home—are the result of decades of planning. But there is more work to be done for our neighborhoods to thrive – work that is easier to accomplish together than apart.

This exponential growth from Treasure Island to the Embarcadero to Mission Bay to Western SoMa is the desired result of a cluster of redevelopment projects that are now emerging as densely populated, diverse communities working to establish ourselves as fully realized neighborhoods, integrated into the city fabric.

We are keenly aware that District 6 grew more rapidly over the last decade than any other district--adding the most housing, and most **affordable** housing (30%+in some areas) to the city--and as a result the district must now realign its borders to cede some population to other districts to meet the Redistricting formulas. **What we ask is that our neighborhoods—those cited in our opening sentence--be kept together.** We all share a common urban form and common challenges of not-yet-established San Francisco neighborhoods. Together with the just-emerging City and Port development areas adjacent--Mission Rock and the Central SoMa Plan Area--our core needs are shaped by common influences.

As the South Beach | Rincon | Mission Bay Neighborhood Association (founded in 2008), we recognized the need early on for a cohesive voice to represent these fledgling redevelopment areas at City Hall, and the equally pressing need to build a sense of community and pride of place as new neighbors moved into the area.

Our neighborhoods were built from the ground up (or massively renovated) and require unified attention. All the good planning that went into creating these new neighborhoods did not account for some basic and essential needs. We have no local school options in communities where we are building thousands of family housing units. We have limited affordable, neighborhood-serving retail

where people across our diverse economic spectrum can shop for groceries, hardware, and other needs. We need to transform streets that were built to give fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, pedestrian-friendly neighborhood streets. We share common health and safety risks being situated adjacent to freeways, the Bay Bridge, and the Port. We lack an adequate network of parks, recreation and open space. And looking ahead, we share challenges related to sea level rise.

Together, we are building socially, culturally and economically diverse neighborhoods. We CAN solve for the common challenges we face, but doing so requires a systemic and cohesive response. The synergies between our emerging neighborhoods are clear and we need to move forward together as a recognized community of interest.

For the many reasons above, we see Market Street as a natural separation or boundary. The street grid and mix of uses changes markedly between north and south of Market Street. The neighborhoods North of Market are established. Although the issues there are acute and variable depending on specific blocks, there are many similarities in these established neighborhoods. The neighborhoods South of Market, by contrast, are either mostly industrial PDR areas transitioning to denser growth with a more diverse mix of uses, or--where the bulk of the growth has happened--are the former/current redevelopment areas and planning department areas cited above.

Within this context, **we hope you see the clear synergies and needs for the joint advocacy that we have pursued for more than a decade ... and need to continue going forward as a clearly defined community of interest.** Working together, we hope to bloom into a network of established neighborhoods firmly rooted in our shared history, but it will take another decade or more to make this happen. **Please keep the District 6 area south of Market and including Mission Bay and Treasure Island intact.**

Respectfully,

The South Beach | Rincon | Mission Bay Neighborhood Association Membership and Board of Directors

Alice Rogers, President

Katy Liddell, Vice President

Gary Pegueros, Secretary

Peggy Fahnestock, Treasurer

Bruce Agid, Director

Mike Anthony, Director

.....
[SB|R|MB NA](mailto:sbrmbna@gmail.com)
sbrmbna@gmail.com

PDF of letter attached if preferred for distribution

17 February 2022

SF Department of Elections via email: rdtf@sfgov.org; john.carroll@sfgov.org
2020 Census Redistricting Task Force

Dear Redistricting Task Force members,

The South of Market neighborhoods including The East Cut (Rincon, TransBay), South Beach, Yerba Buena, Eastern and Western SoMa, along with Mission Bay and Treasure Island (and Mission Rock and Central SoMa as they are developed) all share common and essential needs and should be viewed together as a Community of Interest unit. Born of light industrial uses, freeway networks, and brown fields, these neighborhoods—our home--are the result of decades of planning. But there is more work to be done for our neighborhoods to thrive – work that is easier to accomplish together than apart.

This exponential growth from Treasure Island to the Embarcadero to Mission Bay to Western SoMa is the desired result of a cluster of redevelopment projects that are now emerging as densely populated, diverse communities working to establish ourselves as fully realized neighborhoods, integrated into the city fabric.

We are keenly aware that District 6 grew more rapidly over the last decade than any other district--adding the most housing, and most **affordable** housing (30%+in some areas) to the city--and as a result the district must now realign its borders to cede some population to other districts to meet the Redistricting formulas. **What we ask is that our neighborhoods—those cited in our opening sentence--be kept together.** We all share a common urban form and common challenges of not-yet-established San Francisco neighborhoods. Together with the just-emerging City and Port development areas adjacent--Mission Rock and the Central SoMa Plan Area--our core needs are shaped by common influences.

As the South Beach | Rincon | Mission Bay Neighborhood Association (founded in 2008), we recognized the need early on for a cohesive voice to represent these fledgling redevelopment areas at City Hall, and the equally pressing need to build a sense of community and pride of place as new neighbors moved into the area.

Our neighborhoods were built from the ground up (or massively renovated) and require unified attention. All the good planning that went into creating these new neighborhoods did not account for some basic and essential needs. We have no local school options in communities where we are building thousands of family housing units. We have limited affordable, neighborhood-serving retail where people across our diverse economic spectrum can shop for groceries, hardware, and other needs. We need to transform streets that were built to give fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, pedestrian-friendly neighborhood

South Beach | Rincon | Mission Bay Neighborhood Association

streets. We share common health and safety risks being situated adjacent to freeways, the Bay Bridge, and the Port. We lack an adequate network of parks, recreation and open space. And looking ahead, we share challenges related to sea level rise.

Together, we are building socially, culturally and economically diverse neighborhoods. We CAN solve for the common challenges we face, but doing so requires a systemic and cohesive response. The synergies between our emerging neighborhoods are clear and we need to move forward together as a recognized community of interest.

For the many reasons above, we see Market Street as a natural separation or boundary. The street grid and mix of uses changes markedly between north and south of Market Street. The neighborhoods North of Market are established. Although the issues there are acute and variable depending on specific blocks, there are many similarities in these established neighborhoods. The neighborhoods South of Market, by contrast, are either mostly industrial PDR areas transitioning to denser growth with a more diverse mix of uses, or--where the bulk of the growth has happened--are the former/current redevelopment areas and planning department areas cited above.

Within this context, **we hope you see the clear synergies and needs for the joint advocacy that we have pursued for more than a decade ... and need to continue going forward as a clearly defined community of interest.** Working together, we hope to bloom into a network of established neighborhoods firmly rooted in our shared history, but it will take another decade or more to make this happen. **Please keep the District 6 area south of Market and including Mission Bay and Treasure Island intact.**

Respectfully,

The South Beach | Rincon | Mission Bay Neighborhood Association Membership and Board of Directors

Alice Rogers, President
Katy Liddell, Vice President
Gary Pegueros, Secretary
Peggy Fahnstock, Treasurer
Bruce Agid, Director
Mike Anthony, Director

South Beach | Rincon | Mission Bay Neighborhood Association

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: tesw@aol.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: D5 Proposed Map attached
Date: Thursday, February 17, 2022 4:50:56 PM
Attachments: [D5 Map with boundary changes.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: tesw@aol.com <tesw@aol.com>

Sent: Thursday, February 17, 2022 3:32 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: D5 Proposed Map attached

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello, Task Force Members, I am sending in this proposed map for District 5.

Over the past weeks, the attached map was developed with major activists in District 5, around the strong core of the African American community, the Japanese American community, and the Haight Ashbury. Additional input came from Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association, Inner Sunset neighbors, North of Panhandle Neighborhood Association, and Alamo Square Neighborhood Association. Contributors are listed alphabetically by organization.

We have numerous communities of interest, and will be sending these in soon. You have already received COIs from Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association and the Buchanan Mall.

D5 is one of the most progressive voting districts in San Francisco. D5 is mixed ethnically, although European Americans predominate. About 75% of our residents are renters. We have the full diversity of San Francisco, including LGBTQ and persons currently unhoused. Our neighborhoods range in income from zero to millionaires, often within a couple of blocks of each other. Our diversity is a major part of our creativity, and of our compassion, as we have many services for people facing many challenges, including being unhoused.

We look forward to achieving a city-wide map that fairly represents the communities and diversity of District 5.


Cordially,

Tes Welborn
Haight Ashbury Neighborhood Council

First Name	Last Name	Organization
Jason	Jervas	Alamo Square Neighborhood Assn, President
Barbara	Thompson	Ammell Park Coop, Hayes Valley
Marlayne	Morgan	Cathedral Hill
Pia	Harris	Fillmore Collaborative
Tes	Welborn	Haight Ashbury Neighborhood Council Board
Calvin	Welch	Haight Ashbury Neighborhood Council, Land Use Chair
David	Woo	Haight Ashbury Neighborhood Council, Vice President, and Soma Pilipinas, D6
Gail	Baugh	Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association, past president Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association, Transportation & Land Use

Jason	Henderson	Chair
Denis	Mosgofian	Inner Sunset
Lori	Liederman	Inner Sunset
David	Hamaguchi	Japan Town
Alice	Kawahatsu	Japantown Task Force
Susie	Kagami	Japantown Task Force
Jeremy	Chan	Japantown Task Force, Co-chair
Emily	Murase	Japantown Task Force, Executive Director
Glynis	Nakahara	Japantown Task Force, Land Use Chair
Grace	Horikiri	JCBD
Rufus	Watkins	Midtown
Ryan	Booth	North of Panhandle Neighborhood Assn. Board member

Booker T. Washington Comm. Ctr. - 800 Presidio



Map of the
5th Supervisorial District
 City and County of
 San Francisco, California



Prepared by the Department of Elections
 September 2012

- Precinct Boundary
- Congressional District Boundary
- Assembly District Boundaries
- Supervisorial District Boundaries
- EARLY DISTRICT Boundaries

Assembly District by Precinct
 17th Assembly - 7600's, 1411-1154
 19th Assembly - 9000's, 1101-1136

Westside Courts - 2501 Sutter

Prepared by PhotoGraphics, Inc.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Marc Christensen](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: D7 access to meeting
Date: Thursday, February 17, 2022 4:00:38 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Also, if the browser you're using to access the meeting is preventing you from joining via the link, I don't know that there is anything we can do about it on our end. You may have a method of dismissing the warning from within your web browser—but I'm not sure.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Marc Christensen <christensen.marc.metna@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 17, 2022 11:02 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: D7 access to meeting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I spoke with Emmy Kim regarding accessing the District meetings.

I have tried on two occasions to click on the link, but I get a message from google "not a secure site" and it won't let me advance. I did, however view the meeting on sfgate, I believe channel 78 or 98, for D11, and phoned in using the information on the tv screen and it worked very well. Hopefully that will be done for the upcoming D7 meeting, that will be easy to access.

I also know one or two persons who do not have internet access that would like to participate.

I must say that this redistricting process has been excellent in its outreach to communities throughout San Francisco. I know it is not an easy process, however the committee should be complimented on their work.

Thank you,

Marc Christensen, president

Merced Extension Triangle Neighborhood Association

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Richard Worner](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Kathy Devincenzi](#); [Owen Hart](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting
Date: Thursday, February 17, 2022 4:00:29 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Richard Worner <richworner@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 17, 2022 9:15 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine <caerine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Kathy Devincenzi <krdevincenzi@gmail.com>; Owen Hart <olhart120@gmail.com>
Subject: Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

To Whom it May Concern:

As a past President of the Jordan Park Improvement Association, I am very aware of the alliances JPIA had with our neighboring Associations: Presidio Heights and Laurel Heights.

Our Associations collaborated on many issues to make our neighborhoods and our City a better place for our constituents and City families to live.

I implore you to keep our Associations TOGETHER in District 2.

We have an excellent relationship with our supervisor, Catherine Stefani and we need to keep that relationship in tack for years to come.

Leave Jordan Park and Laurel Heights in D-2.

Richard Worner

129 Palm Ave, San Francisco, CA 94118

--

COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE CAPITAL (DRE #00554985)

Richard A. Worner

129 Palm Ave.

San Francisco, CA. 94118

Phone: 415-314-5833

Email: worner@sbcglobal.net

This email and any files transmitted with it are solely intended for the use of the addressee(s) and may contain information that is confidential and privileged. If you receive this email in error, please advise us by return email immediately.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [David Gilliam](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Joel Engardio](#); [Frank Noto](#)
Subject: RE: Supervisorial Districts 4 & 7 Redistricting
Date: Thursday, February 17, 2022 4:00:11 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: David Gilliam <treesbysea@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 6:06 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Joel Engardio <jengardio@gmail.com>; Frank Noto <Frank@fnstrategy.com>
Subject: Supervisorial Districts 4 & 7 Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

February 16, 2022

Board of Elections
Redistricting Task Force

Hello,

I'm a Golden Gate Heights resident, and write to request that District 4 be redrawn to include the Lakeshore area south of Sloat Blvd., rather than the Inner Sunset around Irving Street.

Like many of my Golden Gate and Sunset Heights neighbors, I rely on the shops, restaurants, and other services of Irving Street, just a few blocks down the hill. They in turn rely on us. Therefore, I feel that these three interrelated areas belong in District 7. Having them in the same district will concentrate their political representation while promoting clarity and cohesion in local government.

Likewise, District 4 will benefit from absorbing the Lakeshore area, as that is where many Sunset residents shop. This will be a win-win for both Districts 4 and 7. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

David Gilliam
1747 10th Avenue
San Francisco CA 94122

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [David Hirtzel](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting Supervisorial District 4
Date: Thursday, February 17, 2022 4:00:03 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: David Hirtzel <plpna2016@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 5:38 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Supervisorial District 4

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Members of the Redistricting Task Force

There has been some suggestion to extend District 7 north of the current boundary on Sloat Blvd. The border would then extend into the Pine Lake Park Neighborhood, dividing it into two districts, 4 and 7. Our neighborhood stretches from Sloat Blvd to on the south, to Escolta on the north and Sunset Blvd on the west.

Pine Lake Pake has always worked with the District 4 Supervisor, especially with issues related to Pine Lake Park. Please do not move the boundary north of Sloat Blvd and complicate our 83 year effort to maintain and improve our community.

--

David

David Hirtzel
President
Pine Lake Park Neighborhood Association

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Rosemary Bell](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting Task Force
Date: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 3:24:36 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Rosemary Bell <bklynbrn1826@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 2:52 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Task Force

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

I am a resident of Laurel Heights-Parker/Spruce, Geary, Masonic, California street neighborhood. I've lived in this district for the past 20 plus years, and my wife was born and raised on Emerson St. in District 2.

I strongly request that my neighborhood remain in Supervisorial District 2 which has been the case for many, many years. My neighborhood has a strong Community of Interest with the other D2 neighborhoods, especially Laurel Heights, and I have worked with them on social, cultural, economic , and housing projects over the years.

This Community of Interest includes Public Safety, Housing for the Homeless, and several major housing developments: the former CPMC Hospital at 3700 California St; the former UCSF campus at 3333 California St, as well as the Lucky Penny and Firestone sites on Geary Blvd. I have also worked closely with D2 on the potential Sagebrook Assisted Living expansion.

I, along with my neighborhood, have formed a strong bond and productive working relationships with the public organizations within D2, especially with our Supervisor Catherine Stefani and do not wish to see these disrupted.

Please take into consideration the years of stability and cooperation that D2 residents have worked very hard to forge.

Thank you for your consideration and your service on the RTF.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Bell

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Angulo, Sunny \(BOS\)](#); [Hepner, Lee \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: NHA Letter on Redistricting
Date: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 3:24:30 PM
Attachments: [NHA Letter on Redistricting.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Stanley Landfair <stanleylandfair3@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 12:49 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Cc: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Angulo, Sunny (BOS) <sunny.angulo@sfgov.org>; Hepner, Lee (BOS) <lee.hepner@sfgov.org>

Subject: NHA Letter on Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

<<...>>

Sir or Madame and Redistricting Task Force

Please find attached our preliminary comments on redistricting.

We look forward to engaging with you and with other parties of interest in this important matter.

Thank you.

Stan Landfair

President, Nob Hill Association

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Bluesgyrl2](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting Task Force Meeting District 4 - Tonight
Date: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 3:23:20 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Bluesgyrl2 <bluesgyrl2@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 12:34 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Task Force Meeting District 4 - Tonight

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

Dear San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

We are writing to you with the hope that our email is taken into consideration during the District 4 meeting this evening.

According to your, "San Francisco Redistricting Fact Sheet", it is conveyed that the new Supervisorial District Maps are currently not drawn but are expected to be by April 25, 2022. There has been speculation that the Task Force may consider redistricting our neighborhood from District 4 to District 7. With this in mind, we urge the Task Force to dissuade any split of the current Supervisorial Lines that would separate the Pine Lake Park neighborhood from District 4.

As members of the Pine Lake Neighborhood Association (PLPNA), we have worked tirelessly to gather members within District 4 in order to strengthen our community and to have a greater voice with various San Francisco government agencies. The PLPNA has been strong within our community for 8 decades and to have the neighborhood separated would do unmitigated damage to what we have all work so hard to accomplish over the past 80 years.

Furthermore, our Pine Lake Park neighborhood is not geographically connected to District 7. Currently divided by the borders of 19th Avenue and Sloat Blvd., the districts within these borders come with their own distinct and unique set of circumstances. For example, other San Francisco government entities such as the San Francisco Planning Department, categorize the neighborhood of Pine Lake Park (District 4) under the planning jurisdiction of the "Outer Sunset District", the other side of 19th Avenue as the "Inner Sunset District" (District 7) and the other side of Sloat Blvd. the "Ingleside District" (District 7). It is just not logical to separate the small neighborhood of Pine Lake Park into a Supervisorial District it has absolutely no investment in.

Thank you for your consideration and for reaching out the public so that our ideas and opinions are heard.

Natalie and Jerry Simotas

Pine Lake Park Residents

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Peter Pirolli](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Public Comments to the Redistricting Task Force re Redistricting District 4
Date: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 3:22:51 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Peter Pirolli <peter.pirolli@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 11:25 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Peter Pirolli <peter.pirolli@gmail.com>
Subject: Public Comments to the Redistricting Task Force re Redistricting District 4

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am an 25 year resident of D4. My preference is to choose Alternative 3. Alternative 3 would just add the area south of D4, adding in Lowell High School.

—Peter Pirolli


From: [Stephen Gorski](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: Public Comment on Redistricting D.4
Date: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 10:44:21 AM
Attachments: [cid31D87949-2FF0-49DD-94EE-B2A380B355B7.png](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Members of the Redistricting Task Force:

This is to submit my public comments regarding the redistricting of District 4, where I own a home and have lived for over 40 years.

Of the choices I've seen, I choose **Alternative 3**. **Alternative 3** would just add the area south of D4, adding in Lowell High School. This would increase population to 76,717 people or only -3.56% below the ideal population. This alternative appeals to me because there are high-rises going up along Sloat that will bring many more residents to this area. These residents already are, and will continue to be, directly impacted by the decisions being made concerning important issues affecting District 4, such as the need for better and more transportation and other services, as well as the fate of the Great Highway and nearby streets in the neighborhood.



Thank you for considering my and my family's opinions regarding this issue.

Sincerely,
Stephen J. Gorski
Zip code: 94116

Sent from my iPad

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Judi Gorski](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Public Comments to the Redistricting Task Force re Redistricting District 4
Date: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 10:44:18 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Judi Gorski <judigorski@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 9:49 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>


Subject: Public Comments to the Redistricting Task Force re Redistricting District 4

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

To Members of the Redistricting Task Force:

This is to submit my public comments regarding the redistricting of District 4, where I own a home and have lived for over 40 years.

Of the choices I've seen, I choose **Alternative 3. Alternative 3** would just add the area south of D4, adding in Lowell High School. This would increase population to 76,717 people or only -3.56% below the ideal population. This alternative appeals to me because there are high-rises going up along Sloat that will bring many more residents to this area. These residents already are, and will continue to be, directly impacted by the decisions being made concerning important issues affecting District 4, such as the need for better and more transportation and other services, as well as the fate of the Great Highway and nearby streets in the neighborhood.



Thank you for considering my and my family's opinions regarding this issue.

Sincerely,
Judi Gorski
Zip code: 94116

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Mira](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: Jordan Park
Date: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 10:44:05 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Mira <miracarrington@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 15, 2022 6:39 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>
Subject: Jordan Park

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi,

I'm a resident in Jordan Park residing at 61 Parker Avenue. We have owned our home since 2017. We are strongly opposed to leaving District 2. Thank you for your time.

Mira Carrington

Sent from my iPhone

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [nancy stretch](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting
Date: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 10:43:56 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: nancy stretch <nancystretch@mac.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 15, 2022 4:42 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We live on Jordan Ave and have since 1966. We've seen many changes, mostly good which makes a city vibrant. Naturally we are older and perhaps less tolerant of the marijuana store across Geary but the biggest change would be putting us in district 1. We are in District 2 and want to stay there for several reasons but perhaps the main one is the two huge building complexes that will soon start on the Children's Hospital and Fireman's Fund/ UCSF properties. We want a say in those developments. Thank you, Nancy and Joe Stretch, 157 Jordan

Sent from my iPhone

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Howard Ginsberg](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: D2
Date: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 10:43:44 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Howard Ginsberg <hgpw@aol.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 15, 2022 4:24 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>
Subject: D2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of Jordan Park-Arguello, Geary, Parker/Spruce, California and I request that my neighborhood remain in Supervisorial District 2 which has been the case for many, many years. My neighborhood has a strong Community of Interest with Laurel Heights and Pacific Heights and has worked with them on social, cultural and economic issues and projects over the years. This Community of Interest includes Public Safety, Housing for the Homeless, and a number of major housing developments: the former CPMC Hospital at 3700 California St; the former UCSF campus at 3333 California St, as well as the Lucky Penny and Firestone sites on Geary Blvd.

I, along with my neighborhood, have formed a strong bond and productive working relationships with the public organizations within D2, especially with our Supervisor Catherine Stefani and do not wish to see these disrupted.

Thank you for your consideration and your service on the RTF.

Sincerely,

Howard Ginsberg

41 Commonwealth Avenue (resident for 50 years)

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Robyn Tucker](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Betsy Brill](#)
Subject: FW: 2020 Census: Redistricting Comment & Request
Date: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 10:43:27 AM
Attachments: [PANA - Redistricting Comment & Request.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Robyn Tucker <venturesv@icloud.com>
Sent: Monday, February 14, 2022 10:27 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Betsy Brill <betsyb123@mac.com>
Subject: 2020 Census: Redistricting Comment & Request

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From the Pacific Avenue Neighborhood Association (PANA)

February 14, 2022

To: Redistricting Task Force

Re: 2020 Census & Supervisorial Districts Map, from the *Pacific Avenue Neighborhood Association*, a San Francisco Community of Interest

Dear Redistricting Task Force,

As leaders, members, and neighbors of the Pacific Avenue Neighborhood Association (PANA), we would like to share our thoughts and ask for your support in maintaining Nob Hill and our neighborhood community within Supervisorial District 3. Our Association's boundaries include but are not limited to: from Broadway to Jackson Street (North to South) and from Taylor Street to Polk Street (East to West).

For 19 years, we have been meeting with and working alongside our Russian Hill, North Beach, Telegraph Hill and Nob Hill neighborhood communities. We are intimately connected with these neighborhoods through similar interests and concerns. These areas include but are not limited to the following: health and safety, quality of life, cultural diversity preservation, historic character and building design preservation, and our vital small businesses. We have come together many times to help one another navigate issues. We would not know where to begin in another district.

Nob Hill is also closely connected to Chinatown through multi-generational families living in Nob Hill and shopping, doing business, and visiting family members. Many Nob Hill residents shop and socialize exclusively in Chinatown.

We basically live our lives north of California Street and east of Van Ness. Other districts being discussed to absorb our neighborhood face issues and lifestyles distinctly dissimilar from ours. Districts 2 and 6 represent opposites of where our neighborhood exists. District 2 is more affluent and homogenous. District 6, which sprawls into the South of Market area, faces unique challenges that our residential neighborhood simply does not

share. We know we will be better represented by maintaining our ties with the same neighbors with whom we have worked and lived for the past 19+ years.

Regarding the 2020 Census and its impact on Redistricting

The 2020 census was conducted as the unfolding COVID19 pandemic created-- according to USPS change of address requests--a dramatic population outflow from San Francisco. A deep dive into the USPS data reported by the news site *Hoodline* in November 2020 demonstrated that the highest rate of out-migration was from zip code 94109, followed by the 94110-zip code in the Mission.

Pre-Covid, District 3 was the densest in the country per capita. Our neighborhood, which suffered San Francisco's greatest population losses during the census/pandemic year, should not be excised to another district based on what is surely an aberration caused by the pandemic outflow.

Though we can't cite statistics particular to our neighborhood, according to a July 2021 *San Francisco Chronicle* analysis of postal data, the peak net monthly loss of humans, per the USPS, came in August 2020 when there were 7,000 more changes of address for people departing SF than there were people moving in. Net out-migration in June 2021 was 1,600, just above the 1,400 seen in May, which is right around the 1,500 net departures seen in March 2020.

A more recent Chronicle analysis of Consumer Credit Panel data indicates the city's population shift may not have reversed itself.

None of this speaks to the historic draw of the Nob Hill area to those returning to the city as the pandemic recedes and lower rents attract new and returning residents.

We respectfully ask that your guidance be to maintain Nob Hill within Supervisorial District 3, along with our traditional friends and allies in Russian Hill, North Beach, and Telegraph Hill.

Sincerely,

Robyn Tucker

Betsy Brill

Co-Chairs, Pacific Avenue Neighborhood Association

Pacific Avenue Neighborhood Association – PANA

February 14, 2022

To: Redistricting Task Force

Re: 2020 Census & Supervisorial Districts Map, from the *Pacific Avenue Neighborhood Association*, a San Francisco Community of Interest

Dear Redistricting Task Force,

As leaders, members, and neighbors of the Pacific Avenue Neighborhood Association (PANA), we would like to share our thoughts and ask for your support in maintaining Nob Hill and our neighborhood community within Supervisorial District 3. Our Association's boundaries include but are not limited to: from Broadway to Jackson Street (North to South) and from Taylor Street to Polk Street (East to West).

For 19 years, we have been meeting with and working alongside our Russian Hill, North Beach, Telegraph Hill and Nob Hill neighborhood communities. We are intimately connected with these neighborhoods through similar interests and concerns. These areas include but are not limited to the following: health and safety, quality of life, cultural diversity preservation, historic character and building design preservation, and our vital small businesses. We have come together many times to help one another navigate issues. We would not know where to begin in another district.

Nob Hill is also closely connected to Chinatown through multi-generational families living in Nob Hill and shopping, doing business, and visiting family members. Many Nob Hill residents shop and socialize exclusively in Chinatown.

We basically live our lives north of California Street and east of Van Ness. Other districts being discussed to absorb our neighborhood face issues and lifestyles distinctly dissimilar from ours. Districts 2 and 6 represent opposites of where our neighborhood exists. District 2 is more affluent and homogenous. District 6, which sprawls into the South of Market area, faces unique challenges that our residential neighborhood simply does not share. We know we will be better represented by maintaining our ties with the same neighbors with whom we have worked and lived for the past 19+ years.

Regarding the 2020 Census and its impact on Redistricting

The 2020 census was conducted as the unfolding COVID19 pandemic created--according to USPS change of address requests--a dramatic population outflow from San Francisco. A deep dive into the USPS data reported by the news site *Hoodline* in November 2020 demonstrated that the highest rate of out-migration was from zip code 94109, followed by the 94110-zip code in the Mission.

Pacific Avenue Neighborhood Association – PANA

Pre-Covid, District 3 was the densest in the country per capita. Our neighborhood, which suffered San Francisco's greatest population losses during the census/pandemic year, should not be excised to another district based on what is surely an aberration caused by the pandemic outflow.

Though we can't cite statistics particular to our neighborhood, according to a July 2021 *San Francisco Chronicle* analysis of postal data, the peak net monthly loss of humans, per the USPS, came in August 2020 when there were 7,000 more changes of address for people departing SF than there were people moving in. Net out-migration in June 2021 was 1,600, just above the 1,400 seen in May, which is right around the 1,500 net departures seen in March 2020.

A more recent Chronicle analysis of Consumer Credit Panel data indicates the city's population shift may not have reversed itself.

None of this speaks to the historic draw of the Nob Hill area to those returning to the city as the pandemic recedes and lower rents attract new and returning residents.

We respectfully ask that your guidance be to maintain Nob Hill within Supervisorial District 3, along with our traditional friends and allies in Russian Hill, North Beach, and Telegraph Hill.

Sincerely,

Robyn Tucker
Betsy Brill
Co-Chairs, Pacific Avenue Neighborhood Association

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Perviz Randeria](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Mullan, Andrew \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); frfbeagle@gmail.com
Subject: FW: District 2 Redistricting Proposal
Date: Monday, February 14, 2022 5:07:37 PM
Attachments: [LHIA & JPIA MAP OF D2001.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Perviz Randeria <pervera@sbcglobal.net>

Sent: Monday, February 14, 2022 12:11 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Cc: Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; frfbeagle@gmail.com

Subject: District 2 Redistricting Proposal

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I strongly prefer to remain within D2 as shown on the attached map and boundaries. I believe these best serve my personal Community of Interest as well as the Community Interest of my Laurel Heights neighborhood where we have had for many years a sense of Community with the neighborhood groups throughout the District.

Thank you.

Perviz E. Randeria
415-386-6318
Pervera@sbcglobal.net

ATTACHMENT 1


Map of the City and County of San Francisco, California
2nd Supervisorial District
March 2019



Prepared by the Department of Elections

March 2019

— Precinct Boundary



GOLDEN GATE BRIDGE



From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: chock313@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting recommendations for Cole Valley
Date: Monday, February 14, 2022 5:07:01 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: chock313@gmail.com <chock313@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, February 14, 2022 12:32 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting recommendations for Cole Valley

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi there,

I'm a 20 year resident of Cole Valley and wanted to chime in on redistricting for my area. I've read about your process and am encouraged to see that you want to keep communities of interest grouped together. Here are my thoughts:

- Keep the boundaries of Cole Valley Improvement Association (CVIA) together. This group has been active in the community for many years and serve a very strong community of interest. Specific neighborhoods that this includes/should be kept together are:
 - Cole Valley
 - Upper Haight Ashbury
 - Panhandle / Park North
 - Parnassus Heights
 - Ashbury Heights
 - Clarendon Heights
- Beyond keeping CVIA boundaries together, here are some other neighborhoods that that I think have a natural affinity / communities of interest with Cole Valley/Haight Ashbury:
 - NOPA / Divisadero St.
 - Inner Sunset
 - Lower Haight
 - Corona Heights / Buena Vista
 - Duboce Triangle
 - Castro
- Here are some communities currently in District 5 that I think Cole Valley/Haight Ashbury area has very little affinities with and can probably be dropped from D5 / the new District that Cole Valley/Haight Ashbury is in:
 - Western Addition
 - Japantown
 - Lower Pacific Heights (Bush / Post streets)

Thanks for listening.

Sincerely,
Chris Hock
1585 Shrader St.,
SF, CA 94117

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [carole.glosenger](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting Cole Valley and the Upper Haight Ashbury
Date: Monday, February 14, 2022 5:06:07 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: carole.glosenger <carole.glosenger@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, February 14, 2022 11:10 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Cole Valley and the Upper Haight Ashbury

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

The Cole Valley Improvement Association is a longstanding, integral, diverse and valued neighborhood organization which is dedicated to serving all residents and businesses of The Greater Haight Ashbury. For 32 years, the CVIA has sponsored numerous community events benefiting both residents and local businesses.

Cole Valley Street Fair

Santa on Cole

Clean Cole Street (we employ a crew to clean the Cole Street business district twice per day)

Grattan Elementary School

Eat Local Cole Valley (provided coupons to encourage neighbors to support local restaurants)

Membership on the Community Police Advisory Board

Membership on the Kezar Stadium Advisory Board

Members of the Cole Valley Improvement Association urge the Redistricting Task Force to keep Cole Valley and the Upper Haight Ashbury together. This will ensure the historical importance of the connection between these two neighborhoods.

The CVIA boundaries are: Oak St. to Divisadero, Divisadero to Waller, to Buena Vista West, to 17th Street, to Clayton, to Clarendon, to Stanyan, to Parnassus, to Arguello, to Stanyan, to Oak.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Carole Glosenger, President

Cole Valley Improvement Association

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Richard Frisbie](#)
Subject: FW: Proposed Map for D2
Date: Monday, February 14, 2022 5:05:39 PM
Attachments: [LHIA & JPIA MAP OF D2001.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Richard Frisbie <frfbeagle@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, February 13, 2022 7:33 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Proposed Map for D2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

I meant to attach this version of our map with the prior email.
It's a little prettier.
Thanks,
Richard Frisbie

ATTACHMENT 1


Map of the City and County of San Francisco, California
2nd Supervisorial District
March 2019




Prepared by the Department of Elections

March 2019

— Precinct Boundary



GOLDEN GATE BRIDGE



From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [David](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Please do not include Seacliff and north of Lake Street in District 1
Date: Monday, February 14, 2022 5:04:17 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: David <droma4@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, February 12, 2022 8:34 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please do not include Seacliff and north of Lake Street in District 1

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Redistricting Task Force,

Thank you for your service to our city. Seacliff and north of Lake Street do not fit the character or the community of the Richmond district and should not be part of District 1. If District 1 needs to be expanded, it should be to the east or south.

I have lived in the Richmond for 40 years; first on 33rd Avenue and for the last 25 years on La Playa Street near Balboa. I know the Richmond district and have walked or driven down every street. I play golf at Lincoln Park and my wife and I are members of the Fine Arts Museum and regularly visit the Legion of Honor. We frequent the businesses on Geary and Clement and know the concerns of our community.

If you start walking north from Clement Street, you will notice the neighborhood start to change, the houses are bigger and their appearance more upscale. Once you cross California Street the change is unmistakable and once you get to Lake Street you are in a different neighborhood; these homes are mansions and there are few apartment buildings. Walk north of Clement to north of Lake Street, on any of the Avenues and you will see what I mean. In my opinion, these residents can't share the same concerns the renters and working families of the Richmond have. They live in a different world.

A good comparison is the Katherine Delmar Burke School, an elite private school for girls with an annual tuition of \$41,000, located at 32nd Avenue and California compared to Lafayette Middle School at 35th Avenue and Anza. Geographically, they are quite close, in other respects, they are worlds apart.

Please keep the Richmond community whole so our interests can be properly represented.

David Romano
San Francisco CA 94121

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Grant Ingram](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Request for D1 to be Re-united with Lake Street and Seacliff Corridor
Date: Monday, February 14, 2022 5:03:38 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Grant Ingram <grant.ingram@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, February 11, 2022 4:07 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Request for D1 to be Re-united with Lake Street and Seacliff Corridor

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force,

I am a resident in the Outer Richmond District (D1) near Lincoln Park.

I want to strongly encourage the D1 boundaries to be reunited to include the Lake Street and Seacliff area.

My family and I (wife, 2 daughters) have lived in this district for over 10 years. We love this neighborhood and we regularly walk around the Lands End, Seacliff, and the Lake Street area (currently D2). We absolutely consider these neighborhoods to be united with the D1 Richmond District. As you have heard from other callers, residents are frankly shocked to learn that the the Seacliff and Lake Street corridors were separated from D1 through improper gerrymandering. There is absolutely no rational reason that these contiguous communities are divided at California Street.

Here are some real life examples. Both current D1 and the Seacliff /Lake Street area both are adjacent to **Lincoln Park** and **Lands End**. Both neighborhoods have the common goal of preserving and maintaining Lincoln Park and Lands End. Both neighborhoods send their kids to the same public schools and both use the same public transportation. Reuniting this neighborhood will correct a past mistake and satisfy your stated goals of shared community and contiguity and compactness.

Lastly I want to address the argument of lifetime bureaucrat Eric Mar who argues that the Seacliff/Lake Street neighborhoods must remain in D2 to prevent prejudice to less affluent neighbors who live in D1. This is a horribly cynical and jaded view of San Franciscans, and frankly racist. Mr. Mar's argument is effectively that we must disenfranchise the residents of Lake Street and Seacliff in order to ensure that Asians and low income residents will be disenfranchised. Such an argument, if used as the basis for keeping Seacliff and Lake Street separated from D1, is illegal and totally ridiculous. Mr. Mar should try uniting people and be more optimistic about the motivations of his San Francisco neighbors.

Thank you for listening to my feedback.

Best regards,

Grant Ingram
106 Point Lobos Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94121
415-519-1144

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Leslie Podell](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support Presidio Heights being a part of D1
Date: Monday, February 14, 2022 5:03:23 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Leslie Podell <leslie@podell.com>
Sent: Friday, February 11, 2022 3:37 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support Presidio Heights being a part of D1

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Leslie Podell and I live at 3966 Washington Street sf ca 94118. I am in strong support of being a part of D1. I drive through D1 to get to many of my daily obligations. I shop, eat, buy groceries and recreate in D1. I have most of my family connections and friends in D1. My community is D1 and any policy or events in D1 effect my life personally. The Marina may as well be in Marin as far as my life is concerned. Please stop doing these crazy gerrymanderings and unite me with my neighborhood that I live in.

Thank you,

Leslie Podell

www.lesliepodell.com

leslie@podell.com

415-885-9395

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Outreach recommendation: SF Public Library newsletter
Date: Friday, February 11, 2022 1:33:02 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: LWVSF Local Redistricting <redistricting@lwvsf.org>
Sent: Friday, February 11, 2022 12:02 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Jenny Tse <advocacy@lwvsf.org>
Subject: Outreach recommendation: SF Public Library newsletter

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi RTF members,

The League of Women Voters of San Francisco recommends you ask the SF Public Library to share information about redistricting in its monthly *At The Library Newsletter*. It reaches a wide range of San Franciscans.

Here is the most recent SFPL newsletter:

<https://www.libraryaware.com/941/Posts/View/48fc18d3-7e0a-4587-b78d-216e589ad022?SID=29320038-67bf-4434-867b-33a81ce8366e>

Thanks,
Lauren

Lauren Girardin
Member, LWVSF and Volunteer, LWVSF's Fair Maps SF Team
redistricting@lwvsf.org
[Learn about local redistricting at lwvsf.org/redistricting](https://www.lwvsf.org/redistricting)

League of Women Voters of San Francisco
582 Market Street, Suite 615, San Francisco, CA 94104
415-989-8683 [Facebook](#) [Twitter](#)
Get news about making democracy work in San Francisco [lwvsf.org/subscribe](https://www.lwvsf.org/subscribe)

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: nick@podell.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Please reunite us with the Richmond in D1!
Date: Friday, February 11, 2022 11:37:52 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Nick Podell <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, February 11, 2022 10:51 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite us with the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Nick Podell

Email

nick@podell.com

I am a resident of

District 2

Please reunite us with the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Sea Cliff, West Clay Park, North of Lake from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in the Richmond and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines and recreates in the Richmond. I know the merchants on Clement, not Chestnut. My friends and neighbors are in the Richmond.

Now that I look at the maps, it seems to be the most egregious gerrymandering in San Francisco. I can get to the Sunset faster than I can get to the Marina. I don't understand why my Supervisor is in the Marina.

Please reunite me with my neighborhood in D1.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [ROZ LAW](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Rezoning District 6, the Tenderloin
Date: Thursday, February 10, 2022 1:58:15 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: ROZ LAW <rl1122@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 10, 2022 12:58 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Rezoning District 6, the Tenderloin

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Whom It May Concern,

Please do not add District 3 to the Tenderloin. For District 3, just keep the Tenderloin in District 6 or merge District 9 or 10 with the Tenderloin. Thank you.

A Concerned Citizen

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Paul Anderson](#)
Subject: FW: San Francisco redistricting
Date: Thursday, February 10, 2022 1:58:02 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Paul Anderson <pa94787@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 10, 2022 10:04 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: San Francisco redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello:

I am a resident of district 7.

I would like to see the number of districts in the city reduced and several at-large seats created on the board of supervisors who can consider the needs and interests of the City as a whole rather than on interests of a portion of the city.

What would be required to make a change like this?

Thank you,

Paul Anderson

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); joldfield@boldsf.com
Subject: FW: Redistricting
Date: Thursday, February 10, 2022 1:57:22 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: joldfield@boldsf.com <joldfield@boldsf.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 10, 2022 12:12 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I don't pretend to have the expertise to suggest boundaries. However, as a long time property owner and resident of the current District 10 (in particular Potrero Hill) I want to strongly urge that Potrero Hill and Dogpatch not be divided into separate districts. They/we have a long combined neighborhood history, interests and demographics. On the other hand we have very little in common with the much newer Mission Bay neighborhood so combining us with that neighborhood is something I would strongly oppose.

John Oldfield 415-816-4742

739 Vermont St.

San Francisco, CA 94107

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Katherine Klein](#)
Subject: FW: District 8 redistricting
Date: Thursday, February 10, 2022 1:56:56 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Katherine Klein <getkatherine@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 9, 2022 5:12 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: District 8 redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

Diamond Heights is part of district 8 and should remain that way. I am the president of an 396 unit condo complex and we want to stay in district 8. This is our home and it is where we belong.

Thank You,
Katherine Klein
DHVA President

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Linda Glick](#)
Cc: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Mullan, Andrew \(BOS\)](#); [Richard Frisbie](#)
Subject: FW: Proposed Redistricting of D2
Date: Thursday, February 10, 2022 1:56:41 PM
Attachments: [2020 D2 MAP001.pdf](#)
[D2 2020 PROPOSED STREET BOUNDARIES \(2\)\[6438\].pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Linda Glick <lindaglick@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 8, 2022 5:55 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; Richard Frisbie <frfbeatle@gmail.com>

Subject: Proposed Redistricting of D2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Taskforce,

I have written to you previously about my thoughts on why the neighborhood of Laurel Heights should remain in District 2. Those reasons have not changed: District 2 is my community of interest. My neighbors and I have worked with the D2 neighborhood associations on multiple projects to maintain neighborhood character, improve housing opportunities and increase neighborhood safety. We work closely with the merchants of Laurel Village and Sacramento streets.

I write to you today to include my specificity to my first note: a proposed map and street boundaries for District 2.

Thank you for the opportunity to give my input. Regards, Linda S Glick, resident Laurel Heights.


Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows

Map of the
2nd Supervisorial District
 City and County of
 San Francisco, California




Prepared by the Department of Elections
 March 2019

— Precinct Boundary



GOLDEN GATE BRIDGE



D2 2020 PROPOSED STREET BOUNDARIES

STARTING ON THE WEST SIDE

Pacific Ocean south along the Eastern Border of Lincoln Park to California St.

East on California to 25th St.

North on 25th to Lake St.

East on Lake to Arguello Blvd.

South on Arguello to Geary Blvd.

East on Geary to Masonic Ave.

South on Masonic to Turk St.

East on Turk to St. Joseph Ave.

North on St. Joseph to Geary Ave.

North from Geary to Post St. on Baker St.

West on Post to Presidio Ave.

North on Presidio to Bush St.

East on Bush to Baker St.

North on Baker to California St.

East on California to Steiner St.

South on Steiner to Sutter St.

East on Sutter to Webster St.

North on Webster to Bush St.

East on Bust to Van Ness Ave.

North on Van Ness to Broadway.

East on Broadway to Leavenworth St.

North on Leavenworth to Union St.

East on Union to Mason St.

North on Mason to Columbus Ave.

Northwest on Columbus to Leavenworth St.

North on Leavenworth to San Francisco Bay.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Clifford Detz](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Betsy Eddy](#); [Bob Pullum](#); [Patrick Carroll](#)
Subject: RE: Comment on redistricting re: District 8
Date: Tuesday, February 8, 2022 2:31:02 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Clifford Detz <detz@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Tuesday, February 8, 2022 2:28 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Betsy Eddy <betsy.eddy@gmail.com>; Bob Pullum <bobpullum@hotmail.com>; Patrick Carroll <pchas@comcast.net>
Subject: Comment on redistricting re: District 8

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To: San Francisco Redistricting Task Force

As a resident of Diamond Heights in District 8 and a member of the Diamond Heights Community Association Board, I am writing to emphasize the necessity of maintaining the geographic integrity of Diamond Heights within a single supervisory district. Diamond Heights was created as single developed community in the 1960's and 70's, and its residents continue to share common concerns and interests which require effective interaction with city government and the Board of Supervisors. It is essential for this process that Diamond Heights remain in a single supervisorial district so that the Diamond Heights Community Association and other community organizations can continue to interact effectively with city government and the Supervisor who represents the community in its entirety.

As a community, we have spent years creating and maintaining a strong relationship with the office of the supervisor for District 8. It would be extremely challenging and highly inefficient to attempt to recreate that relationship if all or a part of Diamond Heights were to fall within a different district. Having to create and maintain productive relationships with the offices of two Supervisors if the community were to be split between two districts would be a nearly insurmountable challenge for us, and the welfare of our community would suffer.

Therefore I urge you to maintain the integrity of Diamond Heights within District 8.

Thank you for your consideration,

Clifford Detz
473 Gold Mine Dr
San Francisco, CA 94131

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: toyllow@yahoo.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: District 3
Date: Tuesday, February 8, 2022 2:30:54 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Lily Low <toyllow@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 8, 2022 2:13 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Subject: District 3

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please do not add tenderloin to district 3.
We prefer to add Russian Hill to put district.

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Dyanna Volek](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: District 11 - Filipino Community of Interest Testimony
Date: Tuesday, February 8, 2022 2:30:48 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Dyanna Volek <dyannavolek@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 8, 2022 12:42 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: District 11 - Filipino Community of Interest Testimony

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

Please see below edited comments that I made at yesterday's Task Force meeting.

Thank you for your consideration,

Dyanna Volek

Hi, my name is Dyanna Volek, *and I'm a proud Filipina American* (italics added). In 2000 when I was 13, my mom, a single parent, moved us to the Oceanview Merced Heights Ingleside (OMI) district after being evicted from the Outer Sunset. My parents still live there now, and it has been a strong community of working-class residents. Many do not know that it also includes the largest proportion of residents that speak Tagalog and the largest concentration of Filipinos in San Francisco.

I am asking you to keep the "Filipino Community of Interest" that the gentleman outlined earlier together in D11, specifically including Precinct #: 9801 (Mission Terrace), 7874 & 9901 (Bosworth Street area), and 7943 (St Mary's Park) into D11. The Mission corridor alone is where a significant number of Filipino businesses like the Manila Oriental Market, Baby's Eatery, Pampanga Restaurant and many others are located. If you've never tried Filipino food, I encourage you to check out these delicious restaurants. The Filipino community has historically been displaced numerous times in San Francisco. Allow us to keep our roots in this district.

Please keep the Filipino community intact, and we're looking forward to your support.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Tina Kwok](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Mullan, Andrew \(BOS\)](#); [Dick Frisbie](#); [J GRAZIANI](#)
Subject: RE: 2020 Map of D2 and associated "Street Boundaries."
Date: Tuesday, February 8, 2022 10:20:11 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Tina Kwok <kwoktina@me.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 8, 2022 8:16 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; Dick Frisbie <frfbeagle@gmail.com>; J ♥ GRAZIANI <stephen.graziani@gmail.com>

Subject: 2020 Map of D2 and associated "Street Boundaries."

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To all concerned -

I strongly prefer to remain within D2 as shown on the attached map and boundaries. The interests of the Laurel Heights neighborhood are appropriately represented as it stands.

Given the impending redevelopment of the UCSF campus at 333 California, a strong LOCAL voice focused in the impact to the immediate surrounding area is ESSENTIAL. This can only be achieved by maintaining the current boundaries.

Sincerely,

Tina Kwok

Tina Kwok
kwoktina@me.com
535 Laurel Street
San Francisco CA 94118

May the long time sun shine upon you,
All love surround you,
And the light within you guide your way.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Stephen Graziani](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Mullan, Andrew \(BOS\)](#); [Richard Frisbie](#); [Tina Y. Kwok](#)
Subject: RE: 2020 Map of D2 and associated "Street Boundaries."
Date: Tuesday, February 8, 2022 10:20:07 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Stephen Graziani <stephen.graziani@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 8, 2022 7:59 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; Richard Frisbie <frfbeagle@gmail.com>; Tina Y. Kwok <kwoktina@me.com>

Subject: 2020 Map of D2 and associated "Street Boundaries."

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To all concerned -
I strongly prefer to remain within D2 as shown on the attached map and boundaries. The interests of the Laurel Heights neighborhood are appropriately represented as it stands.

Given the impending redevelopment of the UCSF campus at 333 California, a strong LOCAL voice focused in the impact to the immediate surrounding area is ESSENTIAL. This can only be achieved by maintaining the current boundaries.

Sincerely,

--

Stephen Graziani
535 Laurel Street
San Francisco, CA
415-350-8847

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Simon Timony](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Advocates 11 map
Date: Tuesday, February 8, 2022 10:20:03 AM
Attachments: [Advocates 11 D11 Map Revision 2.5.22.png](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Simon Timony <simontimony@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, February 7, 2022 7:27 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Advocates 11 map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.


Dear Honorable Task Force Members,

We just wanted to double-check and make sure you had a copy of the latest Advocates 11 redistricting map proposal. Specifically requesting unification with precincts 1112, 7874, 7943, 9749, 9801, and 9901. Kindly let me know if you have any questions. Thank you for your effort.

--

- Simon Timony

[Advocates11](#)



From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Glen & Reiko Hatakeyama](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: District 11 and 7
Date: Tuesday, February 8, 2022 10:19:39 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Glen & Reiko Hatakeyama <ragtime217@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, February 7, 2022 6:19 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: District 11 and 7

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Committee,

My name is Glen Hatakeyama, and I am a member of the Merced Extension Triangle Neighborhood Association (METNA). In your task of redrawing district boundaries due to population changes from the 2020 census I hope that you keep the MET (bounded by fwy 280 on the south, Highway 1 on the west, and Brotherhoodway Blvd on the north) within District 7. Our METNA board has very good working relations with Supervisor Melgar and her staff and District 7 still has its Participatory Budget Requests which has benefited our neighborhood on many safety measures e.g. sidewalks on Brotherhoodway, radar speed signs on Alemany Blvd and Brotherhoodway Blvd, and rerouting the #57 bus off a very narrow St. Charles St. Eventually the buildout of the population of Parkmerced, Stonestown, and San Francisco State University in several years may necessitate moving into District 11 but until then we are best placed in District 7.

Thank you for your time and effort and consideration.

Most Sincerely,

Glen Hatakeyama

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [DPH-1099](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Jorge Martinez](#)
Subject: FW: Valencia Merchant Public Comment for Redistricting
Date: Tuesday, February 8, 2022 10:19:32 AM
Attachments: [Dear Supervisorial District Commission,-2.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Lolo Restaurant <lolorestaurantsf@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, February 7, 2022 6:13 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Cc: Jorge Martinez <jorgeml@me.com>

Subject: Valencia Merchant Public Comment for Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

February 7th, 2022

Dear Supervisorial District Commission,

Loló Restaurant is excited to write in to thank you for all of your hard work and deliberation in advance of the potential changes of boundaries for the Supervisorial Districts.

This letter is to ask that the Valencia Corridor not be split up between two Supervisorial Districts, one on each side of the street (as is presently the case), but to instead move the boundary East half a block.

Valencia Street is one commercial and one residential community yet it is split between two Supervisorial Districts - District 8 on the West Side and District 9 on the East Side.

This has not served the Corridor well.

Being split between two districts has meant that the corridor does not fit neatly into either District's planning, attention, or constituent services leaving us in a bit of a liminal zone.

The Valencia Corridor is the longest strip of independently owned small businesses on the West Coast and a pride and joy of the San Francisco small business community.

As vibrant as Valencia may seem, the truth underneath is that the ecosystem here is in a very delicate place.

COVID hit us very hard due to a combination of disproportionately high rents relative to other corridors, a large percentage of business reliant on tourism, and the placement of Mission Police Station between 17-18th street, making it the epicenter of the protests in the Spring, Summer, and Fall.

We've seen a high level of street level commercial vacancies and a steep drop in foot traffic even with the many creative efforts the VCMA has enacted to bring business back.

Similarly - even though our rents are high and with them expectations we deal with

the same difficult street conditions as many other corridors with a high concentration of unhoused neighbors, folks suffering with mental illness and substance abuse, and a lack of clean streets.

We believe that we would be better served if the boundary of the District were to be moved East half a block to traverse the Julian, Hoff, Lexington, and Bartlett alleyways from 14th to Cesar Chavez. This would unify the west and east sides of the street and place us within District 8.


If we were fully part of District 8 we believe we'd be better suited to improve the state of the corridor, have the full attention of that Supervisor and their District staff, and make it easier for our merchants to survive.

Thank you so much for your consideration,

Jorge Martinez Lillard
Loló Restaurant
974 Valencia Street, SF, CA 94110

Contact: Jorge Martinez, jorgeml@me.com

Lolo' Restaurant
974 Valencia St.
San Francisco, CA
94110
(415)643-5656
www.lolosf.com



February 7, 2022

Dear Supervisorial District Commission,

Loló Restaurant is excited to write in to thank you for all of your hard work and deliberation in advance of the potential changes of boundaries for the Supervisorial Districts.

This letter is to ask that the Valencia Corridor not be split up between two Supervisorial Districts, one on each side of the street (as is presently the case), but to instead move the boundary East half a block.

Valencia Street is one commercial and one residential community yet it is split between two Supervisorial Districts - District 8 on the West Side and District 9 on the East Side. This has not served the Corridor well.

Being split between two districts has meant that the corridor does not fit neatly into either District's planning, attention, or constituent services leaving us in a bit of a liminal zone.

The Valencia Corridor is the longest strip of independently owned small businesses on the West Coast and a pride and joy of the San Francisco small business community.

As vibrant as Valencia may seem, the truth underneath is that the ecosystem here is in a very delicate place.

COVID hit us very hard due to a combination of disproportionately high rents relative to other corridors, a large percentage of business reliant on tourism, and the placement of Mission Police Station between 17-18th street, making it the epicenter of the protests in the Spring, Summer, and Fall.

We've seen a high level of street level commercial vacancies and a steep drop in foot traffic even with the many creative efforts the VCMA has enacted to bring business back.

Similarly - even though our rents are high and with them expectations we deal with the same difficult street conditions as many other corridors with a high concentration of unhoused neighbors, folks suffering with mental illness and substance abuse, and a lack of clean streets.

We believe that we would be better served if the boundary of the District were to be moved East half a block to traverse the Julian, Hoff, Lexington, and Bartlett alleyways from 14th to Cesar Chavez. This would unify the west and east sides of the street and place us within District 8.

If we were fully part of District 8 we believe we'd be better suited to improve the state of the corridor, have the full attention of that Supervisor and their District staff, and make it easier for our merchants to survive.

Thank you so much for your consideration,

Jorge Martinez Lillard
Loló Restaurant
974 Valencia Street, SF, CA 94110

Contact: Jorge Martinez, jorgeml@me.com

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Marily Mondejar](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: from Marily Mondejar: Public Comment: Please keep the Filipino Community in District 11 intact
Date: Tuesday, February 8, 2022 10:19:09 AM
Attachments: [2022 D11 Map v5.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Marily Mondejar <marily@marilymondejar.org>

Sent: Monday, February 7, 2022 5:30 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: from Marily Mondejar: Public Comment: Please keep the Filipino Community in District 11 intact

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Commissioners,

My name is Marily Mondejar, CEO of the Filipina Women's Network, and a long term resident of San Francisco since 1981. I was a former commissioner in the 2012 San Francisco Redistricting Task Force appointed by the late Mayor Ed Lee. I also served as president for three years, vice president for two years, and three years as commissioner of the Commission on Community Investment and Infrastructure (OCII), the former San Francisco Redevelopment Agency appointed by Mayor Ed Lee.

I write to appeal to the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force to keep the Filipino Community in District 11 intact. The Filipino Communities of Interest in D11 have been developed through the years to serve the unique and ethnic needs of the community as well as our allies and neighbors - Chinese, Latinx, African American, and other multi-racial communities.

The Filipino community has suffered evictions and displacements from D3 and D6 and over 12,000 Filipinos have found a home in D11.

FILIPINO COMMUNITY NARRATIVE:

- Filipino families historically have been displaced from the Manilatown community around Kearny and Jackson Streets, a community that thrived from the 1920s to the late 1970s.
- More than 1,00 residents lived in Manilatown plus about 30,000 transient laborers and farmworkers in the agriculture, fishing, construction, and shipyard industries.
- The Filipinos were gradually evicted by the SF Redevelopment Agency headed by Justin Herman to make room for the growth of the financial district, known as "urban renewal."
- The final straw was the I-Hotel midnight eviction by 400 riot police led by Sheriff Richard Hongisto on August 4, 1977. This is considered by historians as "the most offensive action by authorities in San Francisco history."
- Filipinos, a resilient community, then migrated to the Yerba Buena area and SOMA in D6 as the significant hub of Filipino culture, activism, and community.
- Again, the SF Redevelopment Agency displaced 4,000 Filipinos to make way for the construction of the Yerba Buena Gardens complex, the Moscone Convention Center, museums, and luxury hotels.
- Starting in the 1970s, Filipino families began to migrate to D11 in search of affordable, single-family houses. They found affordable housing and built their lives in the D11 area to improve their future, raise their multi-generational families and put down roots.
- The Filipinos developed services to suit the needs of Filipino families, opening restaurants, small groceries and farmers' markets. Dentists, opticians, and barbers opened clinics and storefronts.
- The Filipino immigrants grew up in D11, went to local schools, churches, and parks

with other multicultural residents which make up the rich diversity of D11 - Excelsior, Crocker Amazon, Ingleside, Outer Mission, Oceanview, Merced Heights neighborhoods.

- The Filipinos lobbied City Hall for accessibility to transportation and social services for seniors and after-school programs for the youth.

Now that the Filipino families have established roots, do NOT split the community. Please keep our community together. The Communities of Interest map shows the many businesses and nonprofits, community centers, churches, markets, parks, schools and transportation hubs that are critical to families. The Filipinos have been displaced numerous times. **Allow us to live in peace.** Protect and preserve the Filipino, Asian, Latinx, African American communities and other minority groups in D11.

Thank you for reading my email

=====

Marily Mondejar
She/Her
415.350.1366
@marilymondejar

SAN FRANCISCO District 11


Filipino Community of Interest Map

SUBMITTED ON 02/07/2022

ADD THESE NEIGHBORHOODS TO D11:

- Precinct **7874** – Bosworth Street area
- Precinct **9901** – Bosworth Street area
- Precinct **7943** – St. Mary's Park
- Precinct **9801** – Outer Mission
- Precinct **9749** – Ocean Ave

2010 population:	76,817
Current 2020 population:	76,067 (-4.3%)
Ideal 2022 population:	79,451
Min / Max population:	74,478 / 83,423
Proposed population:	82,551 (3.78%)



- FILIPINO BUSINESSES**
- Abel's Barber – 5310 Mission St.
 - Aces of Fades – 5286 Mission St.
 - A.R. Dental Care – 4867 Mission St.
 - Baby's Eatery – 4609 Mission St.
 - Brothers Haircut – 1180 Geneva Ave.
 - Edwin Dumlaog Optometrist – 5818 Mission St.
 - Emilio's Barber – 5732 Mission St.
 - Evelyn Caoili Dentistry – 5107 Mission St.
 - Excelsior Coffee – 4495 Mission St.
 - Gile's Florist – 4607 Mission St.
 - Gonzales Family Dentistry – 3990 Mission St.
 - Grace Cabuatan Dentist – 4804 Mission St.
 - Hilda's Mart & Bakeshop – 145 Persia Ave.
 - Lucky Money – 4873 Mission St.
 - Magalit Brazilian Jiu Jitsu – 4802 Mission St.
 - Michael Vista DDS – 4972 Mission St.
 - Neita's Beauty Center – 4863 Mission St.
 - Pampanga Cuisine – 4441 Mission St.
 - Pioneers Tailoring – 4963 Mission St.
 - Quineson Dental – 5873 Mission St.
 - Ray Caoili Dentistry – 5107 Mission St.
 - Tolosa Dentistry – 5061 Mission St.
 - Turo Restaurant – 984 Geneva Ave.

NONPROFITS

- Filipino American Arts Exposition (Pistahan)
- Filipino Community Center
- Pangasinan Center

PUBLIC CENTERS

- Excelsior Branch Library, Filipiniana Section
- Minni & Love Ward Recreation Center
- YMCA

CHURCHES

- Church of St. John
- Church of the Epiphany
- Corpus Christi Church

MARKETS

- Manila Oriental Market
- Pacific Supermarket
- Safeway

PARKS

- Alice Chalmers Playground
- Balboa Park
- Balboa Swimming Pool
- Cayuga Park
- Crocker Amazon Playground
- Geneva Community Garden
- John McLaren Park
- Oceanview Park
- St. Mary's Recreation Center

SCHOOLS

- Balboa High School
- Cleveland Elementary School
- Guadalupe Elementary School
- James Denman Elementary School
- Longfellow Elementary School
- Monroe Elementary School

TRANSPORTATION HUBS

- Balboa Park BART Station
- MUNI K-Ingleside Stop

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: jose@sffilamchamber.org; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: SF Filipino American Chamber of Commerce, Filipino Community of Interest, District 11
Date: Tuesday, February 8, 2022 10:18:05 AM
Attachments: [image002.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: jose@sffilamchamber.org <jose@sffilamchamber.org>
Sent: Monday, February 7, 2022 5:29 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Subject: SF Filipino American Chamber of Commerce, Filipino Community of Interest, District 11

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

February 7, 2021

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
rdtf@sfgov.org

Dear Honorable SFRTF Members:

Established in 1974, the Chamber is a non-profit organization 501 (c)(6), as it was in 1974, and as it is now, the Chamber exists to promote the economic development of the Filipino American business and civic community in the San Francisco Bay Area.

SFFACC's members consist of small to medium-sized Filipino businesses representing various industries. The Chamber has an extended network of entrepreneurs, business executives, and government officials within the San Francisco Bay Area, nationally and abroad.

We have a cooperative and collaborative approach working with a diverse coalition of like-minded stakeholders in pursuit of a better San Francisco.

- There are over 12,600 Filipinos, which comprise 17% of the total population in District 11 and is the largest concentration of Filipinos in all of SF.
- There are dozens of Filipino-owned and operated businesses, along with community-based organizations that are a big part of District 11.

The Communities of Interest map shows the many businesses and nonprofits, community centers, churches, markets, parks, schools and transportation hubs that are critical to families. The Filipino community has been displaced numerous times in the past, including Manila Town and the SoMA.

I ask you protect and preserve the Filipino Community of Interest in D-11 and to also include St. Mary's Park precinct #7943, Mission Terrace, Precinct #9801 and Bosworth St area, precinct #'s 7874 and 9901, which represents fair engagement and representation of our neighborhoods.

Thanks for the consideration and your efforts.

Sincerely,



Jose Pecho, Chairman

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [eskers](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE:
Date: Tuesday, February 8, 2022 10:17:29 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: eskers <eskers@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Monday, February 7, 2022 5:15 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Cattherinr.Stefani@sfgov.org
Subject:

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I strongly wish to remain within D2 as it exists. I believe the present boundaries serve my neighborhood (Laurel Heights, lower Pacific heights) and community the best.
Sharon Esker

Sent from my Galaxy

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: RTF - David Pilpel
Date: Monday, February 7, 2022 4:56:58 PM
Attachments: [Letter to RTF re Voter Registration and Turnout Numbers DP 220207.pdf](#)

Forwarding this communication to RTF on BCC.

From: Wong, Jocelyn (BOS) <jocelyn.wong@sfgov.org>
Sent: Monday, February 7, 2022 4:45 PM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Cc: Lew, Lisa (BOS) <lisa.lew@sfgov.org>
Subject: RTF - David Pilpel

Hi John,

Forwarding along the attached document from David Pilpel for RTF. Thanks.

Jocelyn Wong

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
T: 415.554.7702 | F: 415.554.5163
jocelyn.wong@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

David Pilpel
2151 27th Ave
San Francisco CA 94116-1730

Rev. Arnold Townsend, Chair, and Redistricting Task Force Members
Board of Supervisors
1 Carlton B Goodlett Pl Ste 244
San Francisco CA 94102-4689

February 7, 2022

Re: San Francisco Voter Registration and Turnout Numbers, and Percentage Voter Turnout

Dear Chair Rev. Townsend and Redistricting Task Force Members,

As I offered during the February 4, 2022 Redistricting Task Force meeting, here are the San Francisco Voter Registration and Turnout Numbers, and Percentage Voter Turnout, for each existing Supervisorial District, from the November 2012, 2014, 2016, 2018, and 2020 elections:

Dist.	2012 Reg.	2014 Reg.	2016 Reg.	2018 Reg.	2020 Reg.	Avg. Reg.	A. R. % D.
1	43,625	37,761	43,818	42,767	45,097	42,614	-5.27%
2	50,243	43,295	51,388	50,394	51,074	49,279	9.55%
3	39,891	33,114	41,720	39,799	39,734	38,852	-13.63%
4	43,303	38,134	43,829	42,953	46,857	43,015	-4.37%
5	55,581	47,757	56,261	53,744	53,491	53,367	18.64%
6	39,347	33,628	44,187	43,321	45,820	41,261	-8.27%
7	47,196	42,431	48,429	47,076	48,874	46,801	4.04%
8	60,223	53,648	59,392	58,122	58,419	57,961	28.85%
9	44,480	38,568	44,492	42,988	44,282	42,962	-4.49%
10	40,932	35,295	41,815	41,862	46,114	41,204	-8.40%
11	38,020	32,388	38,242	37,490	41,337	37,495	-16.64%
ALL	502,841	436,019	513,573	500,516	521,099	494,810	0.00%
AVG.	45,713	39,638	46,688	45,501	47,373	44,983	

Dist.	2012 TO	2014 TO	2016 TO	2018 TO	2020 TO	Avg. TO	A. TO % D.
1	31,647	20,001	36,033	32,743	40,227	32,130	-3.61%
2	39,542	23,044	45,155	40,402	46,408	38,910	16.73%
3	27,634	16,805	32,682	28,571	33,254	27,789	-16.63%
4	30,441	19,560	34,877	31,699	41,203	31,556	-5.33%
5	41,918	25,588	46,814	42,036	46,868	40,645	21.93%
6	25,159	15,047	31,866	29,158	35,816	27,409	-17.77%
7	36,577	24,234	40,659	36,587	43,886	36,389	9.17%
8	48,833	33,413	52,501	48,632	53,370	47,350	42.05%
9	31,799	21,216	35,793	31,769	37,685	31,652	-5.04%
10	26,452	16,666	29,397	26,459	36,476	27,090	-18.73%
11	24,873	15,640	28,751	24,792	34,673	25,746	-22.76%
ALL	364,875	231,214	414,528	372,848	449,866	366,666	0.00%
AVG.	33,170	21,019	37,684	33,895	40,897	33,333	

Dist.	2012 TO %	2014 TO %	2016 TO %	2018 TO %	2020 TO %	Avg. TO %	ATO% % D.
1	72.54%	52.97%	82.23%	76.56%	89.20%	75.40%	1.75%
2	78.70%	53.23%	87.87%	80.17%	90.86%	78.96%	6.55%
3	69.27%	50.75%	78.34%	71.79%	83.69%	71.53%	-3.48%
4	70.30%	51.29%	79.58%	73.80%	87.93%	73.36%	-1.00%
5	75.42%	53.58%	83.21%	78.22%	87.62%	76.16%	2.78%
6	63.94%	44.75%	72.12%	67.31%	78.17%	66.43%	-10.35%
7	77.50%	57.11%	83.96%	77.72%	89.79%	77.75%	4.92%
8	81.09%	62.28%	88.40%	83.67%	91.36%	81.69%	10.24%
9	71.49%	55.01%	80.45%	73.90%	85.10%	73.68%	-0.58%
10	64.62%	47.22%	70.30%	63.21%	79.10%	65.75%	-11.28%
11	65.42%	48.29%	75.18%	66.13%	83.88%	68.66%	-7.34%
ALL	72.56%	53.03%	80.71%	74.49%	86.33%	74.10%	

These totals show the average, and deviation from the average, or variance, in voter registration, voter turnout, and percentage turnout at the District level. There is further variance at the Neighborhood and Precinct levels, which means that voter registration and turnout is not consistent, or homogeneous, within each District; neighborhoods and precincts with higher registration, turnout, and percentage turnout have disproportional voting power compared to neighborhoods and precincts with lower registration, turnout, and percentage turnout, within each District. I hope this information is helpful; I can explain it further if that would be more useful. Thanks.

Sincerely,

/s/

David Pilpel

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Peter Tham](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: D11 Redistricting Meeting - Public Comment
Date: Monday, February 7, 2022 4:56:15 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Peter Tham <peter.tham@ltgroupre.com>
Sent: Monday, February 7, 2022 3:49 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Subject: D11 Redistricting Meeting - Public Comment

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

Dear Members of the Redistricting Task Force,

I am a resident of D11 and formerly owned a business in D7 on Ocean Ave. I am writing in support of keeping Oceanview and Ingleside remaining a part of D11. There are many things we differ from with D7 and we have more in common with D11. Many of the residents here have children that go to D11 schools as well and we are unsure how being absorbed into D7 would be of any benefit to the residents here. Oceanview and Ingleside residents are a huge mix of immigrants of various ethnicities and races, similar to D11 as a whole. While we are at the edge of the district and some may mistake the neighborhood for D7, many of the residents here don't go to West Portal or the Sunset to shop and run errands.

Regards,

Peter Tham

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Eleanore Fernandez](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Public Comment for 2/07/22, 5:30 p.m. Redistricting Task Force Meeting, D11
Date: Monday, February 7, 2022 4:56:08 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Eleanore Fernandez <elfernaio@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, February 7, 2022 3:31 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Public Comment for 2/07/22, 5:30 p.m. Redistricting Task Force Meeting, D11

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Hello,

I am officially submitting my public comment via email for tonight's Redistricting Task Force Meeting for District 11 below;

Hello Committee Members and Community,

My name is Eleanore Fernandez, I am an Executive Board Member w/Manilatown Heritage Foundation and also, a Community Workers, Service Providers, Volunteers, Faith Based Advisory Group member for SOMA Pilipinas. I lived in District 11 for nearly 5 years when I first graduated college on Madrid Street near Persia and used to take the MUNI bus 29 home daily. I am connected to this neighborhood because I still buy great Filipino food and eats from Baby's Eatery, Pampanga Cuisine, buy groceries occasionally when in the area from Pacific Supermarket, and often reach out to fellow youth and leadership at the Filipino Community Center because of my volunteer and non-profit work. Also, I would often use the Balboa BART station as a connection point when taking public transportation when traveling and as main station when I used to have a long time job too in the Bayview Hunters Point.

I am asking you to keep the "Filipino Community of Interest" together in D11 because there are now over 12,000 Filipinos in D11. Please keep our community together. The Filipino Community of Interest map shows the many businesses and nonprofits, community centers, churches, markets, parks, schools and transportation hubs that are critical to families. The Filipino community has historically been displaced many times in San Francisco. As a board member of Manilatown Heritage Foundation and as a flamekeeper in remembrance to the manongs of the International Hotel who were evicted on the night of August 4, 1977, we know and remind others of the severity of how uprooting our seniors, our elders from their homes out into the streets, being voiceless during that time, still effects them decades later and continues to affect their families's lives to this today. We must use this knowledge of past injustices to Filipino Americans in San Francisco to address issues such as this redistricting process. Allow us to keep our roots in this district.

We need to keep the Filipino community intact, and we're looking forward to your support.

Best,

Eleanore

Eleanore Fernandez
Board Member, Board of Directors

International Hotel Manilatown Center


868 Kearny Street

San Francisco, CA 94108

O: (415) 291-0175

M: (415) 424-5619

www.manilatown.org



From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Anne Marie Nowak](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting
Date: Monday, February 7, 2022 4:56:03 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Anne Marie Nowak <amnkj@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, February 7, 2022 3:25 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

This is a plea to redraw District 11 to include at its northern boundary to Ocean Avenue, not just to Holloway. There are more neighbors on the section between Holloway and Ocean Avenue who have more in common with Ingleside/Ocean View/ Merced Heights than they have with the neighbors on the other side of Ocean Avenue. The re-drawn boundary would go back to the way the neighborhood outline was, including Ingleside Presbyterian Church, a major advocate for children of color and for providing food for the needy.

Westwood Park neighborhood even fought - when the City finally purchased (rather than leased) a library building - to change the library's name from Ingleside to Westwood! Theirs is not a neighborhood that wants to identify with us, and we contend that that just reinforces our distinct issues.

Please re-draw the boundary of District 11.

~Anne Marie Nowak
a voter

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); zevilevi@yahoo.com
Cc: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Mullan, Andrew \(BOS\)](#); frbeagle@gmail.com
Subject: FW: Proposed Redistricting of D2
Date: Monday, February 7, 2022 4:55:53 PM
Attachments: [2020 D2 MAP001.pdf](#)
[D2 2020 PROPOSED STREET BOUNDARIES \(2\).pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Zev Levine <zevilevi@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, February 7, 2022 3:23 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS)

<andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; frfbeagle@gmail.com

Subject: Proposed Redistricting of D2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force, Mrs. Stefani, Mr. Mullan, and anyone else whom this may concern:

It has come to my attention that you are considering making changes to district maps which directly involve my neighborhood/location within SF.

May it please be known that I strongly prefer to remain within D2 as shown on the attached the map and boundaries. I believe these best serve my personal Community of Interest as well as the Community Interest of my neighborhood of Lower Pacific Heights.

Lastly, and generally, please stay out of our lives as much as possible; you're not making San Francisco better. In fact, you're having a deleterious effect on it.

Your power is too great and your interests are not in line with those of the native San Franciscan population.


Sincerely,
Zev Levine

Map of the
2nd Supervisorial District
 City and County of
 San Francisco, California




Prepared by the Department of Elections
March 2019

— Precinct Boundary



GOLDEN GATE BRIDGE

RECREATION AREA
 NATIONAL
 BAKER BEACH
 CHINA BEACH
 SEAVIEW
 DEL MAR



D2 2020 PROPOSED STREET BOUNDARIES

STARTING ON THE WEST SIDE

Pacific Ocean south along the Eastern Border of Lincoln Park to California St.

East on California to 25th St.

North on 25th to Lake St.

East on Lake to Arguello Blvd.

South on Arguello to Geary Blvd.

East on Geary to Masonic Ave.

South on Masonic to Turk St.

East on Turk to St. Joseph Ave.

North on St. Joseph to Geary Ave.

North from Geary to Post St. on Baker St.

West on Post to Presidio Ave.

North on Presidio to Bush St.

East on Bush to Baker St.

North on Baker to California St.

East on California to Steiner St.

South on Steiner to Sutter St.

East on Sutter to Webster St.

North on Webster to Bush St.

East on Bust to Van Ness Ave.

North on Van Ness to Broadway.

East on Broadway to Leavenworth St.

North on Leavenworth to Union St.

East on Union to Mason St.

North on Mason to Columbus Ave.

Northwest on Columbus to Leavenworth St.

North on Leavenworth to San Francisco Bay.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Redistricting Task Force Public Comment - Libby Dodd and Torben Torp-Smith
Date: Monday, February 7, 2022 4:55:12 PM
Attachments: [Redistricting Task Force Public Comment - Libby Dodd and Torben Torp-Smith.pdf](#)

Forwarding this communication to RTF on BCC

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Sent: Monday, February 7, 2022 3:21 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Task Force Public Comment - Libby Dodd and Torben Torp-Smith

Hello,

Please see attached public comment from Libby Dodd and Torben Torp-Smith for the Redistricting Task Force, received via US mail on 02/07/2022.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins

Board of Supervisors - Clerk's Office
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-7732 | (415) 554-5184
Pronouns: he / him / his

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

2022 FEB -7 PM 3:16

Date: January 31, 2022
To: 2020 Redistricting Task Force

BY GA

What is your community of interest?

My community of interest is known as The Potrero. It includes Potrero Hill, the Design District, Dogpatch, and the Third Street Corridor, extending to the Central Waterfront.

If you take a tour of our community, you will note the many turn-of-the-century homes, historical nightlife venues, and light industrial warehouses dating back to the 1906 earthquake. But, you'll also be struck by the sheer number of new multi family apartment complexes, office spaces, and creative businesses— development largely driven by UCSF and a biotech hub to our North East.

Because of the rapid pace of change, residents have a unified voice in preserving the essential character of The Potrero, expressed through groups such as the Dogpatch Neighborhood Association and the Potrero Hill Boosters. In 2015 the community even established the city's first community benefit district devoted to carving out healthy green spaces in the public realm—the Dogpatch NW Potrero Hill Green Benefit District.

Our neighborhoods also have another huge challenge—that is, highways 101 and 280. The 101 has exits at Cesar Chavez, Vermont Street, and Seventh Street. The 280 has exits at Mariposa, 6th Streets, and King Street. Heavy traffic from large commercial vehicles as well as commuter cars must use this infrastructure to enter San Francisco. The easy entry and "getaway" provides the perfect opportunity to commit crimes in the City and flee at high speeds on our streets. Additionally, freeway overpasses promote illegal dumping and encampments, as well as the attendant sanitation and fire hazards.

Where is it located?

The Potrero Hill / Dogpatch COI is roughly bounded by Cesar Chavez Street, Potrero Avenue, Division Street, 7th Street, 16th Street, and the Bay.

Why should it be kept together?

The Potrero should be kept together in a single district so that it can continue to advocate, with the help of one supervisor, for responsible development. In regard to the blight caused by freeway infrastructure, we need one supervisor who can navigate the complexities of working with San Francisco social services as well as Caltrans and CA Highway Patrol to mitigate the impacts on residents.

Libby Dodd
Torben Torp-Smith
Residents of The Potrero

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Alfonso S. Perez, Jr.](#)
Subject: FW: Testimonial to keep Filipino COI in District 11 intact
Date: Monday, February 7, 2022 2:55:30 PM
Attachments: [2022 D11 Map v6.pdf](#)
[Al Perez D11 Testimonial.pdf](#)
[D11 Filipino ancestry map.png](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Alfonso S. Perez, Jr. <alsperez@pacbell.net>
Sent: Monday, February 7, 2022 11:15 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Testimonial to keep Filipino COI in District 11 intact

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello! My name is Al Perez, from the Filipino American Arts Exposition. We are the organizers of the annual Pistahan Filipino Parade and Festival at the Yerba Buena Gardens and many other Filipino and Asian cultural events in San Francisco. I'm also a Board Member of the Cow Palace and I serve as a Commissioner of the San Francisco Entertainment Commission. I'm a 42-year resident of the Excelsior and Crocker-Amazon, and I'm a proud graduate of Balboa High School and it's JROTC program. I'm here to advocate for the Filipino Community of Interest in D11.

Many of you might not know that the Filipino community has a long history of displacement, and being diminished by urban renewal and redevelopment in San Francisco — from the 1977 midnight forcible eviction of Filipino residents out of the historic International Hotel on Kearny Street, and the displacement of 4,000 Filipino families to make way for the redevelopment of the Moscone Convention Center and the Yerba Buena Gardens Complex 2 decades later.

Since the early 70s, Filipino families found refuge in the Excelsior, Mission Terrace, St Mary's Park, OMI, Crocker-Amazon, and in the surrounding neighborhoods. They moved out of the city core for a house and a yard, to raise their families, to improve their future, and to put down roots here – living side-by-side and in harmony with our Chinese, Latinx, African American, and multi-racial neighbors.

I ask this Commission to keep the Filipino community together by bringing the entire Mission Terrace, St. Mary's Park neighborhood and Bosworth Street residents to D11... There are precincts # 7874, 9901, 7943 and 9801 located at the northern border of D11... For your reference, I have submitted a Filipino ancestry map showing the concentration of Filipino residents in D11. I also submitted a COI map highlighting the 23 Filipino businesses along the Mission corridor in the Excelsior, as well as public centers, churches, post office, markets, parks, schools, and transportation hubs that are crucial to the daily lives of the over 12,000 Filipino residents here.

Thank you, Commissioners for keeping the Filipino community intact and preserving D11 as the most diverse district in all of San Francisco.

Respectfully,
AL

.....
AL PEREZ
President, FAAE / Pistahan Parade and Festival

Commissioner, San Francisco Entertainment Commission
Board Member, Grand National Rodeo Cow Palace Fair
Board Member, Filipino Food Movement
Board Member, SF Hep B Free
415. 987. 9170
alsperez@pacbell.net

SAN FRANCISCO District 11

Filipino Community of Interest Map

SUBMITTED ON 02/07/2022


ADD THESE NEIGHBORHOODS TO D11:

- Precinct **7874** – Bosworth Street area
- Precinct **9901** – Bosworth Street area
- Precinct **7943** – St. Mary's Park
- Precinct **9801** – Mission Terrace
- Precinct **9749** – Ocean Ave

2010 population:	76,817
Current 2020 population:	76,067 (-4.3%)
Ideal 2022 population:	79,451
Min / Max population:	74,478 / 83,423
Proposed population:	82,551 (3.78%)

LEGEND

- Current district border
- Proposed expanded 2022 border



FILIPINO BUSINESSES
 Abel's Barber – 5310 Mission St.
 Aces of Fades – 5286 Mission St.
 A.R. Dental Care – 4867 Mission St.
 Baby's Eatery – 4609 Mission St.
 Brothers Haircut – 1180 Geneva Ave.
 Edwin Dumlaog Optometrist – 5818 Mission St.
 Emilio's Barber – 5732 Mission St.
 Evelyn Caoili Dentistry – 5107 Mission St.
 Excelsior Coffee – 4495 Mission St.
 Gile's Florist – 4607 Mission St.
 Gopnzales Family Dentistry – 3990 Mission St.
 Grace Cabuatan Dentist – 4804 Mission St.
 Hilda's Mart & Bakeshop – 145 Persia Ave.
 Lucky Money – 4873 Mission St.
 Maqalit Brazilian Jiu Jitsu – 4802 Mission St.
 Michael Vista DDS – 4972 Mission St.
 Nenita's Beauty Center – 4863 Mission St.
 Pampanga Cuisine – 4441 Mission St.
 Pioneers Tailoring – 4963 Mission St.
 Quineson Dental – 5873 Mission St.
 Ray Caoili Dentistry – 5107 Mission St.
 Tolosa Dentistry – 5061 Mission St.
 Turo Restaurant – 984 Geneva Ave.

NONPROFITS
 Filipino American Arts Exposition (Pistahan)
 Filipino Community Center
 Pangasinan Center

PUBLIC CENTERS
 Excelsior Branch Library, Filipiniana Section
 Mimmi & Love Ward Recreation Center
 U.S. Post Office – Onondaga Street
 YMCA

CHURCHES
 Church of St. John
 Church of the Epiphany
 Corpus Christi Church

MARKETS
 Manila Oriental Market
 Pacific Supermarket
 Safeway

PARKS
 Alice Chalmers Playground
 Balboa Park
 Balboa Swimming Pool
 Cayuga Park
 Crocker Amazon Playground
 Geneva Community Garden
 John McLaren Park
 Oceanview Park
 St. Mary's Recreation Center

SCHOOLS
 Balboa High School
 Cleveland Elementary School
 Guadalupe Elementary School
 James Denman Elementary School
 Longfellow Elementary School
 Monroe Elementary School

TRANSPORTATION HUBS
 Balboa Park BART Station
 MUNI K-Ingleside Stop

February 7, 2022
San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
San Francisco City Hall
1 Dr Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA



RE: Keep the Filipino Community of Interest in District 11 Intact

Hello! My name is Al Perez, from the Filipino American Arts Exposition. We are the organizers of the annual Pistahan Filipino Parade and Festival at the Yerba Buena Gardens and many other Filipino and Asian cultural events in San Francisco. I'm also a Board Member of the Cow Palace and I serve as a Commissioner of the San Francisco Entertainment Commission. I'm a 42-year resident of the Excelsior and Crocker-Amazon, and I'm a proud graduate of Balboa High School and it's JROTC program. I'm writing to advocate for the Filipino Community of Interest in D11.

Many of you might not know that the Filipino community has a long history of displacement and being diminished by urban renewal and redevelopment in San Francisco — from the 1977 midnight forcible eviction of Filipino residents out of the historic International Hotel on Kearny Street, and the displacement of 4,000 Filipino families to make way for the redevelopment of the Moscone Convention Center and the Yerba Buena Gardens Complex 2 decades later.

Since the early 70s, Filipino families found refuge in the Excelsior, Mission Terrace, St Mary's Park, OMI, Crocker-Amazon, and in the surrounding neighborhoods. They moved out of the city core for a house and a yard, to raise their families, to improve their future, and to put down roots here – living side-by-side and in harmony with our Chinese, Latinx, African American, and multi-racial neighbors.


I ask this Commission to keep the Filipino community together by bringing the entire Mission Terrace, St. Mary's Park neighborhood and Bosworth Street residents to D11. These are precincts # 7874, 9901, 7943 and 9801 located at the northern border of D11. For your reference, I'm submitting a Filipino ancestry map showing the concentration of Filipino residents in D11. I'm also submitting a COI map highlighting the 23 Filipino businesses along the Mission corridor in the Excelsior, as well as public centers, churches, post office, markets, parks, schools, and transportation hubs that are crucial to the daily lives of the over 12,000 Filipino residents here.

Thank you, Commissioners for keeping the Filipino community intact and preserving D11 as the most diverse district in all of San Francisco.

Respectfully,
Al Perez
President

- Attachments:
- » SF Filipino Ancestry Map
 - » D11 Filipino Community of Interest Map


Filipino Residents by
2010 Census Tracts,
San Francisco D11
(2019)



Source: US Census ACS 2019 5-year estimate, Google Maps
Prepared for the Filipino Community Forum by Lauren Hiller, 2022

Filipino Residents in San Francisco's 11 Supervisor Districts

(utilizing 2010 Census Tracts)



Prepared for the Filipino American Community Forum, 2022. Source: US Census ACS 2019 5-year estimate, Google Maps

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Ruby Fong](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: No on Redistricting of District 3
Date: Monday, February 7, 2022 2:55:02 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Ruby Fong <ryeefong@yahoo.com>
Sent: Sunday, February 6, 2022 5:37 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Subject: No on Redistricting of District 3

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

We do not want Tenderloin to be part of District 3!!!

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Wendy Will](#)
Cc: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Mullan, Andrew \(BOS\)](#); [Richard Frisbie](#)
Subject: FW: District 2 Redistricting Boundaries
Date: Monday, February 7, 2022 2:54:53 PM
Attachments: [D2 2020 Proposed Street Boundaries - Feb 22.pdf](#)
[2020 D2 Map_001.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Wendy Will <wmwill18@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, February 6, 2022 3:24 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; Richard Frisbie <frfbeatle@gmail.com>

Subject: District 2 Redistricting Boundaries

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To whom it may concern,

I strongly prefer to remain within District 2, (D2), as shown on the attached map, along with the attached boundaries by street document. I strongly believe these best serve my personal Community of Interest as well as the Community Interest of my neighborhood, which is Laurel Heights.

Furthermore, given the significantly anticipated construction projects along California Street that will significantly impact our neighborhood as well.

Regards,

--

Winnifred (Wendy) Will
Laurel Heights Resident since 2011

D2 2020 PROPOSED STREET BOUNDARIES

STARTING ON THE WEST SIDE

Pacific Ocean south along the Eastern Border of Lincoln Park to California St.

East on California to 25th St.

North on 25th to Lake St.

East on Lake to Arguello Blvd.

South on Arguello to Geary Blvd.

East on Geary to Masonic Ave.

South on Masonic to Turk St.

East on Turk to St. Joseph Ave.

North on St. Joseph to Geary Ave.

North from Geary to Post St. on Baker St.

West on Post to Presidio Ave.

North on Presidio to Bush St.

East on Bush to Baker St.

North on Baker to California St.

East on California to Steiner St.

South on Steiner to Sutter St.

East on Sutter to Webster St.

North on Webster to Bush St.

East on Bust to Van Ness Ave.

North on Van Ness to Broadway.

East on Broadway to Leavenworth St.

North on Leavenworth to Union St.

East on Union to Mason St.

North on Mason to Columbus Ave.

Northwest on Columbus to Leavenworth St.

North on Leavenworth to San Francisco Bay.



Map of the
2nd Supervisorial District
 City and County of
 San Francisco, California



Prepared by the Department of Elections

March 2019

— Precinct Boundary



From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Jay Dee Miller](#)
Cc: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Mullan, Andrew \(BOS\)](#); [Richard Frisbie](#)
Subject: FW: District 2 Redistricting Boundaries
Date: Monday, February 7, 2022 2:54:34 PM
Attachments: [D2 2020 Proposed Street Boundaries - Feb 22.pdf](#)
[2020 D2 Map_001.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jay Dee Miller <airforcejay@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, February 6, 2022 3:01 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; Richard Frisbie <frfbeatle@gmail.com>

Subject: District 2 Redistricting Boundaries

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To whom it may concern,

I strongly prefer to remain within District 2, (D2), as shown on the attached map, along with the attached boundaries by street document. I believe these best serve my personal Community of Interest as well as the Community Interest of my neighborhood, which is Laurel Heights.

Regards,

--

J.D. Miller
Laurel Heights Resident since 2011

D2 2020 PROPOSED STREET BOUNDARIES

STARTING ON THE WEST SIDE

Pacific Ocean south along the Eastern Border of Lincoln Park to California St.

East on California to 25th St.

North on 25th to Lake St.

East on Lake to Arguello Blvd.

South on Arguello to Geary Blvd.

East on Geary to Masonic Ave.

South on Masonic to Turk St.

East on Turk to St. Joseph Ave.

North on St. Joseph to Geary Ave.

North from Geary to Post St. on Baker St.

West on Post to Presidio Ave.

North on Presidio to Bush St.

East on Bush to Baker St.

North on Baker to California St.

East on California to Steiner St.

South on Steiner to Sutter St.

East on Sutter to Webster St.

North on Webster to Bush St.

East on Bust to Van Ness Ave.

North on Van Ness to Broadway.

East on Broadway to Leavenworth St.

North on Leavenworth to Union St.

East on Union to Mason St.

North on Mason to Columbus Ave.

Northwest on Columbus to Leavenworth St.


North on Leavenworth to San Francisco Bay.

Map of the
2nd Supervisorial District
 City and County of
 San Francisco, California



Prepared by the Department of Elections
March 2019

— Precinct Boundary



GOLDEN GATE
 BRIDGE



From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Stais, Tony](#)
Cc: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Mullan, Andrew \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Proposed D2 Redistricting - we want to stay in D2
Date: Monday, February 7, 2022 2:54:11 PM
Attachments: [2020 D2 MAP001.pdf](#)
[D2 2020 PROPOSED STREET BOUNDARIES \(2\).pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Stais, Tony <tstais@stifel.com>
Sent: Sunday, February 6, 2022 2:49 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>

Subject: Proposed D2 Redistricting - we want to stay in D2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Redistricting Task Force,

We're writing to express our strong desire to remain in District 2. We've lived in Jordan Park for 18 years, and our interests are aligned with the interests of our current neighbors in District 2. We believe that by STAYING part of District 2, we can remain a strong and thoughtful community.

We've attached a proposed boundary that we are in 100% agreement with.

Thanks,

Tony Stais
Marcie Holland
81 Commonwealth Avenue 94118


This message, and any of its attachments, is for the intended recipient(s) only, and it may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and/or proprietary and subject to important terms and conditions available at <http://www.stifel.com/disclosures/emaildisclaimers/>. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete this message and immediately notify the sender. No confidentiality, privilege, or property rights are waived or lost by any errors in transmission.

Map of the
2nd Supervisorial District
 City and County of
 San Francisco, California



Prepared by the Department of Elections
March 2019

— Precinct Boundary



GOLDEN GATE
 BRIDGE



D2 2020 PROPOSED STREET BOUNDARIES

STARTING ON THE WEST SIDE

Pacific Ocean south along the Eastern Border of Lincoln Park to California St.

East on California to 25th St.

North on 25th to Lake St.

East on Lake to Arguello Blvd.

South on Arguello to Geary Blvd.

East on Geary to Masonic Ave.

South on Masonic to Turk St.

East on Turk to St. Joseph Ave.

North on St. Joseph to Geary Ave.

North from Geary to Post St. on Baker St.

West on Post to Presidio Ave.

North on Presidio to Bush St.

East on Bush to Baker St.

North on Baker to California St.

East on California to Steiner St.

South on Steiner to Sutter St.

East on Sutter to Webster St.

North on Webster to Bush St.

East on Bust to Van Ness Ave.

North on Van Ness to Broadway.

East on Broadway to Leavenworth St.

North on Leavenworth to Union St.

East on Union to Mason St.

North on Mason to Columbus Ave.

Northwest on Columbus to Leavenworth St.

North on Leavenworth to San Francisco Bay.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Elena Madsen](#)
Cc: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Mullan, Andrew \(BOS\)](#); frfbeagle@gmail.com
Subject: FW: D2 Redistricting
Date: Monday, February 7, 2022 2:53:55 PM
Attachments: [D2 2020 PROPOSED STREET BOUNDARIES \(2\).pdf](#)
[2020 D2 MAP001.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Elena Madsen <elena.madsen@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, February 6, 2022 2:19 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; frfbeagle@gmail.com

Subject: D2 Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I strongly prefer to remain within D2 as shown on the attached the map and boundaries. I believe these best serve my personal Community of Interest as well as the Community Interest of my neighborhood, Jordan Park.

Thank you.

E Madsen

D2 2020 PROPOSED STREET BOUNDARIES

STARTING ON THE WEST SIDE

Pacific Ocean south along the Eastern Border of Lincoln Park to California St.

East on California to 25th St.

North on 25th to Lake St.

East on Lake to Arguello Blvd.

South on Arguello to Geary Blvd.

East on Geary to Masonic Ave.

South on Masonic to Turk St.

East on Turk to St. Joseph Ave.

North on St. Joseph to Geary Ave.

North from Geary to Post St. on Baker St.

West on Post to Presidio Ave.

North on Presidio to Bush St.

East on Bush to Baker St.

North on Baker to California St.

East on California to Steiner St.

South on Steiner to Sutter St.

East on Sutter to Webster St.

North on Webster to Bush St.

East on Bust to Van Ness Ave.

North on Van Ness to Broadway.

East on Broadway to Leavenworth St.

North on Leavenworth to Union St.

East on Union to Mason St.

North on Mason to Columbus Ave.

Northwest on Columbus to Leavenworth St.

North on Leavenworth to San Francisco Bay.


Map of the
2nd Supervisorial District
 City and County of
 San Francisco, California




Prepared by the Department of Elections

March 2019

— Precinct Boundary



GOLDEN GATE BRIDGE



From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [jean bogiages](#)
Cc: [MUNA LIST](#); [San Bruno Ave Block](#)
Subject: FW: NW Potrero Hill part of Potrero Hill
Date: Monday, February 7, 2022 2:53:28 PM
Attachments: [GBD -NW Potrero Hill.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: jean bogiages <jeanmbogiages@gmail.com>

Sent: Sunday, February 6, 2022 2:16 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Cc: MUNA LIST <community@sfmuna.org>; San Bruno Ave Block <san-bruno-ave-block@googlegroups.com>

Subject: NW Potrero Hill part of Potrero Hill

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Re-districting committee,

NW Potrero Hill contains a number of neighborhood groups that were initiated by SFSAFE around a number of years ago. These groups have email lists and keep in contact with each other. Working together they have been able to

- Upgrade [Fallen Bridge Mini-Park](#) (with RPD)
- Create [Benches Garden and Plaza](#)
- Initiate [Potrero Gateway](#) project
- Help to initiate the [Dogpatch & NW Potrero Green Benefit District](#)
- Hold [Block Parties](#)
- Hold [Egg Hunts for kids](#)
- [Hold Neighborhood Clean-Sweeps](#).
- And more....

In order to accomplish things we need to have the same D10 Supervisor.

The 101 freeway removed some homes, but the neighborhood is still a common interest area.

Attached is a map of NW Potrero Hill, This area needs to stay with Potrero Hill in D10.


Thanks,

Jean Bogiages



NW POTRERO HILL

Parcels in GBD Zone II: NW Potrero Hill



From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Peter Delacorte](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: District 10
Date: Monday, February 7, 2022 2:53:05 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Peter Delacorte <pdelacorte@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, February 5, 2022 10:16 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Subject: District 10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello--

I'm adding my voice to the movement to keep Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, and the Design District in the same supervisorial district. It makes sense!

Peter Delacorte
Rhode Island St.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); jogradysings@gmail.com
Cc: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Mullan, Andrew \(BOS\)](#); frfbeagle@gmail.com
Subject: FW: Redistricting D2
Date: Monday, February 7, 2022 2:52:58 PM
Attachments: [2020 D2 MAP001.pdf](#)
[D2 2020 PROPOSED STREET BOUNDARIES \(2\).pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: jogradysings@gmail.com <jogradysings@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, February 5, 2022 6:11 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; frfbeagle@gmail.com

Subject: Redistricting D2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.


Dear all,
I strongly prefer to remain within D2 as shown on the attached the map and boundaries. I believe these best serve my personal Community of Interest as well as the Community Interest of my Presidio Heights neighborhood. Thank you! John O'Grady, 560 Presidio Avenue, SF, 94115

Map of the
2nd Supervisorial District
 City and County of
 San Francisco, California



Prepared by the Department of Elections
March 2019

— Precinct Boundary



GOLDEN GATE
 BRIDGE



D2 2020 PROPOSED STREET BOUNDARIES

STARTING ON THE WEST SIDE

Pacific Ocean south along the Eastern Border of Lincoln Park to California St.

East on California to 25th St.

North on 25th to Lake St.

East on Lake to Arguello Blvd.

South on Arguello to Geary Blvd.

East on Geary to Masonic Ave.

South on Masonic to Turk St.

East on Turk to St. Joseph Ave.

North on St. Joseph to Geary Ave.

North from Geary to Post St. on Baker St.

West on Post to Presidio Ave.

North on Presidio to Bush St.

East on Bush to Baker St.

North on Baker to California St.

East on California to Steiner St.

South on Steiner to Sutter St.

East on Sutter to Webster St.

North on Webster to Bush St.

East on Bust to Van Ness Ave.

North on Van Ness to Broadway.

East on Broadway to Leavenworth St.

North on Leavenworth to Union St.

East on Union to Mason St.

North on Mason to Columbus Ave.

Northwest on Columbus to Leavenworth St.

North on Leavenworth to San Francisco Bay.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Tuesday, January 25, 2022 11:54 AM
To: Zarin Randeria; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Mullan, Andrew (BOS)
Subject: RE: San Francisco Redistricting

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Zarin Randeria <zranderia@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2022 9:18 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>
Subject: San Francisco Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing you to urge you to LEAVE the Laurel Heights and Jordan Park in Supervisorial District 2.

The Laurel Heights and Jordan Park neighborhoods have been part of District 2 for many years and are part of a community of interest with other neighborhood organizations in District 2, with whom we have worked on innumerable land use and public safety issues.

We have lived in Laurel Heights for over 30 years and have worked with several Associations and neighborhood groups in D2 on various safety and economic issues which have not only benefited our community but the City of San Francisco.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Zarin E. Randeria

Lupine Avenue

District 2

San Francisco, CA

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Tuesday, January 25, 2022 11:53 AM
To: Schenker, Marty; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Donovan, Dominica (BOS); Mullan, Andrew (BOS); frfbeagle@gmail.com
Subject: RE: Please Keep Jordan Park in District 2

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Schenker, Marty <mschenker@cooley.com>
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2022 5:20 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Donovan, Dominica (BOS) <dominica.donovan@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; frfbeagle@gmail.com
Subject: Please Keep Jordan Park in District 2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force

I am a resident of Jordan Park-Arguello, Geary, Parker/Spruce, California and I request that my neighborhood remain in Supervisorial District 2, which has been the case for many, many years. My neighborhood has a strong Community of Interest with the other D2 neighborhoods -Laurel Heights; Cow Hollow, The Marina, Pacific Heights, Pacific Heights and has worked with them on social, cultural and economic issues and projects over the years. This Community of Interest includes public safety, housing for the homeless, and a number of major housing developments: the former CPMC Hospital at 3700 California St; the former UCSF campus at 3333 California St, as well as the Lucky Penny and Firestone sites on Geary Blvd.

I, along with my neighborhood, have formed a strong bond and productive working relationships with the public organizations within D2, especially with our Supervisor Catherine Stefani and do not wish to see these disrupted.

Thank you for your consideration and your service on the RTF.

Sincerely,

Marty Schenker

This email message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message. If you are the intended recipient, please be advised that the content of this message is subject to access, review and disclosure by the sender's Email System Administrator.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Tuesday, January 25, 2022 11:53 AM
To: lois wander; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Mullan, Andrew (BOS); Dick Frisbie; Donovan, Dominica (BOS)
Subject: RE: Please Keep Laurel Heights Within Supervisorial District 2

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: lois wander <loiswander@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2022 2:58 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdt@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; Dick Frisbie <frfibeagle@gmail.com>; Donovan, Dominica (BOS) <dominica.donovan@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please Keep Laurel Heights Within Supervisorial District 2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,
I am a resident of **Wood Street** in the Laurel Heights neighborhood.
I was shocked to learn that redistricting potentially would remove Laurel Heights, my neighborhood, from Supervisorial District 2 and **I am writing to request that Laurel Heights remain in Supervisorial District 2**, which has been the case for many, many years.

My neighborhood has a strong Community of Interest with the other D2 neighborhoods, including Jordan Park, Cow Hollow, the Marina, Presidio and Pacific Heights. Our neighborhoods have collaborated on social, cultural, and economic issues and projects over the years, including with respect to public safety, housing for the homeless, and regarding major housing developments that impact all the neighborhoods listed above that form our collective Community of Interest. Removing Laurel Heights from District 2 would splinter and sever our Community of Interest. I, along with my neighborhood, have formed a strong bond and productive working relationships with the public organizations within District 2, especially with our Supervisor Catherine Stefani, for whom those of us active in Laurel Heights have all shown great collective support, and we do not wish to see these bonds severed or disrupted.

Thank you for your consideration and I appreciate your service on the Redistricting Task Force.

Sincerely,

Lois Wander

73a Wood Street, San Francisco, CA 94118

CC:

The Honorable Catherine Stefani, District 2 Supervisor

Mr. Andrew Mullan, Legislative Aide to Supervisor Stefani

Ms. Dominica Donovan, Legislative Aide to Supervisor Stefani

Richard Frisbie, Vice President Laurel Heights Improvement Association

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Tuesday, January 25, 2022 11:53 AM
To: Michael Coholan; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Mullan, Andrew (BOS); Donovan, Dominica (BOS); frfbeagle@gmail.com
Subject: RE: Please Keep Laurel Heights within Supervisorial District 2

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Michael Coholan <michael@hilltopllc.com>

Sent: Monday, January 24, 2022 2:12 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>

Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>;
Donovan, Dominica (BOS) <dominica.donovan@sfgov.org>; frfbeagle@gmail.com

Subject: Please Keep Laurel Heights within Supervisorial District 2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

I am a resident of Laurel Heights and request that my neighborhood remain in Supervisorial District 2 which has been the case for many, many years. My neighborhood has a strong Community of Interest with the other D2 neighborhoods, including Jordan Park, Cow Hollow, The Marina, Pacific Heights, Pacific Heights and has worked with them on social, cultural and economic issues and projects over the years. This Community of Interest includes Public Safety, Housing for the Homeless, and a number of major housing developments: the former CPMC Hospital at 3700 California St; the former UCSF campus at 3333 California St, as well as the Lucky Penny and Firestone sites on Geary Blvd.

I, along with my neighborhood, have formed a strong bond and productive working relationships with the public organizations within D2, especially with our Supervisor Catherine Stefani and do not wish to see these disrupted.

Thank you for your consideration and your service on the RTF.

Sincerely,

Michael Coholan

CC: The Honorable Catherine Stefani, District 2 Supervisor

Mr. Andrew Mullan, Legislative Aide to Supervisor Stefani

Ms. Dominica Donovan, Legislative Aide to Supervisor Stefani

Richard Frisbie, Vice President Laurel Heights Improvement Association

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Tuesday, January 25, 2022 11:53 AM
To: Barbara Weinberg; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS); StefaniStaff, (BOS)
Subject: RE: SF redistricting

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Barbara Weinberg <barbweinberg73@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2022 1:30 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; StefaniStaff, (BOS) <stefanistaff@sfgov.org>
Subject: SF redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

I have been a resident in Jordan Park, in the same house, for 46 years. Jordan Park, where the street boundaries are Arguello, Geary, Parker/Spruce, and California. I request that my neighborhood remain in the Supervisorial District 2 which has been the case for many, many years. My neighborhood has a strong Community of Interest with the other D2 neighborhood, Laurel Heights, and has worked with them on social, cultural and economics issues and projects over the years. This Community of Interest includes Public Safety, Housing for the Homeless, and a number of major housing developments including the former CPMC Hospital at 3700 California St, which is down the street from me and the former UCSF campus at 3333 California Street. I, along with my neighborhood, have formed a strong bond and productive working relationship with the public organizations within D2, especially with our Supervisor Catherine Stefani and I cannot stress any more strongly that I DO NOT wish to see these disrupted.

Thank you for your consideration and your service on the RTF.

Sincerely,
Barbara Weinberg
167 Commonwealth Avenue

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Tuesday, January 25, 2022 11:52 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Mullan, Andrew (BOS); Donovan, Dominica (BOS); Richard Frisbie
Subject: FW: District 2 - Redistricting
Attachments: Redistricting Lines - Catherine Stefani D2.docx

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: victoria underwood <victoria.underwood@att.net>
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2022 12:50 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; andrew.mullin@sfgov.org; Donovan, Dominica (BOS) <dominica.donovan@sfgov.org>; Frfbeagle@gmail.com
Subject: District 2 - Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please see the attached letter.

Thank you.

Victoria Underwood

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2022 10:03 AM
To: WOLFGANG NONN; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Mullan, Andrew (BOS)
Subject: RE: Redistricting Laurel Heights Neighborhood Potential

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: WOLFGANG NONN <mwnonn@pacbell.net>
Sent: Sunday, January 23, 2022 9:40 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdrtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Laurel Heights Neighborhood Potential

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of Laurel Heights-Parker/Spruce, Geary, Masonic, California Streets neighborhood.

I request that our neighborhood remain in Supervisorial District 2 which has been the case for many, many years. Our neighborhood has a strong Community of Interest with the other D2 neighborhoods-Jordan Park, Cow Hollow, The Marina, Pacific Heights, Pacific Heights, and has worked with them on social, cultural and economic issues and projects over the years. This Community of Interest includes Public Safety, Housing for the Homeless, and a number of major housing developments: the former CPMC Hospital at 3700 California St; the former UCSF campus at 3333 California St, as well as the Lucky Penny and Firestone sites on Geary Blvd.

I along with our neighborhood, have formed a strong bond and productive working relationships with the public organizations within D2, especially with our Supervisor Catherine Stefani and do not wish to see these disrupted.

Thank you for your consideration and your service on the RTF.

Sincerely,

Wolfgang Nonn

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2022 10:03 AM
To: marsha nonn; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Mullan, Andrew (BOS)
Subject: RE: Redistricting Our Laurel Heights Neighborhood Potential

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: marsha nonn <mwnonnsf@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, January 23, 2022 9:34 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Our Laurel Heights Neighborhood Potential

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of Laurel Heights-Parker/Spruce, Geary, Masonic, California Streets neighborhood.

I request that our neighborhood remain in Supervisorial District 2 which has been the case for many, many years. Our neighborhood has a strong Community of Interest with the other D2 neighborhoods-Jordan Park, Cow Hollow, The Marina, Pacific Heights, Pacific Heights, and has worked with them on social, cultural and economic issues and projects over the years. This Community of Interest includes Public Safety, Housing for the Homeless, and a number of major housing developments: the former CPMC Hospital at 3700 California St; the former UCSF campus at 3333 California St, as well as the Lucky Penny and Firestone sites on Geary Blvd.

I along with our neighborhood, have formed a strong bond and productive working relationships with the public organizations within D2, especially with our Supervisor Catherine Stefani and do not wish to see these disrupted.

Thank you for your consideration and your service on the RTF.

Sincerely,

Marsha Nonn

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2022 10:03 AM
To: Meg Fitzgerald; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Mullan, Andrew (BOS); Richard Frisbie; Donovan, Dominica (BOS)
Subject: RE: Please Keep Laurel Heights within Supervisorial District 2

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Meg Fitzgerald <mnfitz@hotmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, January 23, 2022 9:10 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdrtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; Richard Frisbie <frfibeagle@gmail.com>; Donovan, Dominica (BOS) <dominica.donovan@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please Keep Laurel Heights within Supervisorial District 2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

I am a resident of Wood Street (between Geary and Euclid) in the Laurel Heights neighborhood.

I was shocked to learn that redistricting potentially would remove Laurel Heights, my neighborhood, from Supervisorial District 2 and **I am writing to request that Laurel Heights remain in Supervisorial District 2**, which has been the case for many, many years.

My neighborhood has a strong Community of Interest with the other D2 neighborhoods, including Jordan Park, Cow Hollow, the Marina, Presidio and Pacific Heights. Our neighborhoods have collaborated on social, cultural, and economic issues and projects over the years, including with respect to public safety, housing for the homeless, and regarding major housing developments that impact all the neighborhoods listed above that form our collective Community of Interest. Removing Laurel Heights from District 2 would splinter and sever our Community of Interest.

I, along with my neighborhood, have formed a strong bond and productive working relationships with the public organizations within District 2, especially with our Supervisor Catherine Stefani, for whom those of us active in Laurel Heights have all shown great collective support, and we do not wish to see these bonds severed or disrupted.

Thank you for your consideration and I appreciate your service on the Redistricting Task Force.

Sincerely,

Meg Fitzgerald

CC:

The Honorable Catherine Stefani, District 2 Supervisor

Mr. Andrew Mullan, Legislative Aide to Supervisor Stefani

Ms. Dominica Donovan, Legislative Aide to Supervisor Stefani

Dominica.Donovan@sfgov.org

Richard Frisbie, Vice President Laurel Heights Improvement Association

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2022 10:02 AM
To: Donna Lee; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Mullan, Andrew (BOS); Donovan, Dominica (BOS); frbeagle@gmail.com
Subject: RE: Request for Remaining in District 2

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Donna Lee <donnawlee@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, January 23, 2022 7:40 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; Donovan, Dominica (BOS) <dominica.donovan@sfgov.org>; frbeagle@gmail.com
Subject: Request for Remaining in District 2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force:

I am a long-time resident of Laurel Heights (between Spruce St., Geary Blvd., Masonic Ave., California St.). I request that my neighborhood remain in Supervisorial District 2 (D2) which has been the case for many years. My neighborhood has a strong Community of Interest with the other D2 neighborhoods - Jordan Park, Cow Hollow, The Marina, Presidio Heights, and Pacific Heights, and has worked with them on numerous social, cultural and economic issues and projects over the years. This Community of Interest includes but is not limited to Public Safety, Housing for the Homeless, and a number of major housing developments such as the former CPMC Hospital at 3700 California St, the former UCSF campus at 3333 California St, as well as the Lucky Penny and Firestone sites on Geary Blvd.

I, along with my neighborhood, have formed strong bonds and productive working relationships with the public organizations within D2, and especially with our Supervisor Catherine Stefani, whom I feel understands the interests and priorities of her constituents. We do not wish to see these relationships disrupted and request that the Laurel Heights neighborhood remain in Supervisorial District 2.

Thank you for your consideration and for your service on the RDTF.

Sincerely,

Donna Lee

CC: The Honorable Catherine Stefani, District 2 Supervisor Catherine.Stefani@sfgov.org
Mr. Andrew Mullan, Legislative Aide to Supervisor Stefani Andrew.Mullan@sfgov.org
Ms. Dominica Donovan, Legislative Aide to Supervisor Stefani Dominica.Donovan@sfgov.org
Richard Frisbie, Vice President Laurel Heights Improvement Association frfbeagle@gmail.com

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2022 10:02 AM
To: Holly Galbrecht; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Mullan, Andrew (BOS); Richard Frisbie
Subject: RE: District 2 - possible redistricting

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Holly Galbrecht <hgalbrecht@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, January 23, 2022 4:13 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdrtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; Richard Frisbie <frfbeagle@gmail.com>
Subject: District 2 - possible redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

I am a resident of Geary/Masonic/California community of interest, I live at 560 Presidios Avenue, and I request that my neighborhood remain in Supervisorial District 2 which has been the case for many, many years. My neighborhood has a strong Community of Interest with the other D2 neighborhoods and has worked with them on social, cultural and economic issues and projects over the years. This Community of Interest includes Public Safety, Housing for the Homeless, and a number of major housing developments: the former CPMC Hospital at 3700 California St; the former UCSF campus at 3333 California St, as well as the Lucky Penny and Firestone sites on Geary Blvd.

I, along with my neighborhood, have formed a strong bond and productive working relationships with the public organizations within D2, especially with our Supervisor Catherine Stefani and do not wish to see these disrupted.

Thank you for your consideration and your service on the RTF.

Sincerely,

Holly Galbrecht

560 Presidio Avenue Apt 1.

San Francisco, Ca 94115

415-409-1335

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2022 10:02 AM
To: Teena Berman; REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Stefani, Catherine (BOS)
Cc: Mullan, Andrew (BOS); Richard Frisbie
Subject: RE: Proposed redistricting of Jordan Park to District One

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Teena Berman <ohart@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Sunday, January 23, 2022 1:10 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>
Cc: Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; Richard Frisbie <frfbeagle@gmail.com>
Subject: Proposed redistricting of Jordan Park to District One

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force and Supervisor Stefani,

I am writing to let you know that as a long time resident of Jordan Park I strongly oppose the potential redistricting of Jordan Park from District Two to District One.

I have lived at my current address in Jordan Park since 1996. My husband and I are active members of our community, serving on the Jordan Park Improvement Association (JPIA) and working with our neighbors on issues affecting our neighborhood. I request that Jordan Park, bounded by Arguello Blvd-California St-Parker Ave-Geary Blvd, remain in District 2.

Jordan Park has been part of District Two for a very long time and in that time we have made many connections with other neighborhoods in District Two. We have many community interests with District Two and have worked closely with District Two neighborhoods on issues such as traffic, public safety, housing, public transportation. Jordan Park will be directly impacted by proposed developments at the former CPMC site on California and the former UCSF site on Euclid. The JPIA has already been working with other neighborhood associations in District Two about these sites to make sure neighborhood concerns are addressed.

Jordan Park has developed a strong bond and productive working relationships within District Two and within Supervisor Stefani's office. I personally have reached out to Supervisor Stefani's office regarding traffic and trash issues and I appreciate her commitment to keeping Jordan Park safe. I do not want to see these beneficial working relationships disrupted when we are all facing many challenges going forward after the pandemic.

I strongly urge the Task Force to keep Jordan Park in District Two!

Thank you for your consideration of this important issue and thank you all for your service to the public.

Sincerely,
Teena Berman
120 Jordan Avenue

-- Sent from Teena Berman

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2022 10:02 AM
To: Tara Widmer; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Please Do Not Redistrict Us

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Tara Widmer <bidyboo@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, January 23, 2022 9:12 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please Do Not Redistrict Us

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I have lived and raised my family on Palm Ave since 2005. We have a strong, close knit community that share interests in maintaining a safe and healthy neighborhood for our families and children. Our area is about to see an enormous amount of growth with the development of the former CPMC site along with the UCSF site. We wish to maintain our status as a D2 neighborhood. Please allow us to do so.

Thank you,
Tara Widmer
14 Palm Ave

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2022 10:02 AM
To: Alice Kawahatsu; Judy Hamaguchi
Cc: Emily Murase; REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Sandy Mori; Glynis Nakahara; DPH-josaki; Jeremy Chan; Susie Kagami
Subject: RE: [Public Comment] District 5 Boundaries - Japantown & Western Addition/Fillmore District

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Alice Kawahatsu <alihakawa@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, January 22, 2022 11:52 PM
To: Judy Hamaguchi <judy.hamaguchi@gmail.com>
Cc: Emily Murase <emurase@japantowntaskforce.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>; Sandy Mori <sandymori118@gmail.com>; Glynis Nakahara <gnakahara@yahoo.com>; DPH-josaki <josaki@jccyc.org>; Jeremy Chan <jeremy.lee.chan@gmail.com>; Susie Kagami <skagami@japantowntaskforce.org>
Subject: Re: [Public Comment] District 5 Boundaries - Japantown & Western Addition/Fillmore District

Thank you Emily and all for speaking at the meeting today. I wished to be there but I had a seminar all day today via Zoom. I did reach out to Jim Anderson who is very active and a supporter of Jtown. He lives on Gough and Geary and is right across from D5 border. His district is D2. We worked together with Supervisor Stefani and Supervisor Preston on the Geary street/ Gough street fatal accident which happened there last year. Both were instrumental in helping us pass the lower speed limit and change timing of lights in that area. Linda Walsh and Marlayne Morgan were also on this group effort. Linda Walsh is on our JTF Land Use Committee and lives at St Francis Square co-op , which is D5 I believe. I let them both know the updates on what is going on. Will await their responses. Thanks again everyone for educating and taking a strong stance on D5.

Warmest,
Alice K.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 22, 2022, at 12:42 PM, Judy Hamaguchi <judy.hamaguchi@gmail.com> wrote:

So well said, Emily.
My testimony was without notes (obviously stuttered . . .) however I will submit something.
Judy

Be authentic. Live juicy! If you have "yes" in your heart, all things are possible."

On Jan 22, 2022, at 12:30 PM, Emily Murase <emurase@japantowntaskforce.org> wrote:

To the Honorable Members of the Redistricting Task Force:

I would like to submit a written version of my verbal testimony at the Redistricting Task Force Meeting today. Also, attached is the map that members of the Japanese American community referred to.

Emily Murase, PhD
Executive Director
Japantown Task Force, Inc.
www.japantowntaskforce.org

"Even though we face the difficulties of today and tomorrow, I still have a dream." ~ Martin Luther King, Jr.

Good morning Task Force members

Hi, my name is Emily Murase, a former school board member and served 5 mayors as head of the City's Department on the Status of Women. I am the new Executive Director of the Japantown Task Force as of this past week, succeeding the mighty Steve Nakajo. I am also on the board of the San Francisco Chapter of the Japanese American Citizens League. I have been involved with Japantown all my life. My kids are graduates of Rosa Parks Elementary School.

You may know that, before the war, there were over 80 Japanese and Japanese American communities across the country, at least 40 in California alone. Today, San Francisco Japantown is just one of three remaining communities. The others are San Jose Japantown and Little Tokyo in Los Angeles.

Let me be clear: government policies are responsible for the destruction of Japanese American communities, including the wartime incarceration and Redevelopment. In both cases, Japanese American families and businesses were forcibly removed and largely uncompensated, impoverishing not only families and businesses, but entire communities, for decades.

The borders of Japantown have been well-established in two documents adopted by the San Francisco Planning Department and the Board of Supervisors.

According to these maps, the Booker T. Washington Service Center, the Japanese Community Youth Council, the Buddhist Church, the Buchanan YMCA, and Rosa Parks Elementary School

We ask you to

1. maintain the Japantown borders adopted by the Planning Commission and the Board of Supervisors.
2. maintain the historical and physical connection with the Western Addition and Fillmore District, especially the Black communities.
3. Keep these communities intact and in District 5.

<JCHESS Japantown Map.pdf>

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2022 10:02 AM
To: Gabe Kind; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS); frfbeagle@gmail.com; Mullan, Andrew (BOS)
Subject: RE: District 2

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Gabe Kind <gkind@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, January 22, 2022 6:00 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; frfbeagle@gmail.com; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>
Subject: District 2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2022 10:02 AM
To: PABLO GERSBERG; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Mullan, Andrew (BOS); frfbeagle@gmail.com
Subject: RE: Please consider leaving Laurel Heights/Jordan Park in D2

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: PABLO GERSBERG <pablo.gersberg@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, January 22, 2022 2:23 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; frfbeagle@gmail.com
Subject: Please consider leaving Laurel Heights/Jordan Park in D2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

I am writing to request that you leave the Laurel Heights and Jordan Park neighborhoods in District 2.

I am a resident of Jordan Park/Laurel Heights, and have been in the neighborhood for 11 years. Over this time, I have participated in this community of interest along with other organizations in District 2, working on many land use and public safety issues, such as development at the UCSF campus, safety issues, and Laurel Village improvements. The relationships these neighborhoods have built with other District 2 communities are strong and we would like to continue working with them.

Please consider leaving these two neighborhoods in District 2, so that we can continue working with others in the district to make our community and the entire city a better place for all.

Sincerely,

Pablo Gersberg
531 Spruce St, San Francisco, CA 94118
cell: 917-544-5757

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2022 10:02 AM
To: Thomas Byrne; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Mullan, Andrew (BOS); Donovan, Dominica (BOS); frfbeagle@gmail.com
Subject: RE: Jordan Park MUST remain in District 2

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Thomas Byrne <thomasbyrne11@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, January 22, 2022 2:08 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; Donovan, Dominica (BOS) <dominica.donovan@sfgov.org>; frfbeagle@gmail.com
Subject: Jordan Park MUST remain in District 2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force:

My family and I are long time residents of the **Jordan Park** neighborhood (**Arguello, Geary, Parker/Spruce, California St**). We have raised our kids in the neighborhood and are actively involved in the Jordan Park Community.

I request that my neighborhood **remain in Supervisorial District 2** which has been the case for many, many years. My neighborhood has a strong Community of Interest with the other District 2 neighborhoods- Presidio Heights, Pacific Heights, Jordan Park, Cow Hollow, The Marina and has worked with them on numerous social, cultural and economic issues and projects over the years. This Community of Interest includes Public Safety, Housing, Homelessness, City Services, and a number of major adjoining housing development discussions including the former CPMC Hospital at 3700 California St (which borders our neighborhood and Presidio Heights and will be a major development over the next 5-10 years); the former UCSF campus at 3333 California St, the Lucky Penny and countless others.

I, along with my neighborhood, have formed a strong bond and productive working relationships with the public organizations within District 2, especially with our Supervisor Catherine Stefani and do not wish to see this progress/relationship disrupted.

Thank you for your consideration and your service on the RTF.

I expect a swift response from your committee with the decision to leave our Jordan Park Neighborhood in District 2.

Sincerely,
Thomas P. Byrne
72 Commonwealth Avenue

CC: The Honorable **Catherine Stefani**, District 2 Supervisor Catherine.Stefani@sfgov.org
Mr. Andrew Mullan, Legislative Aide to Supervisor Stefani Andrew.Mullan@sfgov.org
Ms. Dominica Donovan, Legislative Aide to Supervisor Stefani Dominica.Donovan@sfgov.org
Richard Frisbie, Vice President Laurel Heights Improvement
Association frfbeagle@gmail.com

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2022 10:01 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce; judy.hamaguchi@gmail.com
Cc: sandymori118@gmail.com; gnakahara@yahoo.com; josaki@ycyc.org; jeremy.lee.chan@gmail.com; alikakawa@gmail.com; skagami@japantowntaskforce.org
Subject: FW: [Public Comment] District 5 Boundaries - Japantown & Western Addition/Fillmore District
Attachments: JCHESS Japantown Map.pdf

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Judy Hamaguchi <judy.hamaguchi@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, January 22, 2022 12:42 PM
To: Emily Murase <emurase@japantowntaskforce.org>
Cc: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdf@sfgov.org>; Sandy Mori <sandymori118@gmail.com>; Glynis Nakahara <gnakahara@yahoo.com>; DPH-josaki <josaki@jycyc.org>; Jeremy Chan <jeremy.lee.chan@gmail.com>; Alice Kawahatsu <alikalakawa@gmail.com>; Susie Kagami <skagami@japantowntaskforce.org>
Subject: Re: [Public Comment] District 5 Boundaries - Japantown & Western Addition/Fillmore District

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

So well said, Emily.

My testimony was without notes (obviously stuttered . . .) however I will submit something.

Judy

Be authentic. Live juicy! If you have "yes" in your heart, all things are possible."

On Jan 22, 2022, at 12:30 PM, Emily Murase <emurase@japantowntaskforce.org> wrote:

To the Honorable Members of the Redistricting Task Force:

I would like to submit a written version of my verbal testimony at the Redistricting Task Force Meeting today. Also, attached is the map that members of the Japanese American community referred to.

Emily Murase, PhD

Executive Director
Japantown Task Force, Inc.
www.japantowntaskforce.org

"Even though we face the difficulties of today and tomorrow, I still have a dream." ~ Martin Luther King, Jr.

Good morning Task Force members

Hi, my name is Emily Murase, a former school board member and served 5 mayors as head of the City's Department on the Status of Women. I am the new Executive Director of the Japantown Task Force as of this past week, succeeding the mighty Steve Nakajo. I am also on the board of the San Francisco Chapter of the Japanese American Citizens League. I have been involved with Japantown all my life. My kids are graduates of Rosa Parks Elementary School.

You may know that, before the war, there were over 80 Japanese and Japanese American communities across the country, at least 40 in California alone. Today, San Francisco Japantown is just one of three remaining communities. The others are San Jose Japantown and Little Tokyo in Los Angeles.

Let me be clear: government policies are responsible for the destruction of Japanese American communities, including the wartime incarceration and Redevelopment. In both cases, Japanese American families and businesses were forcibly removed and largely uncompensated, impoverishing not only families and businesses, but entire communities, for decades.

The borders of Japantown have been well-established in two documents adopted by the San Francisco Planning Department and the Board of Supervisors.

According to these maps, the Booker T. Washington Service Center, the Japanese Community Youth Council, the Buddhist Church, the Buchanan YMCA, and Rosa Parks Elementary School.

We ask you to

1. maintain the Japantown borders adopted by the Planning Commission and the Board of Supervisors.
2. maintain the historical and physical connection with the Western Addition and Fillmore District, especially the Black communities.
- 3.Keep these communities intact and in District 5.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2022 10:01 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce; emurase@japantowntaskforce.org
Cc: gnakahara@yahoo.com; DPH-josaki; jeremy.lee.chan@gmail.com; alikakawa@gmail.com; skagami@japantowntaskforce.org; judy.hamaguchi@gmail.com
Subject: FW: [Public Comment] District 5 Boundaries - Japantown & Western Addition/Fillmore District
Attachments: JCHESS Japantown Map.pdf

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Emily Murase <emurase@japantowntaskforce.org>
Sent: Saturday, January 22, 2022 12:30 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Sandy Mori <sandymori118@gmail.com>; Glynis Nakahara <gnakahara@yahoo.com>; DPH-josaki <josaki@jccyc.org>; Jeremy Chan <jeremy.lee.chan@gmail.com>; Alice Kawahatsu <alikalakawa@gmail.com>; Susie Kagami <skagami@japantowntaskforce.org>; Judy Hamaguchi <judy.hamaguchi@gmail.com>
Subject: [Public Comment] District 5 Boundaries - Japantown & Western Addition/Fillmore District

To the Honorable Members of the Redistricting Task Force:

I would like to submit a written version of my verbal testimony at the Redistricting Task Force Meeting today. Also, attached is the map that members of the Japanese American community referred to.

Emily Murase, PhD

Executive Director
Japantown Task Force, Inc.
www.japantowntaskforce.org

"Even though we face the difficulties of today and tomorrow, I still have a dream." ~ Martin Luther King, Jr.

Good morning Task Force members

Hi, my name is Emily Murase, a former school board member and served 5 mayors as head of the City's Department on the Status of Women. I am the new Executive Director of the Japantown Task Force as of this past week, succeeding the mighty Steve Nakajo. I am also on the board of the San Francisco Chapter of the Japanese American Citizens League. I have been involved with Japantown all my life. My kids are graduates of Rosa Parks Elementary School.

You may know that, before the war, there were over 80 Japanese and Japanese American communities across the country, at least 40 in California alone. Today, San Francisco Japantown is just one of three remaining communities. The others are San Jose Japantown and Little Tokyo in Los Angeles.

Let me be clear: government policies are responsible for the destruction of Japanese American communities, including the wartime incarceration and Redevelopment. In both cases, Japanese American families and businesses were forcibly removed and largely uncompensated, impoverishing not only families and businesses, but entire communities, for decades.

The borders of Japantown have been well-established in two documents adopted by the San Francisco Planning Department and the Board of Supervisors.

According to these maps, the Booker T. Washington Service Center, the Japanese Community Youth Council, the Buddhist Church, the Buchanan YMCA, and Rosa Parks Elementary School.

We ask you to

1. maintain the Japantown borders adopted by the Planning Commission and the Board of Supervisors.
2. maintain the historical and physical connection with the Western Addition and Fillmore District, especially the Black communities.
3. Keep these communities intact and in District 5.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2022 10:01 AM
To: Shakirah Simley; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: PUBLIC COMMENT - 1/22/2022 - District 5 SF Redistricting Taskforce Meeting

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Shakirah Simley <ssimley@btwcsc.org>
Sent: Saturday, January 22, 2022 11:57 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: PUBLIC COMMENT - 1/22/2022 - District 5 SF Redistricting Taskforce Meeting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good morning Chair Townsend and honorable members of the S.F. Redistricting Taskforce -

My name is Shakirah Simley, I am a daughter of Harlem, now an adopted daughter of the Harlem of the West. I have proudly called San Francisco home for 14 years and have lived and worked in the Western Addition/Fillmore for over a decade. I come to you proudly as a young Black woman, a first-time homeowner in the Western Addition, now, as the Executive Director of San Francisco's oldest Black-led and Black-serving organization, the Booker T. Washington Community Service Center.

I **love** this community with all my heart and we **MUST** at all costs, protect and preserve the needs, homes, businesses and institutions of the Black community **as a community of interest** in District 5. We must reject any gerrymandering that will dilute or destroy the voices and representation of our Black community. And we must hold firm the legacy that our elders and ancestors warriors built before us to maintain this a thriving and united legal and civil Black foothold in the heart of San Francisco.

What does this mean? That means to the south - preserving the affordable homes of Black seniors and families at Hayes Valley North and South, and recognizing the Black queer history along Hayes and Octavia Blvd; at the center - protecting the anchor institutions, African American Art and Culture Complex and Ella Hill Hutch Community Center, and Black-owned businesses all along our merchant corridors of Fillmore Street, Haight Street and along Divisadero. To the east - saving the last bastion of Black homeownership and cooperative housing at Freedom West homes along Gough. To the north - keeping intact the culture and heritage of Japantown on BOTH sides of Geary.

It also means the most western stretches of D5, and protecting Booker T. Community Service Center and Westside Courts.

We have have yet to atone for the decades of policy violence that has drastically shaped this community. From redevelopment and government-led forced removal that led to veritable cultural and economic genocide of the Black AND Japanese American community, to the ensuing years of gentrification, and current housing affordability crisis and public health pandemic that has fallen deeply along racial lines. I'm sick and tired of good white intentions or political football that have led to disastrous Black outcomes. A reparative and just approach to redistricting D5 means centering the needs of those most harmed, and uplifting the solutions from & by the Black community.

We've been here before. Since 1919, Booker T. has been a beacon of support and light for our Black/ African-Americans and in solidarity with our Japantown community. We been at 800 Presidio Ave between Sutter and Lyon **for 70 years**. We are located two blocks away from the historic Westside Courts which was the City's first public housing development, the first to accept Black folks, and has sustained Black families for almost 5 generations.

The Center has seen many a fight in its day. Booker T. was unfortunately placed in District 2 after the 2000 Census which effectively marginalized our voice **and** those served out of the Center who were constituents of District 5. A racist and classist battle with our nearby neighbors went all the way to the California Court of Appeals almost prevented the building of 5 stories of affordable housing for foster youth and low-income families. It took a heroic coalition neighbors, schools and community organizations to save this affordable housing, and the work of then D5 Supervisor Breed to keep the Center in its rightful home.

Booker T is a beautiful 72,000 sq ft cultural center that continues to provide affordable housing, childcare, recreation, educational, and workforce opportunities, COVID-19 support and family stabilization to thousands of D5 residents every year. We know where we are wanted and needed. Our Center and Westside Courts must stay in District 5.

Our lives matter and are not puzzle pieces to fit political ambitions of those who do know, or care about our community. Hold firm the lines. Respect our history. Protect our future.

Thank you,

Shakirah Simley

Shakirah Simley

Executive Director

Booker T. Washington Community Service Center
800 Presidio Ave, San Francisco, CA 94115

<https://btwcsc.org/>

ssimley@btwcsc.org

Work Cell: 415-308-7194

Desk: 415-928-6596 ext. 100

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2022 10:01 AM
To: Martha Ehrenfeld; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Please keep the Inner Sunset together in D5 or D4 Comment

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Martha Ehrenfeld <martha@inner-sunset.org>
Sent: Saturday, January 22, 2022 10:46 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please keep the Inner Sunset together in D5 or D4 Comment

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I would like the Inner Sunset to remain in the same district, either in D5 or D4. Currently, it is split between three districts. We are split between two police precincts. We will always be on the edge but please keep us together. From Arguello to 19th Ave--ideally Judah or Kirkham--some suggested following the transit corridor of the N Judah.

I have talked to other leaders in the Inner Sunset and they feel the same way,
Thank you for your time and service,
Martha Ehrenfeld

--

Board President
Inner Sunset Park Neighbors
www.inner-sunset.org
(415) 297-2623

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2022 10:01 AM
To: vacation; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: District 1 & 2

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: vacation <vacation@mindspring.com>
Sent: Saturday, January 22, 2022 10:46 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdt@sfgov.org>
Subject: District 1 & 2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please correct what Eric Mar did 10yrs ago when he stacked District 1 by requiring that Presidio/Pacific Heights be part of District 2.

Please correct that blatant political chicanery.

Please draw logical geometric boundaries without distortion based on "common interests" which should be illegal.

Thank you, Bill Chang of District 1

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2022 10:01 AM
To: jackie brewer; REG - Redistricting Taskforce; munifeedback@sfmta.com
Subject: RE: Redistricting District 5

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: jackie brewer <jacque_henderson@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, January 22, 2022 9:31 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>; munifeedback@sfmta.com
Subject: Redistricting District 5

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I live in District 5. Many mornings I stand at the corner of Laguna/McAlister street waiting for the 5 Fulton. Thirty minutes or more go by, and next bus says service every 10 minutes. That's a lie. Meanwhile multiple 5R'S go by.

Sometimes if I need to get somewhere I have to walk or go to a 5R stop. What kind of service is this?. This has just started to happen quite frequently. Will redistricting help with better service? Also why do we have to wait until March for the return of our 21 Hayes.

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2022 6:37 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Sana Sethi
Subject: FW: Redistricting Task Force meeting schedule and draft maps
Attachments: Letter to RDTF_ Draft maps and timeline.pdf

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*



From: Sana Sethi <sana.sethi@sanfranciscorising.org>
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2022 5:56 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdt@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Task Force meeting schedule and draft maps

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear members of the Redistricting Task Force,

Please see the attached letter signed by 16 community organizations and individual members of the community. I have also pasted it below for your convenience. Thank you.

Best wishes,
Sana Sethi



January 21st, 2022

Via email to: rdtf@sfgov.org

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
c/o Clerk of the Board's Office
City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: Redistricting Task Force meeting schedule and draft maps

Dear members of the Redistricting Task Force,

We are a coalition of community organizations representing working-class and immigrant communities of color in San Francisco. **We are writing to you today to strongly urge the Task Force to release a city-wide district draft map by mid-to-late February.**

We understand that members of the Task Force have expressed wanting to meet with community members from all districts before starting the map drawing process. We commend your commitment to hearing input from the community before making any big decisions. However, as the schedule stands, the Task Force won't meet with all districts until March 14th, leaving less than four weeks to draw maps, get input on those maps, adjust the boundaries as you hear input, and create, decide on, and submit a final map. Looking at neighboring jurisdictions who have released draft maps 3-4 months prior to their deadline and still struggled to hear input from the community and/or missed their deadline, this is simply not a realistic timeline.

For this reason, we propose that the Redistricting Task Force meets with two districts per meeting instead of one or add more meetings to speed up the timeline of talking with people in every district. Members of the Task Force, the mapping consultant, Q2, and members of the public have all voiced support for this proposal. For the few members of the Task Force who have voiced concerns over not giving each district a full meeting dedicated just to them, nothing has to change from the way the district-focused meetings were planned. Each district can, and should, get their own agenda item and time slot. The only difference is that there would be an additional district on the agenda, but members of each district should still receive your full attention and their own time to speak to the concerns and communities of interest of their district.

If the Redistricting Task Force doesn't find some way to shorten their timeline, create a deadline and plan to release draft maps by mid-to-late February, and schedule community listening sessions to receive input on those draft maps, we fear that it will not be possible to truly do this process justice by giving people ample time to give feedback on the draft maps. This will risk shutting out members of the community who cannot make last minute schedule changes to make these meetings or write in within a small window of time and further alienate those who already find this process inaccessible and uninviting.

Please double up on districts per meeting or add more meetings, release a draft map by mid-to-late February, and give San Franciscans the time and space they deserve to give input on the changes that will impact their communities for the next decade.

Sincerely,

Sana Sethi
Communications and Civic Engagement Organizer
San Francisco Rising

Alleluia Panis
Director
Kulintang Arts Inc

Antonio Diaz
Organizational Director
PODER (People Organizing to Demand Environmental & Economic Rights)

Sietse Goffard
Senior Voting Rights Coordinator
Advancing Justice - Asian Law Caucus

Alison Goh
President
League of Women Voters of San Francisco

Zach Goldman
Political & Policy Director
IFPTE Local 21

Juana Flores
Executive Director
Mujeres Unidas y Activas

Tiffany Ng
Civic Engagement Director
Chinese Progressive Association

Sarah Jarmon
Development Director
Senior and Disability Action

Linshao Chin

Amy Aguilera

Marcia Weisbrot

Xochitl Edgar

Adele Failes-Carpenter

Giuliana Milanese

Tes Welborn

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Thursday, January 27, 2022 1:58 PM
To: Jude Deckenbach; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Dogpatch/Potrero/Showplace/BVHP Community of Interest

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jude Deckenbach <judedeckenbach@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 25, 2022 4:31 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Dogpatch/Potrero/Showplace/BVHP Community of Interest

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good Afternoon,



I'm writing to request that Potrero Hill/Dogpatch/Showplace Square and Bayview be kept together as a cohesive D10 district.

Historically, these neighborhoods have faced the same issues of forsaken disinterest, neglect and overbuilding of industrial land uses. In the recent past, neighborhood organizations (Potrero Hill Boosters, Dogpatch Neighborhood Assn., Potrero Dogpatch Merchants, Assn, Bayview Hunters Point Community Advocates to name a few) have banded together to support community efforts to improve on the lack of infrastructure, etc. Keeping these communities together will assist our continued advocacy for each other to achieve our common goals.

Thank you.
me

Jude Deckenbach (she/her)
Friends of Jackson Park
415.786.2427
www.friendsofjacksonpark.org

Let's Build this Park!



From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Thursday, January 27, 2022 1:58 PM
To: Ann Arora; REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Mullan, Andrew (BOS); frfbeagle@gmail.com
Subject: RE: Keep Jordan Park in District 2

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Ann Arora <aroraann7@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 26, 2022 8:00 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; frfbeagle@gmail.com; Ann Arora <aroraann7@gmail.com>
Subject: Keep Jordan Park in District 2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Redistricting Task Force,

I am writing today to urge you to keep Jordan Park in District 2. My family and I have lived in Jordan Park for over 20 years. Our neighborhood has been part of District 2 for many years and is part of a community of interest with other neighborhood organizations including Pacific Heights, Presidio Heights, the Marina, and Laurel Heights. We have strong relationships with our elected and appointed officials and have worked on innumerable land use and public safety issues together that have enabled us to keep our neighborhoods safe and secure. Moving Jordan Park out of District 2 would disrupt this bond and potentially damage our community and the safety we enjoy.

Thank you,
Ann Arora

Ann Arora, MFT

Bringing Mindfulness to Work

ann@annarora.com

c: 415-939-4728

o: 415-255-3221

In compliance with HIPAA and other applicable federal and state statutes, certain types of electronic data transmissions must conform to internal and external format requirements. This transmission may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, please do not read, copy, use or disclose this communication to others; also, please notify the sender by replying to this message and then delete it from your system.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Thursday, January 27, 2022 1:58 PM
To: Ally Arora; Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Mullan, Andrew (BOS); frfbeagle@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc: Abby Arora; Ann Arora
Subject: RE: Keep Jordan Park in District 2

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Ally Arora <arora.ally@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 26, 2022 8:11 AM
To: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; frfbeagle@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Abby Arora <abbysarora@gmail.com>; Ann Arora <aroraann7@gmail.com>
Subject: Keep Jordan Park in District 2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Redistricting Task Force,

I am writing today to urge you to keep Jordan Park in District 2. My family and I have lived in Jordan Park for over 20 years. Our neighborhood has been part of District 2 for many years and is part of a community of interest with other neighborhood organizations including Pacific Heights, Presidio Heights, the Marina, and Laurel Heights. We have strong relationships with our elected and appointed officials and have worked on innumerable land use and public safety issues together that have enabled us to keep our neighborhoods safe and secure. Moving Jordan Park out of District 2 would disrupt this bond and potentially damage our community and the safety we enjoy.

Thank you,
Alison Arora

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Thursday, January 27, 2022 1:58 PM
To: anil arora; REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Mullan, Andrew (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); frfbeagle@gmail.com
Subject: RE: Keep Jordan Park in District 2

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: anil arora <aarora@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 26, 2022 8:25 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; frfbeagle@gmail.com
Cc: anil arora <aarora@gmail.com>
Subject: Keep Jordan Park in District 2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Redistricting Task Force,

I am writing today to urge you to keep Jordan Park in District 2. My family and I have lived in Jordan Park for over 20 years. Our neighborhood has been part of District 2 for many years and is part of a community of interest with other neighborhood organizations including Pacific Heights, Presidio Heights, the Marina, and Laurel Heights. We have strong relationships with our elected and appointed officials and have worked on innumerable land use and public safety issues together that have enabled us to keep our neighborhoods safe and secure. Moving Jordan Park out of District 2 would disrupt this bond and potentially damage our community and the safety we enjoy.

Thank you,
Anil Arora

From: Carroll, John (BOS)
Sent: Friday, January 28, 2022 4:08 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: SF Redistricting Tool Submissions
Attachments: MyBoardSupervisors_SD_012820221239.zip; 2022_BCNA Letter_SF Redistricting Plan_28Jan.pdf

Forwarding to RTF on BCC. Note that this submission references the attached letter from BCNA—received separately.

These documents will be uploaded to Airtable, but may not appear there until early next week. I wanted to send this to RTF now, so everyone has the most up-to-date submissions.

JEC

From: Seth Neill Q2 <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Sent: Friday, January 28, 2022 3:46 PM
To: Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>
Cc: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: SF Redistricting Tool Submissions

New submission from today, 1/28

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Friday, January 28, 2022 4:10 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Matthias Mormino
Subject: FW: Community of Interest - SRO Residents and Families
Attachments: SRO Resident and Families Community of Interest Letter.pdf

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Matthias Mormino <matthias.mormino@chinatowncdc.org>
Sent: Friday, January 28, 2022 3:19 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Community of Interest - SRO Residents and Families

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force,

Please find attached a letter from Chinatown CDC, Community Youth Center and Self Help for the Elderly on the importance of protecting SRO Residents and SRO Families as a community of interest that are mainly located in the Tenderloin and Chinatown neighborhoods,

Regards,

Matthias

Matthias Mormino
Director of Policy
Chinatown Community Development Center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Friday, January 28, 2022 4:13 PM
To: dianataylor50@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce; theharrers@aol.com
Subject: FW: From Barbary Coast Neighborhood Association--Commenting on Redistricting Plans for District 3
Attachments: 2022_BCNA Letter_SF Redistricting Plan_28Jan.pdf

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Note that we have also received your mapping submission, and after a bit of background technical work the map and letter will be linked up into a combined submission on RTF web. The map has already been forwarded to RTF members for their consideration.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: dianataylor50@gmail.com <dianataylor50@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, January 28, 2022 1:36 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Gmail <dianataylor50@gmail.com>; theharrers@aol.com
Subject: From Barbary Coast Neighborhood Association--Commenting on Redistricting Plans for District 3

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please see attached letter commenting on redistricting in District 3.

Also, Bob Harrer has submitted a proposed map through your online system that visualizes our proposals.

We will also be attending tomorrow's meeting of the Task Force.

Best regards,

Diana

Diana Taylor

President, Barbary Coast Neighborhood Association

640 Davis St., Unit 13

San Francisco, CA 94111

(415) 517.6926

Email: dianataylor50@gmail.com

<http://www.bcnasf.org/>

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Friday, January 28, 2022 4:15 PM
To: Rosemary Bell; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Redistricting issues potentially affecting our neighborhoods

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Rosemary Bell <bklynbrn1826@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, January 27, 2022 6:08 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting issues potentially affecting our neighborhoods

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

I am a resident of 43 Emerson St. between Masonic and Geary in the Laurel Heights neighborhood.

I was shocked to learn that redistricting potentially would remove Laurel Heights, my neighborhood, from Supervisorial District 2 and **I am writing to request that Laurel Heights remain in Supervisorial District 2**, which has been the case for many, many years. I am 65 years old, and 43 Emerson St. is my family home. My parents bought their home in 1953. There are eleven families on the block, three of them that I have known my entire life. This is my extended family.

My neighborhood has a strong Community of Interest with the other D2 neighborhoods, including Jordan Park, Cow Hollow, the Marina, Presidio and Pacific Heights. Our neighborhoods have collaborated on social, cultural, and economic issues and projects over the years, including with respect to public safety, housing for the homeless, and regarding major housing developments that impact all the neighborhoods listed above that form our collective Community of Interest. Removing Laurel Heights from District 2 would splinter and sever our Community of Interest. I, along with my neighborhood, have formed a strong bond and productive working relationships with the public organizations within District 2, especially with our Supervisor Catherine Stefani, for whom those of us active in Laurel Heights have all shown great collective support, and we do not wish to see these bonds severed or disrupted.

Thank you for your consideration and I appreciate your service on the Redistricting Task Force.

Sincerely,

Judy Yamamoto. You may reach me at yamamoto.judy@yahoo.com

CC:

The Honorable Catherine Stefani, District 2 Supervisor

Mr. Andrew Mullan, Legislative Aide to Supervisor Stefani

Ms. Dominica Donovan, Legislative Aide to Supervisor Stefani

Richard Frisbie, Vice President Laurel Heights Improvement Association

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Friday, January 28, 2022 4:15 PM
To: Martha Ehrenfeld; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc: ispn Board
Subject: RE: Inner Sunset Park Neighbors Ask for the Inner Sunset to be COI

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Martha Ehrenfeld <martha@inner-sunset.org>
Sent: Thursday, January 27, 2022 8:17 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdf@sfgov.org>
Cc: ispn Board <board@inner-sunset.org>
Subject: Inner Sunset Park Neighbors Ask for the Inner Sunset to be COI

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Committee:

The Board of Directors of the Inner Sunset Park Neighbors (ISPN) met on Monday 1/24/22 to discuss redistricting. The BOD of ISPN voted that the Inner Sunset be considered as a Community of Interest. We define the Inner Sunset to include Arguello to 19th Ave, Lincoln Way to Moraga.

There was no clear preference to be included in District 5 (currently) or to move to District 4. We see challenges and benefits with either grouping. In the end, we would like the Inner Sunset to remain intact in one community.

Thank you for your efforts,
Martha Ehrenfeld on behalf of the Board of Directors ISPN

--

Board President
Inner Sunset Park Neighbors
www.inner-sunset.org
(415) 297-2623

From: Carroll, John (BOS)
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2022 3:33 PM
To: Christopher Bowman; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc: Arntz, John (REG)
Subject: RE: Identifying Current Concentrations of Chinese, Filipinos, Vietnamese, East Indians , Koreans, and other Asian Nationalities by Precinct and Neighborhood in District 6 and Elsewhere in San Francisco

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Christopher Bowman <chrislb Bowman@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2022 10:58 PM
To: rdrf@sfgov.org; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Cc: Arntz, John (REG) <john.arntz@sfgov.org>
Subject: Identifying Current Concentrations of Chinese, Filipinos, Vietnamese, East Indians , Koreans, and other Asian Nationalities by Precinct and Neighborhood in District 6 and Elsewhere in San Francisco

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force Chairman Townsend and Task Force Members:

As a mayoral appointee to the SF Elections Task Force of 1995 which drafted and recommended to the Board of Supervisors the district election plan approved by the voters in 1996, and was used to elect 11 members to the Board of Supervisors in 2000, it is with keen interest that I have been monitoring the progress of the 2021-2022 Redistricting Task Force and listened intently to your first of 17 district hearings which took place last Friday for District 6.

I share with the members your consternation of not having adjusted 2020 census data which zeroes in on ethnic, linguistic, and nationality to inform you on your block by block line drawing or to inform the line drawing by members of the public wishing to submit maps and plans to the Task Force. Such information plus residency status (homeowner and renter) and same sex couples were found at the block or tract levels in the 100% Summary Files 1 & 2 for the 2000 Census and 2010 Census, and used by previous Task Forces in line drawing.

Rather than bemoan the fact that the Census Bureau hasn't yet released such information for the 2020 Census and currently most ethnic groups and nationalities are subsumed under the four major racial groupings of Hispanic, and Non-Hispanic Whites, Asians, and Blacks which is a rather blunt statistical instrument, I would refer you to data updated at least weekly from the San Francisco Department of Elections. In the Department's Master Voter File are data which will serve to be more definitive than the broad racial categories or the CVAP data which can be highly unreliable at the census block level because of sampling errors by the American Community Survey which most recently was conducted by the Census Bureau from 2015-2019.

Upon my request, Director Arntz's staff provided me with the precinct-by-precinct stats of 41,419 San Francisco registered voters -- 8.2% of all registered voters of the City who requested that they be sent election materials in a language other than English.

Currently, City-wide, 7,535 voters have requested election materials in Spanish, 29,672 in Chinese, 1,547 in Vietnamese, 1,174 in Tagalog, 728 in Korean, 237 in Japanese, 213 in Thai, 55 in Hindi, 52 in Burmese, 6 in Khmer, 18 in Russian, and 182 in other languages.

These citywide stats don't necessarily reflect the relative size of each nationality, but rather the degree to which non-profits such as CAVEC and MALDEF have convinced Chinese and Hispanic voters to request voting materials in Chinese or Spanish, respectively. My guess is that nearly 40% of all Chinese voters have asked for Chinese language materials, while the percentage might be only 5%-10% for other groups, and in the case of most East Indian voters, probably less than 0.5% as most are already fluent in English.

What the data show is where language minorities are concentrated by precinct and by neighborhood.

More extensively and representative of the roughly 110,000 total voters in San Francisco who are immigrants is that the Department's Master Voters File (MVF) lists the place of birth of over 90% of the 504,100 registered voters of the City. Those

voters who listed their place of birth, listed the state, district, or territory of the United States, or the current or former foreign country, territory, or colony. The data will show that the majority of voters in six Chinatown/North Beach precincts were born in China, Hong Kong, Taiwan, or Macao.

The Department has organized that data for me by precinct order for Districts 6 and 5, and not only will we be able to find out where the concentration of Filipino immigrant voters is in the Tenderloin as differentiated from Vietnamese, East Indian, and Chinese, but also Arab immigrant voters from North Africa, the Near East, the Arabian Peninsula, and Persian Gulf states.

To process those tens of thousands of records will take a week or two, but once I've done so, I'll share my findings and heat maps with the Task Force to help inform your line drawing.

In the interim I will share with you the highlights of my findings using the Department's election materials language data for District 6.

247 Filipinos voters in District 6 requested election materials in Tagalog. Those precincts where Filipinos requesting non-English materials

constituted more than 1% of the registered voters included: 7608, 7609, and 7617 (2.85%) in the Tenderloin; 7622, 7623, and 7624 (3.22%) in Mid-Market and SOMA; and 7625 (2.91%) and 7634 (2.40%) (mostly Filipino who are now seniors who were displaced from housing along 3rd Street in the 1970's to provide for the construction of Moscone Convention Center and Yerba Buena Gardens.

294 Vietnamese voters in District 6 requested election materials in Vietnamese. Those precincts where Vietnamese requesting non-English materials constituted more than 1% of the registered voters included: 7603 (2.04%), 7604, 7606 (2.79%), 7607 (3.22%), 7608 (5.96%), 7609, 7613 (4.18%), 7615 and (5.17%) in the Tenderloin; and 7623 and 7629 in Mid-Market and SOMA.

504 Hispanic voters in District 6 requested election materials in Spanish. Those precincts where Hispanics requesting non-English materials constituted more than 1% of the registered voters included:

7602 (2.56%), 7603 (2.17%), 7604, 7605, 7606 (2.29%), 7607, 7609, 7613 (2.75%), 7614 (4.32%), and 7615 (2.30%) in the Tenderloin; 7621, 7622 (2.17%), 7623, 7624, 7628 (2.09%), 7629, 7632, and 7633 in Mid-Market and SOMA; 7625 and 7634 in Moscone Convention Center and Yerba Buena Gardens; 7644 (2.34%) in Mission Bay; and 7647 at Treasure Island.

Because proportionately more Chinese voters (2,561 in total in District 6) have requested Chinese language election materials than Filipinos and Vietnamese have in their native languages, I've increased the threshold to 10% of the registered voters in a precinct, to include: 7605 (15.40%), 7608 (21.50%), 7609 (28.54%), 7611 (12.17%), 7612 (17.80%), and 7617 (11.76%) in the Tenderloin; 7633 (18.88%) in Mid-Market and SOMA; 7625 (42.57%) and 7634 (11.87%) in Moscone Convention Center/Yerba Buena Gardens; and 7643 (13.45%) Mission Bay.

It will be interesting to find out the ethnicities and national origin of voters on Rincon Hill once I have analyzed the place of birth data from the MVF.

I hope this will prove helpful and I want to again thank Director Arntz and his able staff for their timely assistance.

Sincerely,

Christopher L, Bowman
(628) 280-8571

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2022 11:57 AM
To: Linda Howell; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc: Mullan, Andrew (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS)
Subject: RE: We urge you not to redistrict our neighborhood!

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Linda Howell <linda@lindahowell.com>
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2022 10:01 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>
Subject: We urge you not to redistrict our neighborhood!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force

We are residents of 20 Palm Avenue, Jordan Park which is bordered by Arguello, Geary, Parker/Spruce and California .

We have lived here for many years and during that entire time we have been in Supervisorial District 2. PLEASE do not change this! Our neighborhood has a strong Community of Interest with the other D2 neighborhoods-Jordan Park or Laurel Heights (select one); Cow Hollow, The Marina, Pacific Heights, Pacific Heights and has worked with them on social, cultural and economic issues and projects over the years. This Community of Interest includes Public Safety, Housing for the Homeless, and a number of major housing developments: the former CPMC Hospital at 3700 California St; the former UCSF campus at 3333 California St, as well as the Lucky Penny and Firestone sites on Geary Blvd.

We, along with my neighborhood, have formed a strong bond and productive working relationships with the public organizations within D2, especially with our Supevisor Catherine Stefani and do not wish to see these disrupted.

Thank you for your consideration and your service on the RTF.

Sincerely,

Linda and Larry Howell

20 Palm Avenue

San Francisco, CA 94118

linda howell

20 palm avenue

san francisco, ca 94118

415-990-0760(cell)

415-221-6292(landline)

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2022 11:56 AM
To: Arlene; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Mullan, Andrew (BOS); dominica.donovan@sfgov.gov; Richard Frisbie
Subject: RE: Supervisorial District 2/Redistricting Task Force

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Arlene <arlenefilippi@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2022 9:41 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; dominica.donovan@sfgov.gov; Richard Frisbie <frfibeagle@gmail.com>
Subject: Supervisorial District 2/Redistricting Task Force

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To The Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

I would appreciate your consideration. I am a long time resident of the Laurel Heights neighborhood and I respectfully request that my neighborhood remain in Supervisorial District 2. Over the years, as a concerned resident, I have joined with my neighbors in other nearby D2 neighborhoods (those living in Jordan Park, on California Street and in Pacific Heights). We have worked on issues and projects that directly affected our homes and our lives. We have formed strong relationships and we appreciated the assistance we've received from District 2 Legislative Aide, Dominica Donovan.

Again, I would appreciate your consideration.

Arlene Filippi
42 Wood Street
San Francisco, CA 94118

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2022 11:56 AM
To: aeboken; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Question re Unpopulated Areas

Thank you for your message and your questions.

I don't have answers to your several questions and concerns below. However, by copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force for their consideration, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: aeboken <aeboken@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2022 9:09 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Question re Unpopulated Areas

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi John,

Stonestown is currently an unpopulated area as it's currently only a shopping center.

However, over the next 10 -15 years, 2900 new housing units will be built on the surface parking lot areas.

Stonestown is one of four large opportunity sites for new housing in the southwest quadrant of the City.

These four large opportunity sites for new housing are all currently in District 7.

Stonestown and Balboa Reservoir will be new sites.

Park Merced and San Francisco State will be expansions of current housing.

Sunset-Parkside Education and Action Committee's map submission will move Stonestown from District 7 to District 4.

Since it's currently unpopulated, can it be treated as unpopulated for redistricting purposes?

How can Stonestown be moved into District 4?

Can Stonestown be moved by attaching it to unpopulated Stern Grove via populated Merced Manor?

Thanking you in advance.

Eileen Boken
Sunset-Parkside Education and Action Committee (SPEAK)

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2022 11:55 AM
To: Scott Berg; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Mullan, Andrew (BOS); Donovan, Dominica (BOS); frfbeagle@gmail.com
Subject: RE: Keeping Jordan Park in District 2

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Scott Berg <scottdberg@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2022 7:57 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; Donovan, Dominica (BOS) <dominica.donovan@sfgov.org>; frfbeagle@gmail.com
Subject: Keeping Jordan Park in District 2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am a resident of Jordan Park and I strongly request that my neighborhood remain in Supervisorial District 2. I, along with many of my neighbors, have formed a strong sense of trust and collaboration with the public organizations within D2, especially with our Supervisor Catherine Stefani and do not wish to see these disrupted. As a neighborhood, we look forward to working with Supervisor Stefani to strengthen our special city and will be highly disappointed in any changes to our District.

Sincerely,

Scott Berg
36 Commonwealth Ave.

From: Carroll, John (BOS)
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2022 11:53 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc: Evelyn Torres; Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); RDTF; Alia Al-Sharif; Emmy Kim
Subject: FW: RDTF Friday email update 1.21.22

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Evelyn Torres <torres@TheCivicEdge.com>
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2022 11:44 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Laxamana, Junko (BOS) <junko.laxamana@sfgov.org>; RDTF <RDTF@TheCivicEdge.com>; Alia Al-Sharif <al-sharif@thecivicedge.com>; Emmy Kim <kim@TheCivicEdge.com>
Subject: RDTF Friday email update 1.21.22

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Happy Friday!

John, could you please share this Friday email update with the Redistricting Task Force Members.

Thank you!

Evelyn

Hello Task Force Members,

I hope you are doing well and in good health. As requested, we will be sending a weekly update with the progress of our digital outreach and the next steps for the following week. We are continuing to update our city-wide email blast contact list as we send out regular "save the date" email blasts. This week we have **started the targeted outreach for D5, D3, and D10** organizations with information and an invitation to participate in their respective District Specific Task Force Meetings.

Please see below for deliverable updates from the past week in more detail:

Fact Sheet:

- The [fact sheet](#) has been finalized with all suggested edits on Tuesday, Jan 18
- This version of the fact sheet is uploaded in this [Google Drive folder](#) that also includes past drafts for reference
- The suggestions in this final include:
 - Member Hernandez Gil's request to add a QR code to the Redistricting webpage

- Member Ho's edits to the "How Can I Get Involved?" section
- Member Chasel Lee's edits to the "How Can I Get Involved?" section and informational/content edits throughout the rest of the fact sheet
- This version of the fact sheet is available for dissemination and included in the targeted, personalized outreach for district-specific organizations

Calendar:

- We have updated our outreach calendar to indicate when targeted district emails are to be sent, noting that an **additional reminder email** with meeting information will also be individually sent to organizations **on the day of** their district meeting (or the day before if the meeting is scheduled in the morning)
- Please [click here](#) to review the updated calendar
- We will continue to update the calendar and our schedule of outreach to incorporate any changes or additions to the meeting schedule

Materials:

- We have developed branding material for SF Redistricting 2022 that we will use in all the materials that we create
- Below are the materials for your convenience
 - [Logo](#)
 - [Branded district map icons](#)
 - [Meeting Flyers](#)
 - [Email Blast Format and Content](#)

General/Citywide Email Blasts:

- Please [click here](#) to see all the past materials and content for newsletters that have been sent through our city-wide email blast thus far
- The [Jan 18 email blast](#) with District 5 and District 3 meeting information has been updated with SFPL information and computer reservation links, and all email blasts will continue to have this information unless instructed otherwise
- The Jan 18 email was successfully delivered to **847 emails** with a **38% open rate** and **9% click rate**
- City-wide email blasts also now **include respective meeting flyers** for recipients to share with their communities
- As requested, we have also included buttons in Spanish, Chinese, and Filipino to direct residents to the agenda of their choice
- As requested by Member Hernandez Gil, **day-of reminder** meeting email blasts will now include a **direct link to the Webex meeting** as well as login information (as opposed to a link to the meeting's information page)
- During our bi-weekly meeting, Member Hernandez Gil raised concern about the current sender email. **After corresponding with Valeri Shilov, IT Manager at the Department of Elections, we were communicated that we would be unable to send the email blasts from rddf@sfgov.org due to cybersecurity concerns. However, we will continue to send these email blasts from the Gmail account we've created.**

District-Specific/Targeted Outreach:

- We have made an initial contact list with organizations to prioritize within each district
- During our biweekly meeting with Members Hernandez Gil and Ho approved of our initial list of contacts for D5, D3, and D10
- This list is moving for the rest of the Redistricting process, and we would love to receive your input and leads for this effort. Please enter any key organizations in the document's **table** on page 1, and we will reach out to them accordingly.
- All the D5 and D3 organizations listed have been contacted about their upcoming district meeting. **However, if there are any other organizations you would like us to reach out to in these districts, please add them to the table in the document and notify us as soon as possible.**
- Each personalized email also includes the district meeting flyers (English, Spanish, Chinese, and Filipino) and finalized fact sheet as stated above
- We are also contacting each legislative aide to name any organizations and contact info within their district that they think we should contact

Flyers:

- On Tuesday, Junko requested editable flyers so that the clerk's office could physically print them and make them available to the public, and we relayed branding materials (logos, etc.) with the Google folder for the paper flyers
- Please click here to see the flyers for past and upcoming Task Force Meetings
- District-specific meetings have their district focus indicated at the top of the flyer
- We have been internally updating and type-setting each flyer in preparation for circulation
- Note: John Carroll's office phone number has been removed from the flyers, prompting comment submissions to be sent to rdtf@sfgov.org only

Outreach happening today:

- The first round of personalized emails to District 10 and District 11 organizations will be sent out **today before COB**
- The listed District 5 organizations are each receiving a **reminder email today before COB** with direct links to the Webex meeting and a reminder to invite their communities to attend
- A reminder city-wide email blast for tomorrow's D5 meeting will go out this afternoon with direct links to the Webex meeting

Next Week:

- We will be sending out **city-wide email blasts** on:
 - **Monday, Jan 24** with D3, D10, and D11 meeting information
 - **Friday, Jan 28**, as a reminder to join the D3 meeting with direct Webex links
- We will be sending individual, **targeted emails** to the organizations identified in the priority org list on:
 - **Monday, Jan 24** to follow up with all internally identified D3 organizations/any additional D3 organizations flagged by Task Force members
 - **Tuesday, Jan 25** D8 organizations listed in the shared link
 - **Friday, Jan 28**, individual reminder emails will be sent to each contacted D10 organization with direct meeting information

If you have any questions or concerns, please reach out at your earliest convenience.

Kindly,

EVELYN TORRES

Pronouns: she/her/ella

CIVIC EDGE CONSULTING

Mobile (925) 238-6737 | **Office** (415) 915-0511 | [thecivicedge.com](https://www.thecivicedge.com)

Mailing Address 101A Clay Street #267, Embarcadero Center 3, San Francisco, CA 94111

From: Carroll, John (BOS)
Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2022 5:07 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc: juandelosperros@gmail.com
Subject: FW: outreach meetings for district 1

From: Castillon, Matthew (REG - Contractor) <matthew.castillon@sfgov.org>
Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2022 5:03 PM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fw: outreach meetings for district 1

Hello Clerk Caroll,

Please forward this message to the rest of the Task Force. A District 1 resident reached out to just me, but I believe the comments are relevant to everyone.

Best,
Member Castillon

From: Jonathan Meade <juandelosperros@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2022 4:15 PM
To: Castillon, Matthew (REG - Contractor) <matthew.castillon@sfgov.org>
Subject: outreach meetings for district 1

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Redistricting Taskforce Member Castillon,

My name is Jonathan Meade and I am a resident of District 1. I've been following the work of the taskforce. Thank you all for doing this work. After reviewing the schedule for outreach meetings however, I do have a concern. I see that the outreach meeting for District 1 is not scheduled until March 7th, and that only one meeting is scheduled for D1.

One meeting for D1 residents to participate is not enough for the residents of our district to give you the input you need to do your work. And March 7th is very late in the process as well. Some other districts will have already had two meetings for their districts, and I am concerned that by March 7th the taskforce is going to be looking at draft maps without having heard from D1 residents.

I would urge you to add one more meeting to the outreach schedule for District 1, and find a date in February that works. This will allow the voices of D1 to be heard in a way that is empowering. I know this was not your intent, but right now I feel like we are an afterthought. Thank you again for your service. Sincerely, Jonathan Meade

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2022 5:04 PM
To: erikdavisfp@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Mullan, Andrew (BOS); Donovan, Dominica (BOS); frfbeagle@gmail.com
Subject: RE: We wish to remain in district 2!

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: erikdavisfp@gmail.com <erikdavisfp@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2022 3:30 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; Donovan, Dominica (BOS) <dominica.donovan@sfgov.org>; frfbeagle@gmail.com
Subject: We wish to remain in district 2!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force

I am a long-time resident of Jordan Park (Arguello, Geary, Parker/Spruce, California) and I request that my neighborhood remain in Supervisorial District 2 which has been the case for many, many years. My neighborhood has a strong Community of Interest with the other D2 neighborhoods-Jordan Park, Cow Hollow, The Marina, Pacific Heights, Pacific Heights and has worked with them on social, cultural and economic issues and projects over the years. This Community of Interest includes Public Safety, Housing for the Homeless, and a number of major housing developments: the former CPMC Hospital at 3700 California St; the former UCSF campus at 3333 California St, as well as the Lucky Penny and Firestone sites on Geary Blvd.

I, along with my neighborhood, have formed a strong bond and productive working relationships with the public organizations within D2, especially with our Supervisor Catherine Stefani and do not wish to see these disrupted. This is our community and we wish to remain in District 2.

Thank you for your consideration and your service on the RTF.

Sincerely,

Erik S. Davis

93 Parker Avenue

415-987-0119

CC: The Honorable Catherine Stefani, District 2 Supervisor Catherine.Stefani@sfgov.org

Mr. Andrew Mullan, Legislative Aide to Supervisor Stefani Andrew.Mullan@sfgov.org

Ms. Dominica Donovan, Legislative Aide to Supervisor Stefani Dominica.Donovan@sfgov.org

Richard Frisbie, Vice President Laurel Heights Improvement Association frfbeagle@gmail.com

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2022 5:04 PM
To: Rowan Oake; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc: ChanStaff (BOS)
Subject: RE: Redistricting D1

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Rowan Oake <roake@smith.edu>
Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2022 2:56 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: ChanStaff (BOS) <chanstaff@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting D1

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force Members,

My name is Rowan Oake and I am a resident of the Richmond District. I've been following the work of the Redistricting Task Force. After reviewing the schedule for outreach meetings I have a concern. I see that the outreach meeting for the Richmond District is not scheduled until March 7th, and that only one meeting is scheduled for my district.

One meeting for the Richmond District residents to participate is not adequate for those of us living here to give you the critical input you need to accomplish the goals of the task force. Further, March 7th is very late in the process. I note that other districts will have already had two meetings for their districts by March 7th and that the taskforce is going to be considering draft maps without having yet heard from those of us living in the Richmond District.

I am urging you to find a date in February, and to add two meetings in this district. One for the inner Richmond, and the other for the outer Richmond. This will allow the voices of this district to be heard in a way that is empowering, and accurately reflects the district. It seems that at the moment this area is an afterthought, and that cannot stand given how much is at stake in this process.

Thank you for your service on this task force and consideration of the concerns presented herein.

--

Rowan Oake

MSW Class of 2022

A'22 ARPG Representative

Smith College School for Social Work

Pronouns: they/she

Location: Ramaytush Ohlone Territory

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2022 5:03 PM
To: Kelley Myerberg; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Mullan, Andrew (BOS); Donovan, Dominica (BOS); rfbeagle@gmail.com
Subject: RE: Keep Jordan Park in District 2

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kelley Myerberg <kelley.myerberg@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2022 12:22 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdt@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; Donovan, Dominica (BOS) <dominica.donovan@sfgov.org>; rfbeagle@gmail.com
Subject: Keep Jordan Park in District 2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

I am a resident of Jordan Park-Arguello, Geary, Parker/Spruce, California and I request that my neighborhood remain in Supervisorial District 2, which has been the case for many, many years. My neighborhood has a strong Community of Interest with the other D2 neighborhoods-Laurel Heights, Cow Hollow, The Marina, and Pacific Heights and has worked with them on social, cultural and economic issues and projects over the years. This Community of Interest includes Public Safety, Housing for the Homeless, and a number of major housing developments: the former CPMC Hospital at 3700 California St; the former UCSF campus at 3333 California St, as well as the Lucky Penny and Firestone sites on Geary Blvd.

I, along with my neighborhood, have formed a strong bond and productive working relationships with the public organizations within D2, especially with our Supervisor Catherine Stefani and do not wish to see these disrupted.

Thank you for your consideration and your service on the RTF.

Sincerely,

Kelley Myerberg

85 Jordan Avenue San Francisco, CA

CC: The Honorable Catherine Stefani, District 2 Supervisor Catherine.Stefani@sfgov.org Mr.
Andrew Mullan, Legislative Aide to Supervisor Stefani Andrew.Mullan@sfgov.org Ms. Dominica
Donovan, Legislative Aide to Supervisor Stefani Dominica.Donovan@sfgov.org Richard Frisbie, Vice
President Laurel Heights Improvement Association frfbeagle@gmail.com

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2022 5:03 PM
To: Gretchen Koch; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Mullan, Andrew (BOS); Donovan, Dominica (BOS); rfbeagle@gmail.com
Subject: RE: SF Redistricting

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Gretchen Koch <gretchenee@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2022 10:24 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; Donovan, Dominica (BOS) <dominica.donovan@sfgov.org>; rfbeagle@gmail.com
Subject: SF Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force

I am a resident of Jordan Park-Arguello, Geary, Parker/Spruce, California and I request that my neighborhood **remain in Supervisorial District 2** which has been the case for many, many years. My neighborhood has a strong Community of Interest with the other D2 neighborhoods: Laurel Heights, Cow Hollow, The Marina, Pacific Heights, Presidio Heights and has worked with them on social, cultural and economic issues and projects over the years. This Community of Interest includes Public Safety, Housing for the Homeless, and a number of major housing developments: the former CPMC Hospital at 3700 California St; the former UCSF campus at 3333 California St, as well as the Lucky Penny and Firestone sites on Geary Blvd.

I, along with my neighborhood, have formed a strong bond and productive working relationships with the public organizations within D2, especially with our Supervisor Catherine Stefani and **do not wish to see these disrupted.**

Thank you for your consideration and your service on the RTF.

Sincerely,

Gretchen Koch

--

Gretchen Eschbacher Koch
gretchenEE@gmail.com

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2022 5:03 PM
To: scott connors; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Mullan, Andrew (BOS); frfbeagle@gmail.com; Donovan, Dominica (BOS)
Subject: RE: SF Redistricting: Maintain Jordan Park as District 2

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: scott connors <scottrconnors@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2022 10:09 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; frfbeagle@gmail.com; Donovan, Dominica (BOS) <dominica.donovan@sfgov.org>
Subject: SF Redistricting: Maintain Jordan Park as District 2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force

I am a resident of Jordan Park (Arguello, Geary, Parker/Spruce, California) and I request that my neighborhood remain in Supervisorial District 2, which has been the case for many, many years.

My neighborhood has a strong Community of Interest with the other D2 neighborhoods (Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, Pacific Heights, Cow Hollow, and the Marina) and has worked with them on social, cultural and economic issues and projects over the years. This Community of Interest includes Public Safety, Housing for the Homeless, and a number of major housing developments.

In particular, we live about 100 feet away from the upcoming development at 3700 California St and only a few blocks away from the upcoming development at the former UCSF campus at 3333 California St. These two projects will be the biggest development projects to ever take place in these neighborhoods. As such, both projects will significantly impact my family and our neighborhoods during its construction (noise when driving piles, weekend work, traffic congestion, etc.) and forever transform our neighborhood. Make no mistake, I am a proponent of both projects but with such a massive change that will saddle both sides of California Street it seems inappropriate to change our neighborhoods into different districts at this time. Again, my house is 100 feet from 3700 California and it would seem logical that I coordinate any issues with the same district supervisor as someone on Sacramento Street. Similarly, the 3333 California development should be coordinated within the same district – it should not matter if you live on one side of California or the other. This stretch of land being developed is the shopping hub to Presidio Heights, Laurel Heights, and Jordan Park. To break up these neighborhoods into two distinct districts at this time just seems like a logistical nightmare.

I, along with my neighborhood, have formed a strong bond and productive working relationships with the public organizations within D2, especially with our Supervisor Catherine Stefani and do not wish to see these disrupted.

Thank you for your consideration and your service on the RTF.

Sincerely,

Scott Connors

18 Palm Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94118

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2022 5:03 PM
To: Kristin Tieche; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc: ChanStaff (BOS)
Subject: RE: Redistricting in D1

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kristin Tieche <ktieche@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2022 9:32 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: ChanStaff (BOS) <chanstaff@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting in D1

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force Members,

My name is Kristin Tieche and I am a resident of the Richmond District. I've been following the work of the Redistricting Task Force. After reviewing the schedule for outreach meetings I have a concern. I see that the outreach meeting for the Richmond District is not scheduled until March 7th, and that *only one meeting is scheduled for my district.*

One meeting for the Richmond District residents to participate is not adequate for those of us living here to give you the critical input you need to accomplish the goals of the task force. Further, March 7th is very late in the process. I note that other districts will have already had two meetings for their districts by March 7th and that the taskforce is going to be considering draft maps without having yet heard from those of us living in the Richmond District.

I am urging you to find a date in February, and to add two meetings in this district. One for the inner Richmond, and the other for the outer Richmond. This will allow the voices of this district to be heard in a way that is empowering, and accurately reflects the district. It seems that at the moment this area is an afterthought, and that cannot stand given how much is at stake in this process.

Thank you for your service on this task force and consideration of the concerns presented herein.

Kristin
D1/94117

--

Kristin Tièche
Director, The Invisible Mammal
Learn more: <http://www.theinvisiblemammal.com/>
in: <https://www.linkedin.com/in/kristintieche/>

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2022 5:03 PM
To: Leith Brooks Barry; Stefani, Catherine (BOS); REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Mullan, Andrew (BOS); Donovan, Dominica (BOS); frfbeagle@gmail.com
Subject: RE: RTF

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Leith Brooks Barry <leithbrooks@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2022 8:53 AM
To: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; Donovan, Dominica (BOS) <dominica.donovan@sfgov.org>; frfbeagle@gmail.com
Subject: RTF

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

I am a resident of Jordan Park - Geary / Euclid and I request that my neighborhood remain in Supervisorial District 2 which has been the case for many, many years. My neighborhood has a strong Community of Interest with the other D2 neighborhoods- Laurel Heights, Pacific Heights and Presidio Heights and has worked with them on social, cultural and economic issues and projects over the years. This Community of Interest includes Public Safety, Housing for the Homeless, and a number of major housing developments: the former CPMC Hospital at 3700 California St; the former UCSF campus at 3333 California St, as well as the Lucky Penny and Firestone sites on Geary Blvd.

I, along with my neighborhood, have formed a strong bond and productive working relationships with the public organizations within D2, especially with our Supervisor Catherine Stefani and do not wish to see these disrupted.

Thank you for your consideration and your service on the RTF.

Sincerely,
Leith Brooks Barry

CC: The Honorable Catherine Stefani, District 2 Supervisor Catherine.Stefani@sfgov.org
Mr. Andrew Mullan, Legislative Aide to Supervisor Stefani Andrew.Mullan@sfgov.org
Ms. Dominica Donovan, Legislative Aide to Supervisor Stefani Dominica.Donovan@sfgov.org
Richard Frisbie, Vice President Laurel Heights Improvement Association frfbeagle@gmail.com

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2022 5:03 PM
To: Sara Byrne; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Mullan, Andrew (BOS); Donovan, Dominica (BOS); frfbeagle@gmail.com
Subject: RE: Jordan Park MUST remain in D2

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Sara Byrne <byrnets@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2022 8:24 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; Donovan, Dominica (BOS) <dominica.donovan@sfgov.org>; frfbeagle@gmail.com
Subject: Jordan Park MUST remain in D2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force -

I am long time resident of the **Jordan Park-Arguello, Geary, Parker/Spruce, California** neighborhood. We have raised our family here and actively involved in the Jordan Park Community.

I request that my neighborhood **remain in Supervisorial District 2** which has been the case for many, many years. My neighborhood has a strong Community of Interest with the other D2 neighborhoods-Jordan Park, Cow Hollow, The Marina, Pacific Heights, Pacific Heights and has worked with them on social, cultural and economic issues and projects over the years. This Community of Interest includes Public Safety, Housing for the Homeless, and a number of major housing developments: the former CPMC Hospital at 3700 California St; the former UCSF campus at 3333 California St, as well as the Lucky Penny and Firestone sites on Geary Blvd.

I, along with my neighborhood, have formed a strong bond and productive working relationships with the public organizations within D2, especially with our Supervisor Catherine Stefani and do not wish to see these disrupted.

Thank you for your consideration and your service on the RTF.

I expect a swift response from your committee with the decision to leave our Jordan Park Neighborhood in D2.

Sincerely,

Sara R. Byrne

72 Commonwealth

CC: The Honorable **Catherine Stefani**, District 2 Supervisor Catherine.Stefani@sfgov.org

Mr. Andrew Mullan, Legislative Aide to Supervisor Stefani Andrew.Mullan@sfgov.org

Ms. Dominica Donovan, Legislative Aide to Supervisor Stefani Dominica.Donovan@sfgov.org

Richard Frisbie, Vice President Laurel Heights Improvement Association frfbeagle@gmail.com

Sara R. Byrne
415 602 4676

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2022 5:03 PM
To: Kristin Resnansky; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Mullan, Andrew (BOS); frfbeagle@gmail.com
Subject: RE: Redistricting

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kristin Resnansky <kristinr@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2022 9:30 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdt@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; frfbeagle@gmail.com
Subject: Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi!

I am a resident of Jordan Park and I strongly request that my neighborhood remain in Supervisorial District 2. My neighborhood has a strong Community of Interest with the other D2 neighborhoods- Laurel Heights, Cow Hollow, The Marina, and Pacific Heights and has worked with them on social, cultural and economic issues and projects over the years. This Community of Interest includes Public Safety, Housing for the Homeless, and a number of major housing developments: the former CPMC Hospital at 3700 California St; the former UCSF campus at 3333 California St, as well as the Lucky Penny and Firestone sites on Geary Blvd.

I, along with many of my neighbors, have formed a strong sense of trust and collaboration with the public organizations within D2, especially with our Supervisor Catherine Stefani and do not wish to see these disrupted. As a neighborhood, we look forward to working with Supervisor Stefani to strengthen our special city.

Thank you for your consideration and your service on the RTF.

Sincerely,

Kristin Resnansky

--

Kristin Resnansky
(646) 354-3327

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2022 5:03 PM
To: David Mahoney; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Mullan, Andrew (BOS); Donovan, Dominica (BOS); frfbeagle@gmail.com
Subject: RE: Proposed District 2 Changes

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: David Mahoney <roodad@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2022 8:44 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; Donovan, Dominica (BOS) <dominica.donovan@sfgov.org>; frfbeagle@gmail.com
Subject: Proposed District 2 Changes

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of Jordan Park - Arguello, Geary, Parker/Spruce, California - and I request that my neighborhood remain in Supervisorial District 2 which has been the case for many, many years. My neighborhood has a strong Community of Interest with the other D2 neighborhoods Laurel Heights; Cow Hollow, The Marina, Pacific Heights, and Presidio Heights and has worked with them on social, cultural and economic issues and projects over the years.

This Community of Interest includes Public Safety, Housing for the Homeless, public transportation, and a number of major housing developments: the former CPMC Hospital at 3700 California St; the former UCSF campus at 3333 California St, as well as the Lucky Penny and Firestone sites on Geary Blvd.

I, along with my neighborhood, have formed a strong bond and productive working relationships with the public organizations within D2, especially with our Supervisor Catherine Stefani and do not wish to see these disrupted.

Thank you for your consideration and your service on the RTF.

Sincerely,

David Mahoney

CC:

The Honorable Catherine Stefani, District 2

Supervisor Catherine.Stefani@sfgov.org

Mr. Andrew Mullan, Legislative Aide to Supervisor Stefani Andrew.Mullan@sfgov.org

Ms. Dominica Donovan, Legislative Aide to Supervisor Stefani

Dominica.Donovan@sfgov.org

Richard Frisbie, Vice President Laurel Heights Improvement

Association frfbeagle@gmail.com

David Mahoney

[https://avanan.url-](https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/url?o=http%3A//about.me/davidmahoney/bio&g=MjgzMDUzMzc3NWFjOWEzYg==&h=ZTVkYTA1NzM1Zjc0ZjdIYzQ1ZTU1YmVIN2VIOTA5Zjg1ZjVhYzE5ZDgxNzgxOGE2YzNjOGE4Mjg4ZDRiNTk3Yw==&p=YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzoXZWU0NDkwMTk3ZTk3ZWQ0OGYyMTIxOGYxMDVjNTQzNjE2MTp0Ok4=)

[protection.com/v1/url?o=http%3A//about.me/davidmahoney/bio&g=MjgzMDUzMzc3NWFjOWEzYg==&h=ZTVkYTA1NzM1Zjc0ZjdIYzQ1ZTU1YmVIN2VIOTA5Zjg1ZjVhYzE5ZDgxNzgxOGE2YzNjOGE4Mjg4ZDRiNTk3Yw==&p=YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzoXZWU0NDkwMTk3ZTk3ZWQ0OGYyMTIxOGYxMDVjNTQzNjE2MTp0Ok4=](https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/url?o=http%3A//about.me/davidmahoney/bio&g=MjgzMDUzMzc3NWFjOWEzYg==&h=ZTVkYTA1NzM1Zjc0ZjdIYzQ1ZTU1YmVIN2VIOTA5Zjg1ZjVhYzE5ZDgxNzgxOGE2YzNjOGE4Mjg4ZDRiNTk3Yw==&p=YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzoXZWU0NDkwMTk3ZTk3ZWQ0OGYyMTIxOGYxMDVjNTQzNjE2MTp0Ok4=)

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2022 5:02 PM
To: Andrew Ach; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc: Mullan, Andrew (BOS); Donovan, Dominica (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); frfbeagle@gmail.com
Subject: RE: Laurel Heights

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Andrew Ach <andrewmarkach@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2022 8:10 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; Donovan, Dominica (BOS) <dominica.donovan@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; frfbeagle@gmail.com
Subject: Laurel Heights

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force

I am a resident of:

Jordan Park-Arguello, Geary, Parker/Spruce, California

and I **strongly** request that my neighborhood remain in Supervisorial District 2 which has been the case for many, many years.

My neighborhood has a strong Community of Interest with the other D2 neighborhoods- Laurel Heights and has worked with them on social, cultural and economic issues and projects over the years.

This Community of Interest includes Public Safety, Housing for the Homeless, and a number of major housing developments: the former CPMC Hospital at 3700 California St; the former UCSF campus at 3333 California St, as well as the Lucky Penny and Firestone sites on Geary Blvd.

I, along with my neighborhood, have formed a strong bond and productive working relationships with the public organizations within D2, especially with our Supervisor Catherine Stefani and do not wish to see these disrupted.

Please do not make any changes to our district.

Thank you for your consideration and your service on the RTF.

Sincerely,


Andrew Ach

CC: The Honorable Catherine Stefani, District 2 Supervisor Catherine.Stefani@sfgov.org

Mr. Andrew Mullan, Legislative Aide to Supervisor Stefani Andrew.Mullan@sfgov.org

Ms. Dominica Donovan, Legislative Aide to Supervisor Stefani Dominica.Donovan@sfgov.org

Richard Frisbie, Vice President Laurel Heights Improvement Association frfbeagle@gmail.com



Reply Reply all Forward

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2022 5:02 PM
To: Linda Ach; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Mullan, Andrew (BOS); Donovan, Dominica (BOS); frfbeagle@gmail.com
Subject: RE: Laurel Heights

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Linda Ach <lindarach@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2022 8:05 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdt@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; Donovan, Dominica (BOS) <dominica.donovan@sfgov.org>; frfbeagle@gmail.com
Subject: Laurel Heights

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force

I am a resident of:

Jordan Park-Arguello, Geary, Parker/Spruce, California

and I **strongly** request that my neighborhood remain in Supervisorial District 2 which has been the case for many, many years. **I grew up here thus have lived here for a long time/many years.**

My neighborhood has a strong Community of Interest with the other D2 neighborhoods-

Laurel Heights and has worked with them on social, cultural and economic issues and projects over the years.

This Community of Interest includes Public Safety, Housing for the Homeless, and a number of major housing developments: the former CPMC Hospital at 3700 California St; the former UCSF campus at 3333 California St, as well as the Lucky Penny and Firestone sites on Geary Blvd.

I, along with my neighborhood, have formed a strong bond and productive working relationships with the public organizations within D2, especially with our Supervisor Catherine Stefani and do not wish to see these disrupted.

Please do not make any changes to our district.

Thank you for your consideration and your service on the RTF.

Sincerely,

Linda Rosenberg Ach

CC: The Honorable Catherine Stefani, District 2 Supervisor Catherine.Stefani@sfgov.org

Mr. Andrew Mullan, Legislative Aide to Supervisor Stefani Andrew.Mullan@sfgov.org

Ms. Dominica Donovan, Legislative Aide to Supervisor Stefani Dominica.Donovan@sfgov.org

Richard Frisbie, Vice President Laurel Heights Improvement Association frfbeagle@gmail.com

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2022 5:02 PM
To: Xander Walbridge; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Keep Potrero Hill and Dogpatch Together!

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Xander Walbridge <xwalbridge@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2022 6:06 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep Potrero Hill and Dogpatch Together!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Bayview, Potrero, Showplace Square and Dogpatch form a solid Community of Interest with shared history and present-day issues stemming from neglect and industrial land uses. Although our demographics are diverse, our

communities together face serious impacts from contaminated soil and poor air quality, massive growth, and limited investment in public infrastructure. Keeping our neighborhoods together in one district ensures that we maximize efforts to address common issues and achieve common goals.

During the first half of the 20th century, redlining discouraged home ownership by working class immigrants and people of color living in the Bayview and Potrero Hill, and exacerbated inequities with other parts of the City. Areas along the Bay were sparsely settled due to heavy industrial uses. As a result little investment went to the parks, public transportation, schools and public facilities like those provided in more affluent residential areas.

Our neighborhoods provided the real estate for freeways, artificially dividing us and polluting our air. In the last two decades, despite a stated goal of providing affordable housing, the rezoning of contaminated, formerly industrial land has led to speculation, gentrification and displacement among growing concerns for the health and safety of our residents.

Within this larger Community of Interest is a smaller one with Dogpatch and Potrero Hill. Together we benefit from the efforts of multiple organizations (Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association, Dogpatch Neighborhood Association, Potrero/Dogpatch Merchants Association, Potrero Democratic Club) all working closely together advocating for common interests. To divide Dogpatch from Potrero, or the north slope of Potrero from the south, imposes false boundaries that would seriously dilute our advocacy efforts.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2022 6:00 PM
To: Yedi Wong; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Mullan, Andrew (BOS)
Subject: RE: Jordan Park - Opposition to redistricting

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Yedi Wong <wongye@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2022 5:20 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>
Subject: Jordan Park - Opposition to redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force

I am a resident of Jordan Park and I request that my neighborhood remain in Supervisorial District 2 which has been the case for many, many years. My neighborhood has a strong Community of Interest with the other D2 neighborhoods-Jordan Park, Cow Hollow, The Marina, Pacific Heights, Pacific Heights and has worked with them on social, cultural and economic issues and projects over the years. This Community of Interest includes Public Safety, Housing for the Homeless, and a number of major housing developments: the former CPMC Hospital at 3700 California St; the former UCSF campus at 3333 California St, as well as the Lucky Penny and Firestone sites on Geary Blvd.

I, along with my neighborhood, have formed a strong bond and productive working relationships with the public organizations within D2, especially with our Supervisor Catherine Stefani and do not wish to see these disrupted.

Thank you for your consideration and your service on the RTF.

Sincerely,

Yedi Wong
97 Parker Ave
415.793.4923

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2022 5:59 PM
To: camilleumarks@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Mullan, Andrew (BOS); frfbeagle@gmail.com; Donovan, Dominica (BOS)
Subject: RE: Jordan Park should remain in District 2

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: camilleumarks@gmail.com <camilleumarks@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2022 3:11 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; frfbeagle@gmail.com; Donovan, Dominica (BOS) <dominica.donovan@sfgov.org>
Subject: Jordan Park should remain in District 2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force:

I am a home owner in Jordan Park (Arguello, Geary, Parker/Spruce, California), and I request that my neighborhood remain in Supervisorial District 2 which has been the case for many, many years.

My neighborhood has a strong Community of Interest with the other D2 neighborhoods- Laurel Heights, Cow Hollow, The Marina, Pacific Heights, Presidio Heights and has worked with them on social, cultural and economic issues and projects over the years. This Community of Interest includes Public Safety, Housing for the Homeless, and a number of major housing developments: the former CPMC Hospital at 3700 California St; the former UCSF campus at 3333 California St, as well as the Lucky Penny and Firestone sites on Geary Blvd.

I, along with my neighborhood, have formed a strong bond and productive working relationships with the public organizations within D2, especially with our Supervisor Catherine Stefani and do not wish to see these disrupted.

Thank you for your consideration and your service on the RTF.

Sincerely,

Camille Marks
Palm Avenue
415-407-2415

CC: The Honorable Catherine Stefani, District 2 Supervisor Catherine.Stefani@sfgov.org
Mr. Andrew Mullan, Legislative Aide to Supervisor Stefani Andrew.Mullan@sfgov.org
Ms. Dominica Donovan, Legislative Aide to Supervisor Stefani Dominica.Donovan@sfgov.org
Richard Frisbie, Vice President Laurel Heights Improvement Association frfbeagle@gmail.com

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2022 5:59 PM
To: Mauricio Alvarez; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Donovan, Dominica (BOS); frfbeagle@gmail.com
Subject: RE: Keep Jordan Park in District 2

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Mauricio Alvarez <mauricio@nextstagellc.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2022 2:48 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Donovan, Dominica (BOS) <dominica.donovan@sfgov.org>; frfbeagle@gmail.com
Subject: Keep Jordan Park in District 2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force

I am a homeowner of Jordan Park-Arguello, Geary, Parker/Spruce, California

and I request that my neighborhood remain in Supervisorial District 2 which has been the case for many, many years. My neighborhood has a strong Community of Interest with the other D2 neighborhoods: Laurel Heights, Cow Hollow, The Marina, Pacific Heights and has worked with them on social, cultural and economic issues and projects over the years. This Community of Interest includes Public Safety, Housing for the Homeless, and a number of major housing developments: the former CPMC Hospital at 3700 California St; the former UCSF campus at 3333 California St, as well as the Lucky Penny and Firestone sites on Geary Blvd.

I, along with my neighborhood, have formed a strong bond and productive working relationships with the public organizations within D2, especially with our Supervisor Catherine Stefani and do not wish to see these disrupted.

Thank you for your consideration and your service on the RTF.

Sincerely,

Mauricio Alvarez

CC: The Honorable Catherine Stefani, District 2 Supervisor Catherine.Stefani@sfgov.org

Ms. Dominica Donovan, Legislative Aide to Supervisor Stefani Dominica.Donovan@sfgov.org

Richard Frisbie, Vice President Laurel Heights Improvement Association frfbeagle@gmail.com

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2022 5:59 PM
To: aprato_otr@yahoo.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS)
Subject: RE: San Francisco Redistricting

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: aprato_otr@yahoo.com <aprato_otr@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2022 12:22 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>
Subject: San Francisco Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force

I am a longtime resident homeowner in Laurel Heights. I request that my neighborhood remain in Supervisorial District 2 which has been the case for many, many years. My neighborhood has a strong Community of Interest with other D2 neighborhoods (Jordan Park, Presidio Heights) and has worked with them on social, economic and environmental issues. The Community Of Interest includes public safety, senior housing and major housing developments: the former CPMC hospital at 3700 California St., former UCSF campus at 3333 California St. and Lucky Penny and Firestone store sites on Geary Blvd.

I and my neighborhood have formed a strong bond and productive working relationship within D2 especially with our supervisor Catherine Stefani and do not wish to see these disrupted.

Thank you for your consideration on this matter and your service on the Redistricting Task Force.

Sincerely,
Ann Prato

Sent from my iPad

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2022 12:09 PM
To: Hannah Bascom; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Potrero Redistricting

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Hannah Bascom <hannah.bascom@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2022 11:38 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Potrero Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Committee,

The Bayview, Potrero, Showplace Square and Dogpatch form a solid Community of Interest with shared history and present-day issues stemming from neglect and industrial land uses. Although our demographics are diverse, our communities together face serious impacts from contaminated soil and poor air quality, massive growth, and limited investment in public infrastructure. Keeping our neighborhoods together in one district ensures that we maximize efforts to address common issues and achieve common goals.

During the first half of the 20th century, redlining discouraged home ownership by working class immigrants and people of color living in the Bayview and Potrero Hill, and exacerbated inequities with other parts of the City. Areas along the Bay were sparsely settled due to heavy industrial uses. As a result little investment went to the parks, public transportation, schools and public facilities like those provided in more affluent residential areas.

Our neighborhoods provided the real estate for freeways, artificially dividing us and polluting our air. In the last two decades, despite a stated goal of providing affordable housing, the rezoning of contaminated, formerly industrial land has led to speculation, gentrification and displacement among growing concerns for the health and safety of our residents.

Within this larger Community of Interest is a smaller one with Dogpatch and Potrero Hill. Together we benefit from the efforts of multiple organizations (Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association, Dogpatch Neighborhood Association, Potrero/Dogpatch Merchants Association, Potrero Democratic Club) all working closely together advocating for common interests. To divide Dogpatch from Potrero, or the north slope of Potrero from the south, imposes false boundaries that would seriously dilute our advocacy efforts.

Please carefully consider these issues in your evaluation process.

Thank you,

Hannah Bascom

364 Connecticut St

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2022 12:09 PM
To: Richard Rothman; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: District one

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Richard Rothman <rrothma@pacbell.net>
Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2022 10:43 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: District one

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Redistricting Taskforce Members,

My name is Richard Rothman and I am a resident of District 1. I've been following the work of the taskforce. Thank you all for doing the work you do. After reviewing the schedule for outreach meetings however, I do have a concern. I see that the outreach meeting for District 1 is not scheduled until March 7th, and that only one meeting is scheduled for D1.

One meeting for D1 residents to participate is not enough for the residents of our district to give you the input you need to do your work. And March 7th is very late in the process as well. Some other districts will have already had two meetings for their districts, and I am concerned that by March 7th the taskforce is going to be considering draft maps without having heard from D1 residents.

I would urge you to add one more meeting to the outreach schedule for District 1, and find a date in February that works. This will allow the voices of D1 to be heard in a way that is empowering. Right now I feel like we are an afterthought. Thank you again for your service. Sincerely, Richard Rothman

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2022 12:09 PM
To: Regina Islas; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc: ChanStaff (BOS)
Subject: RE: Urgent Need for Adequate and Timely representation of the Richmond District by RTF

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Regina Islas <regina.islas@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2022 10:33 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdrtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: ChanStaff (BOS) <chanstaff@sfgov.org>
Subject: Urgent Need for Adequate and Timely representation of the Richmond District by RTF

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force Members,

I am a resident of the Richmond District. I am following the work of the Redistricting Task Force. After reviewing the schedule for outreach meetings I am concerned to see that the outreach meeting for the Richmond District is not scheduled until March 7th, and that *only one meeting is scheduled for this district.*

One meeting for the Richmond District residents to participate is not adequate for those of us living here to give you the critical input you need to accomplish the goals of the task force. Further, March 7th is very late in the process. I note that other districts will have already had two meetings for their districts by March 7th and that the taskforce is going to be considering draft maps without having yet heard from those of us living in the Richmond District.

I am urging you to find a date in February, and to add two meetings in this district. One for the inner Richmond, and the other for the outer Richmond. This will allow the voices of this district to be heard in a way that is empowering, and critically, accurately reflects the district. (It seems that at the moment this input from this district is being regarded as an afterthought, and that cannot stand given how much is at stake in this process.)

Thank you for your service on this task force and consideration of the concerns presented herein. I look forward to your response to the suggestions made.

Onward together,

Regina S Islas, 94118

[she/her]

regina.islas@gmail.com

650.484.7706

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2022 12:08 PM
To: densekelly; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Redistricting Meetings

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: densekelly <denniskellysf@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2022 6:33 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Meetings

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Redistricting Task Force,

Members of the Board of the Richmond District Democratic Club have been following the work of this task force and have notified interested members of the single outreach meeting scheduled for District One.

The timing of this single March seventh meeting is alarming since it implies that much of the work of the task force will have been accomplished, regional meetings held, and issues already considered before those of us in District One have a chance to participate.

If it is possible to add a meeting sometime in the next 30 days, I believe it will go far to assuage any feelings of being overlooked.

Thank you for the time and effort you are putting into this work. Good luck on pleasing everyone.

Sincerely,

Dennis Kelly
7040 Geary Boulevard

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2022 12:08 PM
To: Letty Chanchu; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Mullan, Andrew (BOS); Donovan, Dominica (BOS); Richard Frisbie
Subject: RE: Supervisorial District 2

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Letty Chanchu <letty.chanchu@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2022 5:07 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; Donovan, Dominica (BOS) <dominica.donovan@sfgov.org>; Richard Frisbie <frfbeagle@gmail.com>
Subject: Supervisorial District 2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force

I am a resident of Laurel Heights-Parker/Spruce, Geary, Masonic, California. I have lived in this area since 2003.

I request that my neighborhood remain in Supervisorial **District 2** which has been the case for many, many years. My neighborhood has a strong Community of Interest with the other D2 neighborhoods-Laurel Heights, Cow Hollow, The Marina and Pacific Heights. Pacific Heights has worked with them on social, cultural and economic issues and projects over the years. This Community of Interest includes Public Safety, Housing for the Homeless, and a number of major housing developments: the former CPMC Hospital at 3700 California St; the former UCSF campus at 3333 California St, as well as the Lucky Penny and Firestone sites on Geary Blvd.

I, along with my neighborhood, have formed a strong bond and productive working relationships with the public organizations within D2, especially with our Supervisor Catherine Stefani and do not wish to see these disrupted.

Thank you for your consideration and your service on the RTF.

Sincerely,

Ana L Chanchu

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2022 12:08 PM
To: Karen Babbitt; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Redistricting questions (2) about D11

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Karen Babbitt <excelsior.coordinator@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2022 4:36 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting questions (2) about D11

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi Redistricting Task Force!

Someone forwarded an email from your office about upcoming D3 and D5 Task Force meetings. I was wondering if you have dates for any upcoming D11 meetings. I can't tell from the website which dates correspond to which districts: <https://sf.gov/public-body/2020-census-redistricting-task-force>

Also, and I truly apologize for the late notice, I was hoping you or someone in your office could join the Excelsior Collaborative general meeting for a short while tomorrow, Jan 19th on Zoom. The meeting is from 10-11am and I can fit you in anywhere that works best.

The group (made up of CBOs and neighborhood groups) is hoping to get information on how best to participate in the process. We had one presentation a couple of meetings ago, but it was from the specific angle of the political organizers who presented. We were hoping for a bigger picture.

Thank you!

--


Karen Babbitt

Excelsior Collaborative Coordinator

(E) excelsior.coordinator@gmail.com

(W) www.excelsiorcollaborativeSF.org

We engage and empower residents and community stakeholders to enhance the quality of life for all in the Excelsior.



Virus-free. www.avast.com

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2022 12:08 PM
To: Jessie Fernandez; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Community Redistricting

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jessie Fernandez <fernandez.jessie@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2022 2:32 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Community Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Greetings SF Redistricting Task Force members,

As you shape and advance our City through a redistricting process I urge you to center genuine and participatory community engagement. To this end I urge accessibility, transparency and clarity in process and timeline.

Clear deadlines for draft maps with ample time allocated for community dialogue and feedback is critical. Several surrounding cities and counties, like Oakland and San Jose, released their first draft maps 3-4 months before their final submission deadline. Publishing a citywide draft map by February and presenting multiple options of district maps at the upcoming district-specific meetings will help facilitate conversation and elicit more feedback from community members who may not know where to start. Showing map options will help people get specific, which will be more helpful to you!

For a redistricting process to be truly democratic, we need language access so all members of our community can participate in this process that will affect all of us for the next decade. None of the meetings so far have had adequate language access. This is unacceptable. We need simultaneous translation in commonly spoken languages in SF like Spanish, Chinese, and Tagalog for all community members to be able to fully participate. In my D11 community, we have a lot of monolingual Spanish and Cantonese speakers. So far, they have not been able to participate in this process because there has not been consistent translation provided at meetings.

Mapping tools are a powerful resource to help visualize and negotiate proposals and I hope to see them incorporated in diverse community engagement settings where residents with limited tech skills can benefit. So far in my attempts to utilize the existing [redrawmysf tool](#) I have found it difficult to access the user's guide due to certain privacy issues identified by my browser constituting an entry level technical barrier, particularly for those with limited ability to navigate and troubleshoot tech issues.

Better political representation in my D11 community would ensure immigrant and working class communities of color have agency as our neighborhoods weather the storm of this public health pandemic and the legacy of a housing affordability crisis and economic disenfranchisement.

Thank you for your service and consideration,

Jessie Fernandez

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2022 12:07 PM
To: Jack Alber; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Keep District 10 Whole

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jack Alber <jackalber@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2022 2:21 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep District 10 Whole

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon,

District 10 is a unified group, from Potrero Ave to the Bay. For many years there have been organizing efforts to help develop this region and manage our own affairs. There are currently proposals to split the District along 280, which would divide our community apart in the center. Please keep District 10 whole!

Together Potrero Hill and the Dogpatch benefit from the efforts of multiple organizations (Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association, Dogpatch Neighborhood Association, Potrero/Dogpatch Merchants Association, Potrero Democratic Club) all working closely together advocating for common interests. To divide Dogpatch from Potrero, or the north slope of Potrero from the south, imposes false boundaries that would seriously dilute our advocacy efforts.

The city of San Francisco will benefit from utilizing the efforts of engaged citizens to work together, and it stands to hurt its own ability to manage the city by cutting this community in half and losing out on the shared communication that has developed.

Best,

Jack Alber

Proud resident of District 10

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2022 12:07 PM
To: Laurie Marks; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS); StefaniStaff, (BOS); George Chintala
Subject: RE: Jordan Park should remain in District 2

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Laurie Marks <lauriemarks18@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2022 1:46 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; StefaniStaff, (BOS) <stefanistaff@sfgov.org>; George Chintala <gchintala3@gmail.com>
Subject: Jordan Park should remain in District 2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

We are long term residents of Jordan Park and we request that our neighborhood remains in Supervisorial District 2 which has been the case for many, many years.

Our neighborhood has a strong Community of Interest with the other D2 neighborhoods and has worked with them on social, cultural and economic issues and projects over the years. This Community of Interest includes Public Safety, Housing for the Unhoused, and a number of major housing developments: the former CPMC Hospital at 3700 California Street and the former UCSF campus at 3333 California Street.

We, along with our neighborhood, have formed a strong bond and productive working relationships with the public organizations within D2, especially with our Supervisor, Catherine Stefani, and do not wish to see these disrupted.

Thank you for your consideration and your service on the RTF.

Sincerely,

Laurie Marks & George Chintala
32 & 34 Parker Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94118

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2022 12:06 PM
To: Reina Tello; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Redistricting inout

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,
John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Reina Tello <reina.tello415@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2022 12:25 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting inout

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To whom it may concern, my name is Reina Tello, I am writing to ask that you address the following points:

The Task Force needs to show draft maps at community meetings

-
-
- The Task Force should
- present multiple options of district maps at the upcoming district-specific meetings.
- This will help facilitate conversation and elicit more feedback from community members who may not know where to start.
- Showing map options will help people get specific, which will be more helpful to you!
-
-
-
- Several surrounding cities and counties, like Oakland and San Jose, released their first draft maps 3-4 months before their
- final submission deadline.
-
-
-
- I urge the Task Force to publish a citywide draft map by February so that we will have ample time to give feedback and make
- revisions before the Task Force has to finalize a map. If there's no citywide draft until March, that really doesn't leave enough time for community feedback and revisions.
-
-
-
- The Task Force should adopt a plan to release multiple iterations of maps with back and forth from community members.
-
-
-
- Without a deadline by which to have a first draft map ready, the Task Force risks not meeting the final submission deadline
- by April 15th, or not getting adequate community input in between the release of the first map draft and the final submission deadline.
-

We need language access:

-
-
- For a redistricting process to be truly democratic, we need language access so all members of our community can participate
- in this process that will affect all of us for the next decade.
-
-
-
- When the task force begins district-specific meetings,
- simultaneous interpretation for commonly spoken languages within that district should
- be provided for as much of the community to have an opportunity to bring feedback and community of interest testimony
- to the Task Force. Simultaneous interpretation of public comment is insufficient. Community members need to be able to hear what is being discussed at the meeting.
-
-
-
- Outreach also needs to be multilingual.
-
-
-
- You should consider holding some meetings where the dominant language is not English.
-
-
-
- None of the meetings so far have had adequate language access. We need simultaneous translation in commonly spoken languages
- in SF like Spanish, Chinese, and Tagalog for all community members to be able to fully participate.
-
-
-
- In my community, we have a lot of monolingual [*language*
- *commonly spoken in your community*]-speakers. So far, they have not been able to participate in this process because
- there has not been consistent translation provided at meetings.

•

Thank You for your time and attention.

--

Reina Tello

phone #415.375.0265

"United We Stand, Divided We Fall"

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2022 12:23 PM
To: Sal Ahani; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Mullan, Andrew (BOS); Donovan, Dominica (BOS); frfbeagle@gmail.com
Subject: RE: Redistricting my neighborhood

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Sal Ahani <bajimoto@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2022 11:56 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; Donovan, Dominica (BOS) <dominica.donovan@sfgov.org>; frfbeagle@gmail.com
Subject: Redistricting my neighborhood

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force

I am a resident of Jordan Park - Arguello, Geary, Parker/Spruce, California streets, and I request that my neighborhood remain in Supervisorial District 2 which has been the case for many, many years. My neighborhood has a strong Community of Interest with the other D2 neighborhoods - Laurel Heights and has worked with them on social, cultural and economic issues and projects over the years.

The Task Force defines "Community of Interest (COI)" as: A COI is a group of people in a defined geographic location that share a common bond or interest. Communities of Interest are often defined as *"a contiguous population which shares common social and economic interests that should be included within a single district for purposes of fair and effective representation."* This Community of Interest includes Public Safety, Housing for the Homeless, and a number of major housing developments: the former CPMC Hospital at 3700 California St; the former UCSF campus at 3333 California St, as well as the Lucky Penny and Firestone sites on Geary Blvd.

I, along with my neighborhood, have formed a strong bond and productive working relationships with the public organizations within D2, especially with our Supervisor Catherine Stefani and do not wish to see these disrupted.

Thank you for your consideration and your service on the RDTF.

Sincerely,

A. Sal Ahani

CC: The Honorable Catherine Stefani, District 2
Supervisor Catherine.Stefani@sfgov.org
Mr. Andrew Mullan, Legislative Aide to Supervisor
Stefani Andrew.Mullan@sfgov.org
Ms. Dominica Donovan, Legislative Aide to Supervisor
Stefani Dominica.Donovan@sfgov.org
Richard Frisbie, Vice President Laurel Heights Improvement
Association frfbeagle@gmail.com

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2022 12:23 PM
To: Irina; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Richard Frisbie; Mullan, Andrew (BOS); Donovan, Dominica (BOS)
Subject: RE: Leave District 2 Jordan Park and Laurel Heights as it has been for many years!

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Irina <irinam67@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2022 10:43 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdt@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Richard Frisbie <frfbeagle@gmail.com>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; Donovan, Dominica (BOS) <dominica.donovan@sfgov.org>
Subject: Leave District 2 Jordan Park and Laurel Heights as it has been for many years!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force

We would like to understand, why would you consider to remap the SF Districts?

We have been raising our family in Jordan Park and Laurel Heights Community who have being part of the family for many years and generations.

We request that my/our community remain in Supervisorial District 2 which has been the case for many, many years. My/our neighborhood has a strong Community of Interest with the other D2 neighborhoods, over the years we worked hard and created the strong Neighborhood Association and have been working together on the multiple important issues and valuable projects. This Community of Interest includes Public Safety, Housing for the Homeless, and a number of major housing developments: the former CPMC Hospital at 3700 California St etc We have a productive working relationships with the public organizations within D2 and the most important with our Supevisor Catherine Stefani. Dividing Districts and disrupting our Jordan Park + Communities will not bring any improvements nor benefits to anyone except it will disrupt the neighborhood community and will bring the chaos to our District.

There so many issues the SF Task Force can focus on, on more productive issues, like cleaning the streets and taking care of our Homeless citizens who must be housed.

Thank you for your consideration and your service on the RTF.

Sincerely,

Mirkina's Family.

Jordan Park

District 2

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2022 12:22 PM
To: August U; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Mullan, Andrew (BOS); Donovan, Dominica (BOS); Richard Frisbie
Subject: RE: Remain in District 2

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: August U <augustuffelman@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, January 17, 2022 6:08 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; Donovan, Dominica (BOS) <dominica.donovan@sfgov.org>; Richard Frisbie <frfbeagle@gmail.com>
Subject: Remain in District 2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force

I am a resident of Laurel Heights-Parker/Spruce, Geary, Masonic, California Ave. area and I request that my neighborhood remain in Supervisorial District 2 which has been the case for many, many years.

My neighborhood has a strong Community of Interest with the other D2 neighborhoods- Lower Pac Heights, Laurel Heights, Cow Hollow, The Marina, Pacific Heights - and has worked with them on social, cultural and economic issues and projects over the years. This Community of Interest includes Public Safety, Housing for the Homeless, and a number of major housing developments: the former CPMC Hospital at 3700 California St; the former UCSF campus at 3333 California St, as well as the Lucky Penny and Firestone sites on Geary Blvd.

I, along with my neighborhood, have formed a strong bond and productive working relationships with the public organizations within D2, especially with our Supervisor Catherine Stefani and do not wish to see these disrupted.

Thank you for your consideration and your service on the RTF.

Sincerely,

August Uffelman

560 Presidio Avenue, 94115

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2022 12:22 PM
To: Leland Faust; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Mullan, Andrew (BOS); frfbeagle@gmail.com; laurelheights2016@gmail.com
Subject: RE: Supervisory Districts

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Leland Faust <lfaust@taylorfaust.com>
Sent: Monday, January 17, 2022 1:41 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; frfbeagle@gmail.com; laurelheights2016@gmail.com
Subject: Supervisory Districts

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ladies and Gentlemen,

I have resided in my current residence for over 35 years. It is in what is now District 2. Over those years I have noticed a very strong connection between the residents of Laurel Heights (where I live) and Jordan Park which is adjacent. There is also a strong bond between the residents of Laurel Heights and those of the adjoining Pacific Heights neighborhood. I think it advisable to keep Laurel Heights and Jordan Park in District 2. We are both geographically near and share common issues in city government.

Thank you for considering this request.

Sincerely,

Leland H. Faust
49 Heather Ave
San Francisco, CA 94118
Email: lfaust@taylorfaust.com
Telephone: 415/421-9535
Fax: 415/837-5919

Confidentiality: This e-mail may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any review, use, distribution or disclosure by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient (or authorized to receive for the recipient), please contact the sender by reply e-mail [or at (415) 421-9535] and delete all copies of this message. In accordance with Treasury Regulations Circular 230, we inform you that any tax advice contained in this communication was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding tax-related penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any tax-related matter addressed herein.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2022 12:22 PM
To: jeannine.black@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Mullan, Andrew (BOS); Donovan, Dominica (BOS); Richard Frisbie
Subject: RE: Remain in District 2

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: jeannine.black@gmail.com <jeannine.black@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, January 17, 2022 1:30 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; Donovan, Dominica (BOS) <dominica.donovan@sfgov.org>; Richard Frisbie <frfbeagle@gmail.com>
Subject: Remain in District 2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force

I am a resident of Laurel Heights-Parker/Spruce, Geary, Masonic, California Ave. area and I request that my neighborhood remain in Supervisorial District 2 which has been the case for many, many years.

My neighborhood has a strong Community of Interest with the other D2 neighborhoods- Lower Pac Heights, Laurel Heights, Cow Hollow, The Marina, Pacific Heights - and has worked with them on social, cultural and economic issues and projects over the years. This Community of Interest includes Public Safety, Housing for the Homeless, and a number of major housing developments: the former CPMC Hospital at 3700 California St; the former UCSF campus at 3333 California St, as well as the Lucky Penny and Firestone sites on Geary Blvd.

I, along with my neighborhood, have formed a strong bond and productive working relationships with the public organizations within D2, especially with our Supervisor Catherine Stefani and do not wish to see these disrupted.

Thank you for your consideration and your service on the RTF.

Sincerely,

Jeannine Black

560 Presidio Ave. No. 3

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2022 12:22 PM
To: Wendy Will; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc: Mullan, Andrew (BOS); hrfbeagle@gmail.com
Subject: RE: San Francisco Redistricting Task Force

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Wendy Will <wmwill18@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, January 17, 2022 1:24 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdrtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani@sfgov.org; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; hrfbeagle@gmail.com
Subject: San Francisco Redistricting Task Force

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Laurel Heights should stay in District 2

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force

We are long term residents of Laurel Heights - (Parker/Spruce, Geary, Masonic, California), and we request that our neighborhood remains in Supervisorial District 2 which has been the case for many, many years.

Our neighborhood has a strong Community of Interest with the other D2 neighborhoods: Jordan Park, Cow Hollow, The Marina, & Pacific Heights, and has worked with them on social, cultural and economic issues and projects over the years. This Community of Interest includes Public Safety, Housing for the Homeless, and a number of major housing developments: the former CPMC Hospital at 3700 California St; the former UCSF campus at 3333 California St, as well as the Lucky Penny and Firestone sites on Geary Blvd.

We, along with our neighborhood, have formed a strong bond and productive working relationships with the public organizations within D2, especially with our Supervisor Catherine Stefani and do not wish to see these disrupted.

Thank you for your consideration and your service on the RTF.

Sincerely,

CC:

The Honorable Catherine Stefani, District 2 Supervisor Catherine
Mr. Andrew Mullan, Legislative Aide to Supervisor Stefani Andrew
Ms. Dominica Donovan, Legislative Aide to Supervisor Stefani Dominica
Richard Frisbie, Vice President Laurel Heights Improvement Association

--

J.D. Miller and Wendy M. Will

534 Spruce Street
San Francisco, Ca 94118

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2022 12:21 PM
To: Jay Dee Miller; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc: Richard Frisbie
Subject: RE: Laurel Heights should stay in District 2

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jay Dee Miller <airforcejay@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, January 17, 2022 12:57 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani@sfgov.org; Mullan@sfgov.org; Donovan@sfgov.org; Richard Frisbie <frfbeagle@gmail.com>
Subject: Laurel Heights should stay in District 2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force

I am a resident of Laurel Heights - (Parker/Spruce, Geary, Masonic, California), and I request that my neighborhood remain in Supervisorial District 2 which has been the case for many, many years.

My neighborhood has a strong Community of Interest with the other D2 neighborhoods: Jordan Park, Cow Hollow, The Marina, & Pacific Heights, and has worked with them on social, cultural and economic issues and projects over the years. This Community of Interest includes Public Safety, Housing for the Homeless, and a number of major housing developments: the former CPMC Hospital at 3700 California St; the former UCSF campus at 3333 California St, as well as the Lucky Penny and Firestone sites on Geary Blvd.

I, along with my neighborhood, have formed a strong bond and productive working relationships with the public organizations within D2, especially with our Supervisor Catherine Stefani and do not wish to see these disrupted.

Thank you for your consideration and your service on the RTF.

Sincerely,

CC:

The Honorable Catherine Stefani, District 2 Supervisor Catherine
Mr. Andrew Mullan, Legislative Aide to Supervisor Stefani Andrew
Ms. Dominica Donovan, Legislative Aide to Supervisor Stefani Dominica
Richard Frisbie, Vice President Laurel Heights Improvement Association

--

J.D. Miller

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2022 12:21 PM
To: Lael Robertson; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Redrawing the lines

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Lael Robertson <laelrobertson5@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, January 17, 2022 10:30 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redrawing the lines

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To whom it may concern, I disagree with breaking up Dogpatch, Potrero Hill, and the Bayview. We need the areas that have people of color to stay all inclusive so that they still have some power.
Thank You very much.
Lael Robertson
Resident a Potrero Hill

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2022 12:20 PM
To: sue@molinari.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Comment On San Francisco Redistricting

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: sue@molinari.com <sue@molinari.com>
Sent: Sunday, January 16, 2022 12:14 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Comment On San Francisco Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing to submit my comment on San Francisco Redistricting.

I believe Redistricting should be eliminated and that we should return to City-Wide elections for the San Francisco Board of Supervisors.

District Elections have been a failure because it has balkanized the legislative process for the Board of Supervisors.

Currently, Board members are concerned only with the legislative impact on their district constituents. Instead, I believe that Board members should be concerned about the greater good of the City and how legislation effects all residents.

Please eliminate District Elections and return to City Wide representation for the Board of Supervisors.

Thank You,

Sue Molinari

Sue Molinari

415.674.7661

sue@molinari.com

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Friday, January 14, 2022 7:03 PM
To: John Trasvina; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: District meetings

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: John Trasvina <trasvina2@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, January 14, 2022 11:09 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: District meetings

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Commissioners,

I understand you are considering not holding meetings in every district. I strongly encourage you to take the time and effort to visit each district. While I appreciate the value of Zoom, it is of great benefit to your deliberations and understanding of neighborhoods to physically be there. I was vice chair of the 2000 task force and I can say it was educational for all of us to be there. Some members had never been in some of the neighborhoods that are far from City Hall.

Thank you for all your work. I know how difficult it is but very important to SF.

John Trasviña
5150 Diamond Heights Blvd
SF. 94131

Sent from my iPhone

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Friday, January 14, 2022 7:03 PM
To: DPH-Ilistana; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc: Lee, Jeremy (REG - Contractor); Hernandez Gil, Chema (REG - Contractor); J.Michelle Pierce1; Castillon, Matthew (REG - Contractor); Townsend, Arnold (REG); Ho, Lily (REG - Contractor); Cooper, Raynell (REG); Lee, Chasel (REG - Contractor); Reiner, Ditka (REG - Contractor); karinmacdonald.q2@gmail.com; SHEN, ANDREW (CAT); GUIBERT, GUS (CAT); FLORES, ANA (CAT); Arntz, John (REG); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Arnold Townsend; Ditka Reiner
Subject: RE: District 6 Redistricting Hearing

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Lorenzo Listana <llistana@TNDC.org>
Sent: Friday, January 14, 2022 4:06 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: District 6 Redistricting Hearing

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi, members of the City Redistricting Task Force.

My name is Lorenzo Listana, I am with the Tenderloin Filipino-American Community Association. I have lived and worked in D6 for the past 15 years. I would like to keep the Filipino community together in District 6. The Filipino community in District 6 has been in Soma and the Tenderloin for over a century. Filipino residents are both neighborhoods are deeply connected with each other. Tenderloin and Soma Filipino children go to school at Bessie Carmichael and De Marillac Academy. As other people spoke earlier, we work, eat, shop, go to church in D6.

So, instead of dividing us, we should stay together as one community. We have already established our ties and common resources that helped Filipino youth, seniors, and families here in both Tenderloin and Soma neighborhoods. Please ensure that the Filipino people stay and thrive together in District 6.

Thank you,

Lorenzo

Lorenzo Listana
Lead Community Organizer
Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Corporation
201 Eddy Street
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 358-3925
Fax: (415) 776-3952

Chinese philosopher Lao Tzu said that "A leader is best when people barely know he exists, when his work is done, his aim fulfilled, they will say: we did it ourselves." -

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Friday, January 14, 2022 7:03 PM
To: LWVSF Advocacy; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc: LWVSF Redistricting
Subject: RE: Recommendation to educate about redistricting at all RTF meetings

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: LWVSF Advocacy <advocacy@lwvsf.org>
Sent: Friday, January 14, 2022 6:13 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: LWVSF Redistricting <redistricting@lwvsf.org>
Subject: Recommendation to educate about redistricting at all RTF meetings

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi Redistricting Task Force members,

The League of Women Voters of San Francisco is sending this public comment in writing because we learned there was an audio issue when Lauren Girardin spoke during the General Public Comment portion of the January 14, 2022 meeting, which may have made it difficult to understand what was being recommended.

Thank you for all your efforts today. As you each did, the League of Women Voters listened carefully to everything people shared today in their public comments. We are grateful to all the people who took time to call in today. As we listened, one thing became clear: People need more guidance from you about how they can describe their community of interest in a way that can be the most useful in this process.

With more guidance from you during these meetings, people can better understand that a community of interest can be defined through a wide variety of common interests, including shared culture, history, languages, experiences, identity, housing, recreation options, transportation access, environmental concerns, or other bond that brings a community together.

Hearing more specifics about communities of interest will also greatly benefit your redistricting process. We've seen this clearly in other jurisdictions that are further along. With more guidance from you during these meetings, people calling in will know they can and should be more specific about the physical boundaries of their community of interest. For example, they will know to mention specific streets and landmarks. They will know to make it clear which definition of city neighborhoods they mean, since there are wildly different views about what areas the Tenderloin encompasses, or which blocks are part of SOMA.

We strongly encourage you to use every one of these meetings as a chance to not just listen, but also to educate the public about redistricting. We recommend you always start these district meetings with an overview of what redistricting is, what a community of interest is, and specific things that are helpful for people to include when they define their community of interest — such as their community of interest boundaries, and specific street names, landmarks, public spaces, parks, businesses, and other places that are significant to that community. This can also include a reminder about the fact sheet that is being prepared, with the understanding that not everyone who calls into the task force meetings has access to online information.

Thank you,
Jen Tse

--

Jenny Tse and Nalena Santiago
Advocacy Committee Co-Chairs
advocacy@lwvsf.org
pronouns: she/hers, she/hers

League of Women Voters of San Francisco
582 Market Street, Suite 615, San Francisco, CA 94104
415-989-8683 ▪ [Facebook](#) ▪ [Twitter](#)
Empowering voters. Defending democracy. Learn more at lwvsf.org.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Friday, January 14, 2022 7:01 PM
To: Michael Vuong; REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Evelyn Torres; Lisbet Sunshine; Rosie Dilger
Cc: Curtis Bradford
Subject: RE: Outreach in Tenderloin for Redistricting Task Force

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

Thank you for your message. I'm forwarding your message to our Outreach consultants, so you can be in contact with them.

Best to you,

From: Michael Vuong <mvuong@kidsclub.org>
Sent: Friday, January 14, 2022 5:29 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Curtis Bradford <CBradford@tndc.org>
Subject: Outreach in Tenderloin for Redistricting Task Force

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hey,

I just made public comment stating we can support with outreach and help with planning of outreach and such if we're able to.


I am adding Curtis Bradford in this email as well.

Let's connect so we can close the gap on outreach.

[Check Out Our YouTube Channel!](#)

Michael Vuong
Clubhouse Director

Boys & Girls Clubs of San Francisco
Tenderloin Clubhouse
201 Turk Street, San Francisco, CA 94102
p: 415.516.2320 e: mvuong@kidsclub.org



From: Carroll, John (BOS)
Sent: Friday, January 14, 2022 4:07 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc: Evelyn Torres; Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)
Subject: FW: Please share with RD Task Force - RDTF Friday Update 1.14.22
Attachments: 2022 SF Redistricting Fact Sheet.pdf

Thank you.

Forwarding to the RTF members on bcc.

John Carroll

From: Evelyn Torres <torres@TheCivicEdge.com>
Sent: Friday, January 14, 2022 3:58 PM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Laxamana, Junko (BOS) <junko.laxamana@sfgov.org>; Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>
Cc: RDTF <RDTF@TheCivicEdge.com>
Subject: Please share with RD Task Force - RDTF Friday Update 1.14.22

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello John,

Could you please share this email update with the Redistricting Task Force Members?

I appreciate your help!

-Evelyn

Hello Task Force Member,

I hope you are doing well and in good health. As requested, we will be sending a weekly update with the progress of our digital outreach and the next steps for the following week. In addition, we added new lists and contacts to our email blasts, including all individual Task Force members' emails and contacts from the Department of Elections.

We have shared the fact sheet that includes the suggested edits. If there are any more edits, we'd be happy to do one more round before finalizing the document; otherwise, we'd like to get an email confirmation with approval of this copy.

This week, members Chema, Ho, and Lee met with our project manager, Emmy Kim, and went over the following.

1. Email blasts/citywide outreach:

- a. For all emails blasts moving forward, Emmy/CEC will change the email blast sender and reply-to email to the rdtf@sfgov.org distribution list
 - b. Day-before or day-of reminder emails for Task Force Meetings will include direct links to WebEx meetings (with password information)
 - i. I have scheduled an additional reminder email for tomorrow's meeting at 8:00 am to test these changes and will follow up with the board if the platform runs into any security or technical issues
 - c. SF Public Library information will also be added to future email blasts
1. **Priority list/targeted outreach:**
- a. Personalized, individual emails to the groups we have identified in District 5 and District 3 regarding their respective meetings will go out starting tomorrow
 - b. In tomorrow's recap/digest email that you will receive through John Carroll, we will include the priority list document to be shared with the rest of the Task Force members, as well as give an update on when we will have identified organizations for the rest of the districts
 - c. After which the Task Force can then add any other organizations + their contact info they want to be included in the targeted outreach

If you have any questions or concerns, please reach out at your earliest convenience.

Deliverable updates below:

Fact Sheet:

- Member Hernandez, Ho, and Lee have received the redistricting fact sheet that includes the suggested edited content and digital formatting
- Member Hernandez and Ho added additional edits on Thursday, January 13th, and the CEC team turned around those edits that afternoon
- We will do one more round of edits if needed and would like to receive them by Tuesday, January 18th

Calendar:

- Per the suggestion during Monday's meeting, we have created a calendar outlining all the meeting dates and their respective email blasts
- For access to the calendar, [Click Here!](#)

Materials:

- We have developed branding material for SF Redistricting 2022 that we will use in all the materials that we create
- Below are the materials for your convenience
 - [Logo](#)
 - [Branded district map icons](#)

Emails:

- Please [click here](#) to see the material and content that has been shared through our email blast
- Our team has drafted content for a personalized email to CBO's and neighborhood groups to align with the district meetings
- The email blast is being edited to include a link to local libraries residents and use as a resource to watch the meetings or look at our digital resources.
- As requested, we have also included buttons in Spanish, Chinese, and Filipino to direct residents to the agenda of their choice

Flyers:

- Please [click here](#) to see the past flyers for Task Force Meetings (the branded January 3 flyer will serve as a template for future flyers)

List:

- We have created a [template](#) for identifying organizations to prioritize within each district; we will internally determine key community organizations. We would love to receive your input and leads for this effort. Please enter any key organizations in the document's table, and we will reach out to them accordingly.
- This past week members Chema, Ho, and Lee, have provided their input on district 5, and with their direction, we will be sending the names list a personalized email to include an in language flyer and the fact sheet

Next Week:

- We will be sending out the following email blast and personalized emails on the following dates leading up to the January 22nd District 5 meeting.
 - January 14th, 17th and 21st
- Task Force Meeting
 - A member of CEC will be in attendance to provide an update
 - We will communicate with the clerk's office and share who will be attending

Kindly,

EVELYN TORRES

Pronouns: she/her/ella

CIVIC EDGE CONSULTING

Mobile (925) 238-6737 | **Office** (415) 915-0511 | thecivicedge.com

Mailing Address 101A Clay Street #267, Embarcadero Center 3, San Francisco, CA 94111

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Friday, January 14, 2022 3:45 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce; David Woo
Cc: Hernandez Gil, Chema (REG - Contractor); Luisa Antonio; Theresa Imperial; Oliver Saria; Marily Mondejar; gayle@bayanihanc.org; Chriselle Raguro; Charm Consolacion; desi@kultivatelabs.org; Caroline Cabading; Raquel R. Redondiez; acabande; Lorenz Listana; Carla Laurel; Rudy
Subject: RE: D6 Filipino Community of Interest Letter to Task Force
Attachments: Filipino Community of Interest in SOMA_TL Letter to Task Force.pdf

Pardon me. Sending again with the attachment.

John Carroll

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Friday, January 14, 2022 3:44 PM
To: 'David Woo' <david@somapilipinas.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Hernandez Gil, Chema (REG - Contractor) <chema.hernandezgil@sfgov.org>; Luisa Antonio <luisa.antonio@sfbec.org>; Theresa Imperial <theresa@bishopsf.org>; Oliver Saria <oliver@bindlestiffstudio.org>; Marily Mondejar <marily@filipinawomensnetwork.org>; gayle@bayanihanc.org; Chriselle Raguro <Chriselle.raguro@gmail.com>; Charm Consolacion <cccconsolacion3@gmail.com>; desi@kultivatelabs.org; Caroline Cabading <caroline.cabading@manilatown.org>; Raquel R. Redondiez <raquel@somapilipinas.org>; acabande <acabande@somcan.org>; Lorenz Listana <lorenzlistana@gmail.com>; Carla Laurel <carla@westbaycentersf.org>; Rudy <rudy@unitedplayaz.org>
Subject: RE: D6 Filipino Community of Interest Letter to Task Force

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: David Woo <david@somapilipinas.org>

Sent: Friday, January 14, 2022 12:55 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>

Cc: Hernandez Gil, Chema (REG - Contractor) <chema.hernandezgil@sfgov.org>; Luisa Antonio <luisa.antonio@sfbec.org>; Theresa Imperial <theresa@bishopsf.org>; Oliver Saria <oliver@bindlestiffstudio.org>; Marily Mondejar <marily@filipinawomensnetwork.org>; gayle@bayanihanc.org; Chriselle Raguro <Chriselle.raguro@gmail.com>; Charm Consolacion <ccconsolacion3@gmail.com>; desi@kultivatelabs.org; Caroline Cabading <caroline.cabading@manilatown.org>; Raquel R. Redondiez <raquel@somapilipinas.org>; acabande <acabande@somcan.org>; Lorenz Listana <lorenzlistana@gmail.com>; Carla Laurel <carla@westbaycentersf.org>; Rudy <rudy@unitedplayaz.org>

Subject: D6 Filipino Community of Interest Letter to Task Force

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force Members,

Attached is a letter submitted from 14 Filipino organizations on the Filipino Community of Interest in District 6 in the South of Market and Tenderloin neighborhoods. Included in the letter, and separately attached here, is a map of Filipino Community of Interest sites in District 6 put together by these and other organizations and community members.

January 14th, 2022

Dear Redistricting Task Force Members,

Thank you for your service in this important role in the redistricting process.

We would like to respectfully submit to the task force the attached map that shows the Filipino Community of Interest in the South of Market (SOMA) and Tenderloin (TL) neighborhoods, crafted with the input of community leaders from the SOMA and TL.

As directed by the San Francisco City Charter, the Task Force's redrawing of district boundaries should reflect communities of interest, keep recognized neighborhoods intact, and prevent the division and dilution of minorities. We believe strongly in this charge, and hope to clearly show the Filipino community of interest spanning the two neighborhoods of the South of Market and Tenderloin.

Filipinos have been living in District 6 for over 120 years. SOMA and the TL have always been a gateway community for Filipino immigrants, especially in the early 1990's with the arrival of hundreds of Filipino WWII Veterans to San Francisco who resided in single-room occupancy (SRO) hotels in both SOMA and the

TL. With the first and now second technology boom, Filipino residents and businesses in SOMA have been pushed out, priced out, evicted, displaced, and many residents have moved and are moving across Market Street to the Tenderloin. Service, arts, and other cultural organizations and small businesses serving the Filipino population are also concentrated in these two historic neighborhoods of District 6.

We know that there have been some draft maps the task force has received that sever the Tenderloin from SOMA and we strongly believe that this will divide our community and dilute and diminish our effective and fair representation. The TL and SOMA represent a historical core in District 6 with communities that have planted roots here for decades.

Within the SOMA and TL, there are also additional communities of interest that the Filipino community has worked with to fight for common economic interests and fair representation, including other low-income and working class, immigrant, and people of color communities that live in the SRO's, affordable housing buildings, and rent-controlled units, namely our neighbors who are African American, Arab, Asian, Latinx, LGBTQ+, tenants, older adults, people with disabilities, those who have been pushed out from other neighborhoods or their homelands, formerly incarcerated, and unhoused community members.


We are proud of working as a Filipino community in solidarity with these other communities of interest, fighting against displacement and organizing for social and economic rights, equity in resources, affordable housing, neighborhood parks, schools, and services.

We respectfully ask the Task Force to not divide our community and recognize, protect, and reflect the Filipino Community of Interest in District 6 in the South of Market and Tenderloin neighborhoods in any map that is considered and ultimately adopted.

Sincerely,

Luisa Antonio, Executive Director - Bayanihan Equity Center
Theresa Imperial, Executive Director - Bill Sorro Housing Program
Oliver Saria, Managing Director - Bindlestiff Studio
Marily Mondejar, CEO - Filipina Women's Network
Gayle Romasanta, Executive Director - Filipino American Development Foundation/BCC
Chriselle Raguro, Executive Director - Filipino Community Development Corporation
Charm Consolacion, Site Supervisor - Galing Bata After School Program
Desi Danganan, Executive Director - Kultivate Labs
Caroline Cabading, Executive Director - Manilatown Heritage Foundation
Raquel Redondiez, Director - SOMA Pilipinas Filipino Cultural Heritage District
Angelica Cabande, Organizational Director - South of Market Community Action Network
Lorenzo Listana, Founder - Tenderloin Filipino-American Community Association
Carla Laurel, Executive Director - West Bay Pilipino Multi-Service Center
Rudy Corpuz, Executive Director - United Playaz

D6 Filipino Community of Interest Sites Map:



Thank you,
David

--

David Woo
CHHESS Land Use Analyst
SOMA Pilipinas Cultural Heritage District

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Friday, January 14, 2022 3:44 PM
To: David Woo; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc: Hernandez Gil, Chema (REG - Contractor); Luisa Antonio; Theresa Imperial; Oliver Saria; Marily Mondejar; gayle@bayanihanc.org; Chriselle Raguro; Charm Consolacion; desi@kultivatelabs.org; Caroline Cabading; Raquel R. Redondiez; acabande; Lorenz Listana; Carla Laurel; Rudy
Subject: RE: D6 Filipino Community of Interest Letter to Task Force

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: David Woo <david@somapilipinas.org>
Sent: Friday, January 14, 2022 12:55 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdt@sfgov.org>
Cc: Hernandez Gil, Chema (REG - Contractor) <cherna.hernandezgil@sfgov.org>; Luisa Antonio <luisa.antonio@sfbec.org>; Theresa Imperial <theresa@bishopsf.org>; Oliver Saria <oliver@bindlestiffstudio.org>; Marily Mondejar <marily@filipinawomensnetwork.org>; gayle@bayanihanc.org; Chriselle Raguro

<Chriselle.raguro@gmail.com>; Charm Consolacion <ccconsolacion3@gmail.com>; desi@kultivatelabs.org; Caroline Cabading <caroline.cabading@manilatown.org>; Raquel R. Redondiez <raquel@somapilipinas.org>; acabande <acabande@somcan.org>; Lorenz Listana <lorenzlistana@gmail.com>; Carla Laurel <carla@westbaycentersf.org>; Rudy <rudy@unitedplayaz.org>

Subject: D6 Filipino Community of Interest Letter to Task Force

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force Members,

Attached is a letter submitted from 14 Filipino organizations on the Filipino Community of Interest in District 6 in the South of Market and Tenderloin neighborhoods. Included in the letter, and separately attached here, is a map of Filipino Community of Interest sites in District 6 put together by these and other organizations and community members.

January 14th, 2022

Dear Redistricting Task Force Members,

Thank you for your service in this important role in the redistricting process.

We would like to respectfully submit to the task force the attached map that shows the Filipino Community of Interest in the South of Market (SOMA) and Tenderloin (TL) neighborhoods, crafted with the input of community leaders from the SOMA and TL.

As directed by the San Francisco City Charter, the Task Force's redrawing of district boundaries should reflect communities of interest, keep recognized neighborhoods intact, and prevent the division and dilution of minorities. We believe strongly in this charge, and hope to clearly show the Filipino community of interest spanning the two neighborhoods of the South of Market and Tenderloin.

Filipinos have been living in District 6 for over 120 years. SOMA and the TL have always been a gateway community for Filipino immigrants, especially in the early 1990's with the arrival of hundreds of Filipino WWII Veterans to San Francisco who resided in single-room occupancy (SRO) hotels in both SOMA and the TL. With the first and now second technology boom, Filipino residents and businesses in SOMA have been pushed out, priced out, evicted, displaced, and many residents have moved and are moving across Market Street to the Tenderloin. Service, arts, and other cultural organizations and small businesses serving the Filipino population are also concentrated in these two historic neighborhoods of District 6.

We know that there have been some draft maps the task force has received that sever the Tenderloin from SOMA and we strongly believe that this will divide our community and dilute and diminish our effective and fair representation. The TL and SOMA represent a historical core in District 6 with communities that have planted roots here for decades.

Within the SOMA and TL, there are also additional communities of interest that the Filipino community has worked with to fight for common economic interests and fair representation, including other low-income and working class, immigrant, and people of color communities that live in the SRO's, affordable housing buildings, and rent-controlled units, namely our neighbors who are African American, Arab, Asian, Latinx, LGBTQ+, tenants, older adults, people with disabilities, those who have been pushed out from other neighborhoods or their homelands, formerly incarcerated, and unhoused community members.


We are proud of working as a Filipino community in solidarity with these other communities of interest, fighting against displacement and organizing for social and economic rights, equity in resources, affordable housing, neighborhood parks, schools, and services.

We respectfully ask the Task Force to not divide our community and recognize, protect, and reflect the Filipino Community of Interest in District 6 in the South of Market and Tenderloin neighborhoods in any map that is considered and ultimately adopted.

Sincerely,

- Luisa Antonio, Executive Director - Bayanihan Equity Center
- Theresa Imperial, Executive Director - Bill Sorro Housing Program
- Oliver Saria, Managing Director - Bindlestiff Studio
- Marilyn Mondejar, CEO - Filipina Women's Network
- Gayle Romasanta, Executive Director - Filipino American Development Foundation/BCC
- Chriselle Raguro, Executive Director - Filipino Community Development Corporation
- Charm Consolacion, Site Supervisor - Galing Bata After School Program
- Desi Danganan, Executive Director - Kultivate Labs
- Caroline Cabading, Executive Director - Manilatown Heritage Foundation
- Raquel Redondiez, Director - SOMA Pilipinas Filipino Cultural Heritage District
- Angelica Cabande, Organizational Director - South of Market Community Action Network
- Lorenzo Listana, Founder - Tenderloin Filipino-American Community Association
- Carla Laurel, Executive Director - West Bay Pilipino Multi-Service Center
- Rudy Corpuz, Executive Director - United Playaz

D6 Filipino Community of Interest Sites Map:



Thank you,
David

--
David Woo
CHHESS Land Use Analyst
SOMA Pilipinas Cultural Heritage District

FW: Potrero Hill and Dogpatch

REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Wed 1/12/2022 10:38 AM

To: keithgg@me.com <keithgg@me.com>

Cc: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

 1 attachments (91 KB)

RDTF Community of Interest.docx;

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors


San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Keith Goldstein <keithgg@me.com>

Sent: Wednesday, January 12, 2022 9:55 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Potrero Hill and Dogpatch

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello RDTF

Attached please find a letter from the Potrero Dogpatch Merchants Association describing a Community of Interest in D10.

Thank you,

Keith Goldstein

Potrero Dogpatch Merchants Association (PDMA), President

info@potrerodogpatch.com

www.potreredogpatch.com

415 716 3567 (mobile)



January 12, 2022

To: Redistricting Task Force

I am writing to urge you to consider maintaining the historic bond between the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods by keeping them in their existing configuration as a part of District 10.

The Potrero Dogpatch Merchants Association represents over 100 small businesses in both neighborhoods. All of our activities, projects, meetings, and advocacy are geared to both Potrero Hill and Dogpatch. Residents of the two neighborhoods shop, dine, visit parks, attend schools, mingle and interact as if we all belong in one neighborhood.

Historically, the area now known as Dogpatch was a part of the broader Potrero District.

We have seen the difficulties with sections of Potrero Hill being represented by 3 different Police Stations. We do not wish to see Potrero Hill and Dogpatch compelled to make representations to 2 District Supervisors.

We do understand that D10 needs to reduce its population. But that reduction in population cannot come at the expense of splitting a community that has long been integrated and that will continue to share common challenges in the future.

We urge you to keep Potrero Hill and Dogpatch as one Community of Interest.

Sincerely,

Keith Goldstein
Potrero Dogpatch Merchants Association (PDMA), President

FW: Redistricting San Francisco

REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Wed 1/12/2022 10:36 AM

To: olhart120@gmail.com <olhart120@gmail.com>

Cc: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Owen Hart <olhart120@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, January 11, 2022 1:37 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; StefaniStaff, (BOS) <stefanistaff@sfgov.org>

Subject: Redistricting San Francisco

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Attached please find a letter from the Jordan Park Improvement Association expressing our objection to being redistricted from District 2 into District.

JORDAN PARK IMPROVEMENT ASSOCIATION

120 Jordan Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94118

January 11, 2022

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force:

It recently has been brought to our attention that some preliminary redistricting maps already submitted for your consideration show our neighborhood, Jordan Park Improvement Association ("JPIA"), being moved out of District 2 and into in District 1. The JPIA is strongly opposed to being moved from District 2 into District 1.

Over many years of effort and cooperation, we have developed meaningful relationships with many District 2 neighborhood associations that we can call upon to address issues impacting our district. We are well-recognized neighborhood associations. In addition, we have developed strong relationship with our elected and appointed officials, specifically Supervisor Stefani, who have been advocates for our neighborhood. Moreover, many of the most impactful current events facing JPIA (e.g., the development of the former CPMC site at 3800 California Street and the development of the former UCSF site at Euclid and Presidio Avenues) are far more relevant to other D2 neighborhoods than D1. As a result, we believe we have a community of interest with the existing neighborhood organizations in D2 which we do not, and would not, have with those in D1. Therefore, we believe it important that JPIA remain within District 2.

Regards,

Owen L. Hart
President, Jordan Park Improvement Association

FW: Valencia Merchant Public Comment for Redistricting

REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Wed 1/12/2022 10:35 AM

To: david@flourandwaterpizzeria.com <david@flourandwaterpizzeria.com>

Cc: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: David White <david@flourandwaterpizzeria.com>

Sent: Tuesday, January 11, 2022 11:57 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Valencia Merchant Public Comment for Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisorial District Commission,

I thank you for all of your hard work and deliberation in advance of the potential changes of boundaries for the Supervisorial Districts.

This letter is to ask that the Valencia Corridor not be split up between two Supervisorial Districts, one on each side of the street (as is presently the case), but to instead move the boundary East half a block, unifying the street and concentrating our efforts in a single place. Valencia Street is one commercial and one residential community yet it is split between two Supervisorial Districts - District 8 on the West Side and District 9 on the East Side. Very respectfully I will say that this has not served the Corridor well.

Being split between two districts has meant that the corridor does not fit neatly into either District's planning, attention, or constituent services leaving us in no man's land at times with dysfunctional communication and a general lack of cohesiveness.

The Valencia Corridor is the longest strip of independently owned small businesses on the West Coast and a pride and joy of the San Francisco small business community. As you might imagine, the past two years have been incredibly difficult. COVID hit us very hard due to a combination of disproportionately high rents relative to other corridors, a large percentage of businesses reliant on tourism which is effectively zero now, and the placement of Mission Police Station between 17-18th street, making it the epicenter of the protests in the Spring, Summer, and Fall. We've seen a high level of street level commercial vacancies and a steep drop in foot traffic even with the many creative efforts the VCMA has enacted to bring business back.

Similarly - even though our rents are high and with them expectations we deal with the same difficult street conditions as many other corridors with a high concentration of unhoused neighbors, people suffering from mental illness and substance abuse, and a general lack of clean and more importantly safe streets.

I firmly believe that we as a community would be better served if the boundary of the District were to be moved East half a block to traverse the Julian, Hoff, Lexington, and Bartlett alleyways from 14th to Cesar Chavez. This would unify the west and east sides of the street and place us within District 8. If we were fully part of District 8 we believe we'd be better positioned to improve the state of the corridor, have the full attention of that Supervisor and their District staff, and make it easier for our merchants to survive.

Thank you sincerely for your consideration.

[Redacted Signature]

David White

President & CEO

700 Valencia Street,

San Francisco, CA 94110

415.531.1658 mobile

david@flourandwaterpizzeria.com

www.flourandwaterpizzeria.com



Dear Supervisorial District Commission,

I thank you for all of your hard work and deliberation in advance of the potential changes of boundaries for the Supervisorial Districts.

This letter is to ask that the Valencia Corridor not be split up between two Supervisorial Districts, one on each side of the street (as is presently the case), but to instead move the boundary East half a block, unifying the street and concentrating our efforts in a single place. Valencia Street is one commercial and one residential community yet it is split between two Supervisorial Districts - District 8 on the West Side and District 9 on the East Side. Very respectfully I will say that this has not served the Corridor well.

Being split between two districts has meant that the corridor does not fit neatly into either District's planning, attention, or constituent services leaving us in no man's land at times with dysfunctional communication and a general lack of cohesiveness.

The Valencia Corridor is the longest strip of independently owned small businesses on the West Coast and a pride and joy of the San Francisco small business community. As you might imagine the past two years have been incredibly difficult. COVID hit us very hard due to a combination of disproportionately high rents relative to other corridors, a large percentage of businesses reliant on tourism which is effectively zero now, and the placement of Mission Police Station between 17-18th street, making it the epicenter of the protests in the Spring, Summer, and Fall. We've seen a high level of street level commercial vacancies and a steep drop in foot traffic even with the many creative efforts the VCMA has enacted to bring business back.

Similarly - even though our rents are high and with them expectations we deal with the same difficult street conditions as many other corridors with a high concentration of unhoused neighbors, people suffering from mental illness and substance abuse, and a general lack of clean and more importantly safe streets.

I firmly believe that we as a community would be better served if the boundary of the District were to be moved East half a block to traverse the Julian, Hoff, Lexington, and Bartlett alleyways from 14th to Cesar Chavez. This would unify the west and east sides of the street and place us within District 8. If we were fully part of District 8 we believe we'd be better positioned to improve the state of the corridor, have the full attention of that Supervisor and their District staff, and make it easier for our merchants to survive.

Thank you sincerely for your consideration, David White
(Owner - flour + water pizzeria)

RE: Another try at using the mapping tool Re: Difficult to use the mapping tool for redistricting

REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Fri 1/7/2022 1:26 PM

To: david hooper <vpulgas@yahoo.com>

Cc: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Thank you for your message and comments.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: david hooper <vpulgas@yahoo.com>

Sent: Friday, January 7, 2022 11:34 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Another try at using the mapping tool Re: Difficult to use the mapping tool for redistricting

Mr. Carroll,

The mapping tool is very frustrating to use and improvements would be appreciated.

What would be most useful would be a map for the entire city with the districts lines in place that would allow us to add area from one district from an adjacent district while providing the statistical changes for both districts with the additions and subtractions noted.

This seems like a basic tool for proportional representation.

Is this in the works?

I seem to recall that this particular tool was available ten years ago.

Looking forward to this afternoon's meeting,
Thank you,
David Hooper

On Saturday, January 1, 2022, 01:14:04 PM PST, REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org> wrote:

Thank you for the heads-up. I'll watch for your email and pass your comments along to the IT team and RTF members.

John Carroll

From: david hooper <vpulgas@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 30, 2021 11:09 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Difficult to use the mapping tool for redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Mr. Carroll,

I'm still struggling with the redistricting mapping tool.

i will keep trying to define exactly what the problem is and send you an email.

You can disregard my phone message of Thursday, Dec 30 at 11am.

Thank you,

David Hooper

RE: Moving my neighborhood out of District 6!

REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Mon 1/3/2022 3:35 PM

To: Marvis Phillips <marvisphillips@gmail.com>

Cc: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Marvis Phillips <marvisphillips@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, December 28, 2021 10:15 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Moving my neighborhood out of District 6!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Committee,

I find it hard to believe the only solution is to split SOMA from the Tenderloin both neighborhoods which some of the poorest people and have the largest number of SRO Residential Hotels, and the majority of the Homeless! While you could find options:

Like: Moving the people on Treasure Island into District 3:

Like Moving those in the West side Van Ness Corridor west into District 5

Like Moving those in the Northern end of Mission Bay into District 10

Like Moving the wealthy in the Rincon Hill Area into District 3

And leaving the 22000 lower income people of the Tenderloin, not to mention our current Supervisor in District 6!

As of this time I may not be able to watch the hearing on Monday at 1730 hrs. Due having a housekeeper during that period of time!

Thank you!

Marvis J. Phillips

Board Chair

District 6 Community Planners

--

Marvis J. Phillips

Board Chair

District 6 Community Planners

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Steven C](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Concerning Conduct - Harvey Milk Democratic Club
Date: Monday, December 06, 2021 4:44:36 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Steven C <dancepartner1990@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, December 6, 2021 3:02 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Concerning Conduct - Harvey Milk Democratic Club

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Redistricting Committee,

It has come to my attention that Task Force Member Jeremy Lee recently spoke in front of the Harvey Milk Club during their endorsement meeting. Public outreach is a very important part of your job, and I expect/hope more will be done in the coming months. However, during this engagement he can be heard saying "I promise I'll keep you in your district Supervisor." Supervisor Mandelman was in attendance that evening and later presented on another matter.

I find this language very concerning as it was followed by the statement "Folks above my pay grade are making maps." He then went on to disparage other members of the task force for not being "progressive". This conduct and use of language appears to be inconsistent with the expectations of the task force.

I hope in the coming weeks and months we can expect all members to act with respect and integrity towards this process. I would also encourage Member Jeremy Lee to reflect upon his behavior.

Warm Regards,

S.C.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Lauren Girardin](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); support@publicredistricting.com
Subject: RE: Feedback and recommended changes to online district mapping tool
Date: Monday, December 06, 2021 4:44:10 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Lauren Girardin <lg@laurengirardin.com>
Sent: Monday, December 6, 2021 1:39 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdf@sfgov.org>; support@publicredistricting.com
Subject: Feedback and recommended changes to online district mapping tool

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear members of the Redistricting Task Force,

Thank you for getting the online district mapping tool available for the public to use.

I have used the online district mapping tool and have some initial feedback on ways to improve the user experience with the tool.

When using the drop down to create a new district, the fill color of that new district often is identical or nearly identical to a color already used on the active map. Having identical or nearly identical colors in the different districts in a map means that it is difficult or impossible to tell where one district begins and another ends. **I suggest you update the redistricting mapping tool so that only unique, distinct, and unused colors can be used to create new districts** (alternately you could let users choose and change the color for each district themselves).

Additionally, **I suggest you give people the option to toggle the lines for the Census tract layer off and on as they prefer.** The color and thickness of those lines make them dominant and distracting, and as such make it difficult to discern the district layers and boundaries drawn with the tool.

Finally, because it is a mailto link, the "contact support" button does not work for all users. For example, when I click "contact support" on my laptop computer, because I do not use an installed email program that can be triggered by the mailto link, nothing happens and I am not given any way to contact support. **I suggest you link to a separate webpage that offers people multiple options for contacting support**, including sending an email, mailing a letter, and calling the phone number for the Redistricting Task Force. Offering a range of ways to contact someone for support is more equitable, and will allow people who don't have an email address to get the support they need.

Thanks,
Lauren

Lauren Girardin Consulting

marketing and communications strategist, storyteller, and writer

lg@laurengirardin.com | [@girardin!](https://www.instagram.com/girardin/)

pronouns: she/her

From: [Seth Neill Q2](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [Cooper, Raynell \(REG\)](#)
Cc: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); karinmacdonald.q2@gmail.com; [Jane Hood, Q2](#); [jaime.clark](#)
Subject: Re: FW: Mapping tool - Communication from Member Cooper
Date: Monday, December 06, 2021 5:13:46 PM
Attachments: [image.png](#)
[image.png](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello RTF Member Cooper,

We're glad to hear you've been enjoying using the tool! Thank you so much for your message, we're working to make the tool as user-friendly as possible and appreciate the feedback!

- I don't remember where exactly during the account creation process this happened (I think after attempting to retrieve a password?) but I received the below pop-up that seemed to be missing a title and a description:



Thank you for noting this. We are looking into it and may reach out to you for more information.

- Would it be at all possible to make it so the block and tract lines could be toggled on and off? They're helpful obviously during the district creation process but I would like to be able to see what a draft map would look like much more clearly with just the proposed boundaries and the basemap. It looks like once a map is submitted the lines are gone, which is good.

The tool doesn't have options to turn drawing layers on and off to try to make it user-friendly for people who are less computer savvy; in beta testing we found that providing this option was confusing for some users. Thank you for this feedback!

- It seems to be that when using the "Add Freehand" tool there's an issue where if you draw certain shapes with it it just creates an error and doesn't make the selection. The

problem may be with shapes that double back on itself too much. I'm just curious what causes that error. It may be something worth adding to the user guide.

Thanks for noting that. You're correct, creating a shape with the freehand tool that makes a figure 8, for example, will result in an error. Adding a note about this to the user guide is a good idea - thank you.

Best,
Seth Neill

On Mon, Dec 6, 2021 at 1:45 PM Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org> wrote:

Forwarding FYI

From: Cooper, Raynell (REG) <raynell.cooper.reg@sfgov.org>
Sent: Sunday, December 5, 2021 8:30 PM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Mapping tool

Hello Mr. Carroll,

Can you pass this along to Karin Mac Donald and send as a communication to everyone:

Thanks so much for setting up the mapping tool. I've had a great time using it so far. There are just a few things that have come up that I was hoping could be addressed.

- I don't remember where exactly during the account creation process this happened (I think after attempting to retrieve a password?) but I received the below pop-up that seemed to be missing a title and a description:

002011_Message_Title

002011_Message_Desc

Close

- Would it be at all possible to make it so the block and tract lines could be toggled on and off? They're helpful obviously during the district creation process but I would like to be able to see what a draft map would look like much more clearly with just the proposed boundaries and the basemap. It looks like once a map is submitted the lines are gone, which is good.
- It seems to be that when using the "Add Freehand" tool there's an issue where if you draw certain shapes with it it just creates an error and doesn't make the selection. The problem may be with shapes that double back on itself too much. I'm just curious what causes that error. It may be something worth adding to the user guide.

Thanks so much and I look forward to hearing from you. I'll let you know if I encounter anything else.

Best,

Raynell Cooper

RTF Member

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Patrick Carroll](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Outreach in Diamond Heights
Date: Wednesday, December 08, 2021 1:58:37 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Patrick Carroll <pchas@comcast.net>
Sent: Monday, December 6, 2021 6:56 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Outreach in Diamond Heights

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force Members,

My name is Patrick Carroll. I am a board member with the Diamond Heights Community Association (DHCA) <https://dhcasf.org/>.

The DHCA would be interested in partnering with the Redistricting Task Force to getting the word out. We can broadcast messages to our email list and can facilitate setting up in-person meetings at venues in the Diamond Heights, putting up posters, circulating flyers, etc.

Please let me know how we can be of assistance. I can be reached at (415) 385-0887.

Thank you,

Patrick Carroll

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Sana Sethi](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting mapping tool: Census tract layer and user guides in other languages
Date: Monday, December 06, 2021 4:43:58 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Sana Sethi <sana.sethi@sanfranciscorising.org>
Sent: Friday, December 3, 2021 4:22 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting mapping tool: Census tract layer and user guides in other languages

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear members of the Redistricting Task Force,

Thank you for your work so far and your support in getting a mapping tool up for community members to use.

I'm writing to request that you please allow for people using the mapping tool to remove the Census tract layer. As it is now, the Census tract layer shows up in thick, bold, reddish-brown lines that makes it distracting and difficult to focus on the Supervisorial district boundaries or even other parts of the map like streets which people need to look at in order to identify neighborhoods. It would help greatly if users could choose whether or not to have the Census tract layer on the map when drafting maps.

Secondly, [on the redistricting task force website](#), only the English and Chinese user guides show up even though there is a user guide Spanish available on the mapping tool itself. **We request that you please update the task force website to include the guides in all the languages available.**

Thank you so much!

Best wishes,

Sana Sethi

she/her

Communications and Civic Engagement Organizer
San Francisco Rising & San Francisco Rising Action Fund

<https://www.sfrising.org>

<https://www.sfrisingaction.org>

RE: District 8 Northern/Eastern boundary

REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Tue 11/16/2021 4:50 PM

To: David Troup <david@troup.net>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Shilov, Valeri (REG) <valeri.shilov@sfgov.org>
Cc: Lee, Jeremy (REG - Contractor) <jeremy.lee1@sfgov.org>; Hernandez Gil, Chema (REG - Contractor) <chema.hernandezgil@sfgov.org>; J.Michelle Pierce1 <j.michelle.pierce1@sfgov.org>; Castillon, Matthew (REG - Contractor) <matthew.castillon@sfgov.org>; Townsend, Arnold (REG) <arnold.townsend@sfgov.org>; Ho, Lily (REG - Contractor) <lily.ho@sfgov.org>; Cooper, Raynell (REG) <raynell.cooper.reg@sfgov.org>; Lee, Chasel (REG - Contractor) <chasel.lee@sfgov.org>; Reiner, Ditka (REG - Contractor) <ditka.reiner@sfgov.org>; karinmacdonald.q2@gmail.com <karinmacdonald.q2@gmail.com>; SHEN, ANDREW (CAT) <Andrew.Shen@sfcityatty.org>; GUIBERT, GUS (CAT) <Gus.Guibert@sfcityatty.org>; FLORES, ANA (CAT) <Ana.Flores@sfcityatty.org>; Arntz, John (REG) <john.arntz@sfgov.org>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>; Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors


San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: David Troup <david@troup.net>

Sent: Tuesday, November 9, 2021 4:34 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: District 8 Northern/Eastern boundary

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Redistricting Task Force members:

I am writing about District 8, and specifically its northeastern corner. A decade ago, my neighborhood of Duboce Triangle worked very hard, during the redistricting process, to achieve a border which kept our entire neighborhood in a single district, District 8. A previous redistricting had split our neighborhood between districts 8 and 5, which had enormous negative consequences for our neighborhood's representation.

Among other things, Duboce Triangle is part of what I call the "greater Castro", and it has a high percentage of GLBTQ residents. There's a strong desire to include all of the "greater Castro" in the same district so that GLBTQ residents can work together to be represented by someone who understands our issues.

Splitting Duboce Triangle between two districts would once again disenfranchise residents on both sides of the district border.

Whatever you need to do — and I understand that changing population does require changes to district boundaries — please don't change the northern boundary along Waller St, which is the northern edge of the Duboce Triangle neighborhood, and be aware that the small extension north to Haight at the NE corner of the district was made in order to include the large 55 Laguna project in D8. This site includes GLBTQ senior housing, with residents who want and deserve to be kept in D8.

Thank you for considering my views. Please feel free to contact me should you require clarification.

Sincerely,

David Troup
2224 15th Street
415-861-0920

RE: Future agenda item recommendation for 12/8: discussion on mapping tool

REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>

Thu 12/2/2021 4:18 PM

To: LWVSF Advocacy <advocacy@lwvsf.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>

Cc: LWVSF Redistricting <redistricting@lwvsf.org>

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: LWVSF Advocacy <advocacy@lwvsf.org>

Sent: Thursday, December 2, 2021 4:00 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>

Cc: LWVSF Redistricting <redistricting@lwvsf.org>

Subject: Future agenda item recommendation for 12/8: discussion on mapping tool

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi Redistricting Task Force,

According to Brown Act requirements (Section 54954.2 of the Government Code), regular meetings must be noticed through the posting of an agenda "containing a brief general description of each item of business to be transacted or discussed at the meeting" at least 72 hours before the meeting. That would mean that the Task Force will need to post the 12/8 agenda right before the 12/6 meeting starts, which would make it difficult for the public to give feedback about future agenda items.

We would like to recommend having "discussion on mapping tool and COI tool" as an agenda item for the 12/8 meeting, or if the 12/8 agenda has been finalized already, for the 12/10 meeting to ensure that the Task Force will have robust discussions on the tools alone and allow to public to give public comment and feedback on the user experience of the mapping tool and COI tool.

For the Dec 6th meeting there is a Mapping Update agenda item, but it's rather vague as to whether this would address the mapping tool and the COI tool.

We appreciate the efforts of releasing the mapping tool. We also see that there are still some bugs to work out. We want there to be an agenda item that explicitly addresses the mapping tool, so the public can give feedback to improve the tool as soon as possible.

Sincerely,
Jenny Tse

--

Jenny Tse and Nalena Santiago
Advocacy Committee Co-Chairs
advocacy@lwvsf.org

pronouns: she/hers, she/hers

League of Women Voters of San Francisco

582 Market Street, Suite 615, San Francisco, CA 94104

415-989-8683 ▪ [Facebook](#) ▪ [Twitter](#)

Empowering voters. Defending democracy. Learn more at lwvsf.org.

RE: Redistricting D10

REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Tue 11/23/2021 1:50 PM

To: Roisin Isner <roisinisner@gmail.com>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; SFVote, (REG) <sfvote@sfgov.org>; Waltonstaff (BOS) <waltonstaff@sfgov.org>; Shilov, Valeri (REG) <valeri.shilov@sfgov.org>

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Roisin Isner <roisinisner@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, November 17, 2021 1:40 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; SFVote, (REG) <sfvote@sfgov.org>; Waltonstaff (BOS) <waltonstaff@sfgov.org>

Subject: Redistricting D10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force,

I'm a resident of District 10 and carry out significant community organizing and outreach in the District.

It is crucial that precincts 7036, 7037, 7038, 7048 remain in the same district as precincts 7017, 7022, 7023, 7024, 7025, 7029, 7034.

These Visitation Valley precincts (36, 37, 38, and 48) contain the Sunnydale/Brick Homes/Towerside Communities, which rely on the same resources as the Hunters Point precincts. Indeed, these Vis Valley precincts primarily get resources *because* we piggy-back on what is being provided to Hunters Point.

These Vis Valley precincts are demographically different from other parts of Visitation Valley, and very different from D11, but identical to Hunters Point.

Unfortunately, our sizable Black and Pacific Islander population is under-counted. Pacific Islanders are variously basketed among other demographics including Asian American or Indigenous/Native American. Black residents are under-counted because many have mixed ancestry, such as Native American, placing them in the sizable "two or more races" basket (but not detracting from Black sociological identification).

Additionally, the Sunnydale/Towerside/Brick Homes area is cut along strange precinct lines, dividing and diluting clear data about the community.

Ultimately, if any part of Sunnydale/Towerside/Brick Homes (which are contained in 36, 37, 38, 48) were to be taken out of District 10 or otherwise separated from Hunters Point, we would become more under-resourced, marginalized, and neglected than we already are. This is also true geographically, as we are physically isolated from D11 by McLaren Park.

We require the same representation as Hunters Point, and we would lose representation if we were to be separated. It is crucial we remain in the same district.

Best regards,
Roisin Isner

RE: San Francisco Redistricting Tool is Now Available

REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Thu 12/2/2021 4:18 PM

To: Anza Resident <sfanza-eds@yahoo.com>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors


San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Anza Resident <sfanza-eds@yahoo.com>

Sent: Thursday, November 25, 2021 5:50 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Re: San Francisco Redistricting Tool is Now Available

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The tool need to have a default map with the existing district boundaries. After all, redistricting isn't starting with a blank slate. Existing district boundaries are a very significant and relevant. As it is, this "tool" is just about useless for anyone not on the "inside" of the redistricting process. I am positive the official map creators are starting with the existing soundalikes. The general public should have the same opportunity.

On Tuesday, November 23, 2021, 10:36:29 PM PST, San Francisco Redistricting Task Force <rdtf@sfgov.org> wrote:

The Redistricting Task Force is happy to announce that the San Francisco Redistricting Tool is now available online.

<https://redrawmysf.publicredistricting.com>

We invite you to investigate the redistricting tool and use it to create your own redistricting plans, while referencing the population data associated with each district in your plan.

Here is a link to the User Guide for the Redistricting Tool:

https://www.publicredistricting.com/sf/sanfrancisco_guide.pdf

As always, we invite you to share communications with the Redistricting Task Force by emailing the rdtf@sfgov.org email address.

Thank you for your interest in the Task Force.

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

[Manage](#) your preferences | [Opt out](#) using TrueRemove®
Got this as a forward? [Sign up](#) to receive our future emails.
View this email [online](#).

City Hall, 1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett Pl. Rm 48
San Francisco, CA | 94102 US

This email was sent to sfanza-eds@yahoo.com.
To continue receiving our emails, add us to your address book.

▫

RE: Suggestion for improving San Francisco Redistricting Tool

REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Thu 12/2/2021 4:18 PM

To: david hooper <vpulgas@yahoo.com>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: david hooper <vpulgas@yahoo.com>

Sent: Thursday, December 2, 2021 3:32 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Suggestion for improving San Francisco Redistricting Tool

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Mr. Carroll,

Please allow me to suggest an improvement to the redistricting tool.

The map of San Francisco with all of the census info should include all of the present district boundaries. When using the tool, any change to a district boundary would show the population changes to all of the districts affected and would be very useful

I believe this feature was included in the 2011 redistricting and recommend it highly.

Also, at present, the "save" feature for redrawing districts doesn't appear to be functioning. This may be my unfamiliarity with the tool or it might be the program, itself. I have tried several times to save my redrawn district but have been unsuccessful.

Thank you,
David Hooper

On Tuesday, November 23, 2021, 10:36:29 PM PST, San Francisco Redistricting Task Force <rdtf@sfgov.org> wrote:

The Redistricting Task Force is happy to announce that the San Francisco Redistricting Tool is now available online.

<https://redrawmysf.publicredistricting.com>

We invite you to investigate the redistricting tool and use it to create your own redistricting plans, while referencing the population data associated with each district in your plan.

Here is a link to the User Guide for the Redistricting Tool:

https://www.publicredistricting.com/sf/sanfrancisco_guide.pdf

As always, we invite you to share communications with the Redistricting Task Force by emailing the rdtf@sfgov.org email address.

Thank you for your interest in the Task Force.

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

[Manage](#) your preferences | [Opt out](#) using TrueRemove®

Got this as a forward? [Sign up](#) to receive our future emails.

View this email [online](#).

City Hall, 1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett Pl. Rm 48
San Francisco, CA | 94102 US

This email was sent to vpulgas@yahoo.com.

To continue receiving our emails, add us to your address book.

▫