

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Lily Wong](#)
Cc: [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Lovett, Li \(BOS\)](#); [Low, Jen \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Wah Mei School Redistricting Comment Letter
Date: Friday, February 25, 2022 4:21:26 PM
Attachments: [Redistricting Letter.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Lily Wong <lwyong@wahmei.org>

Sent: Friday, February 25, 2022 4:13 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Cc: Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; Marstaff (BOS) <marstaff@sfgov.org>; Lovett, Li (BOS) <li.lovett@sfgov.org>; Low, Jen (BOS) <jen.low@sfgov.org>

Subject: Wah Mei School Redistricting Comment Letter

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

PDF letter attached. Content pasted below. Sent on behalf of Ben Wong, Executive Director, Wah Mei School.

February 25, 2022

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

We are writing to ensure the cultural identity of the current District 4 neighborhood boundaries remain contiguous. We are thrilled at this district's growth, and understand the district's current boundaries will expand to accommodate this growth.

The Wah Mei School, which achieved legacy business status, is proud to be an integral part of the cultural fabric that makes up San Francisco's Sunset District. For 45+ years, we've provided Chinese-English bilingual education and early care programs to over 500 children and youth annually. Since its inception, we've proudly served some 8,500 low and moderate-income families, many with multiple generations of Wah Mei School alumni. We offer services in three locations in the Sunset community: at 1) our primary office at 1400 Judah, 2) Alice Fong Yu elementary school, and 3) Jefferson Elementary. While we are known for our quality early care and education and extended learning programs for youth, we are also known for our efforts to address the larger needs of the community we serve. Through our community engagement efforts we partner closely with businesses, educational institutions, policy makers, and neighborhood service organizations to develop community-based solutions that meet the needs of our most vulnerable community members.

We are proud to have worked closely with community organizations and leaders on the development of the Sunset Chinese Cultural District, which recognizes the unique history and culture of this community. This district, half of whose residents identify as Chinese or Asian American, has been home to a significant number of working families with multigenerational households for over 50 years. While the district has for decades attracted newer immigrant residents and small businesses, skyrocketing real estate prices and a rise in xenophobic acts against Chinese residents, threaten to severely alter the demographics which represent the culture and identity of this diverse neighborhood.

Wah Mei School hopes forthcoming redistricting proposals will keep the Sunset Chinese Cultural District intact, strengthen the district's standing, and maintain the

characteristics that contribute to this neighborhood's vibrancy. We would be amenable to extending the district toward the Inner Sunset to allow our three Sunset sites to be in one supervisorial district. We trust that future proposals will be developed with input from community members, businesses, and organizations that are invested in this process.

As you continue your charge and proceed in the redistricting process, we ask that you maintain the rich history and culture of the Sunset District as it is integral to the district's identity and that of the businesses and residents that call this neighborhood home.

Sincerely,

Ben Wong

Lily Wong 黃麗瑜

Director of Community Engagement 社區聯繫總監

Wah Mei School 華美學校 | wahmei.org

1400 Judah Street. San Francisco, CA 94122

(415) 665-4212

Pronouns: She/Her

Connect With Us! [Facebook](#) | [Instagram](#)

If there is no struggle, there is no progress...Power concedes nothing without a demand. It never did and it never will.

-Fredrick Douglass



Wah Mei School

Bilingual Education Since 1974

1400 Judah Street, San Francisco, CA 94122

Tel: 415-665-4212

Fax: 415-665-4116

www.wahmei.org

e-mail: info@wahmei.org

February 25, 2022

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

We are writing to ensure the cultural identity of the current District 4 neighborhood boundaries remain contiguous. We are thrilled at this district's growth, and understand the district's current boundaries will expand to accommodate this growth.

The Wah Mei School, which achieved legacy business status, is proud to be an integral part of the cultural fabric that makes up San Francisco's Sunset District. For 45+ years, we've provided Chinese-English bilingual education and early care programs to over 500 children and youth annually. Since its inception, we've proudly served some 8,500 low and moderate-income families, many with multiple generations of Wah Mei School alumni. We offer services in three locations in the Sunset community: at 1) our primary office at 1400 Judah, 2) Alice Fong Yu elementary school, and 3) Jefferson Elementary. While we are known for our quality early care and education and extended learning programs for youth, we are also known for our efforts to address the larger needs of the community we serve. Through our community engagement efforts we partner closely with businesses, educational institutions, policy makers, and neighborhood service organizations to develop community-based solutions that meet the needs of our most vulnerable community members.

We are proud to have worked closely with community organizations and leaders on the development of the Sunset Chinese Cultural District, which recognizes the unique history and culture of this community. This district, half of whose residents identify as Chinese or Asian American, has been home to a significant number of working families with multigenerational households for over 50 years. While the district has for decades attracted newer immigrant residents and small businesses, skyrocketing real estate prices and a rise in xenophobic acts against Chinese residents, threaten to severely alter the demographics which represent the culture and identity of this diverse neighborhood.

Wah Mei School hopes forthcoming redistricting proposals will keep the Sunset Chinese Cultural District intact, strengthen the district's standing, and maintain the characteristics that contribute to this neighborhood's vibrancy. We would be amenable to extending the district toward the Inner Sunset to allow our three Sunset sites to be in one supervisorial district. We trust that future proposals will be developed with input from community members, businesses, and organizations that are invested in this process.



Wah Mei School

Bilingual Education Since 1974

1400 Judah Street, San Francisco, CA 94122

Tel: 415-665-4212

Fax: 415-665-4116

www.wahmei.org

e-mail: info@wahmei.org

As you continue your charge and proceed in the redistricting process, we ask that you maintain the rich history and culture of the Sunset District as it is integral to the district's identity and that of the businesses and residents that call this neighborhood home.

Sincerely,

Ben Wong,
Executive Director

CC:

Supervisor Gordon Mar (District 4)

Supervisor Myrna Melgar (District 7)

District 4 Youth and Families Network

Sunset Chinese Cultural District Working Group

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Richard Frisbie](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: District 2 Hearing on Saturday
Date: Friday, February 25, 2022 4:19:33 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Richard Frisbie <frfbeagle@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, February 25, 2022 3:43 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: District 2 Hearing on Saturday

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I, like everyone else in Laurel Heights wish to remain in D2.

We have a Community of interest-socially, culturally, economically-across a broad spectrum of issues with other neighborhoods, neighborhood associations, cultural groups etc. in The Marina, Cow Hollow, Presidio Heights and do not wish to see these severed.

Also, I have received many calls from both Laurel Heights and Jordan Park residents expressing their dismay about this important hearing being scheduled on a Saturday. Does the RDTF not appreciate that some of us attend synagogue on Saturday; others have children active in soccer, baseball, second language classes....which mean our family responsibilities don't allow for a 10am hearing? It is very unfortunate that you will only hear from a part of the neighborhood. Something as important as Redistricting deserves better.

So, I will try and speak for my absent neighbors tomorrow.

Thank you,

Richard Frisbie

Sent from my iPhone

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: Regina Islas; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: NO expansion of SEA CLIFF into D1! NO
Date: Friday, February 25, 2022 4:18:56 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Regina Islas <regina.islas@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, February 25, 2022 3:09 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: ChanStaff (BOS) <chanstaff@sfgov.org>
Subject: NO expansion of SEA CLIFF into D1! NO

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force Members,

Thank you for your work in this critical endeavor. In accordance with your legislated purpose, you will ultimately, create a map that will empower the voters of San Francisco. I believe unequivocally that any northward expansion of D1 into the Sea Cliff neighborhood will harm and disempower many existing communities of interest in D1 resulting in a map that would be contrary to the result the RTF is committed to achieving. These are what I think are the two most important criteria are for the RTF to consider:

- Criteria #1 – The Federal Voting Rights Act, empowering voters: The Asian and Asian American minority in D1 represents 35-40% of the population of D1 and is considered a “protected class” under the Voting Rights Act. As such, the RTF must make a concerted effort to preserve the empowerment of this community and per the City Attorney “prevent minority vote dilution”.
- Criteria #2 – Communities of Interest. There are two other Communities of Interest in D1 that should be protected and empowered:
 - Renters – Historically 60 – 65% of D1 residents are renters – renters generally have significantly different economic interests than homeowners and landlords. As D1 has traditionally been a district that is majority renter, and given the rental and affordable housing crisis in SF, this is a Community of Interest that should be preserved.
 - Low and middle income earners – Many of the residents in D1 are also low and middle income earners, who as a Community of Interest, have economic interests that are markedly different from upper middle and upper income voters.

Each of these Communities of Interest have been a significant and deeply intrinsic part of the economic, cultural, and class fabric of D1 for many decades.

By comparison Communities of Interest in the Sea Cliff neighborhood are starkly different:

- The population of Sea Cliff is 72% white.
- Sea Cliff is 70-75% owner occupied, D1 is 60-65% renters.

- Sea Cliff income is significantly higher averaging >100K.

Based on these indicators, including the Sea Cliff neighborhood into D1 would in fact create the very “minority vote dilution” that the RTF is intended to prevent.

Finally, please consider Expanding D1 eastward into D5, where the existing economic and ethnic neighborhoods are a better fit. We want to protect the various Communities of Interest here in D1 – Sea Cliff does not align with this purpose.

Again, thank you for the critical work you are committed to for the benefit of all SF voters. If you have any questions, please don’t hesitate to reach out as given below.

Onward together,

Regina S Islas

[she/her]

regina.islas@gmail.com

650.484.7706

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Michael Chen](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: District 2 public comment
Date: Friday, February 25, 2022 4:18:44 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Michael Chen <cheninator@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, February 25, 2022 10:39 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: District 2 public comment

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Redistricting Task Force:

My name is Mike Chen and I live at the corner of Franklin Street and Pine Street. I have Korean heritage and I appreciate walking to the Japantown area, where there is a concentration of Korean restaurants near Buchanan & Post; Woori Market is one of the few Korean grocery stores in San Francisco, next to a Korean pool hall on Fillmore between O'Farrell and Geary. The [San Francisco Full Gospel](#) church at 1480 Ellis Street (and Webster) offers services in Korean and English. There is also the Korean Center at Gough and Post, which teaches Korean language and creates cultural programs for all ages.

Thank you for your time,
Mike Chen

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!
Date: Friday, February 25, 2022 10:06:56 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Taylor Nagle <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, February 25, 2022 8:51 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Taylor Nagle

Email tnagle@vallejoinvestments.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in Jordan Park and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines, recreates and worships in the Richmond. I know the merchants in Laurel Village, not Chestnut Street.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together and makes the most sense, as this is where we spend 90+% of our time.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Jean Gengler](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Richard Naidus](#)
Subject: RE: Keeping our neighborhood in District 2
Date: Friday, February 25, 2022 10:06:38 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Jean Gengler <gina46@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, February 25, 2022 7:41 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Richard Naidus <rmnaidus@gmail.com>
Subject: Keeping our neighborhood in District 2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ever since moving to the Anza Vista district in 1986, we've been supportive of the Supervisor for District 2, but never more than when Catherine Stefani became our representative. Before that appointment, we knew her through her support of gun "sense"/safety. Once Ms Stefani became the Supervisor of District 2, we have followed her legislation even more closely, and feel that she speaks for us and the Anza Vista district. We VERY much wish to stay in Supervisor Stefani's district!

N. Jean Gengler
Richard Naidus
27 Encanto Ave.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Date: Friday, February 25, 2022 10:06:18 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Charlton yu <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 24, 2022 10:08 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Charlton yu

Email charlton_yu@yahoo.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

Dear Redistricting Taskforce,

Please put Presidio Heights into the Richmond District in District 1.

I live in Presidio Heights (D2) and my family spends far more time on Geary and Clement Streets (D1) shopping and dining than any of the D2 commercial corridors on Fillmore or Union Streets.

Specific examples of why we consider ourselves part of the Richmond neighborhood include: (1) we walk to the Clement Street farmers market each weekend; (2) We are weekly patrons of Arsicault Bakery at the intersection of Arguello & Clement; and, (3) my sons and I routinely get our hair cuts at a barber on the corner of Geary and Cook streets.

It makes no sense that Presidio Heights, Laurel Heights, Jordan Park, Lake Street and Sea Cliff are currently part of D2. Our neighborhoods are geographically and commercially separated from

Cow Hollow and the Marina by a highway and a steep hill.

The official redistricting map should put Presidio Heights in D1, with the Richmond — this makes the most sense for our community.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Charlton Yu

Address:

3858 Jackson Street

San Francisco 94118

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Gary Pegueros](#)
Subject: FW: Redistricting / District 6 Request
Date: Friday, February 25, 2022 10:06:14 AM
Attachments: [D6 Redistricting Letter sbrmbna.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Gary Pegueros <garypegueros@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Thursday, February 24, 2022 7:54 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting / District 6 Request

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

Dear Redistricting Task Force members,

As a resident of South Beach since 2004, I have been, for the majority of these 18 years, a volunteer for the SFFD Neighborhood Emergency Response Team, focusing on building community and responding during a disaster.

I have also served on the Board of the Neighborhood Association for South Beach, Rincon, and Mission Bay since it began in 2008. We've made it a priority to grow, develop, and plan our diverse neighborhoods into a stronger, more cohesive unit by working together.

Along with our surrounding neighborhoods, as outlined in the attached letter, we ask that we be kept together as a Community of Interest in order to continue the work of community building that began so many years ago.

Thank you for your consideration.

Best regards

Gary Pegueros

1 Federal Street, unit 21

San Francisco CA 94107

GaryPegueros@sbcglobal.net

17 February 2022

SF Department of Elections via email: rdtf@sfgov.org; john.carroll@sfgov.org
2020 Census Redistricting Task Force

Dear Redistricting Task Force members,

The South of Market neighborhoods including The East Cut (Rincon, TransBay), South Beach, Yerba Buena, Eastern and Western SoMa, along with Mission Bay and Treasure Island (and Mission Rock and Central SoMa as they are developed) all share common and essential needs and should be viewed together as a Community of Interest unit. Born of light industrial uses, freeway networks, and brown fields, these neighborhoods—our home--are the result of decades of planning. But there is more work to be done for our neighborhoods to thrive – work that is easier to accomplish together than apart.

This exponential growth from Treasure Island to the Embarcadero to Mission Bay to Western SoMa is the desired result of a cluster of redevelopment projects that are now emerging as densely populated, diverse communities working to establish ourselves as fully realized neighborhoods, integrated into the city fabric.

We are keenly aware that District 6 grew more rapidly over the last decade than any other district--adding the most housing, and most **affordable** housing (30%+in some areas) to the city--and as a result the district must now realign its borders to cede some population to other districts to meet the Redistricting formulas. **What we ask is that our neighborhoods—those cited in our opening sentence--be kept together.** We all share a common urban form and common challenges of not-yet-established San Francisco neighborhoods. Together with the just-emerging City and Port development areas adjacent--Mission Rock and the Central SoMa Plan Area--our core needs are shaped by common influences.

As the South Beach | Rincon | Mission Bay Neighborhood Association (founded in 2008), we recognized the need early on for a cohesive voice to represent these fledgling redevelopment areas at City Hall, and the equally pressing need to build a sense of community and pride of place as new neighbors moved into the area.

Our neighborhoods were built from the ground up (or massively renovated) and require unified attention. All the good planning that went into creating these new neighborhoods did not account for some basic and essential needs. We have no local school options in communities where we are building thousands of family housing units. We have limited affordable, neighborhood-serving retail where people across our diverse economic spectrum can shop for groceries, hardware, and other needs. We need to transform streets that were built to give fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, pedestrian-friendly neighborhood

streets. We share common health and safety risks being situated adjacent to freeways, the Bay Bridge, and the Port. We lack an adequate network of parks, recreation and open space. And looking ahead, we share challenges related to sea level rise.

Together, we are building socially, culturally and economically diverse neighborhoods. We CAN solve for the common challenges we face, but doing so requires a systemic and cohesive response. The synergies between our emerging neighborhoods are clear and we need to move forward together as a recognized community of interest.

For the many reasons above, we see Market Street as a natural separation or boundary. The street grid and mix of uses changes markedly between north and south of Market Street. The neighborhoods North of Market are established. Although the issues there are acute and variable depending on specific blocks, there are many similarities in these established neighborhoods. The neighborhoods South of Market, by contrast, are either mostly industrial PDR areas transitioning to denser growth with a more diverse mix of uses, or--where the bulk of the growth has happened--are the former/current redevelopment areas and planning department areas cited above.

Within this context, **we hope you see the clear synergies and needs for the joint advocacy that we have pursued for more than a decade ... and need to continue going forward as a clearly defined community of interest.** Working together, we hope to bloom into a network of established neighborhoods firmly rooted in our shared history, but it will take another decade or more to make this happen. **Please keep the District 6 area south of Market and including Mission Bay and Treasure Island intact.**

Respectfully,

The South Beach | Rincon | Mission Bay Neighborhood Association Membership and Board of Directors

Alice Rogers, President
Katy Liddell, Vice President
Gary Pegueros, Secretary
Peggy Fahnestock, Treasurer
Bruce Agid, Director
Mike Anthony, Director

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Date: Friday, February 25, 2022 10:06:04 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Ally Gwozdz <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 24, 2022 3:48 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Ally Gwozdz
Email allygwozdz@mac.com
I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in D2 and it makes no sense that these neighborhoods are connected to the Marina, Cow Hollow and Pacific Heights. Clearly, residents who live in those neighborhoods shop at Laurel Village and on Clement Street more than they do on Chestnut Street and Fillmore Street. And they recreate around where they actually live—Mountain Lake Park and Golden Gate Park, more than they do at Chrissy Field and Fort Mason.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together — this makes the most sense for our community.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Date: Friday, February 25, 2022 10:05:09 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Toni Stinton <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 24, 2022 1:12 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Toni Stinton
Email tstinton@comcast.net
I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in D2 and it makes no sense that these neighborhoods are connected to the Marina, Cow Hollow and Pacific Heights. Clearly, residents who live in those neighborhoods shop at Laurel Village and on Clement Street more than they do on Chestnut Street and Fillmore Street. And they recreate around where they actually live—Mountain Lake Park and Golden Gate Park, more than they do at Chrissy Field and Fort Mason.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together — this makes the most sense for our community.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Richard B. Allen](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Frank Noto](#); [Peder Jones](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting
Date: Friday, February 25, 2022 10:05:02 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Richard B. Allen <richardballen35@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 24, 2022 12:56 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Frank Noto <Frank@fnstrategy.com>; Peder Jones <pederj@earthlink.net>; Richard B. Allen <richardballen35@gmail.com>
Subject: Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Note: Last night, Frank Noto was the #5 speaker from District 7. I agree with his comments 100%. I have known and worked with Frank on neighborhood projects for several decades. His vision and recommendations have always been creative aimed at improving quality of life issues that included neighborhood activities. Please implement his comments. I have written my comments below as I was not able to call into your excellent outreach meeting. Thank you, Dick Allen

Inbox

Subject: District 7

I live in Golden Gate Heights in District 7 and am active in my neighborhood. But when I go to Irving Street just a few blocks from my home, to visit the restaurants, shops, and grocery stores, I cross an invisible boundary and do my shopping in another District.

How does this make sense? Why are the business owners and customers divided by two different supervisor districts? The Irving Street commercial corridor should be united with District 7. I have a long experience(48 years) in the retail business community with multiple city locations, and as a former San Francisco Planning Commissioner, I can tell you this is bad planning and will divide our "**community of interest**" into two political districts.

Currently, District 5 is gerrymandered to include Irving Street and a narrow band of the Inner Sunset. And now that District 4 has to grow, please do not gerrymander it into the Inner Sunset, especially since District 7 also has to grow.

Please do the sensible thing and extend District 7 to include our shopping area of the Inner Sunset. The business owners and customers deserve to have one supervisor who can advocate for our interests.

I understand District 4 needs to grow. Please extend District 4 South of Sloat which is a more natural extension, perhaps to border a portion of Lake Merced, which is heavily used by neighbors in that District and often neglected by our City government. This will allow you to extend District 7 into the Inner Sunset, which is also a very natural extension.

All the best,

Dick Allen, District 7

415-407-1159

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: RDTF - Russian and Vietnamese Interpretation/Transcription
Date: Thursday, February 24, 2022 11:40:36 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[Outlook-OCEIA_Logo.png](#)
[Outlook-cid_image0.png](#)
[Outlook-cid_image0.png](#)
[Outlook-cid_image0.png](#)
[Translation.pdf](#)

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Jozami, Connie (ADM) <connie.jozami@sfgov.org>
Sent: Thursday, February 24, 2022 11:12 AM
To: Ng, Wilson (BOS) <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>; Language Access (ADM) <Language.Access@sfgov.org>
Cc: BOS-Operations <bos-operations@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>; Liu, JingRu (ADM) <jingru.liu@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: RDTF - Russian and Vietnamese Interpretation/Transcription

Dear all,

Please find attached to this message, the translations & transcription from Russian and Vietnamese to English, of public comment provided in those languages at the RDTF meeting on 2/11.

Hope you have a great day!

Best,

Connie Jozami | Language Access Unit Supervisor | Pronouns: she, her ([What's this?](#))

Office of Civic Engagement & Immigrant Affairs | City & County of San Francisco

connie.jozami@sfgov.org | [OCEIA](#) | [Immigrant Rights Commission](#)

desk: (415) 581-2352 | 1155 Market Street, 1st Floor | San Francisco, CA 94103

Connect with OCEIA:   

From: Jozami, Connie (ADM) <connie.jozami@sfgov.org>
Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 12:51 PM
To: Ng, Wilson (BOS) <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>; Language Access (ADM) <Language.Access@sfgov.org>
Cc: BOS-Operations <bos-operations@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: RDTF - Russian and Vietnamese Interpretation/Transcription

Thank you very much Wilson,

I will reach out to one of our certified vendors and will have the comments translated. I will send you all the translations as soon as they are ready.

E-meet you folks soon!

Best,

Connie Jozami | Language Access Unit Supervisor | Pronouns: she, her ([What's this?](#))

Office of Civic Engagement & Immigrant Affairs | City & County of San Francisco

connie.jozami@sfgov.org | [OCEIA](#) | [Immigrant Rights Commission](#)

desk: (415) 581-2352 | 1155 Market Street, 1st Floor | San Francisco, CA 94103

Connect with OCEIA:   

From: Ng, Wilson (BOS) <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>
Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 12:19 PM
To: Language Access (ADM) <Language.Access@sfgov.org>; Jozami, Connie (ADM) <connie.jozami@sfgov.org>
Cc: BOS-Operations <bos-operations@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>
Subject: RDTF - Russian and Vietnamese Interpretation/Transcription

Hi OCEIA,

At the 2/11/22 Redistricting Task Force (RDTF) meeting, there were two LEP individuals who

provided their public comment in Russian and Vietnamese.

The RDTF would like their oral comments interpreted/transcribed from the native language to English text for their reference and record. The comments may be found via the video recording link below at the following timestamps.

Link: https://sanfrancisco.granicus.com/player/clip/40558?view_id=155&redirect=true

- Russian speaker begins at 1:06:35
- Vietnamese speaker begins at 1:23:15

Request form attached just for tracking, though it does not fall neatly into the question categories. Please feel free to let us know if there are any questions.

Thanks,

—
Wilson L. Ng
Deputy Director of Operations

San Francisco Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: (415) 554-7725

Web: www.sfbos.org



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

Russian:

TRANSCRIPTION:

Здравствуйте, меня зовут Галя.

Я живу в районе Ричмонда и голосую на каждом выборах.

Так же, как русскоязычные граждане нашего района.

Мы не получали и не слышали никакой информации на русском языке об изменениях планирования в выбранном районе Сан-Франциско.

Мы просим и требуем, чтобы вся информация была доступна на русском языке и была понятна русскоговорящему населению Сан-Франциско.

Спасибо.

TRANSLATION:

1:06:35 Hello, my name is Galya.

1:06:39 I live in the Richmond area and vote in every election.

1:06:44 Just like the other Russian-speaking citizens of our district.

1:06:47 We have not received any information in Russian about the change in planning in the selected area of San Francisco.

1:06:59 We ask and demand that all information be available in Russian language.

1:07:05 And made understandable to the Russian-speaking population of San Francisco.

1:07:10 Thank you.

Vietnamese:

TRANSCRIPTION:

Tôi tên là Nga Nguyễn.

Cư ngụ ở bốn mươi mốt (41) đã hơn mấy chục năm rồi.

Gia đình tôi mọi người năm nào cũng đi bầu cử.

Chúng tôi yêu cầu giữ nguyên quận một.

Và phản đối thay đổi nhập vào quận khác.

Cảm ơn.

TRANSLATION:

1:23:16 Hi!

1:23:17 My name is Nga Nguyen.

1:23:19 I have lived in 41 street for a few decades.

1:23:24 My family goes to vote in elections every year.

1:23:28 I ask you to keep the first district.

1:23:28 And I am against any change and any union with another district.

1:23:36 Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Date: Thursday, February 24, 2022 11:31:14 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Joseph Chong <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 24, 2022 10:30 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Joseph Chong

Email joseph.chong@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in D2 and it makes no sense that these neighborhoods are connected to the Marina, Cow Hollow and Pacific Heights. Clearly, residents who live in those neighborhoods shop at Laurel Village and on Clement Street more than they do on Chestnut Street and Fillmore Street. And they recreate around where they actually live—Mountain Lake Park and Golden Gate Park, more than they do at Chrissy Field and Fort Mason.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together — this makes the most sense for our community.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Date: Thursday, February 24, 2022 11:31:11 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Linda Linda <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 24, 2022 10:29 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Linda Linda

Email Ichong@anchorvest.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in Laurel Heights (D2) and it makes no sense that these neighborhoods are connected to the Marina, Cow Hollow and Pacific Heights. My family is on Clement Street and Mountain Lake Park daily. Clearly, residents who live in those neighborhoods shop at Laurel Village and on Clement Street more than they do on Chestnut Street and Fillmore Street. And they recreate around where they actually live—Mountain Lake Park and Golden Gate Park, more than they do at Chrissy Field and Fort Mason.

It makes no sense that Laurel Heights is part of D2. We are never in Cow Hollow. The official redistricting map should keep Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, and Lake Street in D1, together with the Richmond so that our community can stay

together.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Glen Park Neighborhoods History Project](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Diamond Heights Community Association](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: Please Keep Diamond Heights in District 8
Date: Thursday, February 24, 2022 11:31:05 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Glen Park Neighborhoods History Project <glenparkhistory@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 7:14 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Diamond Heights Community Association <dhcasf@gmail.com>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>

Subject: Please Keep Diamond Heights in District 8

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Greetings,

I was unable to attend the meeting tonight so I hope you will accept my comments. I am a resident of Glen Park and the district historian. My comments represent my personal comments, and not the Glen Park Neighborhoods History Project.

Together, Glen Park and Diamond Heights are deeply connected. Both districts are physically connected by our local three hills that we share: Gold Mine Hill, Red Rock Hill, and Fairmount Hill. The close proximity of these hills make us both geographically, physically, and spiritually close neighbors.

The two districts have been partners in protecting the shared quality of our districts and being stewards of Glen Canyon since the mid-1960s when Glen Park residents reached out to the new Diamond Heights residents to oppose construction of a viaduct freeway through Glen Park and Glen Canyon Park. Together, freeway construction that would have forever destroyed Glen Canyon was prevented. In fact, when the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency [laid out plans for Diamond Heights](#) in the 1950s, Glen Canyon was included within the boundaries of Diamond Heights.

Today, residents of Glen Park and Diamond Heights continue to work side-by-side as caretakers and stewards of the quality of our districts and open space. The collaborative work within District 8, with the close support of our District 8 supervisors over the years, has been streamlined and efficient. It makes absolutely no sense to move Diamond Heights to District 7, which will only complicate the important work of our districts by having to navigate two different channels in parallel.

Please do not make a decision to move Diamond Heights to District 7 just because of a number. Please take into deep consideration the important work accomplished by the two districts for the past 50 years and the strong interpersonal dynamics we have established. Thank you very much for your consideration.

Most sincerely,

Evelyn

Evelyn Rose, PharmD (*she/her/hers*)

Director & Founder

Glen Park Neighborhoods History Project

Email: GlenParkHistory@gmail.com

Web: www.GlenParkHistory.org

Twitter: [@GlenParkHistory](https://twitter.com/GlenParkHistory)

The Glen Park Neighborhoods History Project is fiscally sponsored by [Independent Arts & Media](#), a

California non-profit corporation.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Eleanor Cox](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Public comment during Feb 23 public meeting on District 78 boundaries
Date: Thursday, February 24, 2022 11:30:53 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Eleanor Cox <eleanor_ruth@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 7:03 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Public comment during Feb 23 public meeting on District 78 boundaries

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

From: [Eleanor Cox](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: Public comment during Feb 23 public meeting on District 7 boundaries (resubmission)
Date: Friday, February 25, 2022 2:50:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello to the redistricting task force,

My name is Eleanor Cox, I live in the Inner Sunset neighborhood in District 5.

I've listened to the public comment regarding the District 7 boundaries Wednesday evening. There were many callers waiting to speak, and I was in the queue. However, I had to jump into another meeting so I am submitting written comment instead. Thank you in advance for considering my comment.

I am concerned hearing from the number of callers who have spoken tonight that support moving the entirety of the Inner Sunset into District 7. I did not realize that this was a serious consideration, in large part because I do not think it makes logical sense given local community, social, development, and transit patterns. My understanding is that many of these callers are from a group called the Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods. I hope that your committee will consider that this is largely a political group with specific political agendas that were not disclosed during public comment. At the beginning of the meeting, the moderator made clear that political affiliations are not a reason to consider boundary adjustments, and I hope that remains true throughout this process.

I oppose moving the Inner Sunset into District 7 for many reasons. I am a renter, a biker, and a commuter. I shop along 9th Avenue, Height Street, and downtown. On bike, I commute through Golden Gate Park and along slow Page Street to meet up with the wiggles/Market Street. On transit, I commute via the 7 or the N-Judah to work in the financial district. I recreate in Golden Gate Park and Duboce Park. When I visit friends, also renters, I travel to the Inner Richmond, other neighborhoods in District 5, and the Mission.

I rarely consider the neighborhoods south of Lawton. They feel distinctly suburban, dominated by single family homes, homeowners, and drivers, and separated from our downtown-adjacent neighborhoods by steep hills. From a community, social, and transit standpoint I urge you to consider the Twin Peaks/Diamond Heights areas as opportunities to expand District 7 rather than my little neighborhood. It makes so much more sense that way; that would provide a more cohesive community, united in their topography and social/transit patterns.

My preference would be to stay in District 5. This district is a strong collection of distinct neighborhoods, each with our own character and commercial centers, yet we are united via our economic statuses, with a mix of renters and homeowners, our transit corridors, and similar levels of access and distance to city services. We are not downtown, yet we are not quite the west side. It oddly works very well! even though the district boundaries look odd on the map. The panhandle helps connect us.

Final note, I also work at the Inner Sunset Farmers Market every weekend and have gotten to know many of my neighbors. I never meet people who live in District 7. I'm quite surprised to hear that so many District 7 residents claim the inner sunset as their commercial destination. I meet people from across 19th Ave in the sunset far more often. If the Inner Sunset needs to shift, it makes far more sense to move us into District 4 than District 7, if only to unite the Irving Street commercial corridor and the N-Judah route.

Thanks very much,
Eleanor Cox
eleanor_ruth@yahoo.com

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Peggy da Silva](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [GWPNA President](#)
Subject: RE: District 7 redrawing meeting tonight
Date: Thursday, February 24, 2022 11:30:40 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Peggy da Silva <silvap@sonic.net>
Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 6:43 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: MelgarStaff (BOS) <melgarstaff@sfgov.org>; GWPNA President <president@gwpna.org>
Subject: District 7 redrawing meeting tonight

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi - I am not able to join the meeting. I could do it if it were using Zoom.

However, I will study up on it. Maybe next time we can just listen?

As a first comment, I would like to make a note in favor of the district making geographical sense, and being “neighborhood-focused.” I am hearing about “cultural districts” and I am dis-inclined to support that approach. I think we should be represented multi-culturally, in geographic neighborhoods.

I don’t expect that our SF commission is going to try to gerrymander the lines to actually cut out different racial, ethnic, lifestyle etc. groups. That would of course not be OK.

Thank you for your work.

Peggy da Silva. 153 Vasquez Avenue. 94127

415.305.7897

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Glen & Reiko Hatakeyama](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: District 7 Districting Input
Date: Thursday, February 24, 2022 11:30:27 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Glen & Reiko Hatakeyama <ragtime217@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 6:10 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: District 7 Districting Input

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Dear Task Force,

My name is Glen Hatakeyama and I am on the Executive Board of the Merced Extension Triangle Neighborhood Association (METNA). I want to strongly encourage the committee to retain the MET (a small triangle of land in the southwestern section of the City bounded by Frwy 280 on the south, Juniperro Serra on the west and Brotherhoodway on the north). A common interest of our neighborhood is resilient planning in event of a disaster. As a neighborhood association we are working a resiliency plan for our neighbors (ie block champions and supplies). District 7 is populated with less than the ideal 79,000 people by about 5% and should stay in District 7. We have a good working relationship with Supervisor Melgar and her staff on things to improve our neighborhood. In addition District 7's Participatory Budget program has helped improve our area tremendously. We wish to remain in District 7 and thank you for your time and effort.

Thank you.

Glen Hatakeyama

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: Christopher Faust; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: "[olga.milanhowell.com](#)"
Subject: RE: Keep Diamond Heights in District 8
Date: Thursday, February 24, 2022 11:30:01 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Christopher Faust <faust@chrismary.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 6:04 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: 'olga.milanhowell.com' <olga@milanhowell.com>
Subject: Keep Diamond Heights in District 8

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force,

It has come to our attention that several of the citizen redistricting proposals move Diamond Heights from District 8 to District 7. Upper Noe Neighbors joins the Diamond Heights Community Association Board in opposing this move because:

- . Glen Canyon Park creates a clear, natural separation from D7 while the Diamond Heights neighborhood flows seamless into adjacent neighborhoods in D8.
- . Diamond Heights shares many issues in common (transportation, housing, crime, etc.) with its D8 neighbors in Upper Noe, Noe Valley and Glen Park.
- . Separating into different districts would complicate collaboration between our community organizations, which work well together toward common goals.

It is important to Upper Noe, a community of interest, to remain together with Diamond Heights in District 8. We ask that you please consider our request in the redistricting process.

Thank you,

Olga Milan-Howells, President
Christopher Faust, VP
Upper Noe Neighbors
235 30th Street
San Francisco, CA 94131
415 205-5855

https://url.avanan.click/v2/___http://uppernoeneighbors.com___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzphZmM2MDNmM2M1MjcyZDM2ZTA0ZjM2NjcxOWNhYjdjNzo1OjhiMTI6ZWExNmY0YjdiNjZiN2FiNzQ2ODQ5YzU2NGQ1MGNmZTkxOTI3ZTEzMDM1N2ZjNGY5YzJjNzYzM2U0ZGFjNDZlNDpwOk4

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!
Date: Thursday, February 24, 2022 11:27:51 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: asheley linnenbach <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 5:31 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent asheley linnenbach

Email alinnenbach@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in Jordan Park and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines, recreates and worships in the Richmond. I know the merchants in Laurel Village, not Chestnut Street.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together and makes the most sense, as this is where we spend 90+% of our time.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: wsaver@glide.org
Cc: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); earguello@glide.org; mbustos@glide.org
Subject: FW: Keep GLIDE, the Tenderloin, and Central Soma in District 6 — SUPPORT
Date: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 3:42:44 PM
Attachments: [2022.02.23 - Redistricting Task Force Letter - GLIDE.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Wes Saver <wsaver@glide.org>

Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 3:07 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Cc: Erick Arguello <earguello@glide.org>; Miguel Bustos <mbustos@glide.org>

Subject: Keep GLIDE, the Tenderloin, and Central Soma in District 6 — SUPPORT

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Chair Townsend and Task Force Members,

On behalf of GLIDE, please find the attached letter, which recommends you keep GLIDE, the Tenderloin, and Central Soma in District 6.

Thank you for your leadership. We appreciate your consideration and collaboration with the community through the redistricting process.

Sincerely,

Wes

--

Wesley Saver, MPP

Senior Policy Manager

Center for Social Justice

GLIDE 330 Ellis Street, Room 511, San Francisco, CA 94102

OFFICE (415) 674-5536 | **MOBILE** (847) 682-8639 | **PRONOUNS** He/Him

This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to which they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender. Please note that any views or opinions presented in this email are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of Glide. Finally, the recipient should check this email and any attachments for the presence of viruses. GLIDE accepts no liability for any damage caused by any virus transmitted by this email.

February 23, 2022

Rev. Arnold Townsend, Chair
San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Clerk
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
rdtf@sfgov.org



Submitted via electronic mail

Re: Keep GLIDE, the Tenderloin, and Central Soma in District 6 — SUPPORT

Dear Chair Townsend and Task Force Members,

On behalf of GLIDE, I write in strong support of keeping the Tenderloin and Central Soma in District 6 through the redistricting process. In 1929, Lizzie Glide purchased a parcel located at Ellis and Taylor Streets and established the GLIDE Foundation. Closing in on 100 years, we have long since been home to political and cultural change, as well as spiritual growth, in the Central City Neighborhood — this includes our clients and partners across the Tenderloin, SoMa, and beyond. Anchored firmly in one of San Francisco's most culturally diverse neighborhoods, we have worked for decades to create a radically inclusive, just, and loving community, and embrace all our neighbors as loved ones.

As a leading social service provider in District 6 for many decades, we know the harms and trauma that communities of color and low-income people have endured. Marginalized communities have struggled to have representation and a united voice to determine their wellbeing, therefore we must maintain community history, engagement, and ensure communities of interest remain intact.

Where district lines are drawn on the map will have vast and lasting impacts on the distribution of community resources and representation in government. These must be allocated in a way such that it uplifts the voices of our most marginalized communities, instead of diluting the power of communities of color, ostensibly rendering them silent.

The decisions made by the Redistricting Task Force in these next months will determine the future of our communities for the next 10 years. Fair community redistricting is essential to keep communities together as well as to enable people to elect representatives that justly represent their community. We all deserve strong communities that have the essential services, resources, and representation for people to thrive. Please, ensure a transparent redistricting process we can trust, where communities remain whole and where voters have an equal voice — keep the Tenderloin and Central Soma in District 6. For questions about GLIDE's position, write to me at wsaver@glide.org.

Sincerely,

Wesley Saver
Senior Policy Manager, GLIDE

GLIDE
330 Ellis Street
San Francisco, CA 94102

T: 415-674-6070
F: 415-771-8420 www.glide.org

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: carolannrogers@prodigy.net
Cc: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Mullan, Andrew \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Russian Hill Neighbors" RTF COI statement
Date: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 3:41:17 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[RHN RTF COI FINAL 220211 \(2\).pdf](#)
[image002.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>
Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 1:21 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: FW: Russian Hill Neighbors' RTF COI statement

FYI

From: Carol Ann Rogers <carolannrogers@prodigy.net>

Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 12:34 PM

To: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>

Cc: Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; Abraham, Emily (BOS) <emily.abraham@sfgov.org>

Subject: Russian Hill Neighbors' RTF COI statement

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

This time with the attachment!

Hello Supervisor Stefani,

As you know, Russian Hill Neighbors' has been engaged in the SF redistricting process since the fall. This has included an excellent presentation on redistricting by Andy Mullan from your office followed by multiple communications to our members about the process and the importance of their participation in it. We also appointed a special Board committee to study and make a recommendation based on its research and attendance at RTF meetings. That recommendation was presented at this month's Board meeting and we then submitted a COI statement to the RTF via its website. I have attached a copy of that statement to this email for your information. We know that this is a dynamic process with city-wide implications, so we are also working collaboratively with our peer northeast neighborhood organizations and the Van Ness Corridor Neighborhood Council (VNCNC).

Thank you for all of your efforts on behalf of District 2 and the Russian Hill neighborhood. We are so excited about the imminent opening of Francisco Park and are forever grateful for all you have done to make that possible.

Don't hesitate to contact me if you have questions about RHN's redistricting statement or activities. I look forward to seeing you this afternoon at the D2 Leadership Meeting.

Best regards,
Carol Ann

Carol Ann Rogers, President
Russian Hill Neighbors
415-902-3980





PRESIDENT
Carol Ann Rogers
VICE PRESIDENTS
Gregg Carr
Pamela Meyers
SECRETARY
Suzee Barrabee
TREASURER
Joyce Kucharvy

PAST PRESIDENT
Tina Moylan

DIRECTORS
Richard Cardello
Peter Kindel
Pamela Meyers
Laurie Petipas
Alice Alioto
Robert Bluhm
Lauren Bohlin
Greg Polchow

COMMITTEE CHAIRS
Design, Zoning, and Land Use
Robert Bluhm
History
Al Greening
Communications
Susan Ford
Safety
Jennifer Parkes
Social
Pamela Meyers
Families
Moe Jamil
Annie Yang
Parks
Peter Kindel
Membership
Open
Transportation
Steve Taber
Neighborhood Enhancement
Phoebe Douglass
Local Businesses
Teddy Kramer
CSFN
Matthew Mansfield

ADVISORS
Lucretia Rauh, Chair
Tim Covington
Helen Doyle
Judy Junghans
Jovanne Reilly

1819 Polk Street #221
San Francisco CA 94109
415.993.1808
www.rhnsf.org

February 11, 2022

TO: 2020 Census: Redistricting Task Force

RE: Preliminary Input on the Supervisorial Districts Map, from Russian Hill Neighbors, a San Francisco Community of Interest

Russian Hill Neighbors (RHN) is a volunteer neighborhood organization founded in San Francisco in 1981 to preserve and advance neighborhood character and quality of life through collaboration, volunteerism and celebration of the Russian Hill community.

Our geographic boundaries are as follows:

- The south side of North Point from the east side of Van Ness Avenue to the east side of Columbus Avenue
- Southeast on Columbus Avenue to the west side of Mason Street
- South on Mason Street to the south side of Pacific Avenue
- West on Pacific Avenue to the east side of Van Ness Avenue
- North on Van Ness Avenue to the south side of North Point Street

We are writing to offer feedback as you embark upon the redistricting process in San Francisco. We request that you take into account the following points as you move closer towards a first draft of the new Supervisorial Districts map.

- 1) RHN believes Russian Hill should be unified and not split into two districts. As it currently stands, approximately 10,000 Russian Hill residents are in District 3 and 6,000 are in District 2.
- 2) As one of the several historic residential neighborhoods of Northeast San Francisco along with Telegraph Hill, North Beach, Barbary Coast, Chinatown, Nob Hill, Polk Street, and the Northeast Waterfront, Russian Hill should be located wholly within those northeastern neighborhoods, currently District 3, as we have a long, storied history of collaboration, cooperation, and community with our fellow neighborhood and merchant organizations.

3) The Russian Hill neighborhood has a very long history of shared experience and preservation advocacy with our neighbors of Northeast San Francisco that has evolved over several decades. Some notable examples include:

- adoption of the 40-ft height limit in the wake of the emergence of high-rise buildings in predominantly low-rise neighborhoods during the 1960s-70s
- protection of the Northern Waterfront
- creation of historic districts and landmarks
- support to prevent displacement of long-term tenants and property owners with deep roots in Northeast San Francisco
- a shared appreciation for local businesses in our commercial corridors
- a close working relationship with Central Police Station
- a shared commitment to the preservation and celebration of diversity in all respects for our neighborhood

4) Given the tradition of collaboration, Russian Hill and its Northeastern neighbors have the opportunity to join together in addressing important challenges today and in the future, including:

- the value of maintaining healthy and vibrant commercial corridors of small and neighborhood serving businesses
- the high cost of both ownership and rental properties that threatens residents from being able to continue to afford to live in San Francisco
- finding solutions to quality-of-life issues such as clean streets, safety and security
- advocating for the services that allow families to continue to reside in our neighborhoods, such as good schools, open space, childcare and support for seniors

For these reasons, we request that you consider unifying Russian Hill into one district and combine it with its peer residential neighborhoods of Northeast San Francisco (currently District 3).

We understand that you must consider many factors in the redistricting process, but we strongly advocate for final boundaries which take into account the long and important history of Russian Hill as a community of interest.

Thank you very much for the opportunity to provide our input in this very important process.

Yours truly,
Carol Ann Rogers, President
president@rhnsf.org; 415-902-3980

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Date: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 3:39:07 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Danielle Boutros <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 12:48 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Danielle Boutros

Email dmboutros@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in D2 and it makes no sense that these neighborhoods are connected to the Marina, Cow Hollow and Pacific Heights. Clearly, residents who live in those neighborhoods shop at Laurel Village and on Clement Street more than they do on Chestnut Street and Fillmore Street. And they recreate around where they actually live—Mountain Lake Park and Golden Gate Park, more than they do at Chrissy Field and Fort Mason.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together — this makes the most sense for our community.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Date: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 3:38:52 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Robin Donohoe <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 12:32 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Robin Donohoe

Email robin@draperrichards.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in D2 and it makes no sense that these neighborhoods are connected to the Marina, Cow Hollow and Pacific Heights. Clearly, residents who live in those neighborhoods shop at Laurel Village and on Clement Street more than they do on Chestnut Street and Fillmore Street. And they recreate around where they actually live—Mountain Lake Park and Golden Gate Park, more than they do at Chrissy Field and Fort Mason.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together — this makes the most sense for our community.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!
Date: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 11:35:07 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Jamie Bartlett <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 11:28 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent **Jamie Bartlett**

Email sublimemissj@hotmail.com

I am a resident of **District 2**

Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in Jordan Park and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines, recreates and worships in the Richmond. I know the merchants in Laurel Village, not Chestnut Street.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together and makes the most sense, as this is where we spend 90+% of our time.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!
Date: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 11:34:38 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: William Bartlett <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 9:55 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent William Bartlett

Email will@willbartlett.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in Jordan Park and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines, recreates and worships in the Richmond. I know the merchants in Laurel Village, not Chestnut Street.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together and makes the most sense, as this is where we spend 90+% of our time.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Date: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 11:34:24 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Alanna Klein <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 6:35 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Alanna Klein

Email alannakrobin@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

Dear Task Force:

Please move Sea Cliff into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in Sea Cliff (D2) and my family spends far more time on Clement Street (D1) and Geary Street shopping and dining than any of the D2 commercial corridors on Fillmore or Union Streets. Examples of why we consider Clement Street/Geary Street to be part of our neighborhood include: (1) My kids walk from our house to Angelinas on California for lunch and snacks daily. (2) We use the local post office and amenities on Geary Street multiple times a week. (3) We walk our dog around the Richmond District daily. (4) We use the playgrounds and tennis courts in the Richmond District weekly.

It makes no sense that Sea Cliff and Lake Street are currently part of D2. Sea Cliff and Lake Street are geographically separated from Cow Hollow (a D2 neighborhood) by a steep hill (Divisadero Street); and geographically separated from the Marina (another D2 neighborhood) by a state highway

(101/Lombard).

The official redistricting map should put Sea Cliff and Lake Street in D1, with the Richmond — this makes the most sense for our community.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Alanna Klein

Address:

214 28th Avenue
San Francisco 94121

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!
Date: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 11:34:04 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: meg storey <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 6:37 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent meg storey

Email mstorey274@aol.com

I am a resident of District 1

Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in Jordan Park and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines, recreates and worships in the Richmond. I know the merchants in Laurel Village, not Chestnut Street.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together and makes the most sense, as this is where we spend 90+% of our time.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!
Date: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 11:33:50 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Eric Schier <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 5:23 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Eric Schier

Email eschier@yahoo.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in Jordan Park and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines, recreates and worships in the Richmond. I know the merchants in Laurel Village, not Chestnut Street.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together and makes the most sense, as this is where we spend 90+% of our time.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!
Date: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 11:33:37 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Kelly Halper <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 4:31 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Kelly Halper

Email kellyhalp@me.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in Jordan Park and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines, recreates and worships in the Richmond. I know the merchants in Laurel Village, not Chestnut Street.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together and makes the most sense, as this is where we spend 90+% of our time.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Date: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 11:33:03 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Holly Peterson <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 1:37 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Holly Peterson

Email holly.peterson@me.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in D2 and it makes no sense that these neighborhoods are connected to the Marina, Cow Hollow and Pacific Heights. My family and I shop in Laurel Village, on Sacramento Street and Clement Street. We rarely if at all shop on Fillmore or in the Marina. We frequent the Clement Street farmers market and eat out on Sacramento and Clement at least twice a week. We walk our dog to Mountain Lake Park consistently and roller skate in Golden Gate Park.

Please join our community back together with District 1.

Thank you!
Holly Peterson
3630 Jackson Street
San Francisco CA 94118

415-378-2366

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:25:55 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Patrick Devlin <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 11:27 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Patrick Devlin

Email patrick.devlin@sbcglobal.net

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in D2 and it makes no sense that these neighborhoods are connected to the Marina, Cow Hollow and Pacific Heights. Clearly, residents who live in those neighborhoods shop at Laurel Village and on Clement Street more than they do on Chestnut Street and Fillmore Street. And they recreate around where they actually live—Mountain Lake Park and Golden Gate Park, more than they do at Chrissy Field and Fort Mason.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together — this makes the most sense for our community.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Kathy Devincenzi](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Mullan, Andrew \(BOS\)](#); [Owen Hart](#); [Richard Frisbie](#)
Subject: FW: Map of Proposed D2
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:25:50 PM
Attachments: [20220221231503.pdf](#)
[20220221231432.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kathy Devincenzi <krdevincenzi@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 10:36 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; Owen Hart <olhart120@gmail.com>; Richard Frisbie

<frfbeagle@gmail.com>

Subject: Map of Proposed D2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To: San Francisco Redistricting Task Force

Please see attached letter and 3

attachments.

Thank you,

Laurel Heights Improvement Association of SF, Inc.

By: Kathy Devincenzi, President

D2 2020 PROPOSED STREET BOUNDARIES

STARTING ON THE WEST SIDE

Pacific Ocean south along the Eastern Border of Lincoln Park to California St.

East on California to 25th St.

North on 25th to Lake St.

East on Lake to Arguello Blvd.

South on Arguello to Geary Blvd.

East on Geary to Masonic Ave.

South on Masonic to Turk St.

East on Turk to St. Joseph Ave.

North on St. Joseph to Geary Ave.

North from Geary to Post St. on Baker St.

West on Post to Presidio Ave.

North on Presidio to Bush St.

East on Bush to Baker St.

North on Baker to California St.

East on California to Steiner St.

South on Steiner to Sutter St.

East on Sutter to Webster St.

North on Webster to Bush St.

East on Bust to Van Ness Ave.

North on Van Ness to Broadway.

East on Broadway to Leavenworth St.

North on Leavenworth to Union St.

East on Union to Mason St.

North on Mason to Columbus Ave.

Northwest on Columbus to Leavenworth St.

North on Leavenworth to San Francisco Bay.

Map
of the
2nd Supervisorial District
City and County of
San Francisco, California





Prepared by the Department of Elections

March 2019

Precinct Boundary

GOLDEN GATE
BRIDGE



From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:25:23 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: J. Hagan <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 9:58 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent J. Hagan

Email jh88mailbox@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I am an SF native and resident of Pacific Heights, and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines, recreates and worships in the Richmond. I know the merchants on Chestnut Street, not Laurel Village.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together and makes the most sense, as this is where people spend 90+% of their time.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:25:19 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Andrea LoPinto <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 9:10 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Andrea LoPinto

Email lopinto@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in Jordan Park and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines, recreates and worships in the Richmond. I know the merchants in Laurel Village, not Chestnut Street.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together and makes the most sense, as this is where we spend 90+% of our time.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:25:12 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: William Koch <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 6:17 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent William Koch

Email briankoch@tflandscapes.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in Jordan Park and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines, recreates and worships in the Richmond. I know the merchants in Laurel Village, not Chestnut Street.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together and makes the most sense, as this is where we spend 90+% of our time.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:25:05 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Paul Armstrong <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, February 21, 2022 8:35 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Paul Armstrong

Email earthbath@yahoo.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in D2 and it makes no sense that these neighborhoods are connected to the Marina, Cow Hollow and Pacific Heights. Clearly, residents who live in those neighborhoods shop at Laurel Village and on Clement Street more than they do on Chestnut Street and Fillmore Street. And they recreate around where they actually live—Mountain Lake Park and Golden Gate Park, more than they do at Chrissy Field and Fort Mason.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together — this makes the most sense for our community.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:24:59 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Lanier coles <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, February 21, 2022 8:34 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Lanier coles

Email lanier_coles@hotmail.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Presidio Heights into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in Presidio Heights (D2) and my family spends far more time on Clement Street (D1) shopping and dining than any of the D2 commercial corridors on Fillmore or Union Streets. Examples of why we consider Clement Street to be part of our neighborhood include: (1) my kids walk from our house at #3858 Jackson Street to Gengki Crepes & Minimart at #330 Clement Street for boba tea at least 1x per week, if not more. We are also weekly patrons of Arsicault Bakery at the intersection of Arguello & Clement. (3) We walk our dog down via the Presidio to Mountain Lake Park every single day.

It makes no sense that Presidio Heights and Lake Street are currently part of D2. Presidio Heights and Lake Street are geographically separated from Cow Hollow (a D2 neighborhood) by a steep hill (Divisadero Street); and geographically separated

from the Marina (another D2 neighborhood) by a state highway (101/Lombard).

The official redistricting map should keep Presidio Heights and Lake Street in D1, with the Richmond — this makes the most sense for our community.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Lanier Coles

Address:

3858 Jackson Street
San Francisco 94118

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:24:55 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Alanna Klein <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, February 21, 2022 6:18 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Alanna Klein

Email alannakrobin@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in D2 and it makes no sense that these neighborhoods are connected to the Marina, Cow Hollow and Pacific Heights. Clearly, residents who live in those neighborhoods shop at Laurel Village and on Clement Street more than they do on Chestnut Street and Fillmore Street. And they recreate around where they actually live—Mountain Lake Park and Golden Gate Park, more than they do at Chrissy Field and Fort Mason.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together — this makes the most sense for our community.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:24:51 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Donna Armstrong <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, February 21, 2022 5:49 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Donna Armstrong

Email donna.armstrong@staples.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in D2 and it makes no sense that these neighborhoods are connected to the Marina, Cow Hollow and Pacific Heights. Clearly, residents who live in those neighborhoods shop at Laurel Village and on Clement Street more than they do on Chestnut Street and Fillmore Street. And they recreate around where they actually live—Mountain Lake Park and Golden Gate Park, more than they do at Chrissy Field and Fort Mason.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together — this makes the most sense for our community.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:24:44 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Marianne Schier <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, February 21, 2022 5:13 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Marianne Schier

Email bacisf@Yahoo.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in Jordan Park and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines, recreates and worships in the Richmond. I know the merchants in Laurel Village, not Chestnut Street.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together and makes the most sense, as this is where we spend 90+% of our time.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:24:40 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Brian McNamee <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, February 21, 2022 4:52 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Brian McNamee

Email frombriansipad@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 1

Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in Jordan Park and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines, recreates and worships in the Richmond. I know the merchants in Laurel Village, not Chestnut Street.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together and makes the most sense, as this is where we spend 90+% of our time.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Gail Baugh](#)
Cc: tesw@aol.com
Subject: FW: COI for D5, Hayes Valley LGBTQ Community of Interest
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:24:32 PM
Attachments: [2 Hayes Valley LGBTQ community of interest.docx](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Gail Baugh <gailbaugh40@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, February 21, 2022 3:50 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Cc: TesW@aol.com

Subject: COI for D5, Hayes Valley LGBTQ Community of Interest

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi, Task Force,

Please note this **cover letter from Paul Olsen, former president of HVNA, long time board member, and for 10 years worked with 2 different developers**, Open House senior housing developer, and made sure that the Alchemy Management curated and managed the Community Garden and found a suitable community-serving tenant (Haight Street Art Center) for that designated space:

"Attached please find a COI that explains why I believe Hayes Valley has an LGBTQ community of interest that includes the site containing the UCSF Dental School, Alchemy Apts, openhouse, the Community Garden and the Haight Street Art Center.

I believe this UC-owned parcel was mistakenly moved into D8 with the last redistricting, sorely neglecting the longstanding LGBTQ community throughout Hayes Valley, and the huge efforts that Hayes Valley neighbors made to ensure that the "new" LGBTQ community would be a part of the larger neighborhood.

As you know, I have moved from Hayes Valley after more than 2 decades of living a short walk from this site, with almost a full decade of advocating for the most appropriate uses of the UC site during that time. I am happy to author this request, especially since I was involved in the drafting of the legislation that established the Castro LGBTQ Cultural Community District, which includes this site."

Name: The Hayes Valley LGBTQ community is the community of interest

What is your community's mutual interest?

"Although the Castro emerged as a gay neighborhood in the 1960s, the support it provided to LGBTQ people often was qualified by such limitations as socioeconomic status, race, age, and gender identity. Because Hayes Valley largely escaped the forces of gentrification into the 2000s, it offered less expensive housing and commercial spaces and retained a vibrant culture accessible to an LGBTQ population of lesser means and greater diversity." (2019 BOS legislation for Castro LGBTQ Cultural District)

This community was actively discriminated against by those living in the Castro because the group lived in a low-rent, high-crime area, that also included a unique commercial district. Conversely, in Hayes Valley, all residents were embraced regardless of racial/economic circumstances. Many endured the destruction of Hayes Valley and building of the Central Freeway, and years later many in this LGBTQ community became core organizers to remove the Central Freeway. This acceptance of all later became a part of the Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association (HVNA) bylaws and a core value in recognizing the need for affordable housing. This group worked tirelessly to assure that 50% of the former freeway parcels were dedicated to marginalized groups (TAY, developmentally disabled, formerly homeless) as well as general BMR housing.

Where is your community located?

Our Community of Interest is mostly within the current Hayes Valley Neighborhood Assn boundaries (N on Webster from Haight, E on McAllister, S on Van Ness, W on Market, N on Buchanan, W on Waller, N on Fillmore, E on Haight to Webster, N on Webster) and includes the UC Regents site of 340 units at Alchemy Apts, Haight Street Art Center, a community garden and openhouse's LGBTQ Senior Housing at 55 Laguna.

Why should your community be kept together?

"Notably, the former Oak Hill Neighborhood Association, and the larger and ongoing Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association ("HVNA") included significant LGBTQ leadership since their formation. The HVNA took a groundbreaking position by stating a commitment to maintaining neighborhood diversity in its bylaws. LGBTQ people also had prominent roles in the campaign to demolish the Central Freeway after the 1989 earthquake and in the subsequent creation of Octavia Boulevard and Patricia's Green, as well as in advocating development of at least 50% affordable housing on parcels cleared by the freeway removal." (2019 BOS legislation for Castro LGBTQ Cultural District)

The need for LGBTQ-friendly elder housing was also important to this Hayes Valley LGBTQ community, particularly with many facing aging on a small fixed income. This community worked hard to see the new LGBTQ-friendly elder housing development at the 55 Laguna complex become a reality, as well as ensuring it was a part of the larger neighborhood, not closed off as the developer had originally planned. Members of Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association fought hard for the inclusion of a significant number of BMR units in the large apartment complex, as well as for the low-income LGBTQ openhouse complex. Along with supporting the addition of the openhouse LGBTQ community, neighbors and members of HVNA also advocated for a neighborhood-serving community garden and neighborhood-serving community space which became the Haight Street Art Center, which has many connections in the neighborhood, especially with John Muir Elementary School and the students there. It is very important to recognize the role the HVNA community played in the establishment of the first in the nation LGBTQ-friendly senior supportive housing, and ensuring its inclusion into the larger neighborhood rather than treating it as a stand-alone (and thus isolated) institution.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:24:10 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Michael Eisler <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, February 21, 2022 3:25 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Michael Eisler

Email mbeis@hotmail.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in Jordan Park and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines, recreates and worships in the Richmond. I know the merchants in Laurel Village, not Chestnut Street.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together and makes the most sense, as this is where we spend 90+% of our time.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:24:05 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Gretchen Koch <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, February 21, 2022 3:18 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Gretchen Koch

Email gretchenee@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in Jordan Park and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines, recreates and worships in the Richmond. I know the merchants in Laurel Village, not Chestnut Street.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together and makes the most sense, as this is where we spend 90+% of our time.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:24:00 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: David Oksenberg <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, February 21, 2022 2:55 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent David Oksenberg

Email david_oksenberg@hotmail.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in Jordan Park and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines, recreates and worships in the Richmond. I know the merchants in Laurel Village, not Chestnut Street.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together and makes the most sense, as this is where we spend 90+% of our time.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:23:50 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Phillip Raiser <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, February 21, 2022 2:35 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Phillip Raiser

Email phillip@raiser.com

I am a resident of District 1

Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in D2 and it makes no sense that these neighborhoods are connected to the Marina, Cow Hollow and Pacific Heights. Clearly, residents who live in those neighborhoods shop at Laurel Village and on Clement Street more than they do on Chestnut Street and Fillmore Street. And they recreate around where they actually live—Mountain Lake Park and Golden Gate Park, more than they do at Chrissy Field and Fort Mason.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together — this makes the most sense for our community.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Gretchen Koch](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Mullan, Andrew \(BOS\)](#); [Donovan, Dominica \(BOS\)](#); rfbeagle@gmail.com
Subject: RE: SF Redistricting Jordan Park
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:23:45 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Gretchen Koch <gretchenec@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, February 21, 2022 2:16 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; Donovan, Dominica (BOS) <dominica.donovan@sfgov.org>; rfbeagle@gmail.com

Subject: Re: SF Redistricting Jordan Park

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I would like to RETRACT my previous comments regarding Redistricting for Jordan Park. Based on further investigation into Redistricting, I strongly endorse a move to D1, to adjoin Jordan Park to our other Richmond neighbors.

Thank you,
Gretchen Koch

On Jan 20, 2022, at 10:23 AM, Gretchen Koch <gretchenEE@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force

I am a resident of Jordan Park-Arguello, Geary, Parker/Spruce, California and I request that my neighborhood **remain in Supervisorial District 2** which has been the case for many, many years. My neighborhood has a strong Community of Interest with the other D2 neighborhoods: Laurel Heights, Cow Hollow, The Marina, Pacific Heights, Presidio Heights and has worked with them on social, cultural and economic issues and projects over the years. This Community of Interest includes Public Safety, Housing for the Homeless, and a number of major housing developments: the former CPMC Hospital at 3700 California St; the former UCSF campus at 3333 California St, as well as the Lucky Penny and Firestone sites on Geary Blvd.

I, along with my neighborhood, have formed a strong bond and productive working relationships with the public organizations within D2, especially with our Supervisor Catherine Stefani and **do not wish to see these disrupted.**

Thank you for your consideration and your service on the RTF.

Sincerely,

Gretchen Koch

--

Gretchen Eschbacher Koch
gretchenEE@gmail.com

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:23:29 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Billy Brandreth <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, February 21, 2022 1:17 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Billy Brandreth

Email wrb100@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in D2 and it makes no sense that these neighborhoods are connected to the Marina, Cow Hollow and Pacific Heights. Clearly, residents who live in those neighborhoods shop at Laurel Village and on Clement Street more than they do on Chestnut Street and Fillmore Street. And they recreate around where they actually live—Mountain Lake Park and Golden Gate Park, more than they do at Chrissy Field and Fort Mason.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together — this makes the most sense for our community.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:23:24 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Brett Ortiz <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, February 21, 2022 12:09 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent **Brett Ortiz**

Email ortizbrett@yahoo.com

I am a resident of **District 2**

Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in D2 and it makes no sense that these neighborhoods are connected to the Marina, Cow Hollow and Pacific Heights. Clearly, residents who live in those neighborhoods shop at Laurel Village and on Clement Street more than they do on Chestnut Street and Fillmore Street. And they recreate around where they actually live—Mountain Lake Park and Golden Gate Park, more than they do at Chrissy Field and Fort Mason.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together — this makes the most sense for our community.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:23:18 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Todd Madsen <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, February 21, 2022 12:02 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Todd Madsen

Email todd.madsen@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in Jordan Park and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines, recreates and worships in the Richmond. I know the merchants in Laurel Village, not Chestnut Street.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together and makes the most sense, as this is where we spend 90+% of our time.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:23:13 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Amanda Hoenigman <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, February 21, 2022 12:00 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Amanda Hoenigman

Email amanda@hoenigman.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in Jordan Park and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines, recreates and worships in the Richmond. I know the merchants in Laurel Village, not Chestnut Street.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together and makes the most sense, as this is where we spend 90+% of our time.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:23:08 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Louisa Ritter <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, February 21, 2022 11:43 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Louisa Ritter

Email louisaritter4@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in Jordan Park and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines, recreates and worships in the Richmond. I know the merchants in Laurel Village, not Chestnut Street.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together and makes the most sense, as this is where we spend 90+% of our time.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:23:02 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Kimberly Oksenberg <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, February 21, 2022 11:10 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Kimberly Oksenberg

Email koksenberg@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. These neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines, recreates and worships in the Richmond.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together and makes the most sense, as this is where we spend 90+% of our time.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Redistricting map
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:22:54 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

-----Original Message-----

From: William Terheyden <wferdsf@comcast.net>
Sent: Monday, February 21, 2022 10:21 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I strongly support the Redistricting plan submitted by the Coalition of For San Francisco Neighborhoods last week. It is fair and equitable, and will enhance diversity of representation.

William F Terheyden
61 Toledo Way
Lifelong SF resident.
Sent from my iPhone

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:22:50 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Tony Kiehn <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, February 21, 2022 1:59 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Tony Kiehn

Email tk@kiehn.net

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in Jordan Park and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines, recreates and worships in the Richmond. I know the merchants in Laurel Village, not Chestnut Street.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together and makes the most sense, as this is where we spend 90+% of our time.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:22:45 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Katherine Hagan <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Sunday, February 20, 2022 6:18 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Katherine Hagan

Email katie@hagansf.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in Jordan Park and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines, recreates and worships in the Richmond. I know the merchants in Laurel Village, not Chestnut Street.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together and makes the most sense, as this is where we spend 90+% of our time.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:22:39 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Mike Paul <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Sunday, February 20, 2022 4:31 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Mike Paul

Email mikeapaul@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in Jordan Park and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines, recreates and worships in the Richmond. I know the merchants in Laurel Village, not Chestnut Street.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together and makes the most sense, as this is where we spend 90+% of our time.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:22:35 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Julie Paul <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Sunday, February 20, 2022 4:31 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Julie Paul

Email juliepaul164@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 2

Please reunite Jordan Park with the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in Jordan Park and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines, recreates and worships in the Richmond. I know the merchants in Laurel Village, not Chestnut Street.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together and makes the most sense, as this is where we spend 90+% of our time.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Catherine Liddell](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting -- District 6
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:22:28 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[D6 Redistricting February 2022.docx](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Catherine Liddell <clliddell@me.com>
Sent: Saturday, February 19, 2022 4:17 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting -- District 6

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

February 19, 2022

SF Department of Elections

rdtf@sfgov.org;

john.carroll@sfgov.org

Dear Redistricting Task Force members,

Please keep our beloved neighborhoods together in District 6. The South of Market neighborhoods including The East Cut (Rincon, TransBay), South Beach, Yerba Buena, Eastern and Western SoMa, along with Mission Bay and Treasure Island all share common needs and should be viewed together as a unit of Community Interest. We are/were redevelopment areas of the City, building where residential barely existed before. This was a no-man's land "south of the slot" where decent San Franciscans did not wander. Now everybody wants to live and play here.

I have lived here since 1995 and know the neighborhood well. I co-founded the South Beach / Rincon / Mission Bay Neighborhood Association in 2008 and have continued to familiarize myself with the residents and our issues.

The swift growth of our neighborhood has seen the addition of more housing and more residents than any other District in the City. We must stay together – without adding in incongruous adjacent neighborhoods – in order to properly address our common issues.

Just a few of those common issues are:

Wide streets not configured for slower auto speeds or pedestrian safety

Lack of open space and parks

Areas of industrial PDR transforming to a more diverse mix of uses

Close proximity to freeways and on/off ramps, contributing to both pedestrian safety and air quality issues

Adjacent to the Bay/Port with concerns about sea level rise

Lack of schools

We simply must stay together and work together as we have in the past to continue to develop this wonderful part of the City. Please keep the District 6 area south of Market, including Mission Bay and Treasure Island, intact.

Respectfully,

Katy Liddell

403 Main Street #813

San Francisco, CA 94105

415.412.2207

February 19, 2022

SF Department of Elections

rdtf@sfgov.org;

john.carroll@sfgov.org

Dear Redistricting Task Force members,

Please keep our beloved neighborhoods together in District 6. The South of Market neighborhoods including The East Cut (Rincon, TransBay), South Beach, Yerba Buena, Eastern and Western SoMa, along with Mission Bay and Treasure Island all share common needs and should be viewed together as a unit of Community Interest. We are/were redevelopment areas of the City, building where residential barely existed before. This was a no-man's land "south of the slot" where decent San Franciscans did not wander. Now everybody wants to live and play here.

I have lived here since 1995 and know the neighborhood well. I co-founded the South Beach / Rincon / Mission Bay Neighborhood Association in 2008 and have continued to familiarize myself with the residents and our issues.

The swift growth of our neighborhood has seen the addition of more housing and more residents than any other District in the City. We must stay together – without adding in incongruous adjacent neighborhoods – in order to properly address our common issues.

Just a few of those common issues are:

- Wide streets not configured for slower auto speeds or pedestrian safety
- Lack of open space and parks
- Areas of industrial PDR transforming to a more diverse mix of uses
- Close proximity to freeways and on/off ramps, contributing to both pedestrian safety and air quality issues
- Adjacent to the Bay/Port with concerns about sea level rise
- Lack of schools

We simply must stay together and work together as we have in the past to continue to develop this wonderful part of the City. Please keep the District 6 area south of Market, including Mission Bay and Treasure Island, intact.

Respectfully,

Katy Liddell
403 Main Street #813
San Francisco, CA 94105
415.412.2207

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Peter Wong](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting District 2
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:22:14 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Peter Wong <peterwong@cal.berkeley.edu>
Sent: Saturday, February 19, 2022 10:56 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting District 2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

To whom it may concern,

I live at 200 Euclid Avenue, and am writing to express my concern about the redistricting discussions that are currently underway. I live at the edge of the current boundary, and am concerned that the changes to the boundary would put my family in a district with divergent priorities.

Is there something wrong with the current boundaries? As they say, "if it ain't broke, don't fix it."

Thank you for your consideration,

Peter Wong

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Richard Worner](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Kathy Devincenzi](#); [Christopher L. Bowman](#); [Owen Hart](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting plan including Jordan Park in District 2
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:22:10 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Richard Worner <richworner@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, February 19, 2022 9:35 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Kathy Devincenzi <krdevincenzi@gmail.com>; Christopher L. Bowman <chrislb Bowman@sbcglobal.net>; Owen Hart <olhart120@gmail.com>; Stefani, Catherine <caerine.stefani@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting plan including Jordan Park in District 2

John:

I fully support Chris Bowman's redistricting plan.

As a past President of the Jordan Park Improvement Association, I am very aware of the alliances JPIA had with our neighboring Associations: Presidio Heights and Laurel Heights.

Our Associations collaborated on many issues to make our neighborhoods and our City a better place for our constituents and City families to live.

I implore you to keep our Associations TOGETHER in District 2.

We have an excellent relationship with our supervisor, Catherine Stefani and we need to keep that relationship in tack for years to come.

Leave Jordan Park and Laurel Heights in D-2.

Richard Worner

129 Palm Ave, San Francisco, CA 94118

--



COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE CAPITAL (DRE #00554985)

Richard A. Worner

129 Palm Ave.

San Francisco, CA. 94118

Phone: 415-314-5833

Email: worner@sbcglobal.net

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Richard Frisbie](#)
Cc: [Christopher Bowman](#); [Charles Head](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Mullan, Andrew \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Submission of The Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods "Incremental/Minimal Redistricting Plan"
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:21:56 PM
Attachments: [CSFN LETTER OF SUBMISSION TO RDTF with Letterhead and Signature.pdf](#)
[Table of Contents Revised.pdf](#)
[COVER SHEET OVERVIEW.pdf](#)
[Overview of CSFN's Incremental and Minimum Change Redistricting Plan and History of the 1995 Elections Task Force and 2002 and 2012 Redistricting Task Forces \(2\).pdf](#)
[CLB 2020 MAP City-wide with BLACK BOUNDARY LINES.pdf](#)
[COVER SHEET APPENDIX 1.pdf](#)
[Summary of what the CSFN Incremental and Minimum Change Redistricting Plan would accomplish.pdf](#)
[Population and Racial Profiles of San Francisco's Supervisorial Districts Currently and under CSFN's Proposed Incremental, Minimum Change Redistricting Plan \(5\).pdf](#)
[Inventory of the 25 Transfers District to District Proposed in the Incremental and Minimum Change Redistricting Plan \(2\).pdf](#)
[Metes and Bounds for Incremental, Minimum Change Redistricting Plan, Drafted by Christopher L. Bowman, January 22, 2022, and adopted by the Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods.pdf](#)
[COVER SHEET APPENDIX 2.pdf](#)
[Minority report CSFN redistricting 02162022 FINAL v2 \(1\).pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

We have also received this submission in hard-copy form, and we are working in the background to bring the posting of this to the "Maps Submitted from the Public" section of the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information

when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Richard Frisbie <frfbeagle@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, February 18, 2022 5:17 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Christopher Bowman <chrisbowman@sbcglobal.net>; Charles Head <charlesnhead@hotmail.com>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>

Subject: Re: Submission of The Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods "Incremental/Minimal Redistricting Plan"

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

One correction: rather than send maps in a Google Drive Link (due to document size) which is often difficult to access I only sent the City-wide map with the e-mail.

The District maps were submitted via the RDTF Mapping website.

Richard

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 18, 2022, at 4:33 PM, Richard Frisbie <frfbeagle@gmail.com> wrote:

Find attached the package representing the plan developed by Chris Bowman in collaboration with CSFN. To quote from our cover letter(included) "It is the result of extensive research and the thoughtful application of fairness, equity and recognition of Community of Interest." The document consists of the Cover Letter, an Overview Section and two Appendices. The map in the Overview section have also been submitted via the RDTF Mapping Tool website.

If any information appears absent please let me know.

Per previous correspondence we believe this entire document should be posted on the RDTF website as opposed to simply posting the maps as the maps tell only a small portion of the story and cannot/should not be viewed in isolation.

The Maps had to be sent as a Google Drive link due to size and need to placed in the correct location, second, of the Overview section.

Thanks,
Richard Frisbie

281-224-4479



18 February, 2022

SUBJECT: Submission of the Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods “Incremental/Minimal Change Redistricting Plan.”

Reverend Arnold Townsend, Chair and Members
San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
c/o John Carroll, Redistricting Task Force Clerk
City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Rm. 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Chair Townsend and Members,

The Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods, CSFN, is pleased to submit this City-wide map and important relevant information to the Task Force for its consideration. This map has been developed in collaboration with Christopher Bowman, a long-time expert in matters related to elections and redistricting in San Francisco. It is the result of extensive research and the thoughtful application of fairness, equity, and recognition of Community of Interest. The maps were submitted to the RDTF on 18 Feb. 2022 via the RDTF Mapping Tool website.

CSFN is the largest neighborhood coalition in the San Francisco, representing a diverse mix of 24 member neighborhoods spread across the spectrum of San Francisco. CSFN is also the oldest major neighborhood coalition in San Francisco having been active continuously since 1972 (celebrating our 50th anniversary!). We take great pride in our diversity, longevity and commitment to the betterment of all San Franciscans.


The City-wide map submitted was approved by the CSFN General Assembly on 15 February, 2022 and CSFN believes it represents the best solution to the complex issues affecting fair and equitable redistricting.

It is worth noting that not all our members are in agreement with CSFN's map as it relates to their neighborhood/district and have been encouraged to submit specific alternatives for the RDTF's consideration.

We request that our entire submission be posted on the RDTF website as a viewer can only then fully appreciate the detailed analysis that went into creating the map.

We look forward to your considerations.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in dark ink on a light blue background. The signature is written in a cursive style and reads "Charles P. Head".

Charles Head

President, Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods

SAN FRANCISCO INCREMENTAL/MINIMUM CHANGE REDISTRICTING PLAN

Drafted by Christopher L. Bowman in Collaboration with the Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods (CSFN)

Table of Contents

Overview

Overview of the Incremental/Minimum Change Redistricting Plan

Summary of Maps

Appendix 1

Summary of Benefits of the CSFN Proposed Plan

Adjusted 2020 Census Population and Demographics

Inventory of 25 Population Transfers

Metes and Bounds

Appendix 2

Minority Report

OVERVIEW

History, Discussion and Rationale

Supporting Maps

Overview of the CSFN's Incremental/Minimal Change Redistricting Plan
Drafted by Christopher L. Bowman, January 22, 2022
Adopted by the Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods, February 15, 2022
Submitted to the Redistricting Task Force, February 18, 2022

Dear Chair Townsend and Members of the Redistricting Task Force:

On February 15, 2022, by a super-majority, the Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods adopted the third draft of the Incremental/Minimum Change Redistricting Plan drafted at our request by Christopher L. Bowman on January 22, 2022.

Chris, a long-time friend of CSFN, was a member of the nine-member 1995 Elections Task Force which drew the district elections plan approved by the voters in 1996, and was used to elect eleven members of the Board of Supervisors in 2000, and has since submitted redistricting plans in 2011 and 2021 to the California Citizens Redistricting Commission, a plan to the 2012 SFRTF, and two plans to the San Mateo Board of Supervisors in 2013 and 2021.

Today, the Coalition is submitting our adopted redistricting plan to the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force, including our proposed citywide map, this overview with two appendices, population and racial profiles comparing our proposed districts to the current (2012) districts, an inventory of the 25 changes we propose to the current plan including the population and racial CVAP for each change, and the metes and bounds for our plan.

Our plan accommodates the population growth in Districts 6 and 10 in an equitable fashion throughout the City, balancing for population +/- 5% of the mean population for a district, and limiting the size of the transfers from Districts 6 and 10 to Districts 3, 5, and 9 to the absolute minimum allowable under the law, to reduce the ripple effects on the remaining districts.

By so doing, we minimize the number of San Franciscans who will find themselves in a new district after April 15th (when the Task Force adopts its final plan), to just 74,327 residents or 8.49% of the City's population, and through incremental change balancing for population maintain the social-economic, racial, cultural, and political character of all the current districts. To the maximum extent possible, we have kept or made neighborhoods and districts whole and in the case of the Inner Sunset which is already divided into three districts we reduce that division to two districts, with the western portion west of 12th Avenue which is heavily Asian going to District 4 and the eastern portion between 12th and 5th Avenue which has an economic Community of Interest with the residents of Sunset Heights of District 7 who shop, dine, and receive personal services and care in the commercial district centered at 9th and Irving going to District 7

We have also made the UCSF – Parnassus campus whole, restored some of the 1995 boundaries of the original plan, and adjusted districts based on topography or freeways,

Finally, we've incrementally increased Asian CVAP in Districts 2, 3, 5, 10, and 11 and through surgically precise transfers between District 5 and Districts 2 and 8, between District 10 and Districts 6 and 9, and between District 8 and 9, increased the Black CVAP in Districts 5, 6, and 10, and the Hispanic CVAP in District 9.

On the westside of the City, we recognize that the Asian CVAP in Districts 1 and 4 must decline, so the transfers we have proposed to bring those districts over 95% of the mean population were designed to minimize that decline, while other proposals that have been made to the Task Force to put all of NOPA and Anza Vista into District 1 and all of the Inner Sunset into District 4 would cause two to three times the decline in Asian CVAP in those districts than under our plan.

We acknowledge that some of our member organizations disagreed with our proposal as it affected Districts 3 and 4, and we have included their minority report in Appendix 2, but we would suggest that while their plans taken in isolation for their respective districts may make perfect sense, they have not explored the ramifications and adverse ripple effects of their plans on neighboring districts or in the rest of the City, and unless they can submit a city-wide map or maps centered on their home districts that works for all eleven districts, their dissent carries less weight with us, and hopefully also with the Task Force.

In conclusion, it is important that redistricting not become a zero-sum game. That's one reason Supervisor Hallinan in 1994 proposed creating the Elections Task Force which had three members appointed by the Mayor, three by the Board of Supervisors, and three by the Registrar of Voters, whose members represented the diversity of the City. They drafted a plan in which every major stakeholder of the City felt it had a decent chance to elect one of their own to the Board or influence what candidate outside their community was elected who would represent their interest.

In redistricting the key is to give people what they need versus what they want (which normally is at other people's expense). We believe that our plan provides a "win/win" for all major stakeholders of the City including our dozens of diverse and unique neighborhoods and communities.

Historical Background

To put the current (2012) Redistricting plan and CSFN's plan in their proper context, we need to go back 28 years, when Supervisor Terence Hallinan and the majority of his colleagues on the Board of Supervisors placed Proposition L on the November 1994 ballot calling for the creation of the nine-member 1995 Elections Task Force which would present to the Board of Supervisors a plan or plans to provide a different method for electing the Board of Supervisors, taking into account the number of Supervisors San Francisco should have, the pay for Supervisors, the costs

of running for Supervisor, and representation of the diversity of the City's neighborhoods and communities.

The measure won at the polls and Supervisor Hallinan lobbied the three appointing authorities (the Mayor, Board of Supervisors, and Germaine Wong -- the Director of Elections) to appoint members to the Task Force who represented the political, social, economic, and racial diversity that was San Francisco at the time.

In response, the appointing authorities appointed to the Task Force three Asians (Samson Wong, Dale Shimasaki, and Eric Mar), one Hispanic (Ramon Arias), one African American (Gwenn Craig, who Chaired the Task Force), and four Whites (Chris Bowman, Dale Butler, Nancy Lenvin, and Carmen White). Most of its members were registered Democrats, but Chris Bowman was active with the Republican Party and Log Cabin and Carmen White was with the Green Party. There were three women, including a Lesbian -- Gwenn Craig who was the former Co-Chair of the Harvey Milk Democratic Club and a former Police Commissioner, and four attorneys (Ramon Arias who was with Bay Area Legal Aid, Dale Butler who represented the SF Labor Council, Nancy Lenvin -- a real estate attorney, and Eric Mar who was the Assistant Dean of the New College School of Law.

The Task Force convened in January 1995, and on May 1, 1995 submitted a 600 page report to the Board, and recommended four different methods to elect Supervisors -- including a return to District Elections.

The Task Force reconvened at the War Memorial Building (as City Hall was closed for retrofitting) on September 7, 1995, to draft and approve a district elections plan. Task Force members Nancy Lenvin, Carmen White, and Samson Wong served through May 1st, and were replaced by their appointees by Susan Horsfall, Betty Traynor, and Henry Louie.

Supervisor Terence Hallinan stressed to the Task Force members the importance of ensuring that each major stakeholder of the City -- Asians, Blacks, Hispanics, union households, LGBTs, homeowners, renters, and Republicans (who at the time were 17% of the registered voters of the City) had a critical mass of voters in one district (or more) so they could elect at least one of their own to the Board or influence who outside their community would best represent them on the Board.

By so doing, neighborhoods and communities would become invested in the line-drawing process and the final map and would approve the plan at the polls. (The previous three progressive district elections plans authored by Calvin Welch, Sue Hestor and their allies, had failed three times at the polls from 1980 to 1987, and Hallinan didn't want to see another defeat at the polls.)

The Task Force held a number of citywide and neighborhood meetings to get community input and feedback to multiple draft maps prepared by the Task Force's redistricting consultant, Professor Rich DeLeon of San Francisco State and his graduate assistant, Lisel Blash. Neighborhood hearings were held at the Laurel Heights Campus of UCSF, the Chinese Cultural Center, New College on Valencia, the Southeast Community Facility, and the County Fair Building at 9th and Lincoln.

After reviewing public comments to the draft maps the Task Force narrowed down to the selection to two maps. After being deadlocked, the Task Force decided to merge the two maps and adjusted boundaries to balance for population, make or keep neighborhoods and communities whole whenever possible, to combine neighborhoods and communities with common interests and demographics, and propensity to vote into the same district.

The final map was approved in late November, and the plan including the map, statistics, metes and bounds, and language of the proposed Charter Amendment which would be placed on the ballot to usher in the return of District Elections was submitted to the Board at the end of the month.

The Task Force largely followed Supervisor Hallinan's guidance that every major stakeholder had a critical mass to win in one or more districts, and the Task Force believed that they created a plan in which Asians would have a good shot of being elected in Districts 1, 3, and 4, Blacks in Districts 5 and 10, Hispanics in District 9, LGBTs in District 8, Union Households in District 11, and Republicans or moderate Democrats in Districts 2 and 7, and District 6 was what was left over albeit one could argue its Community of Interest was that, at the time the plan was approved, 94% of its residents were renters.

In December of 1995, the Board was deadlocked 5 to 5 on placing the Charter Amendment on the ballot – four of the five opponents didn't want to see a return of District Elections, and the fifth Supervisor, Jose Medina, didn't like how the lines of District 11 were drawn. So it wasn't until the following Summer that enough pressure had mounted on Supervisors who were on the fence and some backroom deals took place to delay the return of District Elections until 2000 to allow Supervisors elected to a second term in 1994 and 1996 the ability finish their service on the Board without having to run in a district, that seven Supervisors placed the Charter Amendment (Prop G) on the November 6, 1996 Presidential General Election ballot.

The Task Force members thought they had gotten it right and the voters seemed to confirm that opinion when they passed Prop. G with 56.7% of the vote and Prop. G won in 24 of 25 of the City's neighborhoods as defined by the Department of Elections.

Four years later district voters elected 11 District Supervisors to the Board, including two Hispanics, one African American, one Asian, and two Gay men. The new Board included 3 Liberals, 7 Progressives, and Tony Hall who caucused with the Progressives.

The 2002 Redistricting.

The political landscape in 2001 was highly polarized between the Liberal Machine Democrats led by Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr. and the Progressive majority on the Board led by Aaron Peskin. The progressives and the machine Democrats also split the rest of the elected officials of the City, with a slight edge to the Progressives.

The Progressives believed that if the Redistricting Task Force were seated before the Elections Commission (which would be empowered to make the three appointments to the Redistricting Task Force instead of the Director of Elections) took office in January 2002, the Mayor would have six votes on the Task Force and his majority would use the redistricting process to exact revenge on his political opponents on the Board. (In reality, the Director of Elections was appointed by the City Administrator and was largely insulated from political pressure.) Supervisor Chris Daly placed on the November 2001 ballot Prop. G (which would delay the seating of the Task Force until 2002), and the voters believing that his measure represented reform rather than a naked power grab, approved the measure.

So the Elections Commission met and appointed two progressives and Claudine Cheng to the Task Force. The Board appointed two Progressives to the Task Force and a Tony Hall supporter who ultimately caucused with the Progressives to form a 5-4 majority on the Task Force.

In 95% of the changes made to the districts, the Task Force voted unanimously as they were pro-forma transfers from one district to another to balance for population. The major non-controversial changes in 2002 included:

- * The USF campus was made whole in District 1 and District 1 moved east to Masonic between Geary and Fulton to include all of Lone Mountain;

- * District 3 picked up five blocks of Russian Hill and expanded south to Post and Geary to include Union Square;

- * the northern and southern boundaries of District 5 were compressed and the district moved east from Laguna to parts of Gough;

- * District 8 moved east from Guerrero to parts of Valencia and south and west of Bosworth to pick up the eastern part of Sunnyside;

*the northern border of District 10 was extended from 17th Street to Townsend; and

*Merced Extension Triangle (METNA) was transferred from District 11 to District 7.

The Task Force messed up by dividing Parnassus Heights between Districts 5 and 7, because the consultant was unwilling to split a census block that overlapped the boundary of Parnassus Heights and the UCSF – Parnassus campus.

The major controversy which divided the Task Force by a 5 to 4 vote was what to do with the Portola District, which under the 1995 Plan was divided with 28% in District 11 and 72% in District 10, and secondarily whether Potrero Hill and Dog Patch were good fits for District 10, or better fits for District 6.

District 11 was over-populated so it needed to jettison its portion of the Portola.

The Liberals on the Task Force wanted to unite the Portola and assign it to District 10, and transfer Potrero Hill and Dog Patch to District 6 on the grounds that the Portola was demographically similar to the rest of District 10 south of Cesar Chavez, and that Potrero Hill and Dog Patch were more affluent and far less diverse than the rest of District 10 and had a high propensity to vote, whereas Bayview Hunters Point and Visitacion Valley had two of the four lowest propensities to vote in the City.

The Progressives argued that there was a historic link between the two neighborhoods and Bayview Hunters Point on environmental, health, and other issues, but the key issue not mentioned in the public debate was that Supervisor Sophie Maxwell's base was in Potrero Hill and even though she no longer lived in the neighborhood, where her mom, Enola, was a powerhouse. She was elected in 2000 over Linda Richardson (who was backed by the Mayor and won in the rest of the district south of Cesar Chavez) because of the votes she received from the two neighborhoods.

The "solution" proposed by the Progressives and passed on a 5 to 4 vote was to move the northern half of the Portola across I-280 into District 9, which had few working class Asian homeowners (who constituted a majority of the Portola's population), and the portion of the Portola in District 10 dropped from 72% to 50%. To accommodate such a large transfer of half of the Portola into District 9 required that part of the northern border of the District 9 be moved south to 20th – thus, not only was the Portola split 50/50 but so too was the Inner Mission.

The 2012 Redistricting.

The Director of Elections, John Arntz, reported to the Board that new Redistricting Task Force needed to be impaneled because Districts 6, 10, and 11 were over-populated beyond the 105% limit allowable under "One Person/One Vote".

The 2012 Task Force was more racially diverse than the 1995 ETF and 2002 RTF with two African Americans, two Hispanics, three Asians including an Filipina, a white resident of District 7, and David Pilpel, also White, who had been appointed by the Elections Commission. As Ed Lee was Mayor, he didn't appoint members with a political agenda but rather appointed current and former commissioners or bureaucrats. The Task Force leaned left but there were few 5 to 4 votes during its tenure.

Again, most of the changes revolved around Districts 6, 10, and 11, and the incremental changes between districts to balance for population were largely non-controversial, including:

*District 3 continued to move south to include most of the tourist hotels and the theater district and its southern boundary with District 6 (the northern border of the Tenderloin) was determined by homelessees, tenant, and non-profit affordable housing activists.

*District 4 which was spared adjustments to its boundaries in 2002 was under-populated and crossed 19th Avenue to pick up 4 blocks of the Inner Sunset. District 7 already had 10 blocks of the Inner Sunset, from 19th Avenue to 9th Avenue between Judah and Kirkham.

*By using a service road on the UCSF Parnassus campus, Parnassus Heights was made whole again, and District 5 was again its home.

*The western boundary of District 6 continued to move eastward out of the Western Addition and its new western boundary was Van Ness from just north of Geary to Market.

*District 8's eastern boundary again moved east to the entire length of Valencia.

*District 11 again had to make painful cuts, this time between Ocean and Holloway from Ashton to Harold – thus losing to District 7 the southern side of the Ocean Avenue Commercial Strip which had served residents of the OMI for decades, and the triangle north of Mission Terrace from Tingsley to I-280 and Alemany which was transferred to District 8. Minor changes were made to the border of the Excelsior and Portola Districts east of Madison.

On the controversial side, but ultimately eight Task Force members opposed all aspects of his plan, David Pilpel submitted his redistricting plan before any member of the public did and his colleagues and members of the public spent nearly two months trying to shelve his proposal for

each district, including splitting District 5 along Geary, which divided Japantown and the Asian enclave of District 5. To assuage leaders of the Japanese Community who were alarmed and offended by his proposal, the Task Force listened carefully to the Japanese non-profit leaders to embrace a northern boundary of District 5 which included all major cultural, religious, and social services institutions in Greater Japantown. The resulting northern boundary for District 5 looked like a jagged jigsaw puzzle. Counter intuitively, the expansion actually lowered the Asian CVAP for the district because the northern parts of Greater Japantown had become predominantly White.

The major controversy was what to do with the Portola and the Inner Mission. Both had been split 50/50 in 2002.

The Liberals, neighborhood activists, and a coalition led by San Francisco Association of Realtors called for both the Inner Mission and the Portola to be made whole, with the Inner Mission in District 9 all the way to Duboce and Division and Hwy. 101, and that the Portola be solely in District 10.

The Progressives prevailed by making the Portola intact, but placing it in its entirety into District 9, and the Inner Mission was nearly made whole from Valencia to Bryant, but with District 10 extending west to Bryant between Division and 20th.

In conclusion, even though there was discord on the 2002 and 2011-2012 Task Forces over the Portola, there was broad agreement on the rest of the incremental changes to the 1995 lines. Significantly, the current districts boundaries are over 90% the same as the 1995 districts boundaries, and as such it would appear that the diverse members of the two Redistricting Task Forces largely agreed with how the 1995 district lines were drawn.

This would suggest that were the 2021-2022 Redistricting Task Force to follow the precedence set by the 2002 and 2012 Redistricting Task Forces to make incremental changes to existing districts, that CSFN's Incremental/Minimum Change Redistricting Plan CSFN is submitting would be in keeping with that approach. We would also argue they should be loathe to make radical changes to existing districts including, but not limited to, moving Potrero Hill and Dog Patch into District 6 as it would create major disruptive ripple effects across the City including Districts 2, 4, 5, 7, 8 and possibly Districts 9 and 11 leading to far more San Franciscans than the 74,327 residents under our plan who would find themselves in a new district after April 15th.


ng Tool



Languages



Help



① OVERALL WITH BOUNDARIES

APPENDIX 1

Summary of Benefits of Proposed Plan

Adjusted 2020 Census Population and Demographics

Inventory of 25 Population Transfer

Metes and Bounds

**SUMMARY OF WHAT THE CSFN'S INCREMENTAL/MINIMAL CHANGE
REDISTRICTING PLAN WOULD ACCOMPLISH IF ADOPTED BY THE SFRTF**

Minimize the impact of transferring excess population from Districts 10 and 6 on the neighboring Districts 3, 5, 9 and contain the ripple effects on the rest of the City.

Under the CSFN plan, District 10 would transfer 2,368 residents between 16th and Townsend (to include Showplace Square) to District 6 and another 3,424 residents to District 9 west of Hwy. 101 to Potrero between 20th and Cesar Chavez and from Division to 20th between Hwy. 101/San Bruno and Bryant, making the Inner Mission whole.

The minimal number of residents allowable under "One Person/One Vote" would be transferred from District 6 to Districts 3 and 5, e.g., 16,089 residents neighboring Moscone Center (mostly s Chinese and Filipino seniors living in affordable housing) and on Rincon Hill from 5th Street to the Embarcadero, between Market and Harrison; and 6,228 residents from 9th Street and Folsom west to where the Central Freeway meets Market Street to District 5. (After all the transfers into and out of District 6 were made, District 6's population would be 104.95% of the mean population for a district, just 0.05% below the legal limit.)

District 3, in turn, would transfer the rest of Russian Hill (9,136 residents) to District 2 so that Russian Hill would be made basically whole (three blocks on the southwest corner of the official boundaries of Russian Hill with 1,159 residents would remain in District 3, as they are adjacent to Chinatown and together have an Asian CVAP of 65.96%); and District 2 in turn, would transfer Sea Cliff and the Lake Street corridor (4,783 residents) to District 1.

District 5, which was already somewhat over-populated at 101.49% of the mean population for a district before taking in part of the excess population from District 6, would transfer 5,558 residents from the Inner Sunset to District 7 and another 2,387 residents of the Inner Sunset to District 4. Currently 29.62% of the Inner Sunset Neighborhood Commercial District whose boundaries of 19th to 5th Avenues between Lincoln and Kirkham are set by SEC. 730 of the City's Planning Code is in Districts 7 (20.10%) and 4 (9.52%). The dividing line between District 4 and District 7 would be 12th Avenue between Lincoln and Kirkham and if these transfers took place, District 4 would have 47.34% and District 7 would have 53.66% of the Inner Sunset Neighborhood Commercial District.

Districts 8 and 11 would be unaffected by the ripple effects.

Limit to a Minimum the Number of San Franciscans who will find themselves in a new district should the CSFN redistricting plan be adopted by the Redistricting Task Force.

Under the CSFN Plan only 74,327 San Franciscans or 8.49% of all 874,993 San Franciscans would be transferred from their current district to a new district. If you look at the itemizations of the 25 changes this plan proposes for the current districts, 53,647 San Franciscans would be transferred from one district to another to balance for population; 4,661 would be transferred

SUMMARY OF WHAT THE CSFN'S INCREMENTAL/MINIMAL CHANGE
REDISTRICTING PLAN WOULD ACCOMPLISH IF ADOPTED BY THE SFRTF
Page 2

from Districts 7, 8, and 10 to make District 11 whole again, 2,038 would be transferred to conform district lines to topographical or man-made features or commercial district boundaries; and 13,981 would be transferred by adjusting the borders of District 5 with Districts 2 and 8, and between Districts 8 and 9, to increase the Black CVAP in District 5 from 9.14% to 10.34%. and marginally increase the Hispanic CVAP in District 9 from 26.75% to 26.89%.

Restore most of the 1995 boundaries of the OMI and District 11.

After extensive consultation with community leaders from the OMI, Mission Terrace, the Excelsior, and Crocker Amazon, three portions of District 11 which were transferred to Districts 7, 8, and 10 by the 2002 and 2012 Redistricting Task Forces because District 11 was significantly over-populated at the time would be restored to District 11 under the CSFN plan. They would include Ocean Avenue to Holloway between Ashton and Harold and the triangle bordered by Ocean and Geneva, and I-280, from District 7; the triangle bordered by Tingsley, I-280, and Alemany from District 8; and south on Geneva to Carter from District 10. And this is all accomplished by District 11 growing from 94.70% to just 100.31% of the mean population for a district.

Restore additional parts of the 1995 map.

Beyond restoring most of the OMI and District 11, the CSFN Plan calls for the following restorations:

- * Returning the eastern portion of Sunnyside to District 7 by moving the boundary with District 8 from Congo and Joost east to Bosworth and the BART station;
- * Along Hwy. 101 from Mariposa to Cesar Chavez between Districts 9 and 10, transferring to District 9 the rest of the Inner Mission, including General Hospital;
- * Along 16th Street from Hwy. 101 to Pennsylvania defining the northern border of Potrero Hill and District 10.³
- * Along Lake Street from 5th to Arguello between Districts 1 and 2 separating the Inner Richmond from Presidio Terrace;
- * Along California Street from Baker to Steiner between Districts 2 and 5, restoring several blocks of the Western Addition to District 5;

SUMMARY OF WHAT THE CSFN'S INCREMENTAL/MINIMAL CHANGE
REDISTRICTING PLAN WOULD ACCOMPLISH IF ADOPTED BY THE SFRTF
Page 3

* Along St. Joseph's between Geary and Turk between Districts 2 and 5, restoring three blocks of the Western Addition to District 5; and

* Along the eastern border of the UCSF – Parnassus campus between UCSF and Parnassus Heights between Districts 7 and 5 so that the entire campus south of Parnassus is in District 7;

Make the UCSF – Parnassus Campus whole.

One of the few areas that the 1995 ETF failed to research before approving its lines is that it divided USF into three (not just two) districts, with the Koret Health and Recreation Center, soccer fields, and faculty parking garage in District 1, the main campus in District 2, and the USF Law School and Library, and its nursing school and St. Mary's Hospital in District 5. All of USF and St. Mary's Hospital were made whole in District 1 by the 2002 Task Force.

The Parnassus campus of UCSF has continued to be divided at Parnassus with 80% of the campus and 100% of its student housing in District 7, and the rest of the campus which includes its multi-story parking garage, Student Union, bookstore, library, Ambulatory Care Center, and Department of Neurological Surgery in District 5. The CSFN plan would include the entire Parnassus campus in District 7, by moving District 7 north of Parnassus.

Set District boundaries along topographical divides and Commercial District Boundaries.

By and large, the Election Task Force in 1995 set district boundaries along geographic divides and man-made barriers. Thus, portions or all of Van Ness, Market, Hwy. 101, I-280, Bosworth and O'Shaughnessy, Twin Peaks Blvd., Golden Gate Park, 19th Avenue, Sloat, Ocean, and the western border of McLaren Park served as district boundaries in the 1995 plan.

The major geographic divide for San Francisco east/west generally runs from Buena Vista Park, along Twin Peaks Blvd., and along O'Shaughnessy with District 8 largely on the east side of the divide.

There are two exceptions which the CSFN plan addresses.

The first is that Ashbury Heights, Clifford Terrace, and Mt. Olympus are west of the east/west topographic divide and are part of the Community of Interest that includes all of Cole Valley from Frederick to Clarendon, but they have been in District 8 since 1995. The CSFN Plan transfers these neighborhoods to District 5.

SUMMARY OF WHAT THE CSFN'S INCREMENTAL/MINIMAL CHANGE
REDISTRICTING PLAN WOULD ACCOMPLISH IF ADOPTED BY THE SFRTF
Page 4

The second is that south of Twin Peaks, the topographic divide is not Twin Peaks Blvd. to Portola, but from Twin Peaks Blvd to the southern end of Crestline and along Burnett to Portola. The residences to the west of that divide are also in District 8, but they are zoned RH-1, while almost all of the housing to the east are apartment buildings. Google Maps shows the area as part of Midtown Terrace. The CSFN plan transfers this neighborhood to District 7.

CSFN also recognizes that wherever possible the core of commercial zones should be made or kept whole. To wit there are three blocks bordered by Columbus, Leavenworth, Beach, Hyde, and the Bay. They are currently in District 2, but are the western-most block of Fisherman's Wharf along Jefferson which is primarily in District 3. The CSNF plan unites Fisherman's Wharf and assigns the entire commercial district to District 3.

Consistent with using good redistricting principles create an Asian, Hispanic, or Black CVAP majority district where there was previously an Asian, Hispanic, or Black CVAP plurality district, or create an Asian, Hispanic, or Black plurality district where there was previously a White CVAP plurality district. By happenstance, the transfer of 16,089 majority Asian CVAP residents from District 6 to District 3 would turn District 3 under the CSFN plan from a White CVAP plurality district to an Asian CVAP plurality district, e.g., from a 47.51% to 40.23% district to a 43.69% to 44.20% district. Additionally, by restoring most of the 2002 boundaries of District 11, the Asian CVAP would increase from 55.98% to 56.46%.

As a positive side-effect of following good redistricting principles there would be an enhancement of the electoral power of racial groups in several districts. Under the CSFN plan, this would be accomplished by shrinking Districts 6 and 10 so that the Hispanic CVAP and Black CVAP in District 6 would increase, respectively, from 12.42% to 13.91% and from 10.53% to 11.51% in District 6, and the Black CVAP would increase from 18.87% to 19.80% in District 10

Adjusted 2020 Census Populations and Demographics for the Proposed Revised Incremental/Minimum Change Redistricting Plan
 Drafted by Christopher L. Bowman on January 22, 2022 versus the Current (2012) Redistricting Plan
 Source: redrawmysf.publicredistricting.com, San Francisco Redistricting Tool
 Data Compiled and Organized by Christopher L. Bowman

Proposed Revised Incremental/Minimum Change Redistricting Plan

District	Population	% of Mean Population	% Total Population by Race				% Voting Age Population by Race				% of CVAP by Race			
			WH	AS	HI	BL	WH	AS	HI	BL	WH	AS	HI	BL
1	77,478	97.40%	43.53%	41.51%	9.04%	2.56%	44.12%	41.42%	8.70%	2.61%	47.08%	41.57%	7.05%	2.92%
2	78,305	98.44%	68.25%	19.07%	7.82%	1.98%	69.23%	18.75%	7.45%	1.92%	73.75%	17.37%	6.42%	1.69%
3	79,439	99.87%	37.70%	47.38%	8.65%	3.65%	39.12%	46.62%	8.25%	3.55%	43.69%	44.20%	6.65%	3.87%
4	76,548	96.23%	32.66%	55.02%	7.90%	1.76%	33.34%	54.95%	7.54%	1.76%	35.73%	53.44%	7.29%	1.79%
5	80,744	101.51%	50.50%	24.26%	11.38%	9.90%	51.84%	24.26%	10.71%	9.39%	59.12%	20.35%	8.17%	10.34%
6	83,480	104.95%	32.10%	35.08%	18.09%	10.12%	33.53%	35.07%	17.14%	10.28%	39.91%	31.67%	13.91%	11.51%
7	78,316	98.45%	43.24%	38.05%	11.49%	3.88%	44.33%	37.50%	11.07%	4.06%	47.71%	35.73%	11.08%	4.08%
8	82,246	103.40%	58.82%	19.27%	14.75%	3.55%	60.26%	18.78%	14.15%	3.44%	66.74%	16.95%	11.25%	3.84%
9	78,244	98.36%	32.54%	26.65%	32.51%	4.20%	34.09%	27.86%	30.82%	4.24%	40.64%	26.44%	26.89%	4.79%
10	80,402	101.08%	17.00%	38.49%	22.43%	17.04%	18.90%	39.84%	20.10%	16.61%	20.03%	42.82%	14.47%	19.80%
11	79,791	100.03%	13.02%	53.25%	27.06%	4.44%	13.79%	53.81%	25.62%	4.67%	15.53%	56.46%	21.48%	5.40%
Total	874,993		39.01%	36.16%	15.65%	5.80%	40.53%	36.01%	14.60%	5.71%	45.41%	36.92%	12.04%	6.01%

Current (2012) Redistricting Plan

District	Population	% of Mean Population	% Total Population by Race				% Voting Age Population by Race				% of CVAP by Race			
			WH	AS	HI	BL	WH	AS	HI	BL	WH	AS	HI	BL
1	72,848	91.58%	42.14%	42.74%	9.17%	2.64%	42.74%	42.57%	8.86%	2.68%	45.59%	42.62%	9.17%	3.10%
2	76,363	96.00%	68.62%	18.25%	7.93%	2.10%	69.66%	17.87%	7.56%	2.05%	74.60%	16.56%	6.26%	1.79%
3	72,474	91.11%	40.80%	44.77%	8.63%	3.19%	42.22%	43.95%	8.26%	3.13%	47.51%	40.23%	6.86%	3.76%
4	72,784	91.50%	31.74%	56.02%	7.87%	1.74%	32.37%	56.03%	7.50%	1.73%	34.97%	54.25%	7.29%	1.76%
5	80,728	101.49%	51.79%	24.40%	10.95%	9.35%	53.07%	24.09%	10.38%	8.92%	60.42%	20.17%	8.53%	9.14%
6	103,429	130.03%	32.91%	36.75%	17.06%	9.36%	34.30%	36.73%	15.68%	9.43%	39.49%	34.82%	12.42%	10.53%
7	75,198	94.54%	41.61%	39.45%	11.71%	3.95%	42.63%	38.94%	11.30%	4.15%	45.89%	37.70%	10.91%	4.03%
8	82,768	104.05%	59.13%	19.65%	14.05%	3.50%	60.63%	19.07%	13.48%	3.40%	66.16%	17.14%	11.40%	3.95%
9	75,886	95.40%	31.70%	27.91%	33.32%	4.07%	33.24%	28.15%	31.60%	4.10%	40.20%	27.17%	26.75%	4.75%
10	86,194*	108.36%	18.29%	38.26%	22.22%	16.27%	20.31%	39.44%	19.92%	16.27%	21.66%	42.17%	14.55%	18.90%
11	76,321*	95.95%	12.81%	53.18%	27.37%	4.44%	13.61%	53.74%	25.90%	4.66%	15.25%	55.98%	22.06%	5.54%
Total	874,993		39.01%	36.16%	15.65%	5.80%	40.53%	36.01%	14.60%	5.71%	45.41%	36.92%	12.04%	6.01%

NOTES: *The U.S. Census Bureau between the 2010 and 2020 Decennial Censuses redrew the boundaries of at least four census blocks in San Francisco that were on the border of six of San Francisco's Supervisorial districts. They now overlap districts rather than separate them. Rather than attempt to estimate the population of each portion of a split census block, the redistricting consultant, Q² for Redistricting Task Force arbitrarily assigned the whole census block to one or the other district. We agree with their choices in the cases of the Districts 6 and 9 boundary along Division, the Districts 5 and 7 boundary in the area of Clarendon west of Stanyan, and between Districts 7 and 11 between Ocean and Geneva, but disagree with the allocation of a split census block and a whole census block which it borders being assigned to District 11 instead of District 10 east of 1600 Geneva to Carter. Having said that we have incorporated the consultant's lines for Districts 10 and 11, replicated the two districts using the SF Mapping tool, matched the consultant's estimated population for each district and used the mapping tool's calculation of the racial profiles of both districts based on Total Population, Voting Age Population, and Citizen Voting Age Population. The current districts' populations listed above which match the latest calculation by Q² of the districts' populations vary slightly with the district populations reported by Director Arntz to the Board of Supervisors when the adjusted population data were released in late September.

Districts which are a majority of a particular racial group are highlighted in **bold yellow**. Those where a racial group represents a plurality are highlighted in **yellow**.

FINDINGS: On the basis of total adjusted population, Districts 2, 5, and 8 are White Majority districts and Districts 4 and 11 are Asian Majority districts. Additionally, District 7 is a White Plurality district while Districts 1, 3, 6, and 10 are Asian Plurality districts, and District 9 is a Hispanic Plurality district. Blacks were the 4th largest racial group in each of the 11 districts.

A better gauge of estimating the actual voting strength by race, is to use the district's Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP), given that a large percentage of first-generation Hispanics and Asians who are of voting age have not yet been naturalized. Using that yardstick, Whites continue to be a majority in Districts 2, 5, and 8 (with significantly larger majorities than reflected in their percentage of the total population) and Districts 1, 3, 6, 7, and 9 are White Plurality districts. Districts 4 and 11 remain Asian Majority districts while District 10 remains an Asian Plurality district. Hispanics are outnumbered by Asians in District 11 by a ratio of 2.5 to 1 for a distant second place and in District 9, they are in third place just behind Asians, and in third place ahead of Blacks in District 6. Blacks are in third place in District 10 with 18.90% compared with 21.66% for Whites and 42.17% for Asians as well as in District 5 where they are outnumbered by Asians by a larger than 2 to 1 ratio. The only district other than District 10 where Blacks constitute more than 10% is in District 6 with 10.53% of the total.

CSFN's proposed Incremental/Minimal Change Redistricting plan beyond ensuring that Districts 10 and 6 which had become significantly over-populated since the 2010 Census would now be in compliance with the "One Person/One Vote" constitutional requirement, with the transfer of over 16,000 residents (the majority of whom were Asian CVAP) from District 6 to District 3, the plan would change District 3 from being a White CVAP Plurality district to an Asian CVAP Plurality district. Additionally, by carefully adjusting the boundaries between Districts 3 and 2, Districts 5 and 8, and between Districts 8 and 9, the plan marginally increases Black, Asian, and Hispanic CVAPs. By restoring many of its 2002 boundaries Asian CVAP in District 11 would increase from 55.98% to 56.46%. Asian CVAP would also increase in District 2 from 16.56% to 17.37%, in District 10 from 42.17% to 42.82%, and in District 5 from 20.17% to 20.35%. Black CVAP would increase from 9.14% to 10.34% in District 5, from 10.53% to 11.51% in District 6, and in District 10 from 18.90% to 19.80%. Hispanic CVAP would increase from 12.42% to 13.91% in District 6 and from 26.75% to 26.89% in District 9 (with Hispanics becoming the second largest racial group ahead of Asians in that district).

On the westside of the City, Districts 1 and 4 are significantly under-populated, and given that the adjacent neighborhoods from which the districts would pick up population are less and sometimes much less Asian, the plan carefully added only those neighborhoods which would not significantly dilute the voting power of Asians in those districts. In the case of D4, the district would pick up just ten blocks from District 5 which are 32.56% Asian CVAP but also 7 blocks from District 7 which are 47.26% Asian CVAP. For District 1, the options were between adding Sea Cliff and the Lake Street corridor to the district or transferring the equivalent number of residents from NOPA. Sea Cliff is over 28% Asian CVAP and the Lake Street corridor is over 16% Asian CVAP while NOPA is barely 12% Asian CVAP. As a result, Asian CVAP in the districts under CSFN's plan would decline slightly from 54.25% to 53.44% in District 4 and from 42.62% to 41.57% in District 1. Alternative proposals would have made the loss of Asian CVAP far worse. Based on testimony before the Task Force at its Districts 1, 4, and 5 hearings, if the Task Force followed some of the suggestions, all of NOPA and Anza Vista would be added to District 1, resulting in Asian CVAP dropping from 42.62% to 39.11%, and in D4, if all of the Inner Sunset (as defined by the Inner Sunset Merchants, running from Arguello to 19th) were added to District 4, it would result in a drop of Asian CVAP from 54.25% to 51.75%.

Inventory of the 25 Transfers District to District in the Proposed Revised Incremental/Minimum Change Redistricting Plan

Drafted by Christopher L Bowman, January 22, 2022

Adopted by the Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods, February 15, 2002

	<u>Population</u>	<u>White</u>	<u>Asian</u>	<u>Percentage CVAP</u> <u>Hispanic</u>	<u>Black</u>
<u>From District 1:</u>					
Census block immediately south of the USF Law School and north of Grove between Cole and Shrader From D1 to D5	153	55.19%	22.38%	7.14%	9.74%
<u>From District 2:</u>					
Sea Cliff; the Lake Street Corridor; the former Public Health Hospital; and California to Lake, 5 th to Arguello From D2 to D1	4,783	73.02%	22.64%	3.96%	0.175
Fisherman's Wharf between Hyde and Leavenworth, and the Bay to Beach and Columbus From D2 to D3	12	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
St. Joseph's to Broderick between O'Farrell and Turk From D2 to D5	289	54.29%	14.76%	3.81%	9.52%
Parts of Lower Pacific Heights between Presidio and Steiner, and California and Geary From D2 to D5	2,955	66.97%	16.47%	6.95%	7.65%
Parts of Cathedral Hill between Gough and Van Ness, and Bush and Geary/Post From D2 to D5	1,467	42.20%	44.16%	5.51%	4.86%
<u>From District 3:</u>					
Rest of Russian Hill not in D2 generally from Van Ness to Mason and Columbus, and Union to Pacific, Broadway & Vallejo From D3 to D2	9,136	63.65%	28.16%	5.61%	2.16%
<u>From District 4:</u>					
No transfer from district					
<u>From District 5:</u>					
Parts of Lower Pacific Heights from Steiner to Gough, and California to Sutter and Bush From D5 to D2	2,312	67.01%	18.22%	10.15%	3.55%

Inventory of the 25 Transfers District to District in the Revised Incremental/Minimum Change Redistricting Plan
 Drafted by Christopher L Bowman, January 22, 2022, Adopted by the Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods,
 February 15, 2022. Page 2

	<u>Population</u>	<u>White</u>	<u>Asian</u>	<u>Percentage CVAP</u> <u>Hispanic</u>	<u>Black</u>
Part of the Inner Sunset between 17 th and 12 th , and Lincoln and Judah From D2 to D4	2,387	53.70%	32.56%	9.21%	3.42%
Part of Inner Sunset between 12 th and 5 th , and Lincoln and Kirkham including UCSF north of Parnassus From D5 to D7	5,588	55.11%	31.85%	9.91%	2.53%
Most of District 5 south of Haight between Baker and Market From D5 to D8	2,357	74.03%	11.60%	12.99%	1.16%
<u>From District 6:</u>					
Moscone Convention Center, Transbay Terminal, and most of Rincon Hill from 5 th to the Embarcadero, and Market Street to Harrison From D6 to D3	16,089	38.57%	52.73%	4.52%	3.06%
Central Freeway to 9 th St. and Mid-Market to Division and Folsom From D6 to D5	6,228	34.81%	35.50%	12.26%	14.53%
<u>From District 7:</u>					
19 th to 12 th between Judah and Kirkham From D7 to D4	1,377	44.39%	47.26%	4.06%	1.51%
Ocean and Holloway between Ashton to Harold; the triangle bordered by Ocean, Geneva, and I-280 From D7 to D11	Approx. 2,862*	20.08%	69.85%	5.64%	4.35%
<u>From District 8:</u>					
Ashbury & Clayton to Roosevelt, Between Frederick & 17 th From D8 to D5	1,619	80.62%	13.08%	1.85%	4.62%
Twin Peaks Blvd. to Burnett Between Crestline to Portola From D8 to D7	407	56.40%	24.39%	10.98%	3.05%
Congo to I-280 between Bosworth and Joost From D8 to D7	1,115	64.97%	20.64%	5.86%	9.81%

	<u>Population</u>	<u>Percentage CVAP</u>			
		<u>White</u>	<u>Asian</u>	<u>Hispanic</u>	<u>Black</u>
Dolores to San Jose & Guerrero south of 26 th ; Poplar between, 25 th and 26 th ; & San Jose to Tiffany From D8 to D9	2,267	58.80%	9.92%	27.05%	2.80%
East of Tingsley between I-280 and Alemany From D8 to D11	804	20.59%	56.89%	20.59%	4.25%
<u>From District 9:</u>					
Valencia to Mission between 17 th and 19 th ; Valencia to San Carlos between 19 th and 21 st . From D9 to D8	2,664	59.40%	24.20%	15.53%	3.60%
Valencia to Mission between The Central Freeway and 14 th From D9 to D8	669	56.78%	21.61%	18.34%	4.02%
<u>From District 10:</u>					
San Bruno to 7 th , between Division and Townsend to 16 th From D10 to D6	2,368	33.96%	56.07%	8.49%	0.89%
Potrero to Hwy. 101 and San Bruno between Division and Cesar Chavez; Bryant and Potrero between Division and 20 th From D10 to D9	3,424	53.24%	20.87%	19.08%	6.71%
1600 Geneva to Carter, Geneva to the San Mateo County Line From D10 to D11	995	11.92%	56.10%	11.92%	16.86%
<u>From District 11:</u>					
No transfer from district.					

74,327 San Francisco residents or 8.49% of San Francisco's total adjusted 2020 Census population of 874,993 would find themselves in a new Supervisorial District were the districts in this plan adopted by the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force on April 15, 2022.

* The census block sandwiched between Howth and I-280 and Ocean and Geneva represented part of the boundary between Districts 7 and 11 in the current 2012 plan. Subsequently, the Census Bureau revised the boundary of that census block so that it now straddles Geneva which is the current boundary between the two districts. Since census blocks are the smallest unit of population in determining the population of current and proposed districts, since this proposal calls for the restoration of the 2002 borders of the OMI and District 11, it is impossible in this one case to know the precise number of residents that would be transferred between Districts 7 and 11 if this plan were adopted.

Metes and Bounds for the Incremental/Minimum Change Redistricting Plan
Drafted by Christopher L. Bowman, January 22, 2022
Adopted by the Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods, February 15, 2022

District 1. The Pacific Ocean and the southern border of the Presidio of San Francisco, easterly along the southern border of the Presidio of San Francisco to 15th Avenue, north on 15th Avenue to include the former Public Health Hospital (CBs 1028, 1030, 1032, & 1036, CT 601), south on 14th Avenue to the southern border of the Presidio of San Francisco, easterly on the southern border of the Presidio of San Francisco to 5th Avenue, south on 5th Avenue to Lake, east on Lake to Arguello, south on Arguello to Geary, east on Geary to Masonic, south on Masonic to Fulton, west on Fulton to Cole, south on Cole to Grove (including CB 4000, CT 165, but excluding CB 4005, CT 165 north of Grove), west on Grove to Shrader, south on Shrader to Hayes, west on Hayes to Stanyan, south on Stanyan to Fell, southwest on Fell to John F. Kennedy, westerly on John F. Kennedy to Nancy Pelosi, southwesterly on Nancy Pelosi to Martin Luther King, Jr., northwesterly and southwesterly on Martin Luther King, Jr. to 19th Avenue, south on 19th Avenue to Lincoln, west on Lincoln to the Pacific Ocean, and north and northeasterly along the Pacific Ocean to the southern border of the Presidio of San Francisco.

District 2. The Pacific Ocean and the southern border of the Presidio of San Francisco, northerly along the Pacific Coast through the Golden Gate to the San Francisco Bay waterfront, easterly along the waterfront to Hyde, south on Hyde to Beach, east on Beach to Columbus, southeast on Columbus to Mason, south on Mason to Vallejo, west on Vallejo to Taylor, south on Taylor to Broadway, west on Broadway to Jones, south on Jones to Pacific (including CB 2003, CT 108 east of Jones), west on Pacific to Van Ness, south on Van Ness to Bush, west on Bush to Webster, south on Webster to Sutter, west on Sutter to Steiner, north on Steiner to California, west on California to Baker, south on Baker to Pine, west on Pine to Lyon, south on Lyon to Bush, west on Bush to Presidio, south on Presidio to Post, east on Post to Baker, south on Baker to St. Joseph's, southeast and south on St. Joseph's to Turk, west on Turk to Masonic, north on Masonic to Geary, west on Geary to Arguello, north on Arguello to Lake, west on Lake to 5th Avenue, north on 5th Avenue to the southern border of the Presidio of San Francisco, westerly on the southern border of the Presidio of San Francisco to 14th Avenue, north on 14th Avenue to exclude the former Public Health Hospital (CBs 1028, 1030, 1032, & 1036, CT 601), south on 15th Avenue to the southern border of the Presidio of San Francisco, and westerly along the southern border of the Presidio of San Francisco to the Pacific Ocean.

District 3. Hyde and the waterfront, easterly and southeasterly along the waterfront to Harrison, southwest on Harrison to 5th Street, northwest on 5th Street crossing Market to Eddy, west on Eddy to Mason, north on Mason to Ellis, east on Ellis to Cyril Magnin Place, north on Cyril Magnin Place to O'Farrell, west on O'Farrell to Taylor, north on Taylor to Geary, west on Geary to Leavenworth, north on Leavenworth to Post, west on Post to Polk, south on Polk to Cedar, west on Cedar to Van Ness, north on Van Ness to Pacific, east on Pacific to Jones, north on Jones to Broadway (excluding CB 2003, CT 108 east of Jones), east on Broadway to Taylor, north on Taylor to Vallejo, east on Vallejo to Mason, north on Mason to Columbus, northwest on Columbus to Beach, west on Beach to Hyde, north on Hyde to the waterfront.

District 4. The Pacific Ocean and Lincoln, east on Lincoln to 12th Avenue, south on 12th Avenue to Kirkham, west on Kirkham to 19th Avenue, south on 19th to Sloat, west on Sloat to the Pacific Ocean, and north along the Pacific Ocean to Lincoln.

District 5. St. Joseph's and Geary, north on Baker to Post, west on Post to Presidio, north on Presidio to Bush, east on Bush to Lyon, north on Lyon to Pine, east on Pine to Baker, north on Baker to California, east on California to Steiner, south on Steiner to Sutter, east on Sutter to Webster, north on Webster to Bush, east on Bush to Van Ness, south on Van Ness to Market, northeast on Market to 9th, southeast on 9th to Folsom, southwest on Folsom to the Central Freeway, westerly and northwesterly on the Central Freeway crossing Market to Octavia, north on Octavia to Haight, west on Haight to Buchanan, south on Buchanan to Hermann, west on Hermann to Webster, north on Webster to Haight, west on Haight to Pierce, south on Pierce to Waller, west on Waller to Scott, north on Scott to Haight, west on Haight to Buena Vista Avenue West, southerly on Buena Vista Avenue West to Upper Terrace, southwest on Upper Terrace to Loma Vista Terrace, south on Loma Vista Terrace to Roosevelt, southwesterly on Roosevelt to 17th Street, west on 17th Street to the Clayton, south on Clayton to Twin Peaks Blvd., southwesterly on Twin Peaks Blvd. to Clarendon, westerly on Clarendon to the eastern boundary of UCSF – Parnassus Campus, northerly along the eastern boundary of UCSF – Parnassus Campus (to include all of Parnassus Heights) to Parnassus, west on Parnassus to Hillway, north on Hillway to Carl, west on Carl and Irving to 5th Avenue, north on 5th Avenue to Lincoln, east on Lincoln to Kezar Drive, northeasterly on Kezar Drive to Fell, northeast on Fell to Stanyan, north on Stanyan to Hayes, east on Hayes to Shrader, north on Shrader to Grove (including CB 4005, CT 165, north of Grove, but excluding CB 4000, CT 165), east on Grove to Cole, north on Cole to Fulton, east on Fulton to Masonic, north on Masonic to Turk, east on Turk to St. Joseph's, north and northwest on St. Joseph's to Geary.

District 6. Cedar and Van Ness, east on Cedar to Polk, north on Polk to Post, east on Post to Leavenworth, south on Leavenworth to Geary, east on Geary to Taylor, south on Taylor to O'Farrell, east on O'Farrell to Cyril Magnin Place, south on Cyril Magnin Place to Ellis, west on Ellis to Taylor, south on Taylor to Eddy, east on Eddy to 5th Street, southeast on 5th Street to Harrison, northeast on Harrison to the waterfront (to include Yerba Buena Island and Treasure Island), southerly on the waterfront (beyond McCovey Cove) to an imaginary extension of 16th Street running west connecting the waterfront to Terry A. Francois Blvd., southwesterly on Terry A. Francois Blvd. to Mariposa, west on Mariposa to I-280, northwest on I-280 to 16th Street, west on 16th Street to San Bruno, north on San Bruno to Division, west on Division to 11th Street, northwest on 11th Street to Harrison, southwest on Harrison to the Central Freeway, west on the Central Freeway to Folsom, northeast on Folsom to 9th, northwest on 9th to Market, southwest on Market to Van Ness, and north on Van Ness to Cedar.

District 7. 19th Avenue and Lincoln, north on 19th Avenue to Martin Luther King, Jr., northeasterly and southeasterly on Martin Luther King, Jr. to Nancy Pelosi, northeast on Nancy Pelosi to John F. Kennedy, east on John F. Kennedy to Kezar Drive, southwesterly on Kezar Drive to Lincoln, west on Lincoln to 5th Avenue, south on 5th Avenue to Irving, east on Irving and Carl to Hillway, south on Hillway to Parnassus, east on Parnassus to the eastern boundary of UCSF – Parnassus Campus, southerly along the eastern boundary of UCSF – Parnassus Campus to Clarendon (excluding Parnassus Heights) to Clarendon, easterly on Clarendon to Twin Peaks Blvd., southerly on Twin Peaks Blvd. to an imaginary line running east connecting Twin Peaks Blvd. to Crestline, south on Crestline to Burnett, south on Burnett to Portola (including CBs 1001, 1002, and 1003, CT 204.02), west on Portola to O'Shaughnessy, southeasterly on O'Shaughnessy to Bosworth, southeast on Bosworth to Lyell, south on Lyell to I-280, southwesterly on I-280 to Ocean, northwest on Ocean to Ashton, south on Ashton to Holloway, west on Holloway to Junipero Serra, south on Junipero Serra to Brotherhood Way, east on Brotherhood Way to Alemany, east on Alemany to I-280, southwest on I-280 to the San Mateo County line, west on the San

Mateo County line to the Pacific Ocean, north along the Pacific Ocean to Sloat, east on Sloat to 19th, north on 19th to Kirkham, east on Kirkham to 12th, north on 12th to Lincoln. And west on Lincoln to 19th.

District 8. Buena Vista Avenue West and Haight, east on Haight to Scott, south on Scott to Waller, east on Waller to Pierce, north on Pierce to Haight, east on Haight to Webster, south on Webster to Hermann, east on Hermann to Buchanan, north on Buchanan to Haight, east on Haight to Octavia, south on Octavia crossing Market to the Central Freeway, southeasterly on the Central Freeway to Mission, south on Mission to 14th, west on 14th to Valencia, south on Valencia to 17th, east on 17th to Mission, south on Mission to 19th, west on 19th to San Carlos, south on San Carlos to 21st, west on 21st to Valencia, south on Valencia to 25th, west on 25th to Poplar, south on Poplar to 26th, west on 26th to Dolores, south on Dolores to Randall, east on Randall to Mission, southwesterly on Mission to I-280, southwesterly on I-280 to Lyell, north on Lyell and northwesterly on Bosworth to O'Shaughnessy, northwesterly on O'Shaughnessy to Portola, east on Portola to Burnett, north on Burnett to Crestline (excluding CBs 1001, 1002, and 1003, CT 204.02), north on Crestline to an imaginary line running west connecting Crestline with Twin Peaks Blvd., northerly along Twin Peaks Blvd. to Clayton, north on Clayton to 17th, east on 17th Street to Roosevelt, northeasterly on Roosevelt to Loma Vista Terrace, north on Loma Vista Terrace to Upper Terrace, northeast on Upper Terrace to Buena Vista Avenue West, and northerly on Buena Vista Avenue West to Haight.

District 9. Mission and the Central Freeway, east on Central Freeway to Harrison, northeast on Harrison to 11th, southeast on 11th to Division, east on Division to San Bruno, south on San Bruno to Mariposa, east on Mariposa to Hwy. 101, southerly on Hwy. 101 to Cesar Chavez, east on Cesar Chavez to Bayshore Blvd., southerly on Bayshore Blvd. to Silver, west on Silver to Hwy. 101, south on Hwy. 101 to Paul, northwest on Paul to San Bruno, south on San Bruno to Mansell, west on Mansell to Brazil, westerly on Brazil to the western border of McLaren Park, northerly on the western border of McLaren Park to Burrows, east on Burrows to Peru, northwesterly on Peru to Valmar Terrace, northeast on Valmar Terrace to Madison, northwest on Madison to Silver, east on Silver to Sunglow Lane, northerly on Sunglow Lane to Gladstone, west on Gladstone to Stoneyford, north on Stoneyford to Cambridge, east two blocks on Cambridge to an imaginary line running north connecting Cambridge with I-280, west on I-280 to Mission, northeast on Mission to Randall, west on Randall to Dolores, north on Dolores to 26th, east on 26th to Poplar, north on Poplar to 25th, east on 25th to Valencia, north on Valencia to 21st, east on 21st to San Carlos, north on San Carlos to 19th, east on 19th to Mission, north on Mission to 17th, west on 17th to Valencia, north on Valencia to 14th, east on 14th to Mission, north on Mission to the Central Freeway.

District 10. San Bruno and 16th Street, east on 16th Street to I-280, southeast on I-280 to Mariposa, east on Mariposa to Terry A. Francois, northeast on Terry A. Francois to 16th Street, east on an imaginary line (an extension of 16th Street) connecting Terry A. Francois Blvd. with the waterfront, southerly on the waterfront to the San Mateo County line, west on the San Mateo County line to Carter, northeast on Carter to Geneva, northwest on Geneva to the western boundary of McLaren Park, northerly along the western border of McLaren Park (excluding the Crocker Amazon Playgrounds and soccer fields and the City Arts and Tech High School and June Jordan School for Equity) to Persia, easterly on Persia to Mansell, east on Mansell to San Bruno, north on San Bruno to Paul, southeast on Paul to Hwy. 101, north on Hwy. 101 to Silver, east on Silver to Bayshore Blvd., northerly on Bayshore Blvd. to Cesar Chavez, west on Cesar Chavez to Hwy. 101, northerly on Hwy. 101 to Mariposa, west on Mariposa to San Bruno, and north on San Bruno to 16th Street.

District 11. Junipero Serra and Holloway, east on Holloway to Ashton, north on Ashton to Ocean, southeast on Ocean to I-280, northeasterly on I-280 to an imaginary line running south connecting I-280 with Cambridge, west on two blocks Cambridge to Stoneyford, south on Stoneyford to Gladstone, east on Gladstone to Sunglow Lane, southerly on Sunglow Lane to Silver, west on Silver to Madison, southeast on Madison to Valmar Terrace, southwest on Valmar Terrace to Peru, southeasterly on Peru to Burrows, west on Burrows to the western border of McLaren Park, southerly along the western border of McLaren Park to Persia (including the City Arts and Tech High School and June Jordan School for Equity), easterly on Brazil to Persia, westerly on Persia to the western border of McLaren Park, southerly along the western border of McLaren Park to Geneva (including the Crocker Amazon Playgrounds and Soccer Fields), southeast on Geneva to Carter, southwest on Carter to the San Mateo County line, west on the San Mateo County line to I-280, northeasterly on I-280 to Alemany, west on Alemany to Brotherhood Way, west on Brotherhood Way to Junipero Serra, and north on Junipero Serra to Holloway.

APPENDIX 2

Minority Report

Date: February 16, 2022

To: Charles Head, CSFN President; Richard Frisbee, CSFN Board Member, George Wooding, CSFN GR/Elections Committee Chair; Coalition of SF Neighborhoods

From: Diana Taylor, BCNA President; Lee Robbins, CSFN Delegate, Barbary Coast Neighborhood Association
Eileen Boken, CSFN Delegate, Sunset-Parkside Education & Action Committee (SPEAK)

Re: **Minority Report to CSFN Redistricting Map/Report**

As CSFN developed its redistricting proposal, it was unable to take into account the views of some members due to time constraints and other factors. Accordingly, several of its members voted “No” on CSFN proposed plan. Members who do not support the CSFN proposal include:

- Barbary Coast Neighborhood Association (BCNA) in District 3
- Telegraph Hill Dwellers (THD) in District 3
- Sunset-Parkside Education and Action Committee (SPEAK) in District 4

While District 3 (D3) is of particular interest, there are concerns with the CSFN map for other districts (e.g., D4 and western SF neighborhoods).

On behalf of D3, the Barbary Coast Neighborhood Association (BCNA) has testified before the Redistricting Task Force and has submitted a letter and map recommending quite different boundaries from the CSFN map. In addition, Russian Hill Neighbors (RHN) has submitted a letter to the Redistricting Task Force conforming to the BCNA position. CSFN member SPEAK on the Westside is in solidarity with BCNA and RHN proposals. Furthermore, other District 3 neighborhood organizations (e.g., North Beach Neighbors) appear in general support of the RHN/BCNA position even though they have not issued a formal position.

The BCNA proposal for D3 includes the following (the full report was submitted to SF Redistricting Task Force, 1/28/22):

The best option for increasing District 3’s area is to move the northern portion of D3’s western boundary to Van Ness by incorporating the section bounded by Van Ness, Union St, Jones-Columbus- Leavenworth and the Bay into D3. See proposed Map below. This proposal offers several significant benefits:

- Unites the Russian Hill neighborhood, which is currently split between D3 and D2 (as proposed by RHN)
- Connects Ghirardelli Square and the Cannery with other D3 waterfront and tourist attractions (Fisherman’s Wharf, North Beach, Coit Tower, Chinatown and Union Square).
- Includes a high concentration of dense housing and is served by police boundaries (SFPD Central Station) with similar tenant and safety concerns.
- Meets the district population requirement set by the Task Force (within 1% of the ideal number).

A map of 2022 proposed district 3 boundaries (Included in BCNA report to Redistricting Task Force):

