

Agenda Item No. 2

2020 Census Redistricting Task Force

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Date: February 7, 2022

Date: _____

☒	☐	<u>COI Listening Session Presentation – January 25, 2022</u>
☒	☐	<u>Maps and COI submitted by the public through February 4, 2022</u>
☐	☐	<u>All Maps and COI submissions are available on our Airtable Chart</u>
☐	☐	<u>https://airtable.com/shrSqWhuZRnaRrNnp</u>
☐	☐	_____
☐	☐	_____

Prepared by: John Carroll

Date: February 4, 2022

Prepared by: John Carroll

Date: _____

Prepared by: John Carroll

Date: _____



SAN FRANCISCO 2022 **REDISTRICTING**

Public Input and Communities of Interest

Introduction: What is Redistricting?

1

San Francisco has 11 supervisorial districts, used to elect the Board of Supervisors.

Redistricting is the process of adjusting the existing supervisorial district boundaries.

Redistricting happens every 10 years, after the release of the decennial Census data used to balance the populations of the supervisorial districts.

Redistricting provides the opportunity to bring the existing districts into compliance with all redistricting criteria.

No draft maps have yet been created by the Redistricting Task Force.



What are the criteria for redistricting?

2

- Compliance with US and CA Constitution (*equal population*)
- Compliance with Federal Voting Rights Act (FVRA) which addresses race and language minorities
- Preserve *recognized neighborhoods*
- Preserve *Communities of Interest* (COIs)
- Contiguity
- Compactness



Recognized Neighborhoods and Communities of Interest (COIs)

3

Recognized Neighborhoods are generally based on data and geography collected from official sources (like the Planning Department). Neighborhood data may be submitted by members of the public as well. Neighborhoods may vary in size and definition depending on who is defining them.

Communities of Interest are generally described as a population of residents that share common interests (such as social and economic interests) that should be included within a single district for purposes of its effective and fair representation.

COIs are defined by those familiar with the community...

Law does not limit the kinds of interests that may bind a community.

It is up to those who live in or work with a community to identify and establish the interests that unite it.

Interests need not be limited to current situation but can also include common goals.

Communities of Interest do not include relationships with political parties, incumbents, or political candidates.



Examples of Common Interests

4

Economic interests:

Current situation -- common employment or economic opportunities (or lack thereof).

Goals -- expanding opportunities, development, job creation, etc.

Social interests:

Current -- schools, culture, transportation, parks, housing

Goals -- improving recreational opportunities or public safety, preserving historic resources, etc.



Tell us about your Community of Interest

5

What is your Community of Interest? What ties you together? What common interests do you share?

Where is your Community of Interest? What are the boundaries? Where is it on the map? What is different or the same about the areas around your community?

Why should your community be kept together in one district? Why would it be a problem to split your community?

Other options to tell the Task Force about your COI:

<https://sf.gov/information/community-interest-coi-public-input>



District-Based Input

6

The San Francisco Redistricting Task Force additionally welcomes district-based input:

- Provide feedback about one district, multiple districts, or the whole map
- Let the Redistricting Task Force know how you would like the districts to be drawn
- What would you like to change about San Francisco's current supervisorial districts? What do you like about how they are currently drawn?



Redistricting Mapping Tool

7

The Redistricting Task Force has made a free-to-use online mapping tool available to the public

The online mapping tool allows members of the public to draw their own district maps

Proposals developed with the online mapping tool may be submitted to the Task Force for consideration

The mapping tool will allow user to view census geography and data for any area within San Francisco

<https://redrawmysf.publicredistricting.com/>



From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Friday, February 4, 2022 10:38:28 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Friday, February 4, 2022 10:37 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name

Jennifer Thomas

Date Submitted

2/4/2022 10:26am

Mutual Interest

UNA and NW Potrero Hill are Potrero Hill Communities that work together on community improvement projects, like Benches Garden, Fallen Bridge Park and Potrero Gateway as well as the Green Belt District.

Community Location

17th St - 20th St, Utah St. - Vermont St

Why Keep Together

Established community groups already addressing neighborhood needs

Attachments

[View in Airtable](#)

©2022 Airtable

[Visit our help center](#)

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Friday, February 4, 2022 10:31:25 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Friday, February 4, 2022 10:26 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name

Matt Lewis

Date Submitted

2/4/2022 12:08am

Mutual Interest

MUNA and NW Potrero Hill are Potrero Hill Communities that work together on community improvement projects, like Benches Garden, Fallen Bridge Park and Potrero Gateway as well as the GBD:

Community Location

Potero Hill

Why Keep Together

Flows naturally with 17th street at the north side of potrero hill

Attachments

[View in Airtable](#)

©2022 Airtable

[Visit our help center](#)

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Friday, February 4, 2022 10:31:04 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Friday, February 4, 2022 10:26 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name

Date Submitted

2/3/2022 8:32pm

Mutual Interest

Community Location

Perimeter:

Potrero Ave on the West, to Alameda street, to the roundabout of the Design center , to De Haro Street, to 16th street.

3rd Street on the East

25th street on the South

Why Keep Together

Because in general we seem to agree on what matters and which are the priorities.

Attachments

[View in Airtable](#)

©2022 Airtable

[Visit our help center](#)

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Friday, February 4, 2022 10:30:37 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Friday, February 4, 2022 10:26 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name

Laurie

Date Submitted

2/3/2022 7:35pm

Mutual Interest

I live at 498 Utah Street - "west" Potrero Hill. We are actively engaged in community events with the rest of Potrero Hill. This includes somewhat formal relationships such as entering into a GBD agreement for greening the community and adding hardscape as well. Given the very close ongoing relationship between folks who live in my area of town and the rest of Potrero Hill. It would be bizarre & disruptive to put us in a different district from the rest of Potrero Hill.

Community Location

Current boundaries of Potrero hill, namely using Potrero Avenue as the western cut off of Potrero Hill. We (People who live east of Potrero Hill and west of the 101) want to remain part of Potrero Hill.

Why Keep Together

Please see comments above. The Potrero Hill community which extends to West Potrero Hill, i.e., west of the 101 and east of Potrero Street, is deeply connected. We share a lot of activities together and have formal connections such as through our GBD district. It would be a shame to separate us.

Attachments

[View in Airtable](#)

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Friday, February 4, 2022 10:30:21 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Friday, February 4, 2022 10:25 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name

Jean Bogiages

Date Submitted

2/3/2022 6:02pm

Mutual Interest

The NW PotreroHill Community, which includes homes and businesses on both sides of Fwy101, has been working together on mini-parks and open space on Caltrans right-of-way. These areas, left by the construction of the freeway which split up the neighborhood have been a source of community, allowing us to hold block parties, egg hunts, MUNA neighborhood trash pickups and more. We are connected through mailing lists set up by working with SFSAFE. This neighborhood joined the Dogpatch and NWPotreroHill GBD and has been working for 6 years on the Potrero Gateway project which Public

Works has put up to bid. We are part of Potrero Hill and Dogpatch and share the same Supervisor.

Community Location


Northwest Potrero - This area is between Potrero Avenue and Vermont Street

Why Keep Together

We work together on neighborhood projects and need the same Supervisor to help us. We have the same interests about clean streets, community oriented parks and in general taking on projects as a community. If we did not have the same supervisor our community work would be fragmented.

An example of our community work is the Eco-Patch on Vermont Street and the Potrero Gateway project on 17th street. I'll attach information about the Potrero Gateway project our community is working on. That will give you an idea of our community work.

Attachments



Potrero Gateway Project - Update 2-2-2022

Summary
The [Potrero Gateway](#) Steering Committee has been working with Public Works (PW) for that last two years. PW provided manager (Trent Tieger) and PW designers. December 2021 the Phase 1 design was approved by Caltrans and sent out to contractors for bids. In January PW presented an overview to the Dogpatch and NW Potrero Hill Green Benefit District (GBD) board of directors. At that meeting a maintenance Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) was approved by the GBD board.

The Phase 1 Project components are:

- Corner Bulbouts with retaining walls and San Francisco native planting
- Safe Bike Lane with parking removal on 17th St.
- Enlarged Sidewalk on 17th St.
- Public Art on 17th St.
- Fencing and Lighting on 17th St and Vermont (with CC funding)
- Removal of Vermont 400 block west traffic lane and addition of 6' sidewalk
- Rock Blanket under the freeway bridge (with CC funding)

Additional components not in PW Phase 1

- Native Habitat Demonstration Garden – the Eco-Patch on Vermont hillside
- Vermont Street West Lane Greening – A GBD-CCG Project
- San Bruno Avenue TBD

[View in Airtable](#)

©2022 Airtable

[Visit our help center](#)

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Thursday, February 3, 2022 2:21:19 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 3, 2022 9:58 AM
To: redf@sfgov.org; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name

Ingrid Olasov

Date Submitted

2/3/2022 9:54am

Mutual Interest

Shared culture, shared industrial heritage, shared community resources and historic under-investment from the city

Community Location

Potrero Hill. We are bounded by Cesar Chavez, 101, the bay, and 15th/16th st. Dogpatch is part of this community. Before 280 carved a scar through our

community, the two part were one, with a strong working class community with thematic street names, community resources and cultural institutions.

Why Keep Together

We share a common working-class industrial heritage, with similar needs and general neglect from the city in terms of investment, city resources, and attention. We have banded together to build our own community resources, parks, and programs. Our children attend the same schools. We have been historically hit by poor planning decisions, designed to affect economically disadvantaged people in our neighborhood: through destructive highway construction, poor choice of housing development, lack of crime prevention, inconsistent public transit, eroding sidewalks/roads/retaining walls/hillsides, neglected investor-owned lots and abandoned properties--the list goes on. Despite all this, we strive to overcome this unnatural hurdles and support our diverse, growing community. Our neighborhood also supports many resources and developments enjoyed by the entire city, something that has come at a cost to our neighborhood but something that we feel is nonetheless worth it. Dividing the neighborhood puts at risk our representation even further in city decisions.

Attachments

[View in Airtable](#)

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Thursday, February 3, 2022 2:20:52 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 3, 2022 9:58 AM
To: redf@sfgov.org; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name

Friends of Jackson Park

Date Submitted

2/3/2022 8:39am

Mutual Interest

Parks, open and green spaces

Community Location

The Bayview, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, Showplace Square...Potrero Avenue to the Bay, Division to Paul.

Why Keep Together

Our growing population needs more green and open space. Our communities are working together to renovate Jackson and Esprit Parks and support the creation of the India Basin park. Potrero Rec Center provides basketball/tennis/baseball which is currently well used and usage will only increase during the construction phases at Jackson & Esprit. Dogpatch Port Parks cannot do active recreation, so there is mutual dependence on Potrero Hill parks.

Our community of interest has historically advocated together for more green/open space in our neighborhoods. We want to stay together as we've formed alliances and great working relationships.

Attachments

[View in Airtable](#)

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Thursday, February 3, 2022 2:21:43 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 3, 2022 10:03 AM
To: redf@sfgov.org; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name

Ingrid Olasov

Date Submitted

2/3/2022 9:54am

Mutual Interest

Shared culture, shared industrial heritage, shared community resources and historic under-investment from the city

Community Location

Potrero Hill. We are bounded by Cesar Chavez, 101, the bay, and 15th/16th st. Dogpatch is part of this community. Before 280 carved a scar through our

community, the two part were one, with a strong working class community with thematic street names, community resources and cultural institutions.

Why Keep Together

We share a common working-class industrial heritage, with similar needs and general neglect from the city in terms of investment, city resources, and attention. We have banded together to build our own community resources, parks, and programs. Our children attend the same schools. We have been historically hit by poor planning decisions, designed to affect economically disadvantaged people in our neighborhood: through destructive highway construction, poor choice of housing development, lack of crime prevention, inconsistent public transit, eroding sidewalks/roads/retaining walls/hillsides, neglected investor-owned lots and abandoned properties--the list goes on. Despite all this, we strive to overcome this unnatural hurdles and support our diverse, growing community. Our neighborhood also supports many resources and developments enjoyed by the entire city, something that has come at a cost to our neighborhood but something that we feel is nonetheless worth it. Dividing the neighborhood puts at risk our representation even further in city decisions.

Attachments

[View in Airtable](#)

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Thursday, February 3, 2022 2:22:05 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 3, 2022 11:52 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name

Potrero Hill – Dogpatch

Date Submitted

2/3/2022 11:47am

Mutual Interest

Historically, Potrero Hill and Dogpatch have been linked as working class residential and industrial neighborhoods. Although the original character of the two neighborhoods has changed, they remain linked in many ways.

Our schools, parks, restaurants, and our single public library are utilized by residents of both Potrero Hill and Dogpatch.

Our public transit lines serve both communities. We have felt the importance of this link during the Covid-19 pandemic, when an important bus line was

discontinued, the #10-Townsend, linking Dogpatch with Potrero Hill and continuing to South of Market and the Financial District.

Health issues are a common thread for both Potrero Hill and Dogpatch, as we are surrounded by freeways and the rate of respiratory diseases, including asthma, is among the highest in California. We have just one public health clinic that serves both communities.

Community Location

East: San Francisco Bay;

North: Terry François Blvd to 16th St; 16th St to I-280; I-280 to 6th St Exit Ramp; Townsend St to Division St; Division St to Potrero Ave;

West: Potrero Ave to Bayshore Blvd Ramp Complex;

South: Bayshore Blvd Ramp Complex to Jerrold Ave; Jerrold Ave to Napoleon St; Napoleon St to (unnamed connecting line) to Islais Creek Channel; Islais Creek Channel to San Francisco Bay

Why Keep Together

Our community organizations have been linked for many years, with organizations like the Potrero Boosters, the Dogpatch Neighborhood Association, and the Potrero-Dogpatch Merchant Association. These organizations have always advocated – and continue to fight – together on behalf of our combined communities. We need to continue this cooperation not only on a non-governmental organizational level, but also with common representation in the California State Senate and Assembly, and in a common supervisorial district in the City & County of San Francisco.

Attachments



[View in Airtable](#)

©2022 Airtable

[Visit our help center](#)

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: libby@libbydodd.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Correction: Potrero Hill COI
Date: Tuesday, February 1, 2022 2:03:32 PM
Attachments: [WebPage.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: libby libbydodd.com <libby@libbydodd.com>
Sent: Monday, January 31, 2022 11:23 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Correction: Potrero Hill COI

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

Please accept this corrected COI statement in advance of the February 4 RDTF meeting. I had sent an earlier version and caught a spelling error.

Thank you.

What is your community of interest?

My community of interest is known as The Potrero. It includes Potrero Hill, the Design District, Dogpatch, and the Third Street Corridor, extending to the Central Waterfront.

If you take a tour of our community, you will note the many turn-of-the-century homes, historical nightlife venues, and light industrial warehouses dating back to the 1906 earthquake. But, you'll also be struck by the sheer number of new multi family apartment complexes, office spaces, and creative businesses— development largely driven by UCSF and a biotech hub to our North East.

Because of the rapid pace of change, residents have a unified voice in preserving the essential character of The Potrero, expressed through groups such as the Dogpatch Neighborhood Association and the Potrero Hill Boosters. In 2015 the community even established the city's first community benefit district devoted to carving out healthy green spaces in the public realm—the Dogpatch NW Potrero Hill Green Benefit District.

Our neighborhoods also have another huge challenge—that is, highways 101 and 280. The 101 has exits at Cesar Chavez, Vermont Street, and Seventh Street. The 280 has exits at Mariposa, 6th Streets, and King Street. Heavy traffic from large commercial vehicles as well as commuter cars must use this infrastructure to enter San Francisco. The easy entry and “getaway” provides the perfect opportunity to commit crimes in the City and flee at high speeds on our streets. Additionally, freeway overpasses promote illegal dumping and encampments, as well as the attendant sanitation and fire hazards.

Where is it located?

The Potrero Hill / Dogpatch COI is roughly bounded by Cesar Chavez Street, Potrero Avenue, Division Street, 7th Street, 16th Street, and the Bay.

Why should it be kept together?

The Potrero should be kept together in a single district so that it can continue to advocate, with the help of one supervisor, for responsible development. In regard to the blight caused by freeway infrastructure, we need one supervisor who can navigate the complexities of working with San Francisco social services as well as Caltrans and CA Highway Patrol to mitigate the impacts on residents.

Libby Dodd
Torben Torp-Smith
Residents of The Potrero

Describe your San Francisco Community of Interest (COI):

Please be sure to include **what** makes it a Community of Interest (e.g. shared culture, common neighborhood projects, etc.) **where** it is located (i.e. streets, parks, freeways, or other places that make up the exterior boundaries of your COI) and **why** it should be kept together (e.g. easier to work with 1 Supervisor). A COI can be any size – large or small – and does not need to be the same size as a district. Often multiple COIs are included in one district.

What is your community's mutual interest?

Where is your community located? Please be as specific as possible – what streets, highways, rivers, etc., make up the boundaries of your COI?

Why should your community be kept together?

Date: _____ Name (optional): _____

Please **email** this completed form (or the information from this form) as soon as possible to: rdtf@sfgov.org or **mail it** to: Office of the Clerk of the Board, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA 94102, or **drop it off** at any Redistricting Task Force meeting. Thank you for participating. This process would not be possible without your knowledge of your community!

From: noreply@airtable.com on behalf of [John Tse via Airtable](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Tuesday, February 1, 2022 2:17:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name

Diamond Heights Community Association

Mutual Interest

Diamond Heights was developed by the former San Francisco Redevelopment Agency to be a model community. By design, the neighborhood was developed with market rate single family homes, condominiums, rental units and 641 units of HUD subsidized affordable rental housing. In 1981, Casa De Vida was built with 21 affordable HUD subsidized rental units for mobility challenged persons. Diamond Heights is unique to the City with its broad range of economic diversity. It is a neighborhood of single-family homes and condominium complexes distinguished by its distinctive, mid-century architecture. The community is served by the Diamond Heights Community Association, a neighborhood organization organized in the 1960's when the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency created Diamond Heights. The Agency mandated that planning in the neighborhood be coordinated with the neighborhood organization.

The DHCA has 800 people on its email list. Announcements inform residents of resources, candidates forums, community issues, events and safety concerns pertaining to Diamond Heights. The DHCA is a member of the Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods. We are a neighborhood with high voter turnout and civic engagement.

Community Location

The Diamond Heights community of interest is as follows: Clipper Street west from Douglass Street to Portola Drive; Portola west to O'Shaughnessy Boulevard; O'Shaughnessy southwest to Bosworth Street; Bosworth east to Elk Street; Elk north to Sussex Street; Sussex east to Bemis Street; Bemis northeast to Miguel Street; Miguel northeast to Beacon Street; Beacon northwest to Harry Walk; Harry Walk northeast to Laidley Street; Laidley northwest to 30th Street; 30th west to Castro Street; Castro north to Valley Street, Valley west to Diamond Street; Diamond north to Duncan Street; Duncan west to Douglass Street; and Douglass north to Clipper Street.

Why Keep Together

Over time, the DHCA has developed a strong, working relationship with the D8 supervisor on important issues facing the district, be that crime prevention, traffic safety, recreation, parks, and more. The synergies the DHCA has developed would be lost or significantly reduced if all or a portion of Diamond Heights were removed from D8 to D7 and the voice of Diamond Heights at City Hall would be diminished. Diamond Heights has many issues in common with its D8 neighbors, Noe Valley and Glen Park. It is cut off from D7 by Glen Canyon Park, which forms a physical barrier to the west.

Attachments

Date Submitted

1/28/2022 4:56pm

[View in Airtable](#)

©2022 Airtable

[Visit our help center](#)

Tse, John (BOS)

From: noreply@airtable.com on behalf of John Tse via Airtable <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Thursday, January 27, 2022 8:28 AM
To: Tse, John (BOS)
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name

John B Fernandez de Castro

Mutual Interest

In 1926, 94 years ago, the Potrero and Dogpatch communities saw the need to have a community association that represented the interests of residents and businesses.

The Potrero Boosters are the third oldest neighborhood association in the City.

For almost 100 years we have worked together to form a cohesive community. In spite of our communities being bisected by two freeways (101 & 280) in the 1960's and our steep geography.

Organizations helped by Potrero Boosters in their formation as our neighborhood grew were:

- The Dogpatch Neighborhood Association (DNA)
- Dogpatch North West Potrero Hill Green Benefit District (GBD)
- Potrero Dogpatch Merchants Association (PDMA)

We all work together for the benefit of our Potrero and Dogpatch community with the neighbors, businesses, City Agencies and State Agencies.

The Sustainable Streets Committee that I co-chair with DNA Vice President Donovan Lacy works on Traffic Safety and Traffic Calming for the entire Potrero & Dogpatch area.

Recently, our team worked with the SFMTA, Bridge Housing and CARE San Francisco to get support from SFMTA to implement a solution to an unknown Vision Zero high injury corridor in Potrero Public Housing on 25th St. It had 2 fatalities and 6 injury accidents in under three years.

Projects of the Dogpatch / Potrero Sustainable Streets team benefit the Dogpatch / Potrero community.

- Safer intersections program to improve pedestrian safety & visibility
- Permanent Minnesota Slow Street in Dogpatch
- 18th St. Neighborhood Commercial District Traffic Calming
- Safer 17th Street bike route
- Traffic Calming installations in Potrero Hill, Potrero Public Housing and Dogpatch where we coordinate & track annual application process by impacted blocks

- North South Bike route (Bayview to SOMA)
- Traffic Calming at Potrero Community Health Center & Starr King School at request of School Principal and community leaders in Potrero Public Housing

Community Location

The 1926 bylaws defined the boundaries of the Potrero Boosters and Merchants Association now known as the Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association:

- Potrero Avenue on the west
- 16th Street on the north
- San Francisco Bay on the east
- Cesar Chavez St (formerly Army St. in 1926) on the south

These boundaries today describe

- Potrero Hill
- North West Potrero Hill (Potrero Ave., Utah St. & San Bruno St.)
- Potrero Public Housing
- Dogpatch.
- Developments at Pier 70 and Power Station (east of Illinois St)

Showplace Square Support

There is not a community wide association for the residences in Showplace Square (South of Division; west of 7th St to Potrero Ave.)

Potrero Boosters have members and help the residential occupants with Planning Dept. issues and SFMTA traffic / parking issues impacting their homes .(e.g. proposed Amazon Warehouse is just one of many issues)

Why Keep Together

We are a diverse community of all income levels that work together for the benefit of our overall neighborhood and the City.

The organizations I have mentioned and many others work for the benefit of the entire Potrero / Dogpatch community.

People depending on their interests serve groups mentioned by me and other community groups. Many people are officers of more than one community organization focused on common issues;

- Schools
- Parks and Recreation
- Public Safety and Crime reduction
- Sustainable Streets (my focus)
- Converting old industrial land into usable space for recreation
- Neighborhood Commercial District improvements on 18th, 20th and 22nd St.
- Food Distribution (SF Food Bank, CARE -SF and other community groups)
- Clean up of waterfront and Islas Creek (DNA and GBD lead these efforts)

I got involved in the Potrero Hill community when I moved here in 1979. Many of us worked for years on the multiple plans for Mission Bay. I Co-Chaired the original Potrero Hill Mission Bay committee in the 1980's.

The Potrero Boosters and Dogpatch Neighborhood Assn. were the lead community intervenors at CPUC regarding shutting down the old polluting power plant at Potrero

that was causing significant air pollution for our neighborhood and our neighbors in Bayview / Hunters Point.

We worked with our Bayview neighbors on issues like our assistance to the community CPUC intervenors on shutting down the old polluting Hunters Point power plant. Today we now all enjoy Heron Head Park instead of a polluting power plant.

A City & developer paid Aerial survey in 1998 referred to all of Dogpatch as an 'Industrial Wasteland' that should be torn down.. A few years later the Dogpatch Historic District was created in much of the same area.

The Potrero Boosters, Dogpatch Neighborhood Association and Bayview leaders created a plan document over many months from 1998 to 2001 on our views for Eastern Neighborhood development..

This set of documents described how our City could use what Supervisor Sophie Maxwell said "I'm not for banning, I'm for planning," (SF Business Times 10/22/2001).

The final January 2009 Eastern Neighborhood Plan used the ideas and philosophy from that early community developed document. The goal was to help both Communities and Developers know the guidelines for the Eastern Neighborhoods.

Recently Potrero Boosters and Dogpatch supported our District 10 neighbors for the 15 3rd Muni Rapid Bus to get Bayview residents downtown faster than the slow T Third line.

The 2000 census showed Potrero Hill and Dogpatch with a population of about 11,000. Today the population has more than doubled.

All of Potrero Hill and Dogpatch have worked together to forge a better community for almost 100 years. We need to remain together in District 10.

John B. Fernandez de Castro

Co Chair Dogpatch / Potrero Sustainable Streets Team

Attachments

Date Submitted

1/26/2022 4:23pm

[View in Airtable](#)

©2022 Airtable

[Visit our help center](#)

Tse, John (BOS)

From: noreply@airtable.com on behalf of John Tse via Airtable <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Thursday, January 27, 2022 8:28 AM
To: Tse, John (BOS)
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name

North Beach and Russian Hill

Mutual Interest

-Shared hospitality and key tourism landmarks like Coit Tower, Lombard Street, and Fisherman's Wharf

-High concentration of dense housing and apartments with tenant concerns

-Similar police boundaries and crime concerns of car break-ins

Community Location

Northeastern San Francisco:

-Fort Mason, Ghirardelli, Fisherman's Wharf, Russian Hill, North Beach, Telegraph Hill

Why Keep Together

We share similar concerns and priorities that must be under one focus.

Attachments



Date Submitted

1/26/2022 4:25pm

[View in Airtable](#)

©2022 Airtable

[Visit our help center](#)

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "Redistricting Plans Table" table
Date: Tuesday, January 25, 2022 9:50:52 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Saturday, January 22, 2022 12:16 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "Redistricting Plans Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Here's the latest version of this record from the "Redistricting Plans Table" table:

Plan Name

District 5 WRO

Submission ID

MyCityCouncil_SD_012020221053_District 5 WRO

General Comment

Here is my secondary input on the district 5 based on consistent geography, character, etc. In my last one it looks like I missed a few internal blocks that should have been selected to make it contiguous. I think this reflect better the consistent values and culture of a ""new"" district 5. I did vet this with a few neighbors via the Cole Valley Facebook page and they agreed. Hopefully this input is considered in drawing more representative lines. The current district 5 proposal breaks up Cole Valley which is a core neighborhood in district 5.

PDF Map of all districts

District name : District 5 WRO

% Deviation : 3.24

Comments :



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

First page PDF

Submission ID: MyCityCouncil_SD_012020221053_District 5 WRO

Plan name: District 5 WRO

District type: Board of Supervisors

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments: Here is my secondary input on the district 5 based on consistent geography, character, etc. In my last one it looks like I missed a few internal blocks that should have been selected to make it contiguous. I think this reflect better the consistent values and culture of a "new" district 5. I did vet this with a few neighbors via the Cole Valley Facebook page and they agreed. Hopefully this input is considered in drawing more representative lines. The current district 5 proposal breaks up Cole Valley which is a core neighborhood in district 5."

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N

- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Board of Supervisors: Y

I want to receive email updates from the Board of Supervisors: Y

Comments text file



Attachments



Date Submitted

1/20/2022

[View in Airtable](#)

©2022 Airtable

[Visit our help center](#)

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "Redistricting Plans Table" table
Date: Tuesday, January 25, 2022 9:50:32 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Saturday, January 22, 2022 12:15 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "Redistricting Plans Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Here's the latest version of this record from the "Redistricting Plans Table" table:

Plan Name

Bills district 5

Submission ID

MyCityCouncil_SD_012020221020_Bills district 5

General Comment

Here is my input on the district 5 based on consistent geography, character, etc. I think this reflect better the consistent values and culture of a "new" district 5. I did vet this with a few neighbors via the Cole Valley Facebook page and they agreed. Hopefully this input is considered in drawing more representative lines. The current district 5 proposal breaks up Cole Valley which is a core neighborhood in district 5.

PDF Map of all districts

District name : New district 5

% Deviation : -2.71

Comments :



First page PDF

Submission ID: MyCityCouncil_SD_012020221020_Bills district 5

Plan name: Bills district 5

District type: Board of Supervisors

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments: Here is my input on the district 5 based on consistent geography, character, etc. I think this reflect better the consistent values and culture of a "new" district 5. I did vet this with a few neighbors via the Cole Valley Facebook page and they agreed. Hopefully this input is considered in drawing more representative lines. The current district 5 proposal breaks up Cole Valley which is a core neighborhood in district 5.

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N

- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Board of Supervisors: Y

I want to receive email updates from the Board of Supervisors: Y

Comments text file



Attachments



Date Submitted

1/20/2022

[View in Airtable](#)

©2022 Airtable

[Visit our help center](#)

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Tuesday, January 25, 2022 9:51:47 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 25, 2022 9:46 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name

Dr. Emily Murase, Executive Director of the SF Japantown Task Force

Mutual Interest

Before World War II, there were over 80 Japanese and Japanese American communities across the country, at least 40 in California alone. Today, San Francisco Japantown is just one of three remaining communities in the U.S. (the others are San Jose Japantown and Little Tokyo in Los Angeles). Egregiously racist government policies such as the wartime incarceration of 120,000 Americans of Japanese ancestry in remote desert prison camps and the City's damaging use of eminent domain and evictions as part of "redevelopment" and "urban renewal" projects are responsible for the destruction of the Japanese American community. In both cases, Japanese American families and businesses were forcibly removed and largely uncompensated, impoverishing not only families and businesses, but entire communities, for decades. San Francisco Japantown has weathered these impacts and remains home to businesses, churches, community centers, social service agencies, schools, senior facilities reflecting the diversity of Japanese culture and tradition. Japantown was the very first City-designated cultural district.

Furthermore, Japantown collaborates closely with the Western Addition and Fillmore Districts, especially the Black communities, based on a shared history of resilience in the face of institutional racism. For example, the Black and Japanese communities have worked closely together at the Buchanan Street YMCA, the Booker T. Washington Community Service Center, and the Japanese Community Youth Council, just to name a few of the many agencies promoting collaborations. These strong ties remain today and continue to shape the lives of countless youth and individuals. During the pandemic, the Japanese American Religious Federation, a consortium of faith leaders, has partnered with African American/Black faith leaders in the Western Addition to create a new

initiative called "We Are One" which has been recognized by Mayor London Breed.

Community Location

Please see the attached map with highlighted borders. This map has been formally adopted by the City & County of San Francisco as part of the Japantown Cultural Heritage and Economic Sustainability Strategy (JCHES) (approved by the San Francisco Planning Commission and the Board of Supervisors in 2013), and reinforced by the Japantown Special Area Design Guidelines (approved by San Francisco Planning Commission in 2019). The boundaries are as follows.

1. Northern boundary: California Street between Steiner & Gough.
2. Western boundary: (1) Steiner between California & O'Farrell, (2) Fillmore between O'Farrell & Ellis.
3. Eastern boundary: (1) Gough between California & Geary, (2) Octavia between Geary & Ellis.
4. Southern boundary: (1) O'Farrell between Steiner & Fillmore, (2) Ellis between Fillmore & Octavia, (3) Geary between Octavia & Gough.

We look to community leaders in the Western Addition and Fillmore District, especially the Black communities, to determine their boundaries which we will strongly support.

Why Keep Together

Despite our resilience, Japantown is a vulnerable community. As a result of the pandemic, many businesses have closed and tourism has plummeted. It is absolutely essential that the existing City-adopted boundaries of Japantown be honored so that Japantown can survive and thrive for many generations into the future. It is also vitally important that Japantown's historic and cultural ties with the Western Addition and the Fillmore District, especially Black communities, be protected and they, too, are vulnerable. In many varied ways, these communities contribute to the cultural life and diversity of San Francisco and sustain its world-class status.

Attachments

Map



Map of Japantown showing the Japantown Special Use District, Japantown Neighborhood Commercial District, and JCHES/Japantown Cultural District.





SAN FRANCISCO
PLANNING DEPARTMENT

SAN FRANCISCO
PLANNING DEPARTMENT

JAPANTOWN
ORGANIZATION

Date Submitted

1/22/2022 2:21pm

[View in Airtable](#)

©2022 Airtable

[Visit our help center](#)

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Tuesday, January 25, 2022 9:52:11 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 25, 2022 9:47 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name

Mutual Interest

For those of us back on Potrero Hill and the south slopes, we recreate at Potrero del Sol, Heron's Head, and Warm Water Cove. It's an under the radar slice of the city that's maybe not intuitive until you look at a bus map (and topography). We need to stay connected to our little micro-neighborhood of "the hill and where it spills". If you want a community school, we have Starr King and Bryant which are the best to bike, walk or bus to. We have a bit more housing density back here than the many single family homes just north of us, and we will continue to increase in density with the Rebuild so we aren't worrying about diminishing parking because we are more into buses, bikes, walking and trains. So we go where the buses go, and where the walker finds the path of least resistance.

Community Location

Its a small slice of the city that follows the (pre-pandemic) path of the 48/19/10 buses as they run along the backside of Potrero Hill on 26th, connecting this highway bound area to itself and to the city. For streets, this COI is from about 22nd St down to Islais channel, bound on the West by Potrero Ave and to the east, by the bay.

Why Keep Together

We are more closed off to the North by topography beyond the Rec Center. To the south, Cesar Chavez is dangerous to cross on foot with the light at Connecticut being one of the few spots. To the east the 25th street foot bridge is unlikely to open back up. That pushes both buses and residents to use 23rd street where it crosses the 101 as a corridor to the city to the west. To the east, the Rebuild Potrero project is tying the area from the bay to the hill even more tightly together.

Attachments

Date Submitted

1/24/2022 10:01pm

[View in Airtable](#)

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "Redistricting Plans Table" table
Date: Tuesday, January 25, 2022 9:50:32 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Saturday, January 22, 2022 12:15 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "Redistricting Plans Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Here's the latest version of this record from the "Redistricting Plans Table" table:

Plan Name

Bills district 5

Submission ID

MyCityCouncil_SD_012020221020_Bills district 5

General Comment

Here is my input on the district 5 based on consistent geography, character, etc. I think this reflect better the consistent values and culture of a "new" district 5. I did vet this with a few neighbors via the Cole Valley Facebook page and they agreed. Hopefully this input is considered in drawing more representative lines. The current district 5 proposal breaks up Cole Valley which is a core neighborhood in district 5.

PDF Map of all districts

District name : New district 5

% Deviation : -2.71

Comments :



First page PDF

Submission ID: MyCityCouncil_SD_012020221020_Bills district 5

Plan name: Bills district 5

District type: Board of Supervisors

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments: Here is my input on the district 5 based on consistent geography, character, etc. I think this reflect better the consistent values and culture of a "new" district 5. I did vet this with a few neighbors via the Cole Valley Facebook page and they agreed. Hopefully this input is considered in drawing more representative lines. The current district 5 proposal breaks up Cole Valley which is a core neighborhood in district 5.

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N

- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Board of Supervisors: Y

I want to receive email updates from the Board of Supervisors: Y

Comments text file



Attachments



Date Submitted

1/20/2022

[View in Airtable](#)

©2022 Airtable

[Visit our help center](#)

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Tuesday, January 25, 2022 9:50:06 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Saturday, January 22, 2022 11:42 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name

Buchanan Mall in the Western Addition Community of Interest

Mutual Interest

Our mutual interest is sustaining the Buchanan Mall in the Western Addition as a whole. It is a community area whose roots are recovering from the harm of the Redevelopment Era that wiped out 2 communities: African American and the Japanese families, their businesses, and their support organizations that were eliminated. Community Green Spaces, accessible by various communities, were part of the overall plan when the Buchanan Mall was designed as part of Redevelopment in the 1960's and 70's. Part of the Mall extends into Japantown, where Ruth Asawa's sculpture is a centerpiece of the cobblestone mall area.

The Buchanan Mall community of interest includes a wide group of interested

partners who want to re-establish neighborhoods and communities, including the Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association, faith-based groups, schools, Ella Hill Hutch Community Center, Western Addition residents (elders, children, and families, some who have been born and raised in the Western Addition) who live nearby, artists and designers from across the city and Bay Area, and activists who have returned to the WA. The Citizen Film organization, led by Sophie Constantinou, has been one of the lead organizations, recruiting locals and finding others, some who were formerly incarcerated that have created new businesses, that support the activation of the Mall, creating new jobs, building lives, and new wealth for the community at large.

Community Location

The Buchanan Mall is the former Buchanan Street between Geary Street and Grove Street in the Western Addition. While the Mall is a single street, its impact radiates to the residents who live east to Van Ness and west to Divisadero.

Why Keep Together

Dividing this Mall and separating the residents who experienced the Redevelopment cultural catastrophe into different Districts is a continuation of the harm done in the 1960's and 70's. The Buchanan Mall must remain as part of the Western Addition community and its common experience of cultural and racial inequity as their homes were demolished and not replaced.

Citizen Film began engaging with the community surrounding the Buchanan Mall south of Geary Street about 5 years ago to redesign the green space and reactivate it for the benefit of all. A combination of recovered memories and future visions, expressed in new visual art, and sustainable green spaces with newly designed permanent lighting is now beginning construction, block by block. Significant community engagement has been done and funding is now in progress for the new Buchanan Mall. Maintained by SF REC and Park Department, the department and the Rec and Park commission has approved

the designs. The construction for 2 blocks of the Mall will commence this spring.

Attachments

Date Submitted

1/21/2022 6:22pm

[View in Airtable](#)

©2022 Airtable

[Visit our help center](#)

Carroll, John (BOS)

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Tuesday, January 25, 2022 9:50 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

Forwarding to RTF on BCC

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Saturday, January 22, 2022 11:41 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name

The Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association (HVNA) is the community of interest

Mutual Interest

HVNA community was instrumental in developing the Market/Octavia Better Neighborhood Plan, partnering with many city agencies, especially Planning, to form a cohesive plan for a new community after the Central Freeway was demolished. The foresight of our community was instrumental in creating a new neighborhood. We believe that our community of interest will continue to have great impact on the HUB as it is developed, particularly in creating new neighborhoods.

Participating in new developments built in our community that include thoughtful community-led choices regarding 1) transit serving new developments AND the surrounding existing community 2) human-scale green spaces at street level for all to enjoy 3) significant development of affordable homes for purchase and rental apartments 4) Adding other transit options: biking, walking, ADA/family-friendly accessible sidewalks, all designed within a safe environment separate from car traffic.

Community Location

Our Community of Interest is within the current D5 boundaries and includes the Hayes Valley Neighborhood Assn boundaries (N on Webster from Haight , E on McAllister, S on Van Ness, W on Market, N on Buchanan, W on Waller, N on Fillmore, E on Haight to Webster, N on Webster).


HVNA continues to reach out to surrounding communities as well, especially on transit and new development issues. For example, HVNA worked closely with SFMTA on improve the #5 bus route (technically outside HVNA boundaries at the time) and to add the #5R. Transit First and building community are priorities for this community of interest.

Why Keep Together

By including all of the HUB (which is part of the Market/Octavia Better Neighborhood Plan <https://sfplanning.org/market-street-hub-project>) within D5, HVNA can positively impact the new developments to be sure that the new residents will be a part of the community and not isolated in high-rise towers above the street. **See the attached map, the red area circled.** Here is a link to the HUB general plan https://generalplan.sfplanning.org/Market-and-Octavia-Area-Plan_2020-Update.pdf Our community of interest is well informed on the issues within the HUB and how the new developments may negatively intensify or negatively create anew.

Currently, the HUB is segmented into 4 supervisorial districts: D5, 6, 8, and 9. This community has found it difficult to bring all 4 supervisors together to form a coherent group to achieve common goals of creating a new community within the HUB. We believe 1 supervisor (D5) should be responsible for the HUB. Our community of interest has initiated efforts to comment/influence/support the HUB development (though only part of the HUB lies within D5) to include more green space, community accessible space at street level, include more and safe forms of transit, and avoid car traffic logjams that currently exist in the HUB and have yet to be addressed by developers and SFMTA. The HUB is a part of the Market/Octavia Plan (<https://sfplanning.org/market-octavia-area-plan>) In addition, creating more affordably priced housing is a priority for this community, so there will be equal access for new living spaces. We believe there is new opportunity to create new communities within the HUB, and HVNA can positively influence the final outcome.

Attachments



Date Submitted

1/21/2022 6:13pm

[View in Airtable](#)

©2022 Airtable

[Visit our help center](#)

Carroll, John (BOS)

From: noreply@airtable.com on behalf of John Tse via Airtable <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2022 2:22 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name

Jack Alber

Mutual Interest

The Bayview, Potrero, Showplace Square and Dogpatch form a solid Community of Interest with shared history and present-day issues stemming from neglect and industrial land uses. Although our demographics are diverse, our communities together face serious impacts from contaminated soil and poor air quality, massive growth, and limited investment in public infrastructure. Keeping our neighborhoods together in one district ensures that we maximize efforts to address common issues and achieve common goals.

During the first half of the 20th century, redlining discouraged home ownership by working class immigrants and people of color living in the Bayview and Potrero Hill, and exacerbated inequities with other parts of the City. Areas along the Bay were sparsely settled due to heavy industrial uses. As a result little investment went to the parks, public transportation, schools and public facilities like those provided in more affluent residential areas.

Our neighborhoods provided the real estate for freeways, artificially dividing us and polluting our air. In the last two decades, despite a stated goal of providing affordable housing, the rezoning of contaminated, formerly industrial land has led to speculation, gentrification and displacement among growing concerns for the health and safety of our residents.

It is essential that our community be allowed to navigate these challenges as a whole, rather than being split apart. Please keep District 10 consistent in future redistricting within the city. Thank you!

Community Location

The Bayview, Showplace Square, the Dogpatch and Potrero Hill. District 10, to be short.

Why Keep Together

Within this larger Community of Interest is a smaller one with Dogpatch and Potrero Hill. Together we benefit from the efforts of multiple organizations (Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association, Dogpatch Neighborhood Association, Potrero/Dogpatch Merchants Association, Potrero Democratic Club) all working closely together advocating for common interests. To divide Dogpatch from Potrero, or the north slope of Potrero from the south, imposes false boundaries that would seriously dilute our advocacy efforts.

Attachments

Date Submitted

1/18/2022 2:18pm

[View in Airtable](#)

©2022 Airtable

[Visit our help center](#)

Carroll, John (BOS)

From: noreply+automations@airtableemail.com on behalf of Airtable Automations
<noreply+automations@airtableemail.com>
Sent: Friday, January 14, 2022 11:48 PM
To: Tse, John (BOS); REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Seth Neill
Subject: Record recBqDbJQmT9Tfilk has been submitted

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Name

Potrero Hill

Mutual Interest

Potrero Hill has a shared culture and community of neighborhood businesses. It has a unique character formed by a mix of working class and white collar workers, many of whom have lived in the neighborhood for generations. It is predominantly single-family residential housing with a limited number of large apartment and condominiums, mostly on the edges. Potrero Hill has neighborhood organizations like the Potrero-Dogpatch Merchants association and the green benefit district.

Community Location

Bounded on the South by Caesar Chavez

Bounded on the West by Potrero Avenue

Bounded on the North by Division through the traffic circle to King Street (possibly Townsend)

Bounded on the East by 7th Street and then I-280 south to Caesar Chavez

Why Keep Together

Potrero Hill should be kept together because the benefits and the problems of the community are experienced together. For example the Mission District to the west has historically had more problems with gang violence, and Potrero Hill has experienced rapid development and gentrification. The large scale development in Showplace Square/Design District has most immediately affected Potrero Hill residents, and it's important to have this area included and represented by the same supervisor.

Date Submitted

1/15/2022 7:48am UTC

Sent via Automations on 

©2022 Airtable

Carroll, John (BOS)

From: noreply@airtable.com on behalf of John Tse via Airtable <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Thursday, January 13, 2022 9:25 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Tse, John (BOS)
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name

Chuck Obermeyer

Mutual Interest

The SF Mid-Market neighborhood is a high-density mixed-use community located in the heart of the city. Perhaps San Francisco's most uniquely diverse neighborhood, Mid-Market includes three of San Francisco's eight cultural districts: SOMA Pilipinas, Compton's Transgender, and Leather and LGBT. The resident population of Mid-Market is broadly diverse across race/ethnicity, educational background, and income level. Our community includes a large mix of both families who have lived in the neighborhood for decades and newer arrivals from all over the globe. Affordable housing buildings, SROs, market-rate housing, and condo buildings are nestled together alongside a wide range of commercial activity and government offices. This is partly because Mid-Market is a regional transit gateway, anchored by two BART and three MUNI Metro stations. As a result, the neighborhood sees intense foot traffic and commuter density each day. Mid-Market is also home to a large volume of supportive services, with people on both sides of Market street regularly accessing these services. Mid-Market also holds a unique place among other neighborhoods in SF as the social and cultural heart of the city. The community is home to a high concentration of cultural venues and regularly hosts many

large social events, celebrations, and protests attended by San Franciscans from every corner of the city.

But despite sitting in the heart of SF, Mid-Market is plagued by an enduring humanitarian crisis. Large public squares and corners in the neighborhood are dominated by open-air drug markets and street vending of stolen goods. Sidewalks are covered in loose trash, feces, broken glass, and needles. Random acts of violence, rampant property crime, and overstretched SFPD and community ambassadors mean that residents, commuting workers, and visitors to Mid-Market alike are regularly assaulted, robbed, and killed. It's no surprise then, that most people avoid walking the streets of Mid-Market after dark.

But the homeless residents of Mid-Market have it the worst of all. City services in Mid-Market are overstretched and underfunded, so many living on the street have trouble getting the addiction counseling and mental health care they so desperately need. And unlike the housed residents of Mid-Market, homeless residents have no refuge from the danger on the streets. They are regularly assaulted, exploited, and trafficked by the drug dealers and criminal syndicates operating on the streets of Mid-Market.

All those who live and work in Mid-Market are united in their desire to live in a safe, inclusive, and vibrant neighborhood. The community desperately needs larger investments in SFPD and community ambassador programs to ensure an end to open-air drug markets, fencing of stolen goods on sidewalks, and rampant violent crime. Residents of Mid-Market are uniquely proud that their neighborhood hosts such a large volume of supportive services, but want to see larger investments in city services to ensure that no one goes without care. Without large investments in public safety and supportive city services, Mid-Market's pressing humanitarian crisis will never be resolved.

Community Location

Please see attached links to google map and google doc. Page 3 of the google doc contains details on the finer points of the map.

Why Keep Together

To resolve the pressing humanitarian crisis in Mid-Market, large-scale investments in city services are needed. In order to achieve those investments, Mid-Market needs to be able to speak to a single SF Supervisor who understands the broader situation across the whole neighborhood. If the neighborhood is cut up and placed in several different districts, the Supervisors with smaller parts of Mid-Market in their district will have little reason to devote attention and energy to the issues facing those areas. Why would they? They'll have many different neighborhoods in their district to compete for their time. Ensuring Mid-Market is kept whole in one Supervisorial district is the only way to ensure that the neighborhood gets the attention and help it so desperately needs.

Additionally, the Mid-Market neighborhood should be kept whole because it is unique in SF for its diversity. Our community is home to people who live in every kind of housing type, people of every educational background and income level, both long-time residents and new immigrants from all over the world. All of us are hurt by the current conditions in our community—all of us want change. Our diversity of experience and perspective is our biggest strength, and we want to be kept together.

Attachments





Date Submitted

1/12/2022 5:11pm

[View in Airtable](#)

©2022 Airtable

[Visit our help center](#)

Carroll, John (BOS)

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Wednesday, January 12, 2022 10:38 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: Shared records from the "COI Table" table

Categories: COMMUNICATIONS

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 12, 2022 8:39 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name

Ellen Shaffer

Mutual Interest

District 7

Community Location

District 7, SF

Why Keep Together

Good question. When is the next scheduled meeting re: Redistricting & District 7?

Attachments

Date Submitted

1/12/2022 8:05am

[View in Airtable](#)

©2022 Airtable

[Visit our help center](#)

Carroll, John (BOS)

From: noreply@airtable.com on behalf of John Tse via Airtable <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 12, 2022 8:39 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS); REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Seth Neill
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

Categories: COMMUNICATIONS

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name

Alison Heath (D10 resident)

Mutual Interest

The Bayview, Potrero, Showplace Square and Dogpatch form a solid Community of Interest with shared history and present-day issues stemming from neglect and industrial land uses. Although our demographics are diverse, our communities together face serious impacts from contaminated soil and poor air quality, massive growth, and limited investment in public infrastructure. Keeping our neighborhoods together in one district ensures that we maximize efforts to address common issues and achieve common goals.

Community Location

Current District 10, between the Bay to the east and Potrero Ave and 101 to the west. From 16th Street and inclusive of Showplace Square to the north and the SF border to the South. It could include Mission Bay as well, or portions of Vis Valley to get the right population numbers.

Why Keep Together

During the first half of the 20th century, redlining discouraged home ownership by working class immigrants and people of color living in the Bayview and Potrero Hill, and exacerbated inequities with other parts of the City. Areas along the Bay were sparsely settled due to heavy industrial uses. As a result little investment went to the parks, public transportation, schools and public facilities like those provided in more affluent residential areas.

Our neighborhoods provided the real estate for freeways, artificially dividing us and polluting our air. In the last two decades, despite a stated goal of providing affordable housing, the rezoning of contaminated, formerly industrial land has led to speculation, gentrification and displacement among growing concerns for the health and safety of our residents.

Within this larger Community of Interest is a smaller one with Dogpatch and Potrero Hill. Together we benefit from the efforts of multiple organizations (Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association, Dogpatch Neighborhood Association, Potrero/Dogpatch Merchant Association, Potrero Democratic Club) all working closely together advocating for common interests. To divide Dogpatch from Potrero, or the north slope of Potrero from the south, imposes false boundaries that would seriously dilute our advocacy efforts. Keeping our neighborhoods together in one district ensures that we maximize efforts to address mutual issues and achieve common goals.

Within this larger Community of Interest is a smaller one comprised of Dogpatch and Potrero Hill. Together we benefit from the efforts of multiple organizations (Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association, Dogpatch Neighborhood Association, Potrero/Dogpatch Merchant Association, Potrero Democratic Club) all working closely together advocating for common interests. To divide Dogpatch from Potrero, or the north slope of Potrero from the south, imposes false boundaries that would seriously dilute our advocacy efforts.

Attachments

Date Submitted

1/11/2022 4:06pm

[View in Airtable](#)

©2022 Airtable
[Visit our help center](#)

Community Location

Western Boundary: Potrero Avenue

Northern Boundaries: (West to East) Division, Townsend, 7th Street, 16th Street

Eastern Boundary: the Bay


Southern Boundary: Cesar Chavez

It is located between Potrero Avenue and the Bay, north of Cesar Chavez and Islais Creek, and South of Division, Townsend and Seventh, 16th or Mariposa (to the east of 280).

Why Keep Together

Historically, the Potrero District consisted of Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Irish Hill. Development along the shore has caused the future to mirror the past. The neighborhoods face the same transportation issues, the same development issues, the same need for public facilities, the same policing issues, and so on. Residents in these neighborhoods work together, and families are part of the same school communities. They share common neighborhood and merchant groups. A management district for open space assess taxes and managed open space in Dogpatch and Northwest Potrero. The area suffers the impact of Caltrans and works to solve issues related to both the 101 and 280 corridors. Over COVID 19, a virtual holiday scavenger hunt took residents across the whole of the area. They are a single community with different neighborhood flairs.

Attachments



Date Submitted

1/10/2022 2:50pm

[View in Airtable](#)

©2022 Airtable

[Visit our help center](#)



BARBARY COAST NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION

BCNA
550 Davis Street, Box 6
San Francisco, CA 94111
www.bcnasf.org

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

January 28, 2022

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

David Albert
Bill Hannan
Karen Scarr
Diana Taylor

To: SF Redistricting Task Force

From: Barbary Coast Neighborhood Association

Re: District 3 (D3) Redistricting Proposal

MEMBERS AT LARGE

Adam Bergman
Bob Harrer
Michele Hennessey
Lee Robbins
James Seff
Michael Velzo

The current District 3 encompasses many of the sights and activities that people associate with San Francisco. Its identity is strongly linked to tourism. D3's entire eastern and northern perimeter along the waterfront features famous attractions such as the Ferry Building, the Exploratorium, Alcatraz Tours, Pier 39 and Fisherman's Wharf. Inland lie North Beach restaurants, Pioneer Park & Coit Tower views, Chinatown shops and Union Square's hotels & shopping.

If D3 boundaries need to be adjusted outward, its neighborhoods have vastly greater affinity with neighborhoods to the west, not south. The best option for increasing its area is to incorporate the section bounded by Van Ness, Union St, Jones-Columbus-Leavenworth and the Bay into D3. [Proposed Map submitted online to Task Force]. This would make Van Ness Avenue – a natural dividing line – the district's western boundary.

As presented in the SF Redistricting Task Force materials, the ideal redistricting population in one district is 79,545 people. The Task Force directions say proposed new districts should be within 5%, at most, of the ideal number. The population of D3 with the current boundaries is 72,474 or 8.9% below the ideal number. If we extend the northwestern boundary to Van Ness, D3's population would be 78,908 a mere 0.8% below the ideal.

Moving the northern portion of D3's western boundary to Van Ness offers several benefits. This plan would unite the Russian Hill neighborhood, which is currently split between D3 and D2. It would also connect Ghirardelli Square and the Cannery with other similar waterfront attractions. And this expanded D3 community includes a high concentration of dense housing and is served by police boundaries (SFPD Central Station) with similar tenant and safety concerns. Furthermore, this proposal would meet the district population requirement set by the Task Force (within 1% of the ideal number). Such an adjustment to D3's boundaries would bring together related communities that have profound interests in common. It would be a natural and logical re-arrangement; in short, a common-sense solution.

Diana Taylor, BCNA President on behalf of BCNA Board of Directors

Submission ID: MyCityCouncil_SD_012820221239_D3 draft 1

Plan name: D3 draft 1

District type: Board of Supervisors

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments: Please see letter from Barbary Coast Neighborhood Association (BCNA) dated January 28, 2022. This map moves the northern portion of D3's western boundary to Van Ness, thus incorporating a unified Russian Hill neighborhood and tourism activities like the Cannery/Ghirardelli along the northern waterfront.

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N

- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Board of Supervisors: Y

I want to receive email updates from the Board of Supervisors: Y

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

Submission ID: MyCityCouncil_SD_012820221239_D3 draft 1

Plan name: D3 draft 1

District type: Board of Supervisors

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments: Please see letter from Barbary Coast Neighborhood Association (BCNA) dated January 28, 2022. This map moves the northern portion of D3's western boundary to Van Ness, thus incorporating a unified Russian Hill neighborhood and tourism activities like the Cannery/Ghirardelli along the northern waterfront.

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N
- Total population % deviation: N
- Assignment: N


I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Board of Supervisors: Y

I want to receive email updates from the Board of Supervisors: Y

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name	% Deviation	Comments
D3 #2	-0.8	

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D3 #2

% Deviation : -0.8

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

Submission ID: MyCityCouncil_SD_012720221299_TL in D 3

Plan name: TL in D 3

District type: Board of Supervisors

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments: this map solves the problem of how to deal with the large growth in D 6 by moving the Tenderloin into D 3. This means that D 2 takes more of Russian Hill, Polk Gulch, Nob Hill, and Fisherman's Wharf. D 5 extends to lower Pacific heights while D 8 would lose part of the Mission and Glen Park and gain Cole Valley and the Inner Sunset. Glen Park would become part of D7. D 9 would lose the Portola which would shift mostly to D 10.

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N

- Assignment: N


I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Board of Supervisors: Y

I want to receive email updates from the Board of Supervisors: N

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name	% Deviation	Comments
D 6	-1.88	
D 4	0.39	
D 5	-1.3	
D 2	2.0	
D 1	-0.67	
D 10	-0.92	
D 7	-0.1	
D 3	3.94	
D 8	-1.04	
D 9	-0.77	
D 11	-0.98	

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D 3

% Deviation : 3.94

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D 2

% Deviation : 2.0

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D 1

% Deviation : -0.67

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D 5

% Deviation : -1.3

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D 6

% Deviation : -1.88

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D 4

% Deviation : 0.39

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D 8

% Deviation : -1.04

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D 10

% Deviation : -0.92

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D 7

% Deviation : -0.1

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D 9

% Deviation : -0.77

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D 11

% Deviation : -0.98

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

Submission ID: MyCityCouncil_SD_012620221295_District 3

Plan name: District 3

District type: Board of Supervisors

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments:

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N

- Assignment: N


I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Board of Supervisors: Y

I want to receive email updates from the Board of Supervisors: Y

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name	% Deviation	Comments
District 3	-2.28	

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : District 3

% Deviation : -2.28

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

Submission ID: MyCityCouncil_SD_012620221077_Map 1

Plan name: Map 1

District type: Board of Supervisors

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments:

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N

- Assignment: N


I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Board of Supervisors: Y

I want to receive email updates from the Board of Supervisors: Y

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name	% Deviation	Comments
Downtown	0.08	
Richmond	5.18	
SE	3.89	
Soma East	-5.27	
Middle	1.51	
Sunset	-2.6	
Marina Pac Heights	-1.7	
Inner Sunset	0.69	
Mission Noe	3.47	
Bernal Sunnyside	-1.42	
Excell	8.05	

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : Soma East

% Deviation : -5.27

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : Downtown

% Deviation : 0.08

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : Mission Noe

% Deviation : 3.47

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : Bernal Sunnyside

% Deviation : -1.42

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : Middle

% Deviation : 1.51

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : Inner Sunset

% Deviation : 0.69

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : Marina Pac Heights

% Deviation : -1.7

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : Richmond

% Deviation : 5.18

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : SE

% Deviation : 3.89

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : Excell

% Deviation : 8.05

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : Sunset

% Deviation : -2.6

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

Submission ID: MyCityCouncil_SD_012220221127_Communities Map

Plan name: Communities Map

District type: Board of Supervisors

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments: This map tries to keep communities of interest together, while still reflecting SF's diverse population in each district. The new districts should be much more diverse than the current set, while BIPOC communities are largely kept whole.

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N
- Total population % deviation: N
- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Board of Supervisors: Y

I want to receive email updates from the Board of Supervisors: N

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name	% Deviation	Comments
D3	-4.49	
D6	-1.75	
D2	-5.42	
D5	-0.34	
D7	2.81	
D9	-1.98	
D4	4.47	
D10	0.61	
D1	1.68	
D11	-0.51	
D8	4.92	

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D6

% Deviation : -1.75

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D2

% Deviation : -5.42

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D3

% Deviation : -4.49

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D5

% Deviation : -0.34

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D7

% Deviation : 2.81

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D11

% Deviation : -0.51

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D9

% Deviation : -1.98

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D8

% Deviation : 4.92

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D4

% Deviation : 4.47

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D10

% Deviation : 0.61

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D1

% Deviation : 1.68

Comments :



Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

Submission ID: MyCityCouncil_SD_012220221116_West Soma in D5

Plan name: West Soma in D5

District type: Board of Supervisors

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments: This plan resolves issues around D6 by D5 absorbing West Soma including Hub and Division corridor, Russian Hill and Portola are unified, Cathedral Hill goes to D2, D1 expands east, minor changes to D7, D8, D9, D11, D10 loses half of Portola. D4 gains Inner Sunset. This plan keeps many communities of interest together in their existing districts.

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N

- Assignment: N


I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Board of Supervisors: Y

I want to receive email updates from the Board of Supervisors: Y

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name	% Deviation	Comments
D6	1.93	
d8	-1.34	
District 1	-0.41	
D7	-4.15	
District 2	-1.83	
D11	0.24	
D10	1.15	
District 3	3.75	
D4	-2.05	
D9	0.9	
District 5	0.49	

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D9

% Deviation : 0.9

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D10

% Deviation : 1.15

Comments :



Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : District 3

% Deviation : 3.75

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D6

% Deviation : 1.93

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : d8

% Deviation : -1.34

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : District 1

% Deviation : -0.41

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D7

% Deviation : -4.15

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : District 2

% Deviation : -1.83

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D11

% Deviation : 0.24

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : District 5

% Deviation : 0.49

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D4

% Deviation : -2.05

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

Submission ID: MyCityCouncil_SD_012120221060_Strengthening Communities of Color

Plan name: Strengthening Communities of Color

District type: Board of Supervisors

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments: This plan would particularly strengthen representation of the Black, Latino, and Asian population. by placing Tenderloin, Western Addition Fillmore, and Japantown together, D5 would have greater Black, Latino, and Asian representation. D10 would similarly have strong Black, Asian and Latino representation while D 11's strength with Asian and Latino voters would be strengthened. The Latino population in D 9 would be Strengthened while D 6, D 3, D7, D 1, and D 4 would all have significant white and asian populations while being majority people of color. only Districts 2 and 8 would be majority white.

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N

- Assignment: N


I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Board of Supervisors: Y

I want to receive email updates from the Board of Supervisors: N

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name	% Deviation	Comments
D 5	-0.97	
D 7	1.74	
D 3	0.12	
D 10	3.41	
D 4	1.0	
D 1	-1.79	
D 2	-2.89	
D 6	-0.84	
D 9	-1.46	
D 11	0.21	
D 8	1.45	

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D 3

% Deviation : 0.12

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D 6

% Deviation : -0.84

Comments :





**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D 9

% Deviation : -1.46

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D 4

% Deviation : 1.0

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D 7

% Deviation : 1.74

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D 11

% Deviation : 0.21

Comments :




Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors.

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D 1

% Deviation : -1.79

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D 2

% Deviation : -2.89

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D 5

% Deviation : -0.97

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D 8

% Deviation : 1.45

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

Submission ID: MyCityCouncil_SD_012020221053_District 5 WRO

Plan name: District 5 WRO

District type: Board of Supervisors

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments: Here is my secondary input on the district 5 based on consistent geography, character, etc. In my last one it looks like I missed a few internal blocks that should have been selected to make it contiguous. I think this reflect better the consistent values and culture of a ""new"" district 5. I did vet this with a few neighbors via the Cole Valley Facebook page and they agreed. Hopefully this input is considered in drawing more representative lines. The current district 5 proposal breaks up Cole Valley which is a core neighborhood in district 5."

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N

- Assignment: N


I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Board of Supervisors: Y

I want to receive email updates from the Board of Supervisors: Y

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name	% Deviation	Comments
District 5 WRO	3.24	

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : District 5 WRO

% Deviation : 3.24

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

Submission ID: MyCityCouncil_SD_012020221020_Bills district 5

Plan name: Bills district 5

District type: Board of Supervisors

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments: Here is my input on the district 5 based on consistent geography, character, etc. I think this reflect better the consistent values and culture of a "new" district 5. I did vet this with a few neighbors via the Cole Valley Facebook page and they agreed. Hopefully this input is considered in drawing more representative lines. The current district 5 proposal breaks up Cole Valley which is a core neighborhood in district 5.

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N
- Total population % deviation: N
- Assignment: N


I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Board of Supervisors: Y

I want to receive email updates from the Board of Supervisors: Y

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name	% Deviation	Comments
New district 5	-2.71	

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : New district 5

% Deviation : -2.71

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

Submission ID: MyCityCouncil_SD_01172022961_JS1

Plan name: JS1

District type: Board of Supervisors

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments:

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N

- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Board of Supervisors: Y

I want to receive email updates from the Board of Supervisors: Y

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name	% Deviation	Comments
1	-202.35	Sea Cliff and adjacent Presidio voters should be included with the Richmond District

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

Submission ID: MyCityCouncil_SD_01142022875_TL in D6

Plan name: TL in D6

District type: Board of Supervisors

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments: This plan works to keep the core of each district intact. For example, D3, the historic northeast corner of the City remains intact rather than spilt. D6's large growth is resolved by moving Western Soma to D9 which took on most of the old D6 last redistricting. D10's growth is resolved by removing Lower Potrero Hill to D9 and Dogpatch to D6. Portola moves from D11 from D9 to compensate for these changes. Other flow through changes made to other districts including D7 gaining some of western D11. D4 adds some of the Inner Sunset. D8 and D5 borders move around and D2 takes on Lower Pacific Heights, Japantown and Cathedral Hill. D1 takes all of the Richmond District, Seacliff and Baker Beach in the Presidio.

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N

- Assignment: N


I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Board of Supervisors: Y

I want to receive email updates from the Board of Supervisors: Y

Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

District name	% Deviation	Comments
District 3	-0.06	Both sides of Market Street Downtown and filling out of Geary and O'farrell.
District 1	-1.57	
District 9	0.57	
District 11	-0.2	
District 2	-1.62	
District 7	2.5	
District 4	-0.25	
District 5	-4.32	
District 6	1.32	
District 10	-1.26	
District 8	-0.31	

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : District 3

% Deviation : -0.06

Comments : Both sides of Market Street Downtown and filling out of Geary and O'farrell.



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : District 6

% Deviation : 1.32

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : District 9

% Deviation : 0.57

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : District 10

% Deviation : -1.26

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : District 8

% Deviation : -0.31

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : District 5

% Deviation : -4.32

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : District 1

% Deviation : -1.57

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : District 7

% Deviation : 2.5

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : District 2

% Deviation : -1.62

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : District 4

% Deviation : -0.25

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : District 11

% Deviation : -0.2

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

Submission ID: MyCityCouncil_SD_01142022852_Test

Plan name: Test

District type: Board of Supervisors

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments: This plan reflects how I navigate the city as a transit rider and cyclist. I've tried to draw districts that reflect how transit lines draw communities together, while honoring topography, history, and residential patterns.

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N
- Total population % deviation: N
- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Board of Supervisors: Y

I want to receive email updates from the Board of Supervisors: Y

Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

arkside neighborhood to increase continuity among area served by L, K, M and T transit lines, converging around West Portal / St. Francis C

ast-west to increase continuity among neighborhoods that are close to downtown. Mission Bay is now connected to Dogpatch/Potrero rather

ast-west to enhance continuity of neighborhoods served by the N line. Also enhances continuity of residential hills around UCSF.

e more compact, incorporating areas under the Octavia & Market Plan while retaining close neighborhoods connections. From Hayes & Div

district with better continuity among southeastern neighborhoods.

ly to account for population change, absorbing Anza Vista and other neighborhoods along the vital 38 bus route.

l Heights, which is tied to Noe Valley and the Castro by bus 24 and the J line, and by similar housing patterns.


change, District 3 absorbs parts of SoMa, including Yerba Buena, Financial District South, and waterfront areas north of the Bay Bridge. Ne

ly smaller to account for population change but retains continuity between Tenderloin, Mid-Market, and western SoMa.

istrict 3 better reflects the topography of Russian Hill.

yside with Mission Terrace (reflecting the "Outer Mission" as defined by SF Planning). Includes walksheds of important transit lines (14, J/M

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : District 6

% Deviation : -0.52

Comments : This district gets significantly smaller to account for population change but retains continuity between Tenderloin, Mid-Market, and western SoMa.




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : District 4

% Deviation : -0.79

Comments : This district is reoriented east-west to enhance continuity of neighborhoods served by the N line. Also enhances continuity of residential hills around UCSF.






**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : District 11

% Deviation : -0.29

Comments : This district reunites Sunnyside with Mission Terrace (reflecting the "Outer Mission" as defined by SF Planning). Includes walksheds of important transit lines (14, J/M, Balboa Park Bart).




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : District 10

% Deviation : -0.79

Comments : A geographically compact district with better continuity among southeastern neighborhoods.



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : District 9

% Deviation : -0.82

Comments : This district is reoriented east-west to increase continuity among neighborhoods that are close to downtown. Mission Bay is now connected to Dogpatch/Potrero rather than SoMa, and tied to the Mission via rerouted Bus 22.




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : District 8

% Deviation : -0.93

Comments : This district absorbs Bernal Heights, which is tied to Noe Valley and the Castro by bus 24 and the J line, and by similar housing patterns.



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : District 3

% Deviation : -0.91

Comments : Accounting for population change, District 3 absorbs parts of SoMa, including Yerba Buena, Financial District South, and waterfront areas north of the Bay Bridge. New Central Subway connects Chinatown and 4th Street.



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : District 2

% Deviation : -0.96

Comments : New eastern border with District 3 better reflects the topography of Russian Hill.




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : District 1

% Deviation : -0.95

Comments : This district expands slightly to account for population change, absorbing Anza Vista and other neighborhoods along the vital 38 bus route.



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : District 5

% Deviation : -1.0

Comments : This district is redrawn to be more compact, incorporating areas under the Octavia & Market Plan while retaining close neighborhoods connections. From Hayes & Divisadero, nothing is more than a 30-minute walk.



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

Submission ID: MyCityCouncil_SD_01112022800_Fair plan

Plan name: Fair plan

District type: Board of Supervisors

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments:

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N

- Assignment: N


I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Board of Supervisors: Y

I want to receive email updates from the Board of Supervisors: Y

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name	% Deviation	Comments
D6	0.06	
D3	-3.36	
D9	0.28	
D4	-0.44	
D11	1.73	
D2	-1.04	
D1	0.43	
D7	1.73	
D10	0.96	
D8	-0.76	
D5	0.39	

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D3

% Deviation : -3.36

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D9

% Deviation : 0.28

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D6

% Deviation : 0.06

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D4

% Deviation : -0.44

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D7

% Deviation : 1.73

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D5

% Deviation : 0.39

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D11

% Deviation : 1.73

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D2

% Deviation : -1.04

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D1

% Deviation : 0.43

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D8

% Deviation : -0.76

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D10

% Deviation : 0.96

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

Submission ID: MyCityCouncil_SD_01092022761_Equity Plan 2022

Plan name: Equity Plan 2022

District type: Board of Supervisors

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments: My goal for this map was to improve racial equity across San Francisco. I approached this in two ways: 1. Maintaining existing majority-minority districts 2. Reducing the non-Hispanic white population in districts where it is currently 50% or higher I measured my work against the San Francisco Planning Department's report titled "San Francisco Neighborhoods Socio-Economic Profiles 2012-2016", which reports data at the level of individual supervisorial districts. In my view, I largely succeeded at this effort. The average non-Hispanic white population among today's 11 districts is 48.64% according to SF Planning. Under this set of maps, the redistricting tool shows a new average of 44.72%. Further, the number of districts with a non-Hispanic white population greater than 50% has been reduced from 4 to 2. The districts proposed in this submission are more equitable than the status quo and more accurately reflect San Francisco's diverse population.

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N

- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Board of Supervisors: Y

I want to receive email updates from the Board of Supervisors: Y

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name	% Deviation	Comments
D8	-1.3	
D9	3.21	
D3	-1.6	
D5	1.72	
D1	-4.17	
D2	4.22	
D10	-0.97	
D4	-3.18	
D7	-1.67	
D11	3.05	
D6	0.7	

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D6

% Deviation : 0.7

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D3

% Deviation : -1.6

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D10

% Deviation : -0.97

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D4

% Deviation : -3.18

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D7

% Deviation : -1.67

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D11

% Deviation : 3.05

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D8

% Deviation : -1.3

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D5

% Deviation : 1.72

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D9

% Deviation : 3.21

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D1

% Deviation : -4.17

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D2

% Deviation : 4.22

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

Submission ID: MyCityCouncil_SD_12272021614_SF

Plan name: SF

District type: Board of Supervisors

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments:

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N

- Assignment: N


I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Board of Supervisors: Y

I want to receive email updates from the Board of Supervisors: N

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name	% Deviation	Comments
D2	-7.6	
D6	5.49	
D7	-0.37	
D10	6.63	
D4	-0.22	
D1	-1.77	
D5	-6.57	
D3	6.15	
D11	-0.6	
D9	0.47	
D8	-1.81	

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D1

% Deviation : -1.77

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D5

% Deviation : -6.57

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D2

% Deviation : -7.6

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D3

% Deviation : 6.15

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D6

% Deviation : 5.49

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D7

% Deviation : -0.37

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D11

% Deviation : -0.6

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D10

% Deviation : 6.63

Comments :



Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D9

% Deviation : 0.47

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D4

% Deviation : -0.22

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D8

% Deviation : -1.81

Comments :



Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

Submission ID: MyCityCouncil_SD_12202021552_TL in D5

Plan name: TL in D5

District type: Board of Supervisors

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments: This plan puts the Tenderloin in D 5. As a result the Haight and Cole valley move out of D 5 into D 8. D 9 would extend west to Dolores. D6 would focus on SoMa, Mission Bay, Dog Patch, and most of Potrero Hill. Portola would shift from D 9 into D 10. The inner Sunset would be split between D7 and D 4. This plan resolves the problem of too much population in the current D 6 by focusing D 6 on the areas with more recent development and more population growth while focusing D 5 on the Western addition and Tenderloin, areas with diverse populations, a lot of affordable housing, and more historic, older neighborhoods.

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N
- Total population % deviation: N
- Assignment: N


I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Board of Supervisors: Y

I want to receive email updates from the Board of Supervisors: Y

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name	% Deviation	Comments
D3	-2.13	
D7	3.3	
D1	-2.15	
D2	-2.06	
D3	-100.0	
D4	0.75	
D5	0.12	
D5	-1.21	
D10	-0.82	
D3	-3.72	
D1	-1.19	
D4	1.36	
D7	1.74	
D6	0.98	
D2	-5.14	
D10	1.9	
D6	-1.58	
D8	0.76	
D9	-1.12	
D11	2.29	
D8	2.98	
D11	0.59	
D9	0.51	

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D5

% Deviation : -1.21

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D9

% Deviation : -1.12

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D6

% Deviation : 0.98

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D10

% Deviation : -0.82

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D3

% Deviation : -3.72

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D1

% Deviation : -1.19

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D4

% Deviation : 1.36

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D7

% Deviation : 1.74

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D11

% Deviation : 2.29

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D8

% Deviation : 2.98

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D2

% Deviation : -5.14

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

Submission ID: MyCityCouncil_SD_12122021552_TL in D5

Plan name: TL in D5

District type: Board of Supervisors

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments: The fundamental issue facing redistricting is that D6 has grown in population far faster than all other districts and D6 must change. This plan moves most of the Tenderloin into D5, which would also include Fillmore/ Western Addition, Hayes Valley, Japantown, NOPA, and Anza Vista. the Haight and Cole valley would move into D8. While the Eastern boundary of D8 would be come part of D9, adding blocks between Dolores and Valencia to D9. Glen Park would shift from D8 to D7. Portola would move to D10. Potrero Hill would be split with the northern part in D6 while the southern part would be in D10.

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N

- Assignment: N


I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Board of Supervisors: Y

I want to receive email updates from the Board of Supervisors: N

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name	% Deviation	Comments
D6	0.98	
D2	-5.14	
D5	-1.21	
D10	-0.82	
D3	-3.72	
D1	-1.19	
D4	1.36	
D7	1.74	
D9	-1.12	
D11	2.29	
D8	2.98	

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D5

% Deviation : -1.21

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D9

% Deviation : -1.12

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D6

% Deviation : 0.98

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D10

% Deviation : -0.82

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D3

% Deviation : -3.72

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D1

% Deviation : -1.19

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D4

% Deviation : 1.36

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D7

% Deviation : 1.74

Comments :




Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D11

% Deviation : 2.29

Comments :




Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D8

% Deviation : 2.98

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D2

% Deviation : -5.14

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

Submission ID: MyCityCouncil_SD_12032021512_ TL in D 3

Plan name: TL in D 3

District type: Board of Supervisors

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments: This plan resolves the challenge of how to shrink D 6, where there has been a lot of population growth, by moving the Tenderloin into D 3. This means that more of Polk Gulch, Russian Hill, and Nob Hill have to move into D 2. Other notable changes are that Cole Valley and UCSF would move into D 8, creating a continuous area around Buena Vista Park and served by the N Judah. D 6 would shrink to focus on SoMA and Mission Bay. Most other districts would see limited changes.

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N
- Total population % deviation: N
- Assignment: N


I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Board of Supervisors: Y

I want to receive email updates from the Board of Supervisors: Y

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name	% Deviation	Comments
D 1	0.24	
D 7	-1.6	
D 3	4.68	
D 5	-3.93	
D 6	-0.27	
D 9	0.28	
D 10	1.86	
D 2	2.18	
D 4	0.59	
D 8	-2.93	
D 11	-1.5	

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D 6

% Deviation : -0.27

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D 9

% Deviation : 0.28

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D 10

% Deviation : 1.86

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D 3

% Deviation : 4.68

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D 2

% Deviation : 2.18

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D 1

% Deviation : 0.24

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D 4

% Deviation : 0.59

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D 8

% Deviation : -2.93

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D 5

% Deviation : -3.93

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D 7

% Deviation : -1.6

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D 11

% Deviation : -1.5

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

Submission ID: MyCityCouncil_SD_12022021501_TL in D1

Plan name: TL in D1

District type: Board of Supervisors

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments: I made a mistake in Plan Name and and this should say Tenderloin in D 5 which the most significant part of this plan. Given the population growth in District 6, deciding how to shrink the district is the central challenge of redistricting. In this plan the Tenderloin would move into D 5 along with Western Addition/ Fillmore, Hayes Valley, Japantown, Alamo Square, and NOPA. D 5 would lose the Haight and Cole Valley, which would move into D8. D8's eastern edge would be Dolores street rather than Valencia and the portion of the Mission that used to be in D 8 would shift to D 9. Glen Park would shift out of D 8 into D 7 and Portola would shift from D 9 into D 10. Other than these changes, districts would be very similar to how they appear today.

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N

- Assignment: N


I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Board of Supervisors: Y

I want to receive email updates from the Board of Supervisors: Y

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name	% Deviation	Comments
D 7	2.06	
D 11	3.38	
D 6	-4.84	
D 5	-1.13	
D 1	-2.25	
D 3	-1.47	
D 9	0.18	
D 10	0.73	
D 2	-1.96	
D 4	2.43	
D 8	-1.96	

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D 3

% Deviation : -1.47

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D 5

% Deviation : -1.13

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D 9

% Deviation : 0.18

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D 6

% Deviation : -4.84

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D 10

% Deviation : 0.73

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D 1

% Deviation : -2.25

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D 2

% Deviation : -1.96

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D 4

% Deviation : 2.43

Comments :



Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D 8

% Deviation : -1.96

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D 7

% Deviation : 2.06

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D 11

% Deviation : 3.38

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

Submission ID: MyCityCouncil_SD_12022021500_New SF Districts

Plan name: New SF Districts

District type: Board of Supervisors

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments:

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N

- Assignment: N


I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Board of Supervisors: Y

I want to receive email updates from the Board of Supervisors: N

Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

District name	% Deviation	Comments
New District 5	11.47	Ensures the black community of interest of the western addition together

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : New District 5

% Deviation : 11.47

Comments : Ensures the black community of interest of the western addition together



David Pilpel
2151 27th Ave
San Francisco CA 94116-1730

Chair Rev. Arnold Townsend and Members
Redistricting Task Force
1 Carlton B Goodlett Pl Ste 244
San Francisco CA 94102-4689

November 29, 2021

Re: My San Francisco Redistricting Map for 2022 (DP1), First Draft

Dear Chair Rev. Townsend and Members,

I am excited to share my first draft of a San Francisco Redistricting Map for 2022 (DP1). To determine the ideal population for each district (DP Ideal), I considered the census population change from 2010 to 2020, registration, and turnout (using voter data from November 2012, 2014, 2016, 2018, and 2020 elections). Starting with the existing districts, I adjusted boundaries to improve compactness, keep communities and neighborhoods together, include (or split) institutions and parks where appropriate, and use freeways, large streets, and other natural borders as much as possible.

The general boundaries are (clockwise from the county line, northwest corner, or water's edge):

DP1 District 1: 33rd, California, 26th, Lake, 21st, California, Presidio, Bush, Divisadero, Sutter, Presidio, Post, Divisadero, Fulton, Cole, Grove, Shrader, Hayes, Stanyan, JFK, Kezar, MLK, Lincoln

DP1 District 2: Leavenworth, Jefferson, Jones, Broadway, Van Ness, Bush, Presidio, California, 21st, Lake, 26th, California, 33rd

DP1 District 3: Bryant, Beale, Harrison, 3rd, Folsom, 4th, Stockton, Geary, Van Ness, Broadway, Jones, Jefferson, Leavenworth

DP1 District 4: Lincoln, MLK, 9th, Irving, 17th, Kirkham, 19th, Eucalyptus, Middlefield, Lake Merced

DP1 District 5: Bush, Van Ness, S Van Ness, 14th, Valencia, Duboce, Castro, 14th, Roosevelt, 17th, Stanyan, Carl, Arguello, Irving, 9th, MLK, Kezar, JFK, Stanyan, Hayes, Shrader, Grove, Cole, Fulton, Divisadero, Post, Presidio, Sutter, Divisadero

DP1 District 6: TI, YBI, 16th, Terry Francois, Mariposa, Pennsylvania, 17th, Mississippi, 7th, King, Division, 8th, Mission, 9th, Market, Van Ness, Geary, Stockton, 4th, Folsom, 3rd, Harrison, Beale, Bryant

DP1 District 7: Kirkham, 8th, Lawton, 7th, Laguna Honda, Portola, O'Shaughnessy, Malta, Stillings, Martha, Baden, I-280, Alemany, Brotherhood, Lake Merced, Middlefield, Eucalyptus, 19th

DP1 District 8: Irving, Arguello, Carl, Stanyan, 17th, Roosevelt, 14th, Castro, Duboce, Valencia, 15th, Dolores, Day, San Jose, I-280, Baden, Martha, Stillings, Malta, O'Shaughnessy, Portola, Laguna Honda, 7th, Lawton, 8th, Kirkham, 17th

DP1 District 9: Market, 9th, Mission, 8th, Division, Potrero, Mariposa, Hampshire, 25th, Potrero, Cesar Chavez, Bayshore, Industrial, US-101, I-280, Baden, San Jose, Day, Dolores, 15th, Valencia, 14th, S Van Ness

DP1 District 10: Geneva, La Grande, Mansell, Visitacion, Raymond, Delta, Teddy, San Bruno, Paul, Bayshore, Cesar Chavez, Potrero, 25th, Hampshire, Mariposa, Potrero, Division, King, 7th, Mississippi, 17th, Pennsylvania, Mariposa, Terry Francois, 16th

DP1 District 11: Lake Merced, Brotherhood, Alemany, I-280, US-101, Industrial, Bayshore, Paul, San Bruno, Teddy, Delta, Raymond, Visitacion, Mansell, La Grande, Geneva

To summarize (Change is DP1 from 2020 Census and % Deviation is DP1 from Average):

District	2010 Population	2020 Census	DP Ideal	DP1	Change	% Deviation
1	69,703	72,848	81,120	81,121	8,273	1.98%
2	69,544	76,363	77,418	77,466	1,103	-2.61%
3	70,394	72,474	82,845	82,765	10,291	4.05%
4	72,498	72,784	81,171	81,109	8,325	1.97%
5	74,600	80,667	75,847	75,892	-4,775	-4.59%
6	73,909	103,564	79,783	79,821	-23,743	0.35%
7	72,737	75,436	78,685	78,709	3,273	-1.05%
8	75,746	82,418	75,568	75,622	-6,796	-4.93%
9	76,720	75,829	80,281	80,197	4,368	0.82%
10	72,566	86,323	79,857	79,861	-6,462	0.40%
11	76,818	76,287	82,418	82,430	6,143	3.63%
Total	805,235	874,993	874,993	874,993	0	0.00%
Average	73,203	79,545	79,545	79,545		

Thank you, as always, for considering my thoughts on this matter. I can briefly describe this proposal at a future Task Force meeting if you would like. I am certain that your final plan will be different, but I do hope that the elements here are useful and spark some civic (and civil) debate and interest. I am also pretty sure that no one will like this proposal as it is and I understand that. While maintaining and strengthening each district were primary concerns, I also tried to move each district slightly toward the middle politically, hoping for more competitive election contests and good, strong elected representatives from each district in the next ten years. Thanks again for your consideration.

Sincerely,

/s/

David Pilpel

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

Submission ID: MyCityCouncil_SD_11292021463_DP1

Plan name: DP1

District type: Board of Supervisors

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments: See letter dated November 29, 2021. Thanks. DP

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N

- Assignment: N


I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Board of Supervisors: Y

I want to receive email updates from the Board of Supervisors: N

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name	% Deviation	Comments
DP1 District 6	0.35	
DP1 District 9	0.82	
DP1 District 11	3.63	
DP1 District 3	4.05	
DP1 District 10	0.4	
DP1 District 4	1.97	
DP1 District 2	-2.61	
DP1 District 1	1.98	
DP1 District 7	-1.05	
DP1 District 8	-4.93	
DP1 District 5	-4.59	

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : DP1 District 6

% Deviation : 0.35

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : DP1 District 9

% Deviation : 0.82

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : DP1 District 3

% Deviation : 4.05

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : DP1 District 10

% Deviation : 0.4

Comments :




Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

District name : DP1 District 4

% Deviation : 1.97

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : DP1 District 2

% Deviation : -2.61

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : DP1 District 1

% Deviation : 1.98

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : DP1 District 7

% Deviation : -1.05

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : DP1 District 8

% Deviation : -4.93

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : DP1 District 5

% Deviation : -4.59

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : DP1 District 11

% Deviation : 3.63

Comments :

