

Agenda Item No. 6

## 2020 Census Redistricting Task Force

### AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Date: January 22, 2022

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

- |                                     |                          |  |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>Maps and COI submitted by the public through January 19, 2022</u> |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____  |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____  |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____  |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____  |

Prepared by: John Carroll

Date: January 19, 2022

Prepared by: John Carroll

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Prepared by: John Carroll

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

## Carroll, John (BOS)

---

**From:** noreply@airtable.com on behalf of John Tse via Airtable <noreply@airtable.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 2:22 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Carroll, John (BOS)  
**Subject:** John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

### **Name**

Jack Alber

### **Mutual Interest**

*The Bayview, Potrero, Showplace Square and Dogpatch form a solid Community of Interest with shared history and present-day issues stemming from neglect and industrial land uses. Although our demographics are diverse, our communities together face serious impacts from contaminated soil and poor air quality, massive growth, and limited investment in public infrastructure. Keeping our neighborhoods together in one district ensures that we maximize efforts to address common issues and achieve common goals.*

*During the first half of the 20th century, redlining discouraged home ownership by working class immigrants and people of color living in the Bayview and Potrero Hill, and exacerbated inequities with other parts of the City. Areas along the Bay were sparsely settled due to heavy industrial uses. As a result little investment went to the parks, public transportation, schools and public facilities like those provided in more affluent residential areas.*

*Our neighborhoods provided the real estate for freeways, artificially dividing us and polluting our air. In the last two decades, despite a stated goal of providing affordable housing, the rezoning of contaminated, formerly industrial land has led to speculation, gentrification and displacement among growing concerns for the health and safety of our residents.*

It is essential that our community be allowed to navigate these challenges as a whole, rather than being split apart. Please keep District 10 consistent in future redistricting within the city. Thank you!

### **Community Location**

The Bayview, Showplace Square, the Dogpatch and Potrero Hill. District 10, to be short.

### **Why Keep Together**

*Within this larger Community of Interest is a smaller one with Dogpatch and Potrero Hill. Together we benefit from the efforts of multiple organizations (Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association, Dogpatch Neighborhood Association, Potrero/Dogpatch Merchants Association, Potrero Democratic Club) all working closely together advocating for common interests. To divide Dogpatch from Potrero, or the north slope of Potrero from the south, imposes false boundaries that would seriously dilute our advocacy efforts.*

### **Attachments**

### **Date Submitted**

1/18/2022 2:18pm

[View in Airtable](#)

©2022 Airtable

[Visit our help center](#)



## **Carroll, John (BOS)**

---

**From:** noreply+automations@airtableemail.com on behalf of Airtable Automations  
<noreply+automations@airtableemail.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, January 14, 2022 11:48 PM  
**To:** Tse, John (BOS); REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Seth Neill  
**Subject:** Record recBqDbJQmT9Tfilk has been submitted

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

### **Name**

Potrero Hill

### **Mutual Interest**

Potrero Hill has a shared culture and community of neighborhood businesses. It has a unique character formed by a mix of working class and white collar workers, many of whom have lived in the neighborhood for generations. It is predominantly single-family residential housing with a limited number of large apartment and condominiums, mostly on the edges. Potrero Hill has neighborhood organizations like the Potrero-Dogpatch Merchants association and the green benefit district.

### **Community Location**

Bounded on the South by Caesar Chavez

Bounded on the West by Potrero Avenue

Bounded on the North by Division through the traffic circle to King Street (possibly Townsend)


Bounded on the East by 7th Street and then I-280 south to Caesar Chavez

## Why Keep Together

Potrero Hill should be kept together because the benefits and the problems of the community are experienced together. For example the Mission District to the west has historically had more problems with gang violence, and Potrero Hill has experienced rapid development and gentrification. The large scale development in Showplace Square/Design District has most immediately affected Potrero Hill residents, and it's important to have this area included and represented by the same supervisor.

## Date Submitted

1/15/2022 7:48am UTC

Sent via Automations on 

©2022 Airtable

## Carroll, John (BOS)

---

**From:** noreply@airtable.com on behalf of John Tse via Airtable <noreply@airtable.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 13, 2022 9:25 AM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Tse, John (BOS)  
**Subject:** John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

### **Name**

Chuck Obermeyer

### **Mutual Interest**

The SF Mid-Market neighborhood is a high-density mixed-use community located in the heart of the city. Perhaps San Francisco's most uniquely diverse neighborhood, Mid-Market includes three of San Francisco's eight cultural districts: SOMA Pilipinas, Compton's Transgender, and Leather and LGBT. The resident population of Mid-Market is broadly diverse across race/ethnicity, educational background, and income level. Our community includes a large mix of both families who have lived in the neighborhood for decades and newer arrivals from all over the globe. Affordable housing buildings, SROs, market-rate housing, and condo buildings are nestled together alongside a wide range of commercial activity and government offices. This is partly because Mid-Market is a regional transit gateway, anchored by two BART and three MUNI Metro stations. As a result, the neighborhood sees intense foot traffic and commuter density each day. Mid-Market is also home to a large volume of supportive services, with people on both sides of Market street regularly accessing these services. Mid-Market also holds a unique place among other neighborhoods in SF as the social and cultural heart of the city. The community is home to a high concentration of cultural venues and regularly hosts many

large social events, celebrations, and protests attended by San Franciscans from every corner of the city.

But despite sitting in the heart of SF, Mid-Market is plagued by an enduring humanitarian crisis. Large public squares and corners in the neighborhood are dominated by open-air drug markets and street vending of stolen goods. Sidewalks are covered in loose trash, feces, broken glass, and needles. Random acts of violence, rampant property crime, and overstretched SFPD and community ambassadors mean that residents, commuting workers, and visitors to Mid-Market alike are regularly assaulted, robbed, and killed. It's no surprise then, that most people avoid walking the streets of Mid-Market after dark.

But the homeless residents of Mid-Market have it the worst of all. City services in Mid-Market are overstretched and underfunded, so many living on the street have trouble getting the addiction counseling and mental health care they so desperately need. And unlike the housed residents of Mid-Market, homeless residents have no refuge from the danger on the streets. They are regularly assaulted, exploited, and trafficked by the drug dealers and criminal syndicates operating on the streets of Mid-Market.

All those who live and work in Mid-Market are united in their desire to live in a safe, inclusive, and vibrant neighborhood. The community desperately needs larger investments in SFPD and community ambassador programs to ensure an end to open-air drug markets, fencing of stolen goods on sidewalks, and rampant violent crime. Residents of Mid-Market are uniquely proud that their neighborhood hosts such a large volume of supportive services, but want to see larger investments in city services to ensure that no one goes without care. Without large investments in public safety and supportive city services, Mid-Market's pressing humanitarian crisis will never be resolved.

## **Community Location**

Please see attached links to google map and google doc. Page 3 of the google doc contains details on the finer points of the map.

## **Why Keep Together**

To resolve the pressing humanitarian crisis in Mid-Market, large-scale investments in city services are needed. In order to achieve those investments, Mid-Market needs to be able to speak to a single SF Supervisor who understands the broader situation across the whole neighborhood. If the neighborhood is cut up and placed in several different districts, the Supervisors with smaller parts of Mid-Market in their district will have little reason to devote attention and energy to the issues facing those areas. Why would they? They'll have many different neighborhoods in their district to compete for their time. Ensuring Mid-Market is kept whole in one Supervisorial district is the only way to ensure that the neighborhood gets the attention and help it so desperately needs.

Additionally, the Mid-Market neighborhood should be kept whole because it is unique in SF for its diversity. Our community is home to people who live in every kind of housing type, people of every educational background and income level, both long-time residents and new immigrants from all over the world. All of us are hurt by the current conditions in our community—all of us want change. Our diversity of experience and perspective is our biggest strength, and we want to be kept together.

## **Attachments**





**Date Submitted**  
1/12/2022 5:11pm

[View in Airtable](#)

©2022 Airtable  
[Visit our help center](#)

## Carroll, John (BOS)

---

**From:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 12, 2022 10:38 AM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce  
**Subject:** FW: Shared records from the "COI Table" table

**Categories:** COMMUNICATIONS

---

**From:** noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 12, 2022 8:39 AM  
**To:** Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>  
**Subject:** John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

**Name**

Ellen Shaffer

**Mutual Interest**

District 7

**Community Location**

District 7, SF

## **Why Keep Together**

Good question. When is the next scheduled meeting re: Redistricting & District 7?

## **Attachments**

## **Date Submitted**

1/12/2022 8:05am

[View in Airtable](#)

©2022 Airtable  
[Visit our help center](#)



## Carroll, John (BOS)

---

**From:** noreply@airtable.com on behalf of John Tse via Airtable <noreply@airtable.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 12, 2022 8:39 AM  
**To:** Carroll, John (BOS); REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Seth Neill  
**Subject:** John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

**Categories:** COMMUNICATIONS

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

### **Name**

Alison Heath (D10 resident)

### **Mutual Interest**

*The Bayview, Potrero, Showplace Square and Dogpatch form a solid Community of Interest with shared history and present-day issues stemming from neglect and industrial land uses. Although our demographics are diverse, our communities together face serious impacts from contaminated soil and poor air quality, massive growth, and limited investment in public infrastructure. Keeping our neighborhoods together in one district ensures that we maximize efforts to address common issues and achieve common goals.*

### **Community Location**

Current District 10, between the Bay to the east and Potrero Ave and 101 to the west. From 16th Street and inclusive of Showplace Square to the north and the SF border to the South. It could include Mission Bay as well, or portions of Vis Valley to get the right population numbers.

## **Why Keep Together**

*During the first half of the 20th century, redlining discouraged home ownership by working class immigrants and people of color living in the Bayview and Potrero Hill, and exacerbated inequities with other parts of the City. Areas along the Bay were sparsely settled due to heavy industrial uses. As a result little investment went to the parks, public transportation, schools and public facilities like those provided in more affluent residential areas.*

Our neighborhoods provided the real estate for freeways, artificially dividing us and polluting our air. In the last two decades, despite a stated goal of providing affordable housing, the rezoning of contaminated, formerly industrial land has led to speculation, gentrification and displacement among growing concerns for the health and safety of our residents.

Within this larger Community of Interest is a smaller one with Dogpatch and Potrero Hill. Together we benefit from the efforts of multiple organizations (Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association, Dogpatch Neighborhood Association, Potrero/Dogpatch Merchant Association, Potrero Democratic Club) all working closely together advocating for common interests. To divide Dogpatch from Potrero, or the north slope of Potrero from the south, imposes false boundaries that would seriously dilute our advocacy efforts. Keeping our neighborhoods together in one district ensures that we maximize efforts to address mutual issues and achieve common goals.

*Within this larger Community of Interest is a smaller one comprised of Dogpatch and Potrero Hill. Together we benefit from the efforts of multiple organizations (Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association, Dogpatch Neighborhood Association, Potrero/Dogpatch Merchant Association, Potrero Democratic Club) all working closely together advocating for common interests. To divide Dogpatch from Potrero, or the north slope of Potrero from the south, imposes false boundaries that would seriously dilute our advocacy efforts.*

## **Attachments**

### **Date Submitted**

1/11/2022 4:06pm

[View in Airtable](#)

©2022 Airtable  
[Visit our help center](#)

## **Community Location**

Western Boundary: Potrero Avenue

Northern Boundaries: (West to East) Division, Townsend, 7th Street, 16th Street

Eastern Boundary: the Bay


Southern Boundary: Cesar Chavez

It is located between Potrero Avenue and the Bay, north of Cesar Chavez and Islais Creek, and South of Division, Townsend and Seventh, 16th or Mariposa (to the east of 280).

## **Why Keep Together**

Historically, the Potrero District consisted of Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Irish Hill. Development along the shore has caused the future to mirror the past. The neighborhoods face the same transportation issues, the same development issues, the same need for public facilities, the same policing issues, and so on. Residents in these neighborhoods work together, and families are part of the same school communities. They share common neighborhood and merchant groups. A management district for open space assess taxes and managed open space in Dogpatch and Northwest Potrero. The area suffers the impact of Caltrans and works to solve issues related to both the 101 and 280 corridors. Over COVID 19, a virtual holiday scavenger hunt took residents across the whole of the area. They are a single community with different neighborhood flairs.

## **Attachments**



**Date Submitted**  
1/10/2022 2:50pm

[View in Airtable](#)

©2022 Airtable  
[Visit our help center](#)

Submission ID: MyCityCouncil\_SD\_01142022875\_TL in D6

Plan name: TL in D6

District type: Board of Supervisors

Starting Map: blank\_map

General comments: This plan works to keep the core of each district intact. For example, D3, the historic northeast corner of the City remains intact rather than spilt. D6's large growth is resolved by moving Western Soma to D9 which took on most of the old D6 last redistricting. D10's growth is resolved by removing Lower Potrero Hill to D9 and Dogpatch to D6. Portola moves from D11 from D9 to compensate for these changes. Other flow through changes made to other districts including D7 gaining some of western D11. D4 adds some of the Inner Sunset. D8 and D5 borders move around and D2 takes on Lower Pacific Heights, Japantown and Cathedral Hill. D1 takes all of the Richmond District, Seacliff and Baker Beach in the Presidio.

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N


- Total population % deviation: N

- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Board of Supervisors: Y

I want to receive email updates from the Board of Supervisors: Y

District name	% Deviation	Comments
District 3	-0.06	Both sides of Market Street Downtown and filling out of Geary and O'farrell.
District 1	-1.57	
District 9	0.57	
District 11	-0.2	
District 2	-1.62	
District 7	2.5	
District 4	-0.25	
District 5	-4.32	
District 6	1.32	
District 10	-1.26	
District 8	-0.31	



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors



District name : District 3

% Deviation : -0.06

Comments : Both sides of Market Street Downtown and filling out of Geary and O'farrell.



District name : District 6

% Deviation : 1.32

Comments :



District name : District 9

% Deviation : 0.57

Comments :



District name : District 10

% Deviation : -1.26

Comments :



District name : District 8

% Deviation : -0.31

Comments :






District name : District 5

% Deviation : -4.32

Comments :



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0, -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

District name : District 1

% Deviation : -1.57


Comments :



District name : District 7

% Deviation : 2.5

Comments :






District name : District 2

% Deviation : -1.62


Comments :



District name : District 4

% Deviation : -0.25

Comments :



District name : District 11

% Deviation : -0.2

Comments :



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

Submission ID: MyCityCouncil\_SD\_01142022852\_Test

Plan name: Test

District type: Board of Supervisors

Starting Map: blank\_map

General comments: This plan reflects how I navigate the city as a transit rider and cyclist. I've tried to draw districts that reflect how transit lines draw communities together, while honoring topography, history, and residential patterns.

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N

- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Board of Supervisors: Y

I want to receive email updates from the Board of Supervisors: Y

---

arkside neighborhood to increase continuity among area served by L, K, M and T transit lines, converging around West Portal / St. Francis C

ast-west to increase continuity among neighborhoods that are close to downtown. Mission Bay is now connected to Dogpatch/Potrero rather

ast-west to enhance continuity of neighborhoods served by the N line. Also enhances continuity of residential hills around UCSF.

e more compact, incorporating areas under the Octavia & Market Plan while retaining close neighborhoods connections. From Hayes & Div

district with better continuity among southeastern neighborhoods.

ly to account for population change, absorbing Anza Vista and other neighborhoods along the vital 38 bus route.

l Heights, which is tied to Noe Valley and the Castro by bus 24 and the J line, and by similar housing patterns.


change, District 3 absorbs parts of SoMa, including Yerba Buena, Financial District South, and waterfront areas north of the Bay Bridge. Ne

ly smaller to account for population change but retains continuity between Tenderloin, Mid-Market, and western SoMa.

istrict 3 better reflects the topography of Russian Hill.

yside with Mission Terrace (reflecting the "Outer Mission" as defined by SF Planning). Includes walksheds of important transit lines (14, J/M

---



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

District name : District 6

% Deviation : -0.52


Comments : This district gets significantly smaller to account for population change but retains continuity between Tenderloin, Mid-Market, and western SoMa.



District name : District 4

% Deviation : -0.79

Comments : This district is reoriented east-west to enhance continuity of neighborhoods served by the N line. Also enhances continuity of residential hills around UCSF.






District name : District 7

% Deviation : -0.08


Comments : This district absorbs the Parkside neighborhood to increase continuity among area served by L, K, M and T transit lines, converging around West Portal / St. Francis Circle.



District name : District 11

% Deviation : -0.29

Comments : This district reunites Sunnyside with Mission Terrace (reflecting the "Outer Mission" as defined by SF Planning). Includes walksheds of important transit lines (14, J/M, Balboa Park Bart).



District name : District 10

% Deviation : -0.79

Comments : A geographically compact district with better continuity among southeastern neighborhoods.



District name : District 9

% Deviation : -0.82

Comments : This district is reoriented east-west to increase continuity among neighborhoods that are close to downtown. Mission Bay is now connected to Dogpatch/Potrero rather than SoMa, and tied to the Mission via rerouted Bus 22.






District name : District 8

% Deviation : -0.93

Comments : This district absorbs Bernal Heights, which is tied to Noe Valley and the Castro by bus 24 and the J line, and by similar housing patterns.



District name : District 3

% Deviation : -0.91

Comments : Accounting for population change, District 3 absorbs parts of SoMa, including Yerba Buena, Financial District South, and waterfront areas north of the Bay Bridge. New Central Subway connects Chinatown and 4th Street.



District name : District 2

% Deviation : -0.96


Comments : New eastern border with District 3 better reflects the topography of Russian Hill.



District name : District 1

% Deviation : -0.95

Comments : This district expands slightly to account for population change, absorbing Anza Vista and other neighborhoods along the vital 38 bus route.





District name : District 5

% Deviation : -1.0

Comments : This district is redrawn to be more compact, incorporating areas under the Octavia & Market Plan while retaining close neighborhoods connections. From Hayes & Divisadero, nothing is more than a 30-minute walk.







Submission ID: MyCityCouncil\_SD\_12272021614\_SF

Plan name: SF

District type: Board of Supervisors

Starting Map: blank\_map

General comments:

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N


- Total population % deviation: N

- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Board of Supervisors: Y

I want to receive email updates from the Board of Supervisors: N

District name	% Deviation	Comments
D2	-7.6	
D6	5.49	
D7	-0.37	
D10	6.63	
D4	-0.22	
D1	-1.77	
D5	-6.57	
D3	6.15	
D11	-0.6	
D9	0.47	
D8	-1.81	




Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

District name : D1

% Deviation : -1.77

Comments :



District name : D5

% Deviation : -6.57

Comments :






District name : D2

% Deviation : -7.6

Comments :



District name : D3

% Deviation : 6.15

Comments :



District name : D6

% Deviation : 5.49


Comments :



District name : D7

% Deviation : -0.37

Comments :



District name : D11

% Deviation : -0.6

Comments :



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

District name : D10

% Deviation : 6.63

Comments :





District name : D9

% Deviation : 0.47


Comments :



District name : D4

% Deviation : -0.22

Comments :





District name : D8

% Deviation : -1.81

Comments :



Submission ID: MyCityCouncil\_SD\_12202021552\_TL in D5

Plan name: TL in D5

District type: Board of Supervisors

Starting Map: blank\_map

General comments: This plan puts the Tenderloin in D 5. As a result the Haight and Cole valley move out of D 5 into D 8. D 9 would extend west to Dolores. D6 would focus on SoMa, Mission Bay, Dog Patch, and most of Potrero Hill. Portola would shift from D 9 into D 10. The inner Sunset would be split between D7 and D 4. This plan resolves the problem of too much population in the current D 6 by focusing D 6 on the areas with more recent development and more population growth while focusing D 5 on the Western addition and Tenderloin, areas with diverse populations, a lot of affordable housing, and more historic, older neighborhoods.

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N


- Total population % deviation: N

- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Board of Supervisors: Y

I want to receive email updates from the Board of Supervisors: Y

District name	% Deviation	Comments
D3	-2.13	
D7	3.3	
D1	-2.15	
D2	-2.06	
D3	-100.0	
D4	0.75	
D5	0.12	
D5	-1.21	
D10	-0.82	
D3	-3.72	
D1	-1.19	
D4	1.36	
D7	1.74	
D6	0.98	
D2	-5.14	
D10	1.9	
D6	-1.58	
D8	0.76	
D9	-1.12	
D11	2.29	
D8	2.98	
D11	0.59	
D9	0.51	



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

District name : D5

% Deviation : -1.21

Comments :



District name : D9

% Deviation : -1.12

Comments :



District name : D6

% Deviation : 0.98


Comments :



District name : D10

% Deviation : -0.82

Comments :



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC-BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors



District name : D3

% Deviation : -3.72


Comments :



District name : D1

% Deviation : -1.19


Comments :



District name : D4

% Deviation : 1.36


Comments :



District name : D7

% Deviation : 1.74

Comments :




Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

District name : D11

% Deviation : 2.29

Comments :





District name : D8

% Deviation : 2.98

Comments :



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

District name : D2

% Deviation : -5.14

Comments :



Submission ID: MyCityCouncil\_SD\_12122021552\_TL in D5

Plan name: TL in D5

District type: Board of Supervisors

Starting Map: blank\_map

General comments: The fundamental issue facing redistricting is that D6 has grown in population far faster than all other districts and D6 must change. This plan moves most of the Tenderloin into D5, which would also include Fillmore/ Western Addition, Hayes Valley, Japantown, NOPA, and Anza Vista. the Haight and Cole valley would move into D8. While the Eastern boundary of D8 would be come part of D9, adding blocks between Dolores and Valencia to D9. Glen Park would shift from D8 to D7. Portola would move to D10. Potrero Hill would be split with the northern part in D6 while the southern part would be in D10.

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N


- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Board of Supervisors: Y

I want to receive email updates from the Board of Supervisors: N



District name	% Deviation	Comments
D6	0.98	
D2	-5.14	
D5	-1.21	
D10	-0.82	
D3	-3.72	
D1	-1.19	
D4	1.36	
D7	1.74	
D9	-1.12	
D11	2.29	
D8	2.98	



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

District name : D5

% Deviation : -1.21

Comments :



District name : D9

% Deviation : -1.12

Comments :



District name : D6

% Deviation : 0.98


Comments :



District name : D10

% Deviation : -0.82

Comments :



District name : D3

% Deviation : -3.72

Comments :






District name : D1

% Deviation : -1.19

Comments :






District name : D4

% Deviation : 1.36


Comments :



District name : D7

% Deviation : 1.74

Comments :




Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

District name : D11

% Deviation : 2.29

Comments :



Map tiles by Stamen.Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

District name : D8

% Deviation : 2.98

Comments :



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

District name : D2

% Deviation : -5.14

Comments :



Submission ID: MyCityCouncil\_SD\_12022021500\_New SF Districts

Plan name: New SF Districts

District type: Board of Supervisors

Starting Map: blank\_map

General comments:

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N


- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Board of Supervisors: Y

I want to receive email updates from the Board of Supervisors: N

District name	% Deviation	Comments
New District 5	11.47	Ensures the black community of interest of the western addition together





Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors



District name : New District 5

% Deviation : 11.47

Comments : Ensures the black community of interest of the western addition together



Submission ID: MyCityCouncil\_SD\_12022021501\_TL in D1

Plan name: TL in D1

District type: Board of Supervisors

Starting Map: blank\_map

General comments: I made a mistake in Plan Name and and this should say Tenderloin in D 5 which the most significant part of this plan. Given the population growth in District 6, deciding how to shrink the district is the central challenge of redistricting. In this plan the Tenderloin would move into D 5 along with Western Addition/ Fillmore, Hayes Valley, Japantown, Alamo Square, and NOPA. D 5 would lose the Haight and Cole Valley, which would move into D8. D8's eastern edge would be Dolores street rather than Valencia and the portion of the Mission that used to be in D 8 would shift to D 9. Glen Park would shift out of D 8 into D 7 and Portola would shift from D 9 into D 10. Other than these changes, districts would be very similar to how they appear today.

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N


- Total population % deviation: N

- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Board of Supervisors: Y

I want to receive email updates from the Board of Supervisors: Y

District name	% Deviation	Comments
D 7	2.06	
D 11	3.38	
D 6	-4.84	
D 5	-1.13	
D 1	-2.25	
D 3	-1.47	
D 9	0.18	
D 10	0.73	
D 2	-1.96	
D 4	2.43	
D 8	-1.96	



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

District name : D 3

% Deviation : -1.47

Comments :



District name : D 5

% Deviation : -1.13

Comments :



District name : D 9

% Deviation : 0.18

Comments :





District name : D 6

% Deviation : -4.84

Comments :






District name : D 10

% Deviation : 0.73

Comments :



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC-BY 3.0 --- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

District name : D 1

% Deviation : -2.25


Comments :



District name : D 2

% Deviation : -1.96

Comments :



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

District name : D 4

% Deviation : 2.43

Comments :




Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

District name : D 8

% Deviation : -1.96

Comments :



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

District name : D 7

% Deviation : 2.06

Comments :



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors



District name : D 11

% Deviation : 3.38

Comments :



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

Submission ID: MyCityCouncil\_SD\_12032021512\_ TL in D 3

Plan name: TL in D 3

District type: Board of Supervisors

Starting Map: blank\_map

General comments: This plan resolves the challenge of how to shrink D 6, where there has been a lot of population growth, by moving the Tenderloin into D 3. This means that more of Polk Gulch, Russian Hill, and Nob Hill have to move into D 2. Other notable changes are that Cole Valley and UCSF would move into D 8, creating a continuous area around Buena Vista Park and served by the N Judah. D 6 would shrink to focus on SoMA and Mission Bay. Most other districts would see limited changes.

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N


- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Board of Supervisors: Y

I want to receive email updates from the Board of Supervisors: Y



District name	% Deviation	Comments
D 1	0.24	
D 7	-1.6	
D 3	4.68	
D 5	-3.93	
D 6	-0.27	
D 9	0.28	
D 10	1.86	
D 2	2.18	
D 4	0.59	
D 8	-2.93	
D 11	-1.5	



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

District name : D 6

% Deviation : -0.27

Comments :



District name : D 9

% Deviation : 0.28

Comments :



District name : D 10

% Deviation : 1.86

Comments :



District name : D 3

% Deviation : 4.68


Comments :



District name : D 2

% Deviation : 2.18

Comments :



District name : D 1

% Deviation : 0.24

Comments :





District name : D 4

% Deviation : 0.59

Comments :



District name : D 8

% Deviation : -2.93

Comments :



District name : D 5

% Deviation : -3.93


Comments :



District name : D 7

% Deviation : -1.6


Comments :



District name : D 11

% Deviation : -1.5

Comments :



David Pilpel  
2151 27th Ave  
San Francisco CA 94116-1730

Chair Rev. Arnold Townsend and Members  
Redistricting Task Force  
1 Carlton B Goodlett Pl Ste 244  
San Francisco CA 94102-4689

November 29, 2021

Re: My San Francisco Redistricting Map for 2022 (DP1), First Draft

Dear Chair Rev. Townsend and Members,

I am excited to share my first draft of a San Francisco Redistricting Map for 2022 (DP1). To determine the ideal population for each district (DP Ideal), I considered the census population change from 2010 to 2020, registration, and turnout (using voter data from November 2012, 2014, 2016, 2018, and 2020 elections). Starting with the existing districts, I adjusted boundaries to improve compactness, keep communities and neighborhoods together, include (or split) institutions and parks where appropriate, and use freeways, large streets, and other natural borders as much as possible.

The general boundaries are (clockwise from the county line, northwest corner, or water's edge):

DP1 District 1: 33rd, California, 26th, Lake, 21st, California, Presidio, Bush, Divisadero, Sutter, Presidio, Post, Divisadero, Fulton, Cole, Grove, Shrader, Hayes, Stanyan, JFK, Kezar, MLK, Lincoln

DP1 District 2: Leavenworth, Jefferson, Jones, Broadway, Van Ness, Bush, Presidio, California, 21st, Lake, 26th, California, 33rd

DP1 District 3: Bryant, Beale, Harrison, 3rd, Folsom, 4th, Stockton, Geary, Van Ness, Broadway, Jones, Jefferson, Leavenworth

DP1 District 4: Lincoln, MLK, 9th, Irving, 17th, Kirkham, 19th, Eucalyptus, Middlefield, Lake Merced

DP1 District 5: Bush, Van Ness, S Van Ness, 14th, Valencia, Duboce, Castro, 14th, Roosevelt, 17th, Stanyan, Carl, Arguello, Irving, 9th, MLK, Kezar, JFK, Stanyan, Hayes, Shrader, Grove, Cole, Fulton, Divisadero, Post, Presidio, Sutter, Divisadero

DP1 District 6: TI, YBI, 16th, Terry Francois, Mariposa, Pennsylvania, 17th, Mississippi, 7th, King, Division, 8th, Mission, 9th, Market, Van Ness, Geary, Stockton, 4th, Folsom, 3rd, Harrison, Beale, Bryant

DP1 District 7: Kirkham, 8th, Lawton, 7th, Laguna Honda, Portola, O'Shaughnessy, Malta, Stillings, Martha, Baden, I-280, Alemany, Brotherhood, Lake Merced, Middlefield, Eucalyptus, 19th

DP1 District 8: Irving, Arguello, Carl, Stanyan, 17th, Roosevelt, 14th, Castro, Duboce, Valencia, 15th, Dolores, Day, San Jose, I-280, Baden, Martha, Stillings, Malta, O'Shaughnessy, Portola, Laguna Honda, 7th, Lawton, 8th, Kirkham, 17th



DP1 District 9: Market, 9th, Mission, 8th, Division, Potrero, Mariposa, Hampshire, 25th, Potrero, Cesar Chavez, Bayshore, Industrial, US-101, I-280, Baden, San Jose, Day, Dolores, 15th, Valencia, 14th, S Van Ness

DP1 District 10: Geneva, La Grande, Mansell, Visitacion, Raymond, Delta, Teddy, San Bruno, Paul, Bayshore, Cesar Chavez, Potrero, 25th, Hampshire, Mariposa, Potrero, Division, King, 7th, Mississippi, 17th, Pennsylvania, Mariposa, Terry Francois, 16th

DP1 District 11: Lake Merced, Brotherhood, Alemany, I-280, US-101, Industrial, Bayshore, Paul, San Bruno, Teddy, Delta, Raymond, Visitacion, Mansell, La Grande, Geneva

To summarize (Change is DP1 from 2020 Census and % Deviation is DP1 from Average):

District	2010 Population	2020 Census	DP Ideal	DP1	Change	% Deviation
1	69,703	72,848	81,120	81,121	8,273	1.98%
2	69,544	76,363	77,418	77,466	1,103	-2.61%
3	70,394	72,474	82,845	82,765	10,291	4.05%
4	72,498	72,784	81,171	81,109	8,325	1.97%
5	74,600	80,667	75,847	75,892	-4,775	-4.59%
6	73,909	103,564	79,783	79,821	-23,743	0.35%
7	72,737	75,436	78,685	78,709	3,273	-1.05%
8	75,746	82,418	75,568	75,622	-6,796	-4.93%
9	76,720	75,829	80,281	80,197	4,368	0.82%
10	72,566	86,323	79,857	79,861	-6,462	0.40%
11	76,818	76,287	82,418	82,430	6,143	3.63%
<b>Total</b>	<b>805,235</b>	<b>874,993</b>	<b>874,993</b>	<b>874,993</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
<b>Average</b>	<b>73,203</b>	<b>79,545</b>	<b>79,545</b>	<b>79,545</b>		

Thank you, as always, for considering my thoughts on this matter. I can briefly describe this proposal at a future Task Force meeting if you would like. I am certain that your final plan will be different, but I do hope that the elements here are useful and spark some civic (and civil) debate and interest. I am also pretty sure that no one will like this proposal as it is and I understand that. While maintaining and strengthening each district were primary concerns, I also tried to move each district slightly toward the middle politically, hoping for more competitive election contests and good, strong elected representatives from each district in the next ten years. Thanks again for your consideration.

Sincerely,

/s/

David Pilpel

Submission ID: MyCityCouncil\_SD\_11292021463\_DP1

Plan name: DP1

District type: Board of Supervisors

Starting Map: blank\_map

General comments: See letter dated November 29, 2021. Thanks. DP

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N


- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Board of Supervisors: Y

I want to receive email updates from the Board of Supervisors: N



District name	% Deviation	Comments
DP1 District 6	0.35	
DP1 District 9	0.82	
DP1 District 11	3.63	
DP1 District 3	4.05	
DP1 District 10	0.4	
DP1 District 4	1.97	
DP1 District 2	-2.61	
DP1 District 1	1.98	
DP1 District 7	-1.05	
DP1 District 8	-4.93	
DP1 District 5	-4.59	



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

District name : DP1 District 6

% Deviation : 0.35

Comments :



District name : DP1 District 9

% Deviation : 0.82

Comments :



District name : DP1 District 3

% Deviation : 4.05

Comments :



District name : DP1 District 10

% Deviation : 0.4

Comments :



District name : DP1 District 4

% Deviation : 1.97

Comments :



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

District name : DP1 District 2

% Deviation : -2.61

Comments :







District name : DP1 District 7

% Deviation : -1.05


Comments :



District name : DP1 District 8

% Deviation : -4.93


Comments :



District name : DP1 District 5

% Deviation : -4.59


Comments :



District name : DP1 District 11

% Deviation : 3.63

Comments :



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors